

**Document of
The World Bank**

Report No.: 86027-NG

PROJECT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF NIGERIA

**STATE EDUCATION SECTOR PROJECT
(P096151)**

April 16, 2014

IEG Public Sector Evaluation
Independent Evaluation Group

Currency Equivalents (annual averages)

Currency Unit = Nigerian Naira

2006	US\$1.00	NGN128.65
2007	US\$1.00	NGN125.81
2008	US\$1.00	NGN118.55
2009	US\$1.00	NGN148.90
2010	US\$1.00	NGN150.30
2011	US\$1.00	NGN154.70

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ADB	Asian Development Bank
CCT	Conditional Cash Transfer
ECD	Early Childhood Development
CUBE	Capacity for Universal Basic Education
DfID	Department for International Development
EMIS	Education Management Information System
ESSPIN	Education Sector Support Education Sector Support Programme in Nigeria
HDI	Human Development Index
ICR	Implementation Completion and Results Report
IEG	Independent Evaluation Group
IEGPS	IEG Public Sector Evaluation
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
JSS	Junior Secondary School
LGAs	Local Government Authorities
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
PPAR	Project Performance Assessment Report
SESP	Nigeria State Education Sector Project
SUBEB	State Universal Basic Education Boards
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development

Fiscal Year

Government: January 1 – December 31

Director-General, Independent Evaluation	:	Ms. Caroline Heider
Director, IEG Public Sector Evaluation	:	Mr. Emmanuel Jimenez
Manager, IEG Public Sector Evaluation	:	Mr. Mark Sundberg
Task Manager	:	Ms. Susan Ann Caceres

Contents

Principal Ratings.....	v
Key Staff Responsible.....	v
Preface.....	vii
Summary.....	ix
1. Background and Context.....	1
Socio-Economic Context of Nigeria.....	1
Education in Nigeria.....	2
2. Objectives, Design, and their Relevance.....	5
Relevance of Objective.....	5
Design.....	6
Implementation Arrangements.....	9
Monitoring and Evaluation Design.....	9
Relevance of Design.....	11
3. Implementation.....	13
Implementation Experience.....	14
Safeguards.....	15
Fiduciary.....	16
Implementation of Monitoring and Evaluation.....	16
4. Achievement of the Objectives.....	17
Improve the Quality of Basic Education.....	17
Improve Girls Participation.....	23
5. Efficiency.....	27
6. Ratings.....	28
Outcome.....	28
Bank Performance.....	30
Quality of Supervision.....	31
Borrower Performance.....	32
Monitoring and Evaluation.....	33
7. Lessons.....	34
References.....	37

This report was prepared by Susan Ann Caceres, who assessed the project in May and June 2013. The report was peer reviewed by Xiaoyan Liang and panel reviewed by Denise Vaillancourt. Viktoriya Yevsyeyeva provided administrative support.

Annex A. Basic Data Sheet.....	39
Annex B. School Conditions in Project LGAs in Kwara, Kaduna, and Kano 2009-2011	41
KWARA SCHOOL CONDITIONS.....	41
KADUNA SCHOOL CONDITIONS.....	42
KANO SCHOOL CONDITIONS.....	43
Annex C. List of Persons Met.....	53
Annex D. Borrower Comments	57

Tables

Table 1. Poverty Distribution across Geo-political Zones, 1999.....	2
Table 2. Gross Enrollment by Level by Year (%)	3
Table 3. Gross Primary and Secondary Enrollment by Geo-political Zone, 2006 (%)	3
Table 4. Gross Enrollment by Level and Gender, 2006	4
Table 5. Activities within each Component and Planned Costs	7
Table 6. Project Results Framework.....	10
Table 7. Appraisal and Actual Cost of Project by Component.....	13
Table 8. Reported Use of Grant Funds (% of surveyed schools).....	18
Table 9. Primary Public Enrollment Total and Girls (number and percentage) 2009-2011	25
Table 10. JSS Public Enrollment, Total and Girls (number and percentage) 2009-2011.	26
Table 11. Comparison of Project and Government Unit Costs (Naira).....	28
Table 12. Primary School (2009-2011)	41
Table 13. Junior Secondary Schools (2009-2011).....	41
Table 14. Primary School (2009-2011)	42
Table 15. Junior Secondary Schools (2009-2011).....	42
Table 16. Primary School (2009-2011)	43
Table 17. Junior Secondary Schools (2009-2011).....	43
Table 18. Public Primary School Enrollment - Kaduna	45
Table 19. Public Junior Secondary School Enrollment – Kaduna.....	46
Table 20. Public Primary School Enrollment - Kwara	46
Table 21. Public Junior Secondary School Enrollment - Kwara	47
Table 22. Public Primary School Enrollment – Kano.....	48
Table 23. Public Junior Secondary School Enrollment – Kano.....	49
Table 24. Percentage of Schools with no Toilets – Kwara	50
Table 25. Percentage of Schools with no Toilets – Kaduna	50
Table 26. Percentage of Schools with no Toilets – Kano	51
Table 27. States in Reading Comprehension and Mathematics 2007 and 2011 (mean percentage score)	51

Principal Ratings

	ICR*	ICR Review*	PPAR
Outcome	Moderately Satisfactory	Moderately Satisfactory	Moderately Unsatisfactory
Risk to Development Outcome	Moderate	Moderate	Significant
Bank Performance	Moderately Satisfactory	Moderately Satisfactory	Moderately Unsatisfactory
Borrower Performance	Moderately Satisfactory	Moderately Satisfactory	Moderately Satisfactory

* The Implementation Completion and Results (ICR) report is a self-evaluation by the responsible Bank department. The ICR Review is an intermediate IEG product that seeks to independently verify the findings of the ICR.

Key Staff Responsible

Project	Task Manager/Leader	Division Chief/ Sector Director	Country Director
Appraisal	Halil Dundar	Laura Frigenti	Hafez M.H. Ghanem
Completion	Olatunde Adetoyese Adekola	Peter Nicolas Materu	Marie Francoise Marie-Nelly

IEG Mission: Improving World Bank Group development results through excellence in independent evaluation.
About this Report

The Independent Evaluation Group assesses the programs and activities of the World Bank for two purposes: first, to ensure the integrity of the Bank's self-evaluation process and to verify that the Bank's work is producing the expected results, and second, to help develop improved directions, policies, and procedures through the dissemination of lessons drawn from experience. As part of this work, IEG annually assesses 20-25 percent of the Bank's lending operations through field work. In selecting operations for assessment, preference is given to those that are innovative, large, or complex; those that are relevant to upcoming studies or country evaluations; those for which Executive Directors or Bank management have requested assessments; and those that are likely to generate important lessons.

To prepare a Project Performance Assessment Report (PPAR), IEG staff examine project files and other documents, visit the borrowing country to discuss the operation with the government, and other in-country stakeholders, and interview Bank staff and other donor agency staff both at headquarters and in local offices as appropriate.

Each PPAR is subject to internal IEG peer review, Panel review, and management approval. Once cleared internally, the PPAR is commented on by the responsible Bank department. The PPAR is also sent to the borrower for review. IEG incorporates both Bank and borrower comments as appropriate, and the borrowers' comments are attached to the document that is sent to the Bank's Board of Executive Directors. After an assessment report has been sent to the Board, it is disclosed to the public.

About the IEG Rating System for Public Sector Evaluations

IEG's use of multiple evaluation methods offers both rigor and a necessary level of flexibility to adapt to lending instrument, project design, or sectoral approach. IEG evaluators all apply the same basic method to arrive at their project ratings. Following is the definition and rating scale used for each evaluation criterion (additional information is available on the IEG website: <http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org>).

Outcome: The extent to which the operation's major relevant objectives were achieved, or are expected to be achieved, efficiently. The rating has three dimensions: relevance, efficacy, and efficiency. *Relevance* includes relevance of objectives and relevance of design. Relevance of objectives is the extent to which the project's objectives are consistent with the country's current development priorities and with current Bank country and sectoral assistance strategies and corporate goals (expressed in Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers, Country Assistance Strategies, Sector Strategy Papers, Operational Policies). Relevance of design is the extent to which the project's design is consistent with the stated objectives. *Efficacy* is the extent to which the project's objectives were achieved, or are expected to be achieved, taking into account their relative importance. *Efficiency* is the extent to which the project achieved, or is expected to achieve, a return higher than the opportunity cost of capital and benefits at least cost compared to alternatives. The efficiency dimension generally is not applied to adjustment operations. *Possible ratings for Outcome:* Highly Satisfactory, Satisfactory, Moderately Satisfactory, Moderately Unsatisfactory, Unsatisfactory, Highly Unsatisfactory.

Risk to Development Outcome: The risk, at the time of evaluation, that development outcomes (or expected outcomes) will not be maintained (or realized). *Possible ratings for Risk to Development Outcome:* High, Significant, Moderate, Negligible to Low, Not Evaluable.

Bank Performance: The extent to which services provided by the Bank ensured quality at entry of the operation and supported effective implementation through appropriate supervision (including ensuring adequate transition arrangements for regular operation of supported activities after loan/credit closing, toward the achievement of development outcomes. The rating has two dimensions: quality at entry and quality of supervision. *Possible ratings for Bank Performance:* Highly Satisfactory, Satisfactory, Moderately Satisfactory, Moderately Unsatisfactory, Unsatisfactory, Highly Unsatisfactory.

Borrower Performance: The extent to which the borrower (including the government and implementing agency or agencies) ensured quality of preparation and implementation, and complied with covenants and agreements, toward the achievement of development outcomes. The rating has two dimensions: government performance and implementing agency(ies) performance. *Possible ratings for Borrower Performance:* Highly Satisfactory, Satisfactory, Moderately Satisfactory, Moderately Unsatisfactory, Unsatisfactory, Highly Unsatisfactory.

Preface

This is a Project Performance Assessment Report of the State Education Sector Project in the Federal Republic of Nigeria, financed through IBRD Loan No. 42950, in the amount of US\$65 million equivalent (SDR43.3 million). The project was approved on April 26, 2007, became effective on April 25, 2008, because of the time it took with Nigeria's Federal processes approving and ratifying a World Bank project. It closed on July 1, 2011 without any extension.

This report was prepared by Susan Caceres, Senior Education Specialist, IEG. Data analyses of the Annual School Census Reports was provided by Ms. Segen Teklu Moges, under the supervision of Susan Caceres. The findings are largely based on a two-week mission to Nigeria from May 28, 2013 to June 7, 2013, conducted by Susan Caceres, Senior Education Specialist. During the same time period, Ms. Elena Bardasi, Senior Economist, also conducted a mission to examine the Community Based Poverty Reduction Project (P069086). The mission met with education authorities in the implementing agency, the State Ministry of Education and State Universal Basic Education Board in Kwara and interviewed representatives from the States of Kano and Kaduna. Representatives from the Federal Ministry of Education were also interviewed. The mission only visited schools in Kwara, as security conditions did not permit visits to Kaduna and Kano. During the mission in Kwara, a representative of the Federal Ministry of Finance accompanied the visits to schools and the interviews. The mission also met with key staff and managers of the Bank in Washington and Abuja, as well as key staff and managers of DfID. The list of persons met is in Annex C. Preparation for the mission and report involved examination of: (a) World Bank project files, (b) Project related reporting documents and evaluations, and (c) Education studies with data from the government and other development partners, as well as the relevant research literature.

The IEG team gratefully acknowledges the logistical assistance and support of the staff in the Abuja Office of the World Bank and the support from the Kwara State Ministry of Education personnel, particularly Mr. Rasaq Alabare.

Following standard IEG procedures, a copy of the draft report was sent to the relevant government officials and agencies for their review and feedback. Their comments are presented in Annex D.

Summary

Context

In Nigeria national school enrollment data mask differences across the six geo-political zones. The North East and North West have respective primary gross enrollment rates of 67 percent and 64 percent, while rates in the North Central, South East, South West, and South South are 100 percent. There are also inequalities in enrollment in terms of gender. In the Northern Regions enrollment rates are the lowest in the country for girls. At the start of the project the gap in primary enrollment between boys and girls was 17 percent in Kano, while the difference between boys and girls enrollment in Kaduna was 11 percent and 3 percent in Kwara (World Bank 2007).

State Education Support Project

The objective of the US\$65.40 million equivalent State Education Support Project (2008-2011), as stated in the Credit Agreement (p. 5) was "to improve the quality of basic education in targeted Local Government Areas (LGAs) in the participating States, focusing particularly on girls' participation", as measured by improved learning conditions¹, increased transition and completion rates for boys and girls in targeted LGAs. The same objective was noted in the appraisal documents.

The activities to attain the objective of improving the quality of basic education included: provision of school grants, textbooks, rehabilitation of targeted schools, teacher training, state and local government capacity building, reform of the inspection services, and an Early Childhood Development pilot. Design focused on improving inputs into learning, but neglected others that more needed to improve education quality and learning outcomes such as teachers and their unequal distribution among schools, particularly rural ones. Textbooks were printed in English, despite a national policy specifying a gradual transition to English as the medium of instruction during the primary grades (fourth to sixth). There were few targeted activities to increase the participation of girls. The conditional cash transfer pilot implemented in Kano after the midterm review, only supported the first grant payment to girls. The pilot continued with the support of ESSPIN and the Kano State Ministry of Education, but its future was uncertain².

