

Best Value Rating Form (CM at Risk Contract)

State of Ohio Standard Forms and Documents

Project Name: Cleveland-Grafton Proposer's Name: Mike Coates Construction Company
 Evaluator's Name: _____ Evaluation Date: _____

A. Technical Proposal Evaluation (100 points maximum before weighting)			
Criteria	Description	Range	Score
1. Proposed Staffing	Implementation Plan, Staff Availability, Flexibility to Schedule Changes	0 - 20	7.75
2. Subcontracting Plan	Prequalification Plan, Packaging Plan / Self-Performance, Design-Assist Strategies	0 - 10	5.75
3. EDGE Plan	Outreach Plan, Demonstrated Services Participation, Construction Goal per Package	0 - 5	3.25
4. Estimating Strategies	A/E / CM Collaboration Strategies, Use of Estimating & Market Pricing, Design-Assist Proposals	0 - 10	4.25
5. Procurement Strategies	Buyout Plan, Long-lead & Bulk Purchase Strategies, Support of Owner Objectives	0 - 10	4.25
6. Value Added Suggestions	Alternates, Payback Periods, Benefits	0 - 5	2.00
7. Timeline	Baseline / Alternate Schedule(s), Phasing / Procurement Plan(s), Milestones / Activities	0 - 20	10.25
8. Site Logistics & Safety Plan	Site Logistics Plan, Safety Plan, Graphic Project Phasing Plan	0 - 10	6.25
9. Quality Assurance / Quality Control Plan	Design Phase, Estimating & Scheduling, Construction Phase	0 - 5	2.25
10. Unique Challenges & Solutions	Project / Scope Characteristics, Budget / Schedule Characteristics, Quality / Process Characteristics	0 - 5	2.25

Notes:

Total Qualifications Score			48.25
Score	x	Weight	= Subtotal (A)
48.25		55%	26.5

B. Pricing Proposal Evaluation (100 points maximum before weighting)				
Factor	Component		Proposal	Extension
1. Preconstruction Stage ¹	a. Preconstruction Stage Fee			63,790
	b. Preconstruction Stage Personnel Costs		62,640	
	c. Preconstruction Stage Reimbursable Expenses		1,150	
2. Construction Stage ¹	a. Construction Stage Personnel Costs		333,450	1,099,952
	b. General Conditions Costs	2.18%	346,079	
	c. CM's Fee (at Risk Services)	2.50%	420,423	
	d. Owner Accepted Schedule Enhancements (+/-)			
3. GMP Proposal ²	a. Guaranteed Maximum Price ²		N/A	
Total Price Proposal				\$1,163,742
4. Additional Information	a. CM Adviser Fee ³		331,368	
	b. CM's Contingency ⁴		248,526	
	c. Estimated Cost of Work		16,568,405	
5. Normalized Price Ranking	a. Proposed price from this CM team [x]		1,163,742	
	b. Lowest proposed price from all CM teams [L]		1,163,742	
	NPR = [1 - ((x - L) / L)] * 100		NPR =	

¹ Detailed price information provided in CM at Risk Proposal Form

² Guaranteed Maximum Price included only if specifically requested in RFP

³ For CM as Adviser services only

⁴ Percentage of Estimated Cost of Work

NPR	x	Weight	= Subtotal (B)
100.0		45%	45.0

C. Best Value Calculation			
Subtotal (A)	+	Subtotal (B)	= Best Value
26.5		45.0	71.5

Best Value = weighted combination of qualifications and price

Individual Scores

Evaluators Names (in cells below): _____ Number of Evaluators: 4

Technical Proposal Evaluation				
Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5
6.00	3.00	19.00	3.00	
9.00	2.00	10.00	2.00	
5.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	
7.00	1.00	8.00	1.00	
6.00	1.00	9.00	1.00	
2.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	
12.00	8.00	13.00	8.00	
8.00	5.00	7.00	5.00	
3.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	
3.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	
61	25	82	25	

33.6	13.8	45.1	13.8	
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--

Pricing Proposal Evaluation				
Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Best Value Calculation				
Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5
78.5	58.7	90.1	58.7	