

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

BACKGROUND

1. **Project Title:**
Extension of time for Conditional Use Permit No. 836
2. **Project Location:**
Located on the southeast corner of Sylvan Boulevard and Grove Street in the City of Redlands.

DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- X** I find that there are no substantial changes associated with this project, pursuant to Section 15162 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, to warrant further analysis and certification of a subsequent Negative Declaration or Environmental Impact Report (EIR) because all potentially significant effects associated with this project have been: (a) analyzed adequately in the earlier EIR certified on May 15, 2007; and, (b) avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR, including mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project.

Signed:

Chris Boatman
Assistant Planner
February 3, 2010

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
1 LAND USE AND PLANNING. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	___	___✓___

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

YES NO

YES NO

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

2. **POPULATION AND HOUSING.** Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.

— ✓

YES NO

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

3. **GEOLOGIC PROBLEMS.** Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.

— ✓

YES NO

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

4. **WATER.** Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.

— ✓

YES NO

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

5. **AIR QUALITY.** Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.

— ✓

YES NO

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

6. **TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION.** Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.

— ✓

YES NO

Issues and Supporting Information Sources:

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
7. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	—	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
9. HAZARDS. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	—	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
10. NOISE. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	—	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
11. PUBLIC SERVICES. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	—	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
12. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	—	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources:		
13. AESTHETICS. Have any substantial changes		

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources: occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	___	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources: 14. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	___	<u>✓</u>

	YES	NO
Issues and Supporting Information Sources: 15. RECREATION. Have any substantial changes occurred in the project or the surrounding area that may alter potential impacts since the last review.	___	<u>✓</u>

CONCLUSION

Based on the above analysis and findings and pursuant to Section 15162 of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, the following is concluded:

1. No substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previously certified EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
2. No substantial changes have occurred with respect to circumstances under which the project was undertaken which will require major revisions of the previously certified EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
3. No new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified, has been identified.