The relevance of objectives is high given the commitment, consistent with the Federal Ministry of Education's Ten Year Strategic Plan, to promote delivery of quality education and establishment of quality assurance procedures. The project's objective was also highly relevant to each State Education Sector Plan, which aimed to accelerate progress toward the key education Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), creating the basis for sustainable development by emphasizing improvements to quality in areas with low schooling participation levels, especially among girls. The Bank's current Country Partnership Strategy (2010-2013, current at IEG Mission) stresses a state (rather than

¹ This key performance indicator was subsequently changed to the measurement of student learning.

² The Bank is supporting a conditional cash transfer program for poor households. This program is not targeted at girls.

federal-level) approach to improve access, quality, efficiency, and equity in education, with a particular emphasis on girls' education.

During the project, 3 million textbooks were distributed to primary and junior secondary schools within the three States, which achieved a 1:1 student to textbook ratio in the core subject areas in Kwara and Kaduna, but their effective use has not been assessed. School renovations improved the physical conditions for learning and helped reduce overcrowding in classrooms. Professional development was provided to 6,456 teachers in the States of Kaduna, Kwara, and Kano, but there were problems related to the relevance and impact of training, and many teachers were subsequently transferred to other schools, which head teachers reported was a barrier to sustaining pedagogical changes. The percentage of girls enrolled in primary schools in Kano increased slightly more in project LGAs (47 percent in 2009 to 50 percent in 2011) than in non-project LGAs (47 percent to 48 percent in 2011). The rate remained steady in primary schools in Kwara and Kaduna in both project and non-project LGAs.

The project's outcome is rated Moderately Unsatisfactory, and is based upon **high relevance** of the objectives, **modest** relevance of design, **modest** achievement in improving quality, and **modest** achievement of increasing girls' participation. Efficiency was rated **substantial** because of the cost savings the project demonstrated from its use of competitive bidding for civil works and textbooks. The risk to development outcome is **significant**, given weak institutional management capacity, teacher posting policies, and changing government priorities. The performance of the Bank is rated **moderately unsatisfactory** at entry, during supervision, and overall. The Borrower's performance is rated **moderately satisfactory**. The Government and States remained committed to improving education and increasing girls' participation, but there were some shortcomings in performance. Both Government and implementing agency performance were **moderately satisfactory**.

Lessons

Based on the experience of this project, several lessons can be drawn:

- **Learning and sustainability of pilot activities are limited when there is an absence of clear design, an explicit mechanism for evaluating, and a sufficient implementation time frame.** Initiating the girls CCT during the last year of the project provided insufficient time to implement the pilot. As well, it is difficult to determine the benefits and effectiveness of the pilot ECD activities, given the absence of the monitoring and evaluation system and implementation plan. Without strong design and evaluation, there is no way to identify whether the pilot activity is worthy of scaling-up or sustaining. Pilots generate further interest in sustaining or scaling-up when robust data are collected.
- **Setting up a monitoring and evaluation system takes more time than Governments and TTLs often recognize and provide.** An overly complex system was designed and replaced with a simplified one. In environments with low capacity, understanding the critical data needs of the Government is essential, as well as the amount of technical assistance that will be required. During this

project, it took considerable time for the States to collect and analyze the Annual School Census.

- **A prior unsuccessful project can result in learning, but it depends upon an accurate understanding of performance issues.** This project avoided some of the shortcomings in the predecessor Bank project, Universal Basic Education Project. DfID's TA was aligned with the objective of this project. However, each partner worked separately to complete respective responsibilities within the project. Better coordination between the work of the Bank and DfID could have enhanced the EMIS, state and local capacity building efforts and teacher professional development.
- **Even with a State-focused project, the involvement of the Federal Ministry of Education is critical given its central role in policy.** The difficulties related to the Federal implementation in the Universal Basic Education project were not fully understood. During preparation, instead of designing approaches to overcome the challenges experienced by the Federal Ministry of Education with the Universal Basic Education Project, the Federal role was minimal. This reduced the buy-in by the Federal Ministry of Education and likelihood of replicating project activities in other states.
- **Improving inputs into learning (facilities, textbooks, etc.) needs to be accompanied by defining and addressing quality requirements in terms of minimum standards for student learning.** While this project improved the inputs and physical conditions for learning, these may not translate into improved student learning, as the key issue of teachers' capacities was insufficiently addressed by the project. When teachers' numeracy, literacy, and language skills are low, children's learning will be compromised. This is particularly true in rural areas as more skill deficiencies were found among rural teachers. There also continue to be issues in how teachers are allocated amongst schools.

Caroline Heider
Acting for

Caroline Heider
Director-General
Evaluation

1. Background and Context

1.1 This Project Performance Assessment Report (PPAR) reviews the experience and achievements of World Bank support for basic education in the Nigeria State Education Sector Project (SESP). It was approved on April 26, 2007, and became effective on April 25, 2008, because of the time it took with the Federal processes for approving and ratifying a World Bank project. The project was financed by an International Development Association (IDA) credit of US\$65.40 million equivalent. The British Department for International Development provided US\$1.67 million in parallel financing for the components related to Education Management Information System (EMIS), Reform of the Inspectorate, and Capacity Building for Planning and Management.

1.2 This project was selected for a field-based assessment since it focused on improving the quality of education, increasing the participation of girls, and also implemented pilot activities to support early childhood development. The information gained from this field-based study will be utilized as an input into IEG's upcoming evaluation of Bank support for early childhood development and nutrition programs.

Socio-Economic Context of Nigeria

1.3 In the period 2001-2009 Nigeria experienced rapid economic growth. Growth has averaged 9.2 percent annually, then slowed in the later years to 6.4 percent (World Bank 2011). Despite this robust growth, there has not been significant improvement in social indicators. In the midst of dynamic economic growth, the poverty headcount ratio increased from 63.07 percent in 2004 to 67.98 percent in 2010. In 2011 Nigeria's Human Development Index, HDI, was 0.459, ranking 156 out of a total of 187 countries, sharing that position with Senegal, and just one position above Haiti (0.454), both countries with a much lower per capita gross domestic product. Nigeria's 2011 HDI revealed that life expectancy at birth, mean years of schooling, and expected years of schooling are lower than those of other Sub Saharan African countries. The levels of stunting and wasting in Nigeria are 41% and 26.7% respectively, which are higher than those of other Sub Saharan African countries such as Gambia, Uganda, Togo, and Cote d'Ivoire.

1.4 Across the six geo-political zones, there are sharp socioeconomic disparities. The southern region has lower levels of poverty than the more populated Northern region (See Table 1). The north western and north eastern zones have the highest children malnutrition rates of 35 and 34 percent respectively, while the south east and south south regions have the lowest rates of 10 percent and 12 percent respectively (World Bank 2011). The three States covered by this project are Kwara, Kano, and Kaduna. Kwara is part of the north central Zone, while Kano and Kaduna are in the north west.

Table 1. Poverty Distribution across Geo-political Zones, 1999

Zone	Non-poor	Moderately Poor	Core Poor
North East	29.9	35.7	34.4
North West	22.8	39.9	37.3
Central	35.4	36.7	28.0
South East	46.5	35.3	18.2
South West	39.1	33.4	27.5
South South	41.8	34.8	23.4

Source: Federal Office of Statistics 1999 in World Bank 2003.

Education in Nigeria

1.5 The education system includes primary (six years), junior secondary (three years), senior secondary (three years), and tertiary education (various years with at least four years for university). Basic education is composed of early childhood care and development, primary, and junior secondary education. State Universal Basic Education Boards (SUBEB) and the State Ministry of Education work with Local Government Authorities (LGAs) to manage and provide basic education. States also have responsibility for secondary education. Tertiary education is managed and financed by both the Federal and State governments. However, more than three-quarters of students attend federal institutions. Federal policies have not been uniformly implemented across the States. At all levels, the Federal government is responsible for policy setting and monitoring of education standards.

1.6 In 2004 the Federal Government introduced the Universal Basic Education law, which has been ratified by the States. The intent of this legislation was to provide nine years of free compulsory basic education (six years for primary and three years at junior secondary)³. The law established the Universal Basic Education Commission which oversees the allocation of the guaranteed funding of not less than two percent of the Consolidated Revenue Fund to the States.

1.7 Most of the funding for basic education is from the local governments' allocation from the Federation Account. The Federal government is not responsible for basic education, but provides financial support through the Education Trust Fund, Virtual Poverty Fund, and Universal Basic Education Commission. Because there are differences between States and local governments in their efforts to fund education, the total share of education expenditure varies across States (World Bank 2003). National enrollment figures show an increase and then decline in primary education, while secondary is steadily growing (See Table 2). Some respondents interviewed for this report speculated that the decline may be related to unreliability in data, as well as the discontinuation of the school feeding program. The gross enrollment rate for pre-primary has remained around 14 percent; however, private providers

³ There are efforts to add one year of pre-primary.

accounted for more than 80 percent of preschool enrollment (World Bank 2008). Official data show that gross primary enrollment reached 100 percent in 2005, but declined to 83 percent in 2010. Secondary enrollment has steadily increased. Data are viewed by many as unreliable.

Table 2. Gross Enrollment by Level by Year (%)

Level	2000	2005	2010
Pre-primary	na	14	14
Primary	98	102	83
Secondary	24	34	44
Tertiary	na	10	na

Source: WDI.

1.8 National enrollment data mask differences across geo-political zones. As Table 3 shows, primary enrollment was over 100 percent in the North Central, South East, South West, and South South. In comparison, the North East and North West had respective primary enrollment rates of 67 percent and 64 percent. In 2006 the state of Kano had an even lower rate for primary education (48 percent), while Kwara (80 percent) and Kaduna (66 percent) were higher. The Junior Secondary School enrollment rate was 42 percent in Kaduna, 48 percent in Kwara, and 27 percent in Kano. Thus, at the beginning of the project, Kwara had the highest enrollment rates in primary and junior secondary, while Kano had the lowest.

Table 3. Gross Primary and Secondary Enrollment by Geo-political Zone, 2006 (%)

Zone	Primary	Secondary
North East	67	45
North West	64	41
North Central	114	81
South East	124	95
South West	116	96
South South	114	99

Source: World Bank 2007 data from household survey (CWIQ).

1.10 There are inequalities in enrollment in terms of gender. Across the country, the gap in enrollment between boys and girls is largest at the postsecondary (10.7), with primary (7.6) and junior secondary levels (6.7) having slightly smaller gap (See Table 4). At the senior secondary level, the difference is the smallest (4.3), since many boys enter the labor market and drop out. In the Northern Regions enrollment rates are the lowest in the country for girls. In Kano the gap in primary enrollment between boys and girls was 17 percent, while the difference between boys and girls enrollment in Kaduna was 11 percent and 3 percent in Kwara (World Bank 2007). At the junior secondary level, Kano also had the largest enrollment gap between boys and girls (23 percent), while the difference between boys and girls in junior secondary enrollment was 13 percentage points in Kaduna and

Kwara. Thus, increasing girls' enrollment in the Northern geo-political zones was key for Nigeria to meet the Millennium Development Goals.

Table 4. Gross Enrollment by Level and Gender, 2006

Level	Female	Male	Difference
Primary	88.5	96.1	7.6
Junior Secondary	67.9	74.6	6.7
Senior Secondary	65.2	69.5	4.3
Postsecondary	22.1	32.8	10.7

Source: World Bank 2008.

1.11 Private schools comprise a low percentage of total enrollment at the primary (13 percent for male and 11 percent for female) and secondary levels (10 percent of male and 13 percent of female) (World Bank 2008). However, private schools are increasing in the south where there is demand and ability to pay for education. There are a large number of non-formal religious schools in the north, but data is not available, since these schools fall outside the monitoring of the state government.

1.12 Data and monitoring capacity are challenges in the education sector. While educational data are available, it is not viewed as reliable. There is also the need to strengthen the data from schools. Monitoring and evaluation capacity is low, according to respondents. Inadequate monitoring from the inspectorate services in the State Ministry of Education is another limitation.

1.13 There are inadequate conditions for learning. There are shortage of core textbooks and teaching and learning materials. Before the project, approximately three students had to share core textbooks in Kwara, Kaduna and Kano (World Bank 2007). The physical conditions of learning is poor, since there is overcrowding in primary schools in Kaduna, Kano, and Kwara and insufficient number of classrooms to meet the demand to achieve universal enrollment. Buildings are based on outdated construction standards. Schools are poorly maintained. Across States there are large differences in the deployment of teachers with the teacher-student ratio ranging from 1:36 in Kwara, 1:88 in Kaduna and 1:100 in Kano (Abe, 2011).

1.14 Improvements are needed in the teaching-learning process. Teachers utilize few methods to develop literacy skills. Many teachers also do not have a good command of the English language and so they are unable to explain lessons and effectively utilize English textbooks. Teachers' rely on chalk and talk techniques and do not understand how to engage students actively in learning. Students do not spend enough time on productive learning activities (Adekola 2007). Teachers do not have tools and techniques to monitor students' progress. Primary school teachers do not regularly plan their lessons or prepare instructional materials for use in their lesson (Adekola 2007).

1.15 Learning assessment data in primary grades have shown low student achievement. In 2003 the Universal Basic Education Commission carried out a criterion referenced test in the subject areas of English, mathematics, science, and social studies, for a sample of students in

grades four, five, and six. Students in fourth grade answered 25-50 percent of the questions correctly. Fifth grade scores ranged from 25-39 percent across the four subjects, while scores of sixth graders were 21-40 percent (World Bank 2008). In cross-national studies Nigerian children also did worse in comparison to other African countries (Adekola 2007). Reasons for this relate to students' limited fluency in English and basic knowledge of the concepts (Adekola 2007).

2. Objectives, Design, and their Relevance

2.1 According to the Financing Agreement (World Bank 2007 p. 5) and the Project Appraisal Document (World Bank 2007 p. 9), the objective of the project was "to improve the quality of basic education in targeted Local Government Areas (LGAs) in the participating States, focusing particularly on girls' participation." The project operated in the States of Kano, Kwara, and Kaduna. While the objectives remained the same during the course of the project, one of the key performance indicators was changed during a project restructuring.

2.2 The project selected the three States based on their demonstrated commitment to the education sector, quality of Sector Plan, and poor educational indicators; all three were northern States, but not those with the highest level of poverty, or the lowest education indicators. Within the States, Local Government Areas were targeted (e.g. six in Kaduna, nine in Kano, and six in Kwara) using criteria such as poverty, readiness and willingness of key officials to implement reforms, enrollment rate in basic education, per capita public spending on primary and secondary education, and absence of other externally funded projects. Gender disparity was another criterion used to select the 21 Local Government Areas from which 1,523 schools were selected.

Relevance of Objective

2.3 Relevance of Objective is rated **High**. Nigeria was significantly behind its goal to achieve universal basic education, with low primary and junior secondary enrollment rates in the Northern areas, especially among girls. In Kano the gross junior secondary enrollment rate was 56 percent for boys and 31 percent for girls (World Bank 2007). In Kaduna the gross junior secondary enrollment rate was 74 percent for boys and 61 percent for girls (World Bank 2007). In Kwara the gross junior secondary enrollment rate was 82 percent for boys and 69 percent for girls (World Bank 2007). Moreover, improving the quality of education was a priority within each state. Thus, the focus on girls' participation and improving quality was highly relevant for each of the States.

2.4 The Country Partnership Strategy (2010-2013) at project closure stressed a state (rather than federal-level) approach to improve access, quality, efficiency, and equality in education, with a particular emphasis on girls' education. The Country Partnership Strategy also promotes the use of school-based management committees as a means to improve governance in the education sector to ensure proper use of resources, and hold teachers and schools accountable for good quality education. This is consistent with the project's use of school grants and school management committees to improve quality and girls' participation.

2.5 The project's objective is also highly relevant to each State's Education Sector Plan, which aim to accelerate progress toward the key education Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), creating the basis for sustainable development by emphasizing improvements to quality in areas with low schooling participation levels, especially among girls. It also aligns with the Federal Ministry of Education Ten Year Strategic Plan to promote delivery of quality education, as well as the establishment of quality assurance procedures. The strategy affirms that "every Nigerian shall have a right to equal education opportunity irrespective of gender, social status, age, religion, ethnic background, geographical locations and any peculiar challenges".

Design

2.6 **Components.** The project had four components. Table 5 summarizes the main activities of each component. The first component provided school grants. The second component focused on teacher training, textbooks, and school rehabilitation, expansion, and upgrading. The third component strengthened aspects of the State Ministry of Education such as school inspection services, data collection and monitoring, and developed an Education Management Information System. The fourth component supported project implementation, monitoring, and an information strategy to communicate the benefits of girls' education. The subsequent section provides an overview of the design.

Table 5. Activities within each Component and Planned Costs

Component 1: School Development Scheme (US\$19.48 million equivalent)	Component 2: Quality Improvement in Basic Education in Targeted LGAs (US\$32.42 million equivalent)	Component 3: Institutional Development for Key Functions at State Ministries of Education and Local Government Education Authorities (US\$4.98 million equivalent)	Component 4: Project Management and Monitoring and Evaluation (US\$2.50 million equivalent)
1a. Schools in targeted LGAs receive grants to improve the quality of teaching and learning and manage resources.	2a. Professional development activities to benefit 18,900 teachers to implement the basic education curriculum.	3a. Strengthening the EMIS at the state level to plan, manage, and monitor the education sector more effectively.	4a. Support for project implementation and information and communication strategy highlighting the benefits of education for girls.
	2b. Textbook, instructional materials, and equipment to attain agreed upon ratios in core subjects.	3b. Strengthening management planning, budgeting, financial management, and establishing a mechanism to publicize educational data.	4b. Establishment of a robust M&E system at the state level.
	2c. Expansion, rehabilitation, and upgrading of basic school facilities in targeted LGAs.	3c. Reform of inspection services and training for inspectors and supervisors.	

Source: World Bank 2007.

2.7 The project focused on the basic inputs as the means to improve quality. It provided school grants, in-service training to teachers, learning materials/textbooks to classrooms, and infrastructure enhancements. School committees were provided training to develop school plans and manage the school grants, which were intended to be used for investments to improve education quality (as well as improve girls' participation). The involvement of the community was to create transparency in the use of the funds. The teacher training related to learner-centered activities. Professional development included: training for teachers, follow-up mentoring visits, peer assisted learning, as well as leadership support for head teachers, school principals, and school supervisors.

2.8 Another strategy the project employed to improve education quality was enhancing the functioning of each State's school inspection services and building State and LGA capacity. A Basic Education Inspectorate was to be developed to improve accountability for the delivery of education services. The process was to ensure the appropriate linkages between the federal and state level reforms and the rationalization of units currently

providing inspectorate services. New inspection instruments were to focus on education quality and learning, rather than the physical infrastructure in the school.

2.9 The project focused on building state-level capacity to collect better data and ensure that budgetary allocations were properly directed towards activities and recurrent costs. State Sector Plans and Operational Plans were to be developed and used to improve budgeting and financial management and teacher recruitment/ deployment. Given the unreliability of data, the project focused on collecting and disseminating data, as well as developing an Education Information Management System for State Ministry of Education and State Universal Basic Education Board. These activities were intended to improve strategic planning and budgeting.

2.10 By improving quality, it was also thought that more parents would send their children (and daughters) to school. Thus, the design of the project utilized a whole school approach to improve quality and girls' participation and integrated a focus on girls within the main activities. Respondents told the IEG Mission that improving quality was an appropriate strategy for Nigerian schools, since access to learning was what was needed.

2.11 To address attitudes towards girls' enrollment the project employed sensitization and training for selected school committee members. It was thought that with the training, they would then identify areas within their school plans and resources from the school grant scheme to encourage girls' participation. However, changing cultural attitudes towards girls' enrollment would likely need additional interventions to foster behavioral changes among men, the decision-makers within the families, as well as additional advocacy efforts beyond the project's information and awareness strategy may have also been needed.

2.12 Schools were encouraged to spend at least 35 percent of the grant annually on activities to benefit girls (World Bank 2007). Interventions that schools could finance with their grants and outlined in the manual were: school uniforms, after-school activities, and vocational training. Given the lack of decision-making of women and their constrained status, it seems optimistic to expect school-management committees would prioritize resources for girls' participation, considering the dilapidated school conditions that were noted in the Aide Memoires during project preparation.

2.13 After the midterm review, two activities were added to the project from the unallocated funds and savings from exchange rate fluctuation, which combined amounted to US\$ 20 million. A pilot project was implemented in the state of Kano to improve the participation of girls through a Conditional Cash Transfer program. Since this activity was added and needed to be timed with the start of the school year it began in September 2010, which permitted funding only one round of grants⁴ to support the transition of girls from primary to junior secondary school during the project. A local information dissemination campaign of the Conditional Cash Transfer (CCT) program was included so that the community would know about the program and enroll their daughters in it. Second, early

⁴ The second round of funding did not get to the Implementing Agency's account before the closing date of the project.

childhood education⁵ activities were implemented in each state, which supported both project objectives of improving girls' participation and education quality. While project documents report an Early Childhood pilot, the implementation was not designed to test the effectiveness of an approach or strategy that could later scaled-up, if warranted. However, each State developed a list of activities and corresponding budgets. Activities included: (1) providing additional grant money in schools that offered early childhood services, (2) examining pre-service training institutes within the States to identify capacities and constraints, (3) developing state plans for early childhood education, and (4) study tours.

Implementation Arrangements

2.14 The project was implemented by the State Ministry of Education in the States of Kaduna, Kano, and Kwara in close coordination with the state parastatal organization (SUBEB) and federal parastatal organization, Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC), as well as the 21 participating Local Government Agencies. The State Ministry of Education oversaw all activities under the project, with support from a project implementation unit that assisted with the coordination and fiduciary management. The unit was filled with staff on secondment from the State Ministry of Education. The project implementation unit collaborated with each State technical department to monitor project implementation and ensured smooth disbursement of funds. A Consultative Steering Committee was planned to share knowledge about the project at the State and Federal level.

Monitoring and Evaluation Design

2.15 The Project Appraisal Document provided a detailed plan for monitoring and evaluation. It specified anticipated uses for the project's data including empowering communities to better manage their schools, informing policy, planning and management of basic education, ensuring effective quality and supervision and public accountability. There was a clear timeline for data collection and analysis, and explicit assignment of responsibility for various types and levels of data collection. At the time of preparation, baseline enrollment data was not available by LGA, and the State figures were reported to be unreliable.

2.16 The Results Framework specified a mix of outcome and output indicators to measure attainment of the objectives (See Table 6). Key performance indicators were (i) improved learning conditions from 2007 baseline, (ii) increased primary and junior secondary completion rates (disaggregated by gender) and (iii) increased transition rate from primary to junior secondary (disaggregated by gender). During restructuring, improved learning conditions was replaced with a new key performance indicator, the measurement of student learning. Output indicators included: number of school implementing school development plans, number of teachers trained, pupil-to-textbook ratio, teacher-to-textbook ratio, pupil-to-classroom ratio, number of schools with upgraded facilities, annual education statistics report and sector analysis, school report card, quality assurance inspection in schools, and

⁵ Early childhood education interventions "provide opportunities for children to interact with responsive adults and actively learn with peers to prepare for primary school entry; generally refers to interventions for children age 36-83 months of age" (World Bank forthcoming).

establishment and implementation of a results-based monitoring and evaluation system (See Relevance of Design for analysis of weakness in the Results Framework).

Table 6. Project Results Framework

	Quality	Girls' Participation
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve learning conditions in project schools based on 2007 baseline benchmarks. • Later changed to the measurement of reading and mathematics learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased primary completion rates for boys and girls in targeted LGAs. • Increased junior secondary completion rates for boys and girls in targeted LGAs. • Increased transition rates from primary to junior secondary for girls and boys in targeted LGAs.
Intermediate Outcomes		
Outputs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of target schools implementing approved School Development Plans. • Number of head teachers trained that demonstrate enhanced school management and leadership skills. • Number of teachers trained. • Pupils have access to and use of key core subject textbooks on a pupil to book ratio of 3:1 in primary and 4:1 in JSS in target schools. • Teacher to book ratio of 1:1 for teacher guides and workbooks in target schools. • Pupil to classroom ratio reduced in primary and JSS targeted schools. • Increase number of schools with newly upgraded learning facilities. • Annual Education Statistics Report produced by EMIS and disseminated to stakeholders for planning and monitoring purposes. • School report cards produced and disseminated annually for school planning and monitoring. • Education sector analysis produced by 2008 and leading to improved strategic planning and budgeting. • All primary and junior secondary schools have quality assurance inspection resulting in Annual Basic Education Report. • Results-based Monitoring and Evaluation system established and implemented continuously. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Education Statistics Report produced by EMIS and disseminated to stakeholders for planning and monitoring purposes. • School report cards produced and disseminated annually for school planning and monitoring.

Source: Author adapted from World Bank 2007.

2.17 An impact evaluation was designed for the pilot conditional cash transfer program to provide evidence of the results, and monitor fidelity of the implementation. The study was designed to provide information related to effectiveness of various operational mechanisms, cost-effectiveness, and measure whether the poorest were reached. Since two groups of students (e.g. students with junior secondary schools in surrounding area and those without) would be evaluated, (the evaluation was designed to test whether the CCT could counteract school supply or distance constraints to secondary education. The study was financed by DfID's Education Sector Support Programme in Nigeria (ESSPIN) and Bank provided technical assistance (See Achievement of Objectives, para 4.35, for preliminary evaluation findings).

2.18 Project documents indicate plans for an impact evaluation of the Early Childhood Development (ECD) pilot, but project records provide no evidence of its design. No impact evaluation was conducted of the ECD pilot activities; however there was low feasibility of completing an impact evaluation with what was implemented. Indicators could have been developed, but none were.

Relevance of Design

2.19 Relevance of design is modest. The project's objectives, components, sub-components, and outcome measures were linked logically, but there were weaknesses.

2.20 The project design (See Components) provided a cohesive and consistent framework of common technical guidelines, activities, and monitoring, but there were appropriate allowances for variations across States in implementation of the activities. The variations were based on the needs identified in each State's education Sector Plan. For example, there were differences across the States in length of training time, use of different service providers, and provision of follow-up support. This was consistent with the Federalized responsibility for education, as well as consistent with the Education Sector Support Plan developed by each State.

2.21 There were a number of design shortcomings, especially in relation to the underlying results chain. There were no targeted activities to increase girls' participation, with the exception of a planned information education campaign to highlight the benefits of girls' education. While the project appraisal document noted that culture and poverty were the main reason why girls did not participate in school, there were no demand-side interventions. As the project was ending, a pilot conditional cash transfer was implemented in one state to address poverty constraints to girls' enrollment. The conditional cash transfer program was targeted to girls (fifth, sixth, and JSS1) from the poorest families in an effort to encourage continued participation into junior secondary school and counter early marriage, which was common reason for girls dropping out of school. The highest rate of girls' primary school drop-out occurs in sixth grade, according to the Annual School Census.

2.22 Design focused on improving inputs into learning (i.e. grants, textbooks, renovations etc.), and neglected others that would be needed to improve education quality and learning outcomes such as teachers and their unequal distribution among schools, particularly rural ones. Inservice training would not be sufficient to address teachers' literacy, numeracy, and

English language skills, as many of them did not have sixth grade levels when tested. The project did not produce materials for students or teachers in languages other than English. There was no implementation plan for the early childhood pilot and it as well as the girls conditional cash transfer pilot were implemented too late in the project's life (World Bank 2012). Grants were to be allocated to improving quality and girls' participation, which did not match local priorities.

2.23 Important project activities were to be supported by DfID's Capacity for Universal Basic Education (CUBE) and specified in the project appraisal document, yet CUBE was scheduled to end in July 2008, around the time the project was just effective. Specific roles included: capacity building for LGEAs, and States Ministries of Education, design and development of the teacher professional support program and materials, provision of professional architectural and engineering expertise, and support to States related to EMIS, reform of inspectorate and capacity development for management and planning. While DfID was expected to continue working with the Bank in Nigeria beyond 2008 (and has continued working in Nigeria), the scope of DfID's follow-up project would not have been known at the time of preparation. Technical assistance was important, given the lack of State capacity. The complexity of the task and the time it would take was underestimated. Integrating another development partner's project which was slated to end during the early stage of implementation meant that the States had to utilize IDA resources to cover aspect's not originally planned, as an agreement for a more limited scope of work was reached between ESSPIN and the Bank.

2.24 The design provided a very limited role for the Federal Ministry of Education in the implementation of the project. A Consultative Forum was established (no funding was allocated, but the appraisal document stated that DfID's CUBE would subsidize expenses for workshops). Given the Federal Ministry's responsibility to coordinate policy across the States, a role in the project may have resulted in improved synergies between the States and Federal involvement in the project. Respondents indicated that this was a poor decision and subsequent Bank projects have defined a role for the Federal Ministry of Education.

2.25 Several of the key performance indicators were not defined and thus making them open to interpretation and difficult to measure. For example, the intent of "improved learning conditions from baseline survey" and "measurement of student learning" were not clear. Was the original indicator aimed at changes in physical infrastructure in a classroom or school, changes in how teachers taught, or changes in student learning? Was the goal of the revised indicator to establish a system of student performance, measure the learning at one point in time from a sample of students, or improve the learning of students? The lack of precision in the wording of both of versions of indicators creates challenges in determining the attainment of the objective (improved quality of basic education). These indicators do not define the minimum conditions for learning.

2.26 There were also shortcomings with the key performance indicators to measure girls' participation. Some of these issues related to data limitations, but also due to the choice of

indicators. Considering the unreliability in the Nigerian Population Census 2006 ⁶, enrollment rates (e.g. overall and by gender) were logically not selected as a key performance indicator. Completion and transition rates were to provide measures of girls staying longer in school. However, the Annual School Census did not report all the information needed to calculate these rates by LGA (e.g. repeaters by LGA and the total number of public enrolled children of official graduation age). Thus, the primary completion rate can reliably only be calculated on a state basis, making the comparison between project LGAs and non-project not feasible. Other proxies to measure the increasing duration of girls in school were not selected. The other key performance indicator, transition rate between primary and secondary, was sensitive to space constraints in junior secondary schools, which was beyond the scope of the project's interventions.

2.27 Several of the intermediate outcome indicators were also poorly defined making them difficult to measure (i.e. Results based monitoring and evaluation system established and implemented continuously, primary and junior secondary schools have quality assurance inspection resulting in Annual Basic Education Report, education sector analysis produced by 2008 leading to improved strategic planning and budgeting, number of head teachers and principals trained that demonstrate enhanced school management and leadership skills).

3. Implementation

3.1 The project was approved on April 26, 2007, became effective on April 25, 2008, and closed on July 1, 2011. Despite the delay in effectiveness due to the revisions in the Federal Government's process for approving World Bank projects, there were no extensions. During preparation and prior to project effectiveness, training in monitoring and evaluation, procurement and financial management, and sensitization workshops were financed by DfID for officials in the 21 participating Local Government Agencies. During this time, Terms of References, Request for Proposals, and bidding documents were also prepared.

Table 7. Appraisal and Actual Cost of Project by Component

Component	Appraisal Estimate	Actual Cost	Percentage of Appraisal
School Development Scheme	19.48	19.09	98
Quality Improvement	32.42	38.41	118
Institutional Development for State and LGAs	4.98	3.65	73
Project management, monitoring and evaluation	2.5	4.25	170
Total Baseline Cost	59.38		
Physical Contingencies	6.82		
Price Contingencies	2.31		
Total Project Cost	68.51	65.40	95

Source: World Bank 2012.

⁶ In Kano and Kaduna, population census of primary age children reported fewer than the number of children enrolled.

3.2 Throughout the implementation, the project was rated **satisfactory** for implementation progress and progress on the development objective in the Implementation Status Reports. Disbursement took place at a pace close to the planned schedule. Due to exchange rate fluctuations, some of the revised credit went undisbursed; however, 95 percent of the original Credit, US\$65.4 million disbursed. As Table 7 shows, the components where spending was higher were Quality Improvement and Project Management (World Bank 2012).

IMPLEMENTATION EXPERIENCE

3.3 The predecessor Bank project, Universal Basic Education Project, closed two years early at the request of the Government with cancellation of 35 percent of the credit. While the state activities performed better than the federal ones, the project had several implementation challenges. The technical assistance provided by DfID was not coordinated with the project objective and there was the need for more supervision of the TA by the Bank (IEG 2008). There were fundamental flaws in the design of the project, and weak readiness for implementation (IEG 2008). After that experience, all parties reported the desire to learn from the mistakes and avoid them again.

3.4 During preparation, technical assistance was provided by DfID to the States Ministries of Education, as well as LGAs and SUBEB. This support directly addressed key aspects of implementation (i.e., procurement, monitoring and evaluation, development of sector plans). CUBE consultants worked with each state team. For example, the criteria to select schools for infrastructure enhancements were developed, lists of schools receiving grants and civil works were identified, as well as Terms of Reference for the teacher professional development providers, among other things. Because of DfID's assistance through CUBE, each state was ready to implement and was able to accelerate the procurement schedule, despite the delay in effectiveness.

3.5 Each State Ministry of Education initiated its own parallel civil works projects to renovate and build additional classrooms. In the State of Kwara SUBEB constructed 534 new primary classrooms and 140 new classrooms in junior secondary schools, as well as renovated 65 primary classrooms and 17 classrooms in junior secondary schools between 2008 and 2011, according to Ministry data. In addition, the Kwara State Ministry of Education renovated 40 classrooms in Grammar Schools and 8 classrooms in junior secondary schools. The number of additional classrooms created outside the project in Kaduna and Kano could not be confirmed.

3.6 There were delays in establishing the State Education Information Management System. The system was to be a tool to monitor progress of the project and outcomes of the State Education Sector Plan. The system was expected to track data on teacher training, school enrollment, and school facilities, but by mid-term review was not functioning in any of the States. A system was developed, but it was never operationalized because of its complexity. There was resistance from the Federal Ministry of Education, which left the States unsure how to proceed with the development of the management information systems and collect school census data. As a result, for much of the project the States were not able to report project outcome data except intermediate indicators, until the States published the

2009/2010 school census. This was the only annual school census that was published during project implementation, resulting in limited data to assess attainment of objectives.

3.7 While a Consultative Steering Committee was planned, as a means to share knowledge about the project at the State and Federal level, this Committee was not established until the final year of the project. Only one meeting was held. As a result, there was limited involvement in the project from the Federal Ministry of Education.

3.8 There were political factors in Kwara which assisted the State in making further implementation advances. Leaders in the state of Kwara made personnel changes in the SUBEB and the LGA and supported the redefinition and restructuring of organization roles and responsibilities. In Kwara a needs assessment of teachers was conducted, and reform of the preservice teacher education colleges was done. This facilitated further changes in Kwara, not experienced in the other States, which may be one explanation why project implementation and results were noted in supervision reports to have been more advanced.

3.9 There were several other donors that were involved in education in Nigeria, including: DfID, USAID, ADB, JICA, and UNICEF. The Bank partnered with DfID which provided parallel financing for capacity development, EMIS, and reform of the inspectorate. DfID and the Bank largely worked separately related to their areas of responsibility, but there was a joint midterm review and joint supervision missions. While JICA and USAID worked within similar States, the Bank did not coordinate its activities with them, instead advised the State teams to engage these donors with their education sector support plans. After mid-term review, when the project implemented activities related to ECD, the Bank partnered with UNICEF and USAID related to this work to complement efforts and avoid duplication.

3.10 The mid-term review occurred in November 2009, at which time about 70 percent of the project funds had been disbursed or committed, according to project records. At the review, plans for the new activities (ECD and CCT) were discussed. Other topics noted at the meeting were the Consultative Steering Committee, Education Management Information System, and implementation progress.

Safeguards

3.11 The project was classified as category B for Environmental Assessment (OP 4.01) due to enhancement/rehabilitation activities in schools in the States of Kaduna, Kwara, and Kano. The government disclosed the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) on January 10, 2007, prior to appraisal. This document contained a screening mechanism to identify adverse impacts from proposed construction activities (World Bank 2008). The implementing agency in each state monitored the Framework. Supervisory firms were hired to ensure contractors complied with environmental protections. Satisfactory ratings for safeguard compliance were noted in Implementation Supervision Reports throughout the project and no issues were noted in project records or reported. By the close of the project each implementing agency submitted to the Bank an Environmental Report detailing its safeguard compliance. At the time of the IEG Mission, there were three unfinished civil works in the State of Kwara. The completion status of all civil works activities was reported by Kwara to the Bank before the close of the project. The IEG

Mission did not visit any of the three sites. The safety impact to students from the unfinished buildings in these three schools cannot be determined.

Fiduciary

3.12 Project ratings for fiduciary compliance were satisfactory. Acceptable financial arrangements were established in Kaduna and Kwara, but it took longer to establish them in Kano. Financial statements from each state were submitted on time and were unqualified, but interim financial reports were delayed. There were no outstanding financial reports.

3.13 Procurement compliance was rated satisfactory, but there were a few shortcomings. There were issues in the quality of tender documents, execution of bid documents and contracts, and maintenance of proper documentation. It took longer to receive No Objections from the Bank because incomplete documents were submitted. The Bank provided training for the officers in the Project Implementation Unit. The Bank addressed procurement issues with the Implementing Agency via dialogue and monthly meetings. Respondents in Kwara noted that once they became familiar with the Bank's procedures, they were able to easily follow its guidelines even though this was the first Bank project that they had implemented.

Implementation of Monitoring and Evaluation

3.14 The Project Implementation Unit was responsible for monitoring project performance indicators. Baseline data were updated in 2010, since the data contained in the appraisal document were unreliable and based on state-wide numbers. The Results Framework was not updated to provide measures in relation to the activities added after the midterm review (ECD and CCT). However, an impact evaluation was implemented to assess the effectiveness of the Conditional Cash Transfer Program. Preliminary findings were shared with IEG, but not the final report (See Achievement of Objectives, para 4.35).

3.15 Given the delay in establishing the State-level EMIS (partly related to delays in Federal EMIS) and the delay for States to produce and analyze the data, project outcome data were not available until the end of project implementation. However, intermediate indicators were tracked throughout the project. ESSPIN supported school censuses in each project state.

3.16 Consultants conducted evaluations of the main project activities. These included: School Development Scheme Assessment, Learning Assessment (pre and post), and Teacher Professional Development Evaluation. These reports provided descriptive and qualitative data to assist in making determinations of the effectiveness of the interventions.

3.17 Ratings in Supervision Reports for M&E were satisfactory and moderately satisfactory over the course of the project, but one was rated moderately unsatisfactory. The low rating was given after delay in updating baseline data and questions on the reliability of what was submitted. A consultant was hired to analyze and review collected data from the school census survey. By the end of the project, there were improvements in M&E capacity at the State level, as well as improvements in the quality of data.

4. Achievement of the Objectives

Improve the Quality of Basic Education

4.1 The objective of improving the quality of basic education was **modestly** achieved.

4.2 There were seven activities that the project supported to improve quality: provision of school grants, textbooks, rehabilitation of targeted schools, teacher training, state and local government capacity building, reform of the inspection services, and top-up grants for pre-primary classrooms. The evidence of the implementation of these activities and their impact is presented below.

Outputs

4.3 **Schools grants.** School grants were provided and utilized by schools, which tended to use them primarily for construction and repair and not for originally intended activities aimed at improving quality and student learning. Over the course of two years (2009-2011), school grants were awarded to 1,974 schools, with 499 of them receiving two years of funding. Grants in one year averaged N597,127 (US\$ 3,852) per school, which represented approximately N41,154 per student (US\$265) (Balarabe, Zakariaya, & Garba 2011). Schools⁷ developed the plans according to the guidelines and each school established a committee (Balarabe, Zakariaya, & Garba 2011). Schools welcomed the opportunity to manage their own resources. Based on reports to the IEG Mission, community participation increased and the resources were a catalyst for leveraging additional funding for complementary investments in some schools, which is consistent with findings of grant programs in other settings (Krishnaratne, White, Carpenter 2013). Minimal cases of grant misuse were reported in project records. School management committees enhanced the accountability of the resources to the community; however, female participation in the committees was much lower than male. Since the closure of the project, ESSPIN has found it necessary to create women's committees as a way to facilitate the participation of women in decision-making.

4.4 After the first round of grants to 499 schools, the Bank requested that States ensure that schools use the money to improve quality and student learning; however committees primarily used the grants for construction and repair. Additional sensitization training for school committees was conducted so that they identified interventions to support learning. However, construction continued to be prevalent, rather than learning materials (See Table 8). The most common type of general renovation was replacement and repair of windows and doors, construction and repair of latrines, and flooring and patching of walls and floors (State of Kwara Ministry of Education Science and Technology 2009). The school grants helped to improve the adequacy and supply of facilities (Abe 2011). They also improve the dilapidated conditions with the rehabilitation and painting of classrooms and construction of toilets, as reported by respondents.

⁷ 849 schools in 9 LGAs in Kano, 500 schools in 6 LGAs in Kaduna, and 627 schools in 6 LGAs in Kwara.

Table 8. Reported Use of Grant Funds (% of surveyed schools)

Activity	Kano	Kwara
Classroom renovation	62	20
Headmaster office, staff room, dormitory	27	5
Furniture for students or teachers	47	49
Toilet or borehole	14	36
Renovation to ceiling, floor, roof, or painting	26	51
Library	3	0.8
Science or computer lab	3	5

Source: Balarabe, Zakariaya, & Garba 2011.

4.5 Committee members reported that there was a conflict between the grant guidelines on how to spend the money and the actual needs of the school (Balarabe, Zakariaya, & Garba 2011). They felt that schools had pressing renovation needs, while the guidelines indicated that no more than 40 percent should be spent on renovation, provision of water and sanitation. Survey respondents reported that the grants contributed to improving quality, since the classrooms became more conducive for teaching and learning (Balarabe, Zakariaya, & Garba 2011). Similarly, they reported that parents were more inclined to send their children to the schools after the repairs. If schools do not have adequate protection, students can lose valuable instructional time due to leaking roofs (White 2004). For this reason, community members indicated to the IEG Mission that the conditions of the school were of primary importance and improved the environment where their children learned.

4.6 **School Renovations.** School renovations improved the physical conditions for learning and helped reduce overcrowding in classrooms. 98 schools were provided with upgraded learning facilities, which improved the physical conditions for learning. These schools were selected during preparation, based upon a needs assessment. This work prioritized the building of classrooms, laboratories, libraries and toilets, aspects to support learning through a whole-school approach. It also selected schools with serious overcrowding issues. By the end of the project, there was construction or upgrading of 640 classrooms, and installation of 720 toilets and 75 boreholes. Given the poor conditions that were reported in the appraisal document and the fundamental necessity of ensuring adequate learning environment, these investments were needed. Deprivation of school resources affects the educational attainment, as well as future returns to education (Case & Yogo 1999). School inputs such as adequate sitting and writing space for children, education materials such as textbooks, and other learning resources contribute to student learning (Glewwe, Hanushek, Humpage & Ravina 2011). In schools visited by the IEG mission, construction appeared to be of adequate quality with no evident deficiencies. However, in Kwara all civil works activities were not completed by the close of the project, as three projects were still unfinished at the time of the IEG mission.

4.7 While classroom overcrowding was reduced within each state, this is largely attributable to SUBEB, and less so to the project. Annual School Census shows a decrease in the classroom to pupil ratios in 2011 (i.e., average 59:1 for Kaduna, 87:1 for Kano, and in

Kwara 34:1) from the start of the project as noted in the appraisal document (i.e., 93:1 for Kaduna, 192:1 for Kano, and 48:1 in Kwara). The scale of new classrooms constructed by this project was much smaller than what SUBEB conducted on its own. For example, in Kwara 135 new classrooms were constructed by this project. While SUBEB in Kwara constructed 534 new primary classrooms and 140 new classrooms in junior secondary schools, according to State Ministry of Education data.

4.8 Quality improvements in the conditions of schools and classrooms were noted by respondents, which are likely attributed to SUBEB and the project. Kwara Annual School Census Data overall demonstrate a trend in project LGAs in terms of decreasing percentages of classrooms needing major repair, having insufficient seating, and containing no source of water (See Annex B). For example, the percentage of classrooms in primary schools in need of major repair declined in 5 out of 6 project LGAs in Kwara and 4 out of 6 project LGAs in Kaduna. The percentage of schools without a source for water declined from 2009-2011 in primary schools in two out of six project LGAs in Kwara and four out of six project LGAs in Kaduna. Unfortunately, data from the Annual School Census Reports in Kano showed no consistent pattern, suggesting possible inaccuracies in its collection. (See Annex B)

4.9 **Provision of Textbooks.** The provision of textbooks under the project enabled the achievement of 1:1 student-to-textbook and teacher-to-textbook ratios in the targeted states, but their effective use has not been assessed. 3 million textbooks were distributed to primary and junior secondary schools within the three States, which achieved a 1:1 ratio in the core subject areas in Kwara and Kaduna. This was a significant improvement from the baseline in Kwara, where the ratio was 3.3:1 in 2006. Since no baseline was established in Kano and Kaduna the extent of improvement is not determinable. Within each of the three States, the primary teacher-to-textbook ratio improved from 5:1 in 2006 to 1:1 in 2011, so that now all teachers have curriculum guides and core subject textbooks. Learning materials help students follow along and support teachers in their lessons. There are also positive impacts in mathematic scores, when learning materials are provided (Krisnaratne, White, Carpenter 2013). However, no data were collected during the project to assess the effective use of the textbooks by teachers and students, despite the fact that this was noted in the appraisal document. During the IEG mission to schools in Kwara, which were selected by the Ministry of Education⁸, textbooks were available in the classrooms. During approximately 5 out of 8 observations in primary and JSS classrooms, students were using the textbooks during their learning activities. It was beyond the scope of this mission to analyze the content of the textbooks.

4.10 While national policy specifies a gradual transition to English as the medium of instruction during the primary grades four through six, it has not been implemented in the States. English has become the default language of instruction at all levels of primary school (World Bank 2007), even though there are benefits to teaching children in a language they understand. During IEG mission, English language instruction was observed in all pre-primary and primary classrooms, while two JSS classrooms utilized some mother tongue. Lack of textbooks in languages other than English is one of the reasons the mother-tongue

⁸ IEG requested to visit schools that had civil works, but the specific schools were selected by the State Ministry in Kwara.

policy has not been implemented. Local language textbooks were not evident during IEG's visits to schools and classroom. Yet, the project printed textbooks in English, which was a decision of the State Ministry textbook committee.

4.11 Another challenge to implementing the mother-tongue policy is the difficulty in finding teachers able to instruct in all of the main Nigerian languages, as a classrooms can be filled with students speaking more than one language. There are three dominant languages: Yoruba, Hausa, and Igbo.

4.12 On the other hand, a consequence of having teachers instruct in English, is the difficulty recruiting teachers (particularly in rural areas) with adequate English language competencies. Given that children may only be exposed to English during school, they need an appropriate English speaking and writing model, which may be lacking particularly in rural schools. In the classrooms visited by the IEG Mission in urban and rural schools (which were selected by the State Ministry of Education), teachers had adequate command of English; however, teachers' spelling errors could be seen in a few classrooms.

4.13 **Teacher Inservice Training.** Teacher inservice training targets were exceeded, albeit with some issues related to the quality and impact of the training. The relevance of the training and the large number of trained teachers transferred out of project schools indicated issues requiring further follow-up. Professional development was provided to 6,456 teachers in the States of Kaduna, Kwara, and Kano, which is more than what was planned (5,808). Inservice addressed topics such as: teaching methods to promote student-centered learning, use of assessment methods to monitor learners' progress, and content within the areas of science, social studies, mathematics, and English. However, the predominant topic was student-centered learning, based on content analysis of the training modules. The modules could have benefited from more emphasis on subject area content. The materials developed by the project were only written in English.

4.14 The training was followed up by mentoring visits and teacher meetings to give additional opportunities to share experiences and support, which is needed for teachers to be able to apply what was learned (Garet and others 2001). As well, the mentors provided assistance to other teachers in the school who did not attend the training, which was a way of furthering the impact of the training.

4.15 Project records and the evaluation of the training program pointed to issues with the training. Head teachers had limited involvement in the selection of teachers. Other concerns about the training were that more men than women participated. At the initial stage of the project, there was conflicting information between what was presented in workshops and quality assurance supervisors' advice, suggesting a lack of coherence between the training for teachers and inspectors. Respondents believed that many of these issues were addressed during the project.

4.16 After the first round of training, some changes were made to the program and its delivery. Bank staff reported that the training was adapted to accommodate teachers with lower levels of education. As well, the state of Kwara conducted an assessment of teachers to identify their needs in relation to teaching literacy and numeracy. This was used to

identify effective teachers for future appointment as lead teachers instead of appointment by political patronage. Even with the changes made to the professional development program, teachers who were surveyed perceived the training to have limited relevance (Abe 2011)⁹.

4.17 In basic instructional skills such as setting the purpose of the lesson, ensuring the participation of children in the activity and reinforcing what learned, there was minimal improvement from baseline (Abe 2011). However, the same teachers were not assessed from baseline. No data were collected to demonstrate that trained teachers were effectively using the textbooks and guides, despite being noted in the appraisal document. During the IEG visit to schools selected by the Kwara State Ministry, teachers applied learner-centered techniques. In several of the observations, teachers had prepared materials or props that they incorporated into the lesson to make the lesson more engaging for students. However, these observations showed a continued need for content specific pedagogical training. As well, during observations of mathematics lessons by the IEG Mission, teachers stressed rote techniques as opposed to conceptual understanding. In primary classrooms there was a particular need for literacy and numeracy training. In primary classrooms more heterogeneity was observed in the skills of children, suggesting that basic skill-building and monitoring of the “slower learners” was required.

4.18 Two factors have diminished the expected results from the professional development. First, a large number of trained primary teachers were transferred to Junior Secondary Schools (Abe 2011) or other primary schools. The topic of teacher transfer was noted by Head teachers and principals during the IEG Mission. Similarly, some pre-primary teachers went to other schools. Transferring trained teachers was an obstacle to sustaining instructional improvements in the schools and created the need for further follow-up.

4.19 Another factor that impacted the effectiveness of the training was the teachers’ knowledge. Unfortunately, many of the teachers in the project schools did not have sixth grade literacy and numeracy skills (Abe 2011). A sample of urban teachers scored 91 percent in literacy and 45 percent in numeracy, while a sample of rural teachers scored 9 percent in literacy and 6 percent in numeracy (Abe 2011). This points to the much deeper skill formation need that may be present amongst rural teachers beyond the scope of the in-service training employed by this project.

4.20 **State and Local Government Capacity Building.** State and local government capacity building has culminated in improved use of data in the budget preparation process, but the results-based monitoring and evaluation system did not materialize as planned. It is not possible to isolate the effect of ESSPIN’s training during this project from its continued support provided to the States. Trainings in management, administration, state education budget preparation were provided during the project by ESSPIN and after the project ended. For example, respondents from the State Ministry of Education in Kwara reported that the budget preparation process is now different, as it utilizes data to help in its formulation. The

⁹ IEG Mission was not able to talk with teachers to obtain their views, as they were busy with classroom instruction during the observation.

amount devoted to renovation is based on the Annual School Census data, while previously there was no basis for the determination of the amount. Project records noted that the Medium-term sector strategies were finalized.

4.21 School Inspections and Reform of Inspectorate. The project supported reform of the school inspection services to enable a stronger focus on learning. However, there was no evidence from project documents or respondents to show that these reforms improved accountability or service delivery. The school inspection services were reformed to focus on the learning environment, rather than documentation of physical facility. A Handbook and National Policy for Quality Assurance was developed under the support of ESSPIN. As well, a Quality Assurance Manual was created. Twenty inspectors were trained. The first cycle of outcome-based school inspections were implemented in 2009/2010 and this has continued to present. This gave feedback to school staff and parents on ways to improve teaching and student learning. In Kwara schools completed a self-evaluation to help them identify areas needing improvement and strategies. Prior to the project, there was inadequate logistical support for transportation to schools, but improvements were realized as motorbikes were purchased for inspectors during the project.

4.22 Early Childhood Development Pilot. The early childhood development pilot does not appear to have culminated in the establishment of centers that provide the minimum standards for preschool that would contribute to improved learning prospects in primary school. Moreover, this pilot was developed too late in the project life to enable a true learning and evaluative process inherent in a pilot design. The quality of children's lives, their family and community circumstances, greatly influence the kind of learners they become. For this reason, compensatory interventions such as early childhood development can be viewed as proactive measures to improve student learning, since it can increase children's readiness for school. This pilot focused exclusively on education interventions at the pre-primary level, and did not integrate other aspects such as child health, nutrition, or child protection. The Bank team reported that other child development interventions were supported by other partner agencies.

4.23 In communities where there were preprimary classrooms attached to primary schools, the project augmented the school grants to provide resources for early learning. In Kwara 408 Pre-primary classrooms in 9 LGAs received 41,583,000 Naira in grants (approximately US\$268, 277 or \$657 per classroom). In Kano 784 Pre-primary classrooms received 78,400,000 Naira in grants (approximately US\$ 500, 806 or \$645 for each classroom). In Kaduna there was 61, 500,000 Naira (approximately US\$ 396,774) allocated for pre-primary grants. The number of children attending these classrooms and supported by these grants was not tracked by the project. Given the young age (three to five years old), only those located in close proximity are those who are likely to attend.

4.24 During the IEG Mission head teachers in Kwara pointed out the classroom supplies (e.g. tables, chairs, mats) and learning materials such as pictures and teacher materials purchased. Pre-primary classrooms (for children age 3-5) observed by the IEG Mission had limited supplies and so the additional materials were needed to create a developmentally appropriate learning environment. None of the pre-primary classrooms observed by the IEG Mission had an adequate amount of resources.

4.25 During the IEG Mission, head teachers reported that many of the pre-primary teachers who were trained during the project had been transferred. This was viewed as a constraint to improving education quality. In the pre-primary classrooms visited by the IEG Mission, there was a continued need to improve the practices to make them more developmentally focused. While the IEG Mission did not stay for the entire class period, across all pre-primary classrooms visited the same weaknesses were observed. Children were engaged only in whole group instruction. No small group or individualized instruction occurred. Children were seated in chairs the whole time and rose when the teacher prompted during an activity or song. Students were not engaged in dramatic or play activities. Teachers directed the instructional activities in the English language, which provided the children's first exposure to English. Lessons focused on exposure to letters and English words. No reading activities were observed- either teachers reading aloud or children interacting with books. Teachers did not utilize manipulatives to give children a concrete way to understand the concept, instead the teachers pointed to numbers. No snack was provided.

4.26 The States also engaged in other early childhood related activities. Thirty early childhood education personnel obtained international diplomas in Early Childhood Development from a 'virtual University'. It was anticipated that the training beneficiaries would become leaders within the States on the topic of early childhood development. States completed work plans, stock taking exercise, and assessment of the pre-service teacher programs related to ECD with the assistance of hired consultants and specialists from the Bank and UNICEF. Staff from the Ministry participated in study tours of other African countries. Copies of ECD curriculum and Teachers Guides were printed. During the IEG Mission, head teachers showed the guides, which were utilized by teachers.

4.27 **Outcomes.** State-level reading comprehension and math skills of 4th and 6th graders were assessed in 2007 and 2011, but are not comparable for methodological reasons (Annex B). Nevertheless, both assessments suggest a continued low level of learning (World Bank 2012; Abe 2011; Johnson, Hsief, Oniborn, 2007). For example, the majority (55 percent) of fourth and sixth graders who were tested in 2011 did not answer any question correctly, and even with the enumerator reading the test, the mean percentage score for reading ranged between 20 to 25 percent (Abe 2011). As well, in mathematics the mean percentage score in grade four was 20 percent (Abel 2011).

Improve Girls Participation

4.28 Improve girls participation is rated **modest**. There were three specific activities that the project supported to improve girls participation: conditional cash transfer pilot, dedicating resources from the school grant to encourage girls' participation, and provision of toilets. As well, respondents reported to the IEG Mission that the broad strategy of the project, improving the quality of education, encouraged more parents to send their daughters to school; however, data from Annual School Census do not support this claim.

Outputs

4.29 Pilot Conditional Cash Transfer Program. Because of the late start, the Bank only financed the first round payment under the pilot conditional cash transfer program, after which the program was suspended for a period of time. The CCT pilot in Kano State to encourage girls' participation was targeted to 12,000 of them in twelve LGAs. The first round of payment was made in February 2011 for a total of 41 million Naira (approximately US\$260,000) was distributed to 11,000 girls, as some of the identified and eligible girls did not show up to receive the grant money. For this payment, the Bank provided 75 percent of the amount and ESSPIN gave the remaining 25 percent. The second payment was scheduled for June 2011. However, the Bank's transfer did not get to the Implementing Agency's account before the project closing date (June 30, 2011). As a result, the Governor of Kano initiated a review and suspended the program. In November 2011 ESSPIN and the Kano State Ministry of Education restarted the program, but there was a delay with the Memorandum of Understanding, which resulted in further postponement in the second payment. By the time of the IEG Mission, respondents noted that the program had continued with the support of ESSPIN and the Kano State Ministry of Education, but the future of the program was uncertain.

4.30 School Grants. While school grants were designed to emphasize the participation of girls, the spending patterns benefited both boys and girls. The school grants helped to improve health practices (i.e. sanitation and toilets) and make more attractive and welcoming schools (State of Kwara Ministry of Education and Science Technology 2009). Parents and school officials reported to the IEG Mission that the renovations made to the school (as well as the provision of additional toilets) encouraged more families to send their children to school, including girls. However, in rural LGAs with higher concentration of poor students, grant resources were used to purchase school uniforms for poor children, which were reported to be a barrier to enrollment (State of Kwara Ministry of Education and Science and Technology).

4.31 Toilets to Encourage Girls Participation. The project increased girls' access to proper sanitation facilities, but data are inconsistent. Proper water and sanitation are one component of a safe and healthy school environment. Lack of female-only sanitation can be a barrier to girls' participation, particularly as they enter puberty. At the start of the project there were high percentages of schools containing no toilets ranging from 43 percent to 95 percent of primary schools in LGAs in Kwara and 64 percent to 88 percent in primary schools in LGAs in Kano¹⁰ (See Appendix B). At the end of the project, there was a clear decreasing trend of the percentage of primary schools without toilets in Kwara (e.g. ranging from 13 percent to 71 percent) and Kano¹¹ (e.g. ranging from 39 percent to 63 percent). In Kaduna that data were inconsistent, as the percentage reported in a subsequent year was higher than a previous year, suggesting issues with the data collection. Similar trends were

¹⁰ Data in Kaduna was reported to be over 100 percent.

¹¹ However, there was a pattern of inconsistent data in 3 of the LGAs, as the percentage was reported to be higher in a subsequent year.

also observed in JSS in Kwara while the data was inconsistent in Kaduna and Kano (See Appendix B).

Outcomes

4.32 There was an increase in the number of girls enrolled in primary schools in Kwara, Kaduna, and Kano during the project years in both project LGAs and non-project LGAs, but the share did not change (See Table 9). In project LGAs the percentage of girls enrolled in primary schools remained steady in Kaduna (44 percent in 2009 to 45 percent in 2011) and Kwara (46 percent in 2009 to 47 percent in 2011). In Kano the share of girls enrolled slightly increased more in project LGAs (47 percent in 2009 to 50 percent in 2011) than in non-project LGAs (47 percent to 48 percent in 2011). It should be noted that Kano had the lowest primary enrolment of girls from the three States at the beginning of the project.

Table 9. Primary Public Enrollment Total and Girls (number and percentage) 2009-2011

	2009			2010			2011		
	GIRLS	TOTAL	%	GIRLS	TOTAL	%	GIRLS	TOTAL	%
Kwara									
<i>Project LGAs</i>	44,261	94,902	46.6	45,722	96,562	47.3	47,386	99,804	47.5
<i>Non project LGAs</i>	49,925	104,702	47.7	48,943	102,746	47.6	53,426	111,689	47.8
Kaduna									
<i>Project LGAs</i>	135,578	303,078	44.7	146,432	323,389	45.3	141,383	313,472	45.1
<i>Non project LGAs</i>	305,759	676,581	45.2	347,255	751,732	46.2	346,379	753,803	46.0
Kano									
<i>Project LGAs</i>	168,082	355,397	47.3	185,379	387,477	47.8	203,851	411,230	49.6
<i>Non project LGAs</i>	724,334	1,528,075	47.4	752,286	1,576,992	47.7	828,348	1,723,558	48.1

Source: Annual School Census Kwara, Kaduna, Kano 2009, 2010, 2011.

4.33 Across the three States there were differences in relation to the upward progression of girls into later grades of primary school, as measured by the ratio of girls enrolled between class 6 and 1 (See Appendix B)¹². Using this proxy, girls in Kwara participate longer in primary school than in the other States, as this ratio increased from 2009 to 2011 in project LGAs (78 percent in 2009 to 79 percent in 2011), while it decreased in non-project LGAs (77 percent in 2009 to 70 percent in 2011). In Kaduna, this ratio increased from 2009 to 2011 in project LGAs (from 57 percent to 58 percent) and non-project LGAs (from 53 percent to 55 percent). In other words, in 2009 in project LGAs in Kaduna 57 percent of the girls enrolled in class one were in class six, while in 2011 58 percent of those enrolled in class one were in class six. In Kano, this ratio decreased from 2009 to 2011, with a lower proportion in project LGAs than non-project LGAs. In Kano in 2009, 47 percent of girls enrolled in class one

¹² Because of limitations in the data, enrollment, transition, and completion rate were not calculated. See sections on M&E for explanation.

enrolled in class six in project LGAs, while in 2011 only 41 percent of those enrolled in class one were in class six. This ratio also decreased slightly in non-project LGAs in Kano from 57 percent in 2009 to 53 percent in 2011.

4.34 There was a consistent positive pattern in girls' enrollment at the JSS level in Kwara and Kano, but not in Kaduna. In Kwara the number of girls enrolled in JSS schools increased in project LGAs and non-project LGAs, and the percentage of girls enrolled remained steady in project LGAs (48 percent) and slightly declined in non-project LGAs (46 percent to 45 percent). (See Table 10). In Kano, there was a large increase in the number of girls enrolled in JSS from 2009-2010 and 2011-2012 in project and non-project LGAs, with slightly greater gains in the percentage girls enrolled in project LGAs in Kano (from 24 percent to 33 percent) than non-project LGAs (from 37 percent to 42 percent). In Kaduna the number of girls enrolled in JSS steadily increased in non-project LGAs, but declined in project LGAs between 2009/2010 and 2011¹³. It should be noted that an examination of the ratio between class three to class one in JSS is not presented, as each state reported more girls enrolled in class three than in class one, which may suggest issues with the reliability of the data¹⁴. Other factors that might have also contributed to these observed outcomes were the Government of Kano's increased focus on girls, and the program implemented by the Universal Basic Education Commission (World Bank 2012).

Table 10. JSS Public Enrollment, Total and Girls (number and percentage) 2009-2011

	2009			2010			2011		
	GIRLS	TOTAL	%	GIRLS	TOTAL	%	GIRLS	TOTAL	%
Kwara									
<i>Project LGAs</i>	17,696	37,212	47.6	15,716	33,150	47.4	18,893	39,381	48.0
<i>Non project LGAs</i>	21,971	47,675	46.1	22,272	50,318	44.3	24,273	53,012	45.8
Kaduna									
<i>Project LGAs</i>	22,745	55,349	41.1	24,000	58,853	40.8	19,960	47,687	41.8
<i>Non project LGAs</i>	50,743	120,306	42.2	53,048	120,965	43.9	55,158	125,787	43.8
Kano									
<i>Project LGAs</i>	8,659	35,840	24.2	11,170	36,211	30.8	15,648	48,092	32.5
<i>Non project LGAs</i>	83,930	228,303	36.8	93,902	235,769	39.8	106,301	253,376	41.9

Source: Annual School Census Kwara, Kaduna, Kano 2009, 2010, 2011.

4.35 The impact evaluation of the girls CCT pilot showed that attendance for girls increased after the first payment, but due to the disruption in the program impacts diminished (World Bank 2014; World Bank 2011; Work Bank 2012). Attendance for girls in grade six showed the largest gain in comparison to the control group. Beneficiary girls had an average

¹³ This figure included the number of girls from both categories (JSS and rearticulated JSS) in the Annual School Census.

¹⁴ Data were repeatedly checked to ensure there were not errors in transposing the data into spreadsheets.

attendance rate of 66 percent (with the impacts concentrated in the Grade 5 cohort), while those without the CCT attended nearly the same amount of time, (63 percent).

4.36 The impact evaluation of the girls CCT pilot found that over the program the transition rate increased from JSS1 to JSS2. After the first payment, the transition rate for girls from primary to junior secondary was slightly higher among grantees (23 percent), than non-grantees (17 percent) (World Bank 2012; Sabarwal & Habyarimana 2014). There was more pronounced impact in the urban area, while the rate for rural girls was similar between grantees and non-grantees but slightly higher for those who did not receive the payment (20 percent than 18 percent). For the second year of the program, there was a statistically higher transition rate from JSS1 to JSS2 for girls receiving the grant (60 percent) than non-grantees (29 percent). The evaluation did not report whether similar results were observed, when results were disaggregated for rural students. It was learned that families may not send their younger daughter to school, despite sending the one getting the grant (Sabarwal & Habyarimana 2014). As well, providing broader coverage with smaller grants may be advisable. This suggests the need for CCT programs with sustained and predictable payments over multiple cohorts to create parental behavior change (Sabarwal & Habyarimana 2014).

5. Efficiency

5.1 Efficiency is rated **Substantial**.

5.2 The international competitive bidding that was used for infrastructure procurement provided value for money. As Table 11 shows, the cost of project construction activities in nearly all cases were less than comparable government costs. For example, the project had much lower unit costs than in State Government in 11 out of 13 civil works activities (with comparable size and quality). In the case of the construction of laboratories, the project with its competitive bidding was 33 percent of the cost of the Government. Savings were attained because the contractor with the lowest bid was selected by the project implementation unit. In Kano the actual costs were US\$7.9 million, rather than the estimated US\$9 million. In Kaduna the savings was approximately US\$0.5 million. Kano adopted a prototype classroom design to be able to create efficiencies. The savings permitted purchasing additional textbooks to achieve a 1:1 student-to-textbook ratio in core subjects in all targeted LGAs in Kaduna and Kwara. In Kano the savings were spent on the construction of additional bore holes and toilets in the schools.

Table 11. Comparison of Project and Government Unit Costs (Naira)

	Kwara		Kano	
	GOV	PROJECT	GOV	PROJECT
Classrooms ¹⁵	3,851,913	2,447,402	4,594,160	2,661,578
Toilets (VIPs)	1,500,000	1,024,405	998,095	2,234,229
Furniture	45,000	14,850	16,000	15,431
Boreholes	16,500,000	7,789,045	6,500,000	612,906
Library	3,800,000	2,309,060	6,205,395	4,895,193
Admin Block	4,101,500	2,859,963		
Laboratory	8,300,000	2,730,364	6,038,697	6,592,486

Source: Project Records.

5.3 While construction quality was good, there were deviations from design specification. For example in Kwara the roof structure was changed from steel to wood, ceilings from plaster to synthetic panels, and windows and door frame sizes were reduced. These changes impact the durability of the construction, or in the case of the windows and doors reduce the amount of light.

5.4 The project was completed with no extensions, despite the fact that there was a delay in credit effectiveness. As a result, the project closed in three years and two months, rather than the planned four years. This was a result of the parallel preparation that was done at entry, as well as the solid implementation during the course of the project.

5.5 While the project had no cost overruns, project management expenses were higher than anticipated. The reason for this is not clear, as the implementation time was shorter than anticipated.

6. Ratings

Outcome

6.1 Outcome is rated moderately unsatisfactory. This is based on high Relevance of Objectives; modest Relevance of Design; modest achievement for both objectives (i.e. to improve quality and improve girls' participation). Efficiency was substantial. The share of girls enrolled in primary schools in both project and non-project LGAs in Kaduna and Kwara did not change. There was a slight increase in the percentage of girls enrolled in primary schools in Kano in project LGAs in comparison to non-project LGAs. While the project demonstrated improvements in textbook ratio, school renovations, and training of teachers, these outputs did not culminate in improved quality of basic education, as design did not

¹⁵ The project used steel rafters for classroom construction, rather than wood in comparable government classrooms. The project provided 8 toilets, while the government constructed 6.

adequately establish the minimum conditions for learning. Training was not adequately focused on the core subjects and its effectiveness was reduced with the subsequent transfer of trained teachers. The two pilot programs were developed and implemented late in the project life to demonstrate results, as well as enable a true learning and evaluative process.

Risk to Development Outcome

6.2 There has been some institutionalization of the project's interventions. The Annual School Census has been collected and disseminated with the support of ESSPIN in each of the States annually since the close of the project. The EMIS is operational and utilized by the State Ministry of Education's EMIS Unit. School management committees continue. Communities have a strong commitment to their schools. Textbooks are provided directly to each State by the Universal Basic Education Commission. SUBEB continues to renovate and build additional classrooms. Inspectors now examine educational quality and in Kwara they work with schools to address the areas to improve (as self-identified by the school). In Kwara School Support Officers go to schools to assist teachers in an effort to institutionalize the professional development provided to teachers. ESSPIN continues to work and support all three of the States. At the time of the IEG Mission, preparation was underway for a new project in Kano and Kaduna funded by the Global Partnership for Education. All of these factors help reduce the risk to the development outcome.

6.3 Sustainability of project activities will depend on several factors. There is a need for sufficient allocation from the State budget for professional development for teachers and further activities to enroll more girls in school, particularly in Kaduna and Kano. As well, school maintenance costs are not included in the State budget and so School Management Committees have a large role in financing the minor repairs and maintenance. Many teachers assessed by ESSPIN demonstrated low levels of understanding of basic concepts, suggesting that many need development beyond the scope of in-service training. As well, reliability of school-level data need to be improved for the States to have accurate information from which to make their decisions.

6.4 While there is political will in support of basic education and girls education, there is a significant risk to the development outcome because of three factors: weak institutional management capacity, teacher posting policies, and changing government priorities. Functions related to monitoring and evaluation continue to need strengthening within each State. Decisions about teacher deployment to rural posts can be easily reversed based on political decision-making, which leave rural schools at a serious disadvantage in creating adequate learning conditions, given the central role teacher play in student learning. Annual School data for 2011-2012 show low primary teacher to student ratios (e.g. Kwara 1:15, Kaduna 1:29, and Kano 1:46), yet when classrooms were observed in Kwara higher teacher-to-student ratios were evident, suggesting issues in the deployment and distribution of teachers, which are particularly striking in the rural areas. Since the closure of the project, there has been a new Commissioner of Education in Kwara. Some of the reform efforts previously begun in Kwara have not continued. While there was initial support from the State of Kano for the Conditional Cash Transfer program, when the new Governor came to office the program was initially suspended. The CCT program continued with the support of ESSPIN, but over the years the commitment from the government in Kano has waned and its

financial support has decreased. While the Bank is financing a follow-up operation with a conditional cash transfer program to poor households, this program is not targeted to girls (World Bank 2013). Bank Performance

6.5 Bank performance is rated **Moderately Unsatisfactory**.

Quality at Entry

6.6 Quality at entry is rated as **Moderately Unsatisfactory**.

6.7 Project preparation was thorough with the support from CUBE, which laid the foundation for rapid and effective implementation. Simultaneous to the Bank preparation, State teams were engaged in preparatory implementation activities. Preparation included the participation of all levels of State Government, which effectively secured their buy-in. The Bank provided a clear timeline and next steps that each State preparation team had to complete before the subsequent mission. Technical papers were prepared to assist in the design of the school grants and a detailed operational manual was developed. The manual clearly described the process for schools to follow and the forms to complete to make the grants part of an improvement and planning process. However, project preparation did not take account local needs and priorities for school grants. A formula was developed to allocate resources to schools. Schools were selected in advance for civil works, based on an agreed upon criteria. School designs and standards were established during appraisal by qualified firms to avoid problems previously encountered with the standard drawings used by the UBEC which were not well designed.

6.8 The Bank utilized a collaborative process with the States during preparation. The Bank team took the feedback from the State preparation teams into account in finalizing design options. When the Bank suggested expanding the school grants to include purchasing of textbooks and teacher training, the States expressed concerns that school-focused implementation and procurement was risky, given the lack of establishment of School Based Management Committees. Institutional arrangements, including monitoring and evaluation, were clarified. Procurement arrangements reflecting State's concerns were agreed upon.

6.9 During the delay in project effectiveness, the Bank and CUBE continued to work with State teams to finalize preparatory documents such as bidding documents, training manuals, selection of key consultants and development of Terms of References. When changes in lead personnel were made, the Bank team advised the States that they needed to ensure that few changes were made over the course of the project, and that new staff are fully aware of the project.

6.10 A Quality Enhancement Review (QER) was conducted in November 2006 that concurred with the project's state-based approach, but suggested the need for more clarity on the whole school approach, in relation to: (1) how various inputs would be integrated into packages; and (2) the relationship between demand-driven school grants and the funding by state governments through centralized procurement (World Bank 2012). This feedback was used to make revisions with the design.

6.11 There were several shortcomings in preparation. Preparation underestimated the complexity of the task of establishing the information management system and data collection process and the time this would take. It was evident that CUBE would end during the initial stage of implementation, yet its support was a critical part of design that would be needed until the end of the project. For example, CUBE was to support each state with a National Procurement Consultant and International Procurement Consultant during the project implementation, despite the fact that the project would end. It was reasonable to provide this technical support, given that Kano and Kwara did not have experience implementing Bank financed education projects. However, this role would seem more likely for the Bank, since Bank personnel were in a better position to advise about its operational procedures. While the project appraisal document noted that culture and poverty were the main reason why girls did not participate in school, there were no studies to explore the socio-cultural and religious resistance to girls' education to better inform design. However, the Bank team reported that information from Dfid's implementation of the Girls Education Program was utilized during preparation. There were weaknesses in the design of the project (See Relevance of Design) and monitoring and evaluation (See Monitoring and Evaluation Design and Relevance of Design).

6.12 While the Federal Ministry of Education was involved in project preparation, it had a very limited role in this project. The limited role related to problems with the previous Universal Basic Education project, but this decision to minimize the Federal role reduced the knowledge sharing and demonstration effects from the project, according to respondents. Subsequent Bank education projects have ensured a Federal role in the education projects to create greater synergy between the project and ongoing national reforms and interventions.

Quality of Supervision

6.13 Quality of Supervision is rated as **Moderately Unsatisfactory**.

6.14 There was a smooth transition between the task team leaders at preparation and supervision. One task team leader (TTL) was dedicated to each State throughout the course of the project. This allowed for each State team to have more contact with a Bank team member, as well as allowed for each TTL to spend more time in each State during supervision missions, given the geography in Nigeria. The Bank team worked closely with the implementation unit through monthly video-conferences where the State teams came to Abuja and were connected with staff in Washington. Implementation was also enhanced, since one of the TTLs was based in Abuja, which provided county knowledge, as well as permitted States closer contact with the Bank team.

6.15 The Bank team continued its policy dialogue with education officials in each State. Opportunities for further advocacy related to girls' education presented during the Mid-term Review. During the early childhood development pilot, the Bank held workshops that engaged ECD experts, as well as other development partners and each State's Ministry of Health and Education.

6.16 The Bank and Dfid worked separately on their respective activities, but jointly conducted the mid-term review. Better coordination between the work of the Bank and Dfid

may have enhanced the EMIS, state and local capacity building efforts and teacher professional development.

6.17 The Bank expressed concern when baseline data were delayed, but its supervision ratings such as progress on the development objective and monitoring and evaluation were not used to signal its concerns. While the issue of reliable project data was consistently communicated by the Bank team to the implementation unit, most ratings were in the satisfactory range across the life of the project, even though outcome data were lacking to be able to substantiate progress on the development objective and monitoring and evaluation.

6.18 There were major shortcomings in the activities added at Midterm review, as well as other aspects of supervision. The conditional transfer program was implemented during the final phase of the project. The moral repercussions of beginning the program at the project's final months were minimized because DfID continued its support to the program. Design was lacking in relation to the ECD pilot, as well as tracking, which did not permit a clear understanding of what was attained nor ability to test the merits of a small-scale intervention. Weaknesses in monitoring evaluation were not addressed during supervision. No indicators were incorporated in relation to the two pilot activities added at Midterm review. The project closed as planned despite the fact that the project had ten fewer months to implement. An extension may have provided time for the three outstanding projects in Kwara to be completed. The completion status of the civil works was reported to the Bank. As a consequence, the three civil works projects were unfinished at the time of the IEG mission. As well, a later closing date may have permitted funding for another round of grants for the CCT pilot, as the second payment did not reach the Implementing Agency's account before the closing date.

Borrower Performance

6.19 Government Performance is rated as **Moderately Satisfactory**.

6.20 The Federal Government demonstrated commitment to basic education and girls' education. It adopted a National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (2003), which emphasized reform of education as the means to socioeconomic empowerment. A representative from the Federal Ministry of Finance participated in the IEG Mission in Kwara.

6.21 While the Federal Ministry of Education was involved in project preparation, it had limited involvement in the project, restricted to the National Steering Committee. Representatives from the Federal Ministry of Education participated in some supervision missions with the Bank team.

6.22 Implementing Agency Performance is rated as **Moderately Satisfactory**.

6.23 State government officials and the State Ministry of Education were committed to the project, even during elections and bureaucratic changes. Commissioners of Education and other State representatives participated in the project launch.

6.24 Members of each States preparation team worked under short timelines to deliver the required documents. With the support of CUBE, they developed the Education Sector Plan, operational plans, project manuals, and technical papers, and many other preparatory documents.

6.25 A project implementation unit within each State Ministry of Education oversaw the project management and fiduciary responsibilities. Staff from the State Ministry of Education were on secondment to the project implementation unit from the State Ministry of Education. In this way, capacity built during the project remained with the Ministry. It was also reported to be a way to ensure staff had adequate time to devote to the project. Performance by the implementation teams in the three States steadily improved as a result of the careful selection of personnel and technical assistance. Meetings were held between the States and the participating LGAs to inform them on the activities, implementation arrangements, and their respective roles and responsibilities in the project. The original level of commitment for CCT amongst Government officials in Kano is unclear, and their support appears to have waned.

6.26 Monitoring and evaluation proved to be more difficult than anticipated. There was slow progress on data entry and reporting by the States, as well as longer time to collect Annual School Census data. There were delays in setting up the EMIS, which related to difficulties in harmonizing a Federal EMIS and, complexity of the system's original design. There was slow attention to analysis of Annual School Census.

Monitoring and Evaluation

6.27 The quality of M&E is rated **Modest**.

6.28 **M&E Design:** While the appraisal document provided targets for key indicators, baseline data, timetable and responsibility for implementation, there were shortcomings (See Monitoring and Evaluation Design). The key performance indicators were transition and completion rates, and measurement of reading and mathematics learning. Most of the other indicators in the Results Frameworks were outputs: school development plans, teachers trained, schools rehabilitated, textbooks, and quality assurance inspection, relating mainly to the quality objective. No indicators were selected to assess the ECD or CCT pilots. Baselines were revised in 2010, because the data in the appraisal document was unreliable and not disaggregated by LGA.

6.29 An impact evaluation was designed for the pilot conditional cash transfer program. The evaluation was to provide evidence of the results, and monitor the fidelity of the implementation. The evaluation was designed to test whether CCT counteract school supply or distance constraints to secondary education. It also studied the differential effect of different amounts of subsidy (e.g. higher transfer of approximately US\$ 130 and lower amount US\$ 65). The study was financed by ESSPIN and Bank provided technical assistance. At the time of IEG's Mission, preliminary results of the program were available, but not the final report. The benefit to the Government in using the results of the evaluation to make informed decisions about continuing the pilot may be reduced with this delay.

6.30 M&E Implementation: The Project Support Unit was responsible for monitoring project performance indicators. Given the delay in establishing the State-level EMIS (related to delays in Federal EMIS), as well as the time it took to conduct the Annual School Census, project outcome data was not available until 2010 (nearly two years after project inception). This became the project baseline, since the data available at preparation was state-level, rather than LGA disaggregated. This left the project with no trend data, since the subsequent Annual School Census report was not available by the close of the project. Intermediate indicators were tracked throughout the project. Since the IEG Mission, Annual School Census Reports were available in each State for 2009-2010, 2010-2011, and 2011-2012, but there was delay with the release of the 2012-2013 data and were unavailable at the time of the IEG Mission.

6.31 Reliability of school-level data is a continued concern expressed by respondents. In 2009 a validation of the School Census was done, which found some over reporting, but the report largely confirmed the validity of the results. In the preparation of this report, arithmetical errors were found in the reports from Kaduna and Kano, as well as other data inconsistencies.

6.32 M&E Utilization: Despite the capacity building efforts conducted during the project, the State Ministries of Education exhibited modest utilization of M&E as a tool to inform policy or make decisions. The changes reported mainly related to compiling data. School Report Cards were produced and disseminated in 2010. An Education Sector Analysis Report was produced annually beginning in 2009.

7. Lessons

7.1 Based on the experience of this project, several lessons can be drawn:

- **Learning and sustainability of pilot activities are limited when there is an absence of clear design, an explicit mechanism for evaluating, and a sufficient implementation time frame.** Initiating the girls CCT during the last year of the project provided insufficient time to implement the pilot. As well, it is difficult to determine the benefits and effectiveness of the pilot ECD activities, given the absence of the monitoring and evaluation system and implementation plan. Without strong design and evaluation, there is no way to identify whether the pilot activity is worthy of scaling-up or sustaining. Pilots generate further interest in sustaining or scaling-up when robust data are collected.
- **Setting up a monitoring and evaluation system takes more time than Governments and TTLs often recognize and provide.** An overly complex system was designed and replaced with a simplified one. In environments with low capacity, understanding the critical data needs of the Government is essential, as well as the amount of technical assistance that will be required. During this project, it took considerable time for the States to collect and analyze the Annual School Census.

- **A prior unsuccessful project can result in learning, but it depends upon an accurate understanding of the performance issue.** This project avoided some of the shortcomings in the predecessor Bank project, Universal Basic Education Project. DfID's TA was aligned with the objective of this project. However, each partner worked separately to complete respective responsibilities within the project. Better coordination between the work of the Bank and DfID may have enhanced the EMIS, state and local capacity building efforts and teacher professional development.
- **Even with a State-focused project, the involvement of the Federal Ministry of Education is critical given its central role in policy.** However, the difficulties related to the Federal implementation in the Universal Basic Education project were not fully understood. During preparation, instead of designing approaches to overcome the challenges experienced by the Federal Ministry of Education with the Universal Basic Education Project, the Federal role was minimal. This reduced the buy-in by the Federal Ministry of Education and likelihood of replicating project activities in other states.
- **Improving inputs into learning (facilities, textbooks, etc.) needs to be accompanied by defining and addressing quality requirements in terms of minimum standards for student learning.** While this project improved the inputs and physical conditions for learning, these may not translate into improved student learning, as the key issue of teachers' capacities was insufficiently addressed by the project. When teachers' numeracy, literacy, and language skills are low, children's learning will be compromised. This is particularly true in rural areas as more skill deficiencies were found among rural teachers. There also continue to be issues in how teachers are allocated amongst schools.

References

- Abe, C., 2011. "The Quality of Education in Nigeria: An Outcome Evaluation Study of Teacher Professional Development and Learning Outcomes in Kano, Kaduna, and Kwara States". State Education Sector Project . Abuja, Nigeria.
- Adekola, O. 2007. " Language, Literacy, and Learning in Primary Schools: Implications for Teacher Development Programs in Nigeria". World Bank Working Paper No. 96 Africa Human Development Series. Report No. 40005. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Case, A. & M.,Yogo. 1999. "Does School Quality Matter? Returns to Education and the Characteristics of Schools in South Africa". National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 7399. Cambridge, MA.
- Education Sector Support Programme in Nigeria (ESSPIN). 2010. "Teaching and Learning Baseline Survey Summary Report". Report Number 316. Downloaded from <http://www.esspin.org/resources/reports>.
- Federal Ministry of Education. 2007. Federal Ministry of Education 10 Year Strategic Plan. Abuja, Nigeria.
- Glewwe, P. E., Hanushek, S. Humpage & R. Ravin. 2011. " School Resources and Educational Outcomes in Developing Countries: A Review of the Literature form 1990-2010." National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 17554. Cambridge, MA.
- Garet, M., A. Porter, L. Desimone, B. Birman, and K. Yoon. 2001. "What makes professional development effective? Results from a National Sample of Teachers". *American Educational Research Journal* 38(4): 915-945.
- IEG. 2008. "Project Performance Assessment Report Nigeria Second Primary Education Project and Universal Basic Education Project". Report No. 44360. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Johnson, D., J. Hsieh. F. Oniborn. 2007. " The Quality of Education in Nigeria. A baseline study into conditions of teaching and learning outcomes in Kano, Kaduna and Kwara. British Council, Cambridge Education and Action aid.
- Krishnaratne, S., White, H. & Carpenter, E., 2013. "Quality education for all children? What works in education in developing countries", Working Paper 20. New Delhi: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie).
- Omoluabi, E., M. Balarabe, O, Zakariya, and A. Garba. 2011. School Development Grant Assessment in Kano, Kaduna and Kwara States. State Education Sector Project. Kano, Nigeria.
- Sabarwal, S., J. Habyarimana. (2014) Kano Conditional Cash Transfer Program for Girls' Education: Draft Impact Evaluation Report. World Bank: Washington DC.
- White. H. 2004."Books, Buildings, and Learning Outcomes: An Impact Evaluation of World Bank Support to Basic Education in Ghana." OED World Bank.
- World Bank. 2003. "School Education in Nigeria: Preparing for Universal Basic Education." Human Development Africa Region. Report No. 28412. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2007. "Language, Literacy, and Learning in Primary Schools Implications for Teacher Development Programs in Nigeria." Working Paper No 40005. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2007. "Project Appraisal Document on a Proposed Credit in the Amount of SDR 43.3 Million (US\$ 64 Million) to the Federal Republic of Nigeria for a State Education Sector Project". Report No: 38436-NG. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2007. "Financing Agreement for State Education Sector Project between Federal Republic of Nigeria and International Development Association". Credit Number 4295-UNI. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2008. "Nigeria: Review of the Costs and Financing of Public Education." Human Development Unit Africa Region. Report No. 42418-NG. Washington, DC.

- _____. 2009. "International Development Association Country Partnership Strategy for the Federal Republic of Nigeria (2010-2013)". Report No. 46816-NG. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2011. "Nigeria Socio Economic Assessment. Poverty Reduction and Economic Management." Africa Region. Report No. 70606. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2011 "Kano Conditional Cash Transfer Program: Baseline Report" Africa Education Department Africa Region. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2012. "Implementation Completion and Results Report." Africa Education Department Africa Region. Report No. ICR00001959. Washington, DC.
- _____. 2012 "Kano Conditional Cash Transfer Program: Preliminary Impact Estimates and Program Update" Africa Education Department Africa Region. Washington, DC.
- _____. Forthcoming. "World Bank Investments in Early Childhood: Findings from Portfolio Review of World Bank Early Childhood Development Operations and Analytical Work from FY01-FY11." Washington, DC.

Annex A. Basic Data Sheet

STATE EDUCATION SECTOR PROJECT (P096151)

Key Project Data (amounts in US\$ million)

	Appraisal estimate	Actual or current estimate	Actual as % of appraisal estimate
Total project costs	68.51	65.40	95.46
Loan amount	67.55	63.74	94.36
Cofinancing (UK DEPARTMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT)	2.87	1.67	58.19
Cancellation	0.00	3.58	0.00

Cumulative Estimated and Actual Disbursements

	<i>FY08</i>	<i>FY09</i>	<i>FY10</i>	<i>FY11</i>	<i>FY12</i>
Appraisal estimate (US\$M)	9.80	36.56	56.94	65.00	65.00
Actual (US\$M)	3.26	14.51	38.06	62.51	63.74
Actual as % of appraisal	33.26	39.69	66.84	96.16	98.06
Date of final disbursement: 11/10/2011					

Project Dates

	Original	Actual
Initiating memorandum	08/03/2005	07/31/2006
Negotiations	03/09/2007	03/14/2007
Board approval	04/20/2006	04/26/2007
Signing	10/30/2007	10/30/2007
Effectiveness	04/25/2008	04/25/2008
Closing date	07/01/2011	07/01/2011

Staff Time and Cost

Stage of Project Cycle	Staff Time and Cost (Bank Budget Only)	
Lending	No. of staff weeks	US\$ Thousands (including travel and consultants costs)
FY06	6	40.79
FY07	63	295.80
Total:		336.59
Supervision/ICR		
FY8	53	238.92
Total:	53	238.92

Task Team Members

Names	Title	Unit
Norosoia Andrianaivo	Language Program Assistant	AFTED
Bayo Awosemusi	Lead Procurement Specialist	AFTPC
Jacob H. Bregman	Consultant	LCSHE
Halil Dundar	Lead Education Specialist	SASED
Nguyan Shaku	Feese E T Consultant	AFTH3-HIS
Ngozi Blessing	Malife Program Assistant	EASER
Gert Johannes Alwyn Van Der Linde	Lead Financial Management Specialist	AFTFM
Tanya Lee Lorraine Zebroff	Sr. Education Spec.	AFCW2
Sunday Achile Acheneje	Procurement Specialist	AFTPC
Olatunde Adetoyese Adekola	Sr. Education Spec.	AFTED
Adewunmi Cosmas Ameer Adekoya	Financial Management Specialist	AFTFM
Akinrinmola Oyenuga Akinyele	Sr. Financial Management Specialist	AFTFM
Mary Asanato-Adiwu	Sr. Procurement Specialist	AFTPC
Bayo Awosemusi	Lead Procurement Specialist	AFTPC
Marito H. Garcia	Lead Human Development Economist	AFTSP
Josiane M. S. Luchmun	Program Assistant	AFTSP
Janet Omobolanle Adebo	Team Assistant	AFCW2
Muna Salih Meky	Human Development Specialist	AFTED
Deborah Newitter Mikesell	Sr. Operations Officer	AFTED
Adenike Sherifat Oyeyiola	Sr. Financial Management Specialist	AFTFM
Shwetlena Sabarwal	Economist	AFTED

Annex B. School Conditions in Project LGAs in Kwara, Kaduna, and Kano 2009-2011

KWARA SCHOOL CONDITIONS

Table 12. Primary School (2009-2011)

	Asa	Baruten	Ilorin West	Isin	Offa	Patigi
2009						
Classroom need major repair	25	41	23	22	25	25
Insufficient Seating	72	76	56	68	67	83
No Source of Water	63	77	38	59	69	57
2010						
Classroom need major repair	24	29	15	10	21	18
Insufficient Seating	57	42	46	42	58	40
No Source of Water	66	72	16	58	50	84
2011						
Classroom need major repair	19	17	11	17	12	12
Insufficient seating	37	74	69	30	55	69
No Source of Water	63	61	25	58	64	81

Source: Kwara Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 13. Junior Secondary Schools (2009-2011)

	Asa	Baruten	Ilorin West	Isin	Offa	Patigi
2009						
Classroom need major repair	15	29	45	33	41	34
Insufficient Seating	63	77	62	54	66	95
No Source of Water	43	50	50	19	35	62
2010						
Classroom need major repair	5	2	16	4	8	5
Insufficient Seating	36	36	40	70	38	11
No Source of Water	38	22	33	50	35	71
2011						
Classroom need major repair	6	4	17	4	8	4
Insufficient Seating	44	60	55	68	25	84
No Source of Water	38	10	41	31	39	67

Source: Kwara Annual School Census 2009-2011.

KADUNA SCHOOL CONDITIONS**Table 14. Primary School (2009-2011)**

	Chikun	Zaria	Kagarko	Birnin Gwari	Sanga	Soba
2009						
Classroom need major repair	44	12	56	36	40	29
Insufficient Seating	75	70	81	62	82	72
No Source of Water	61	47	74	73	72	73
2010						
Classroom need major repair	40	12	52	24	34	23
Insufficient Seating	73	62	78	69	76	69
No Source of Water	66	40	84	73	68	76
2011						
Classroom need major repair	34	33	40	29	32	24
Insufficient Seating	69	68	36	67	61	68
No Source of Water	60	28	86	67	62	62

Source: Kaduna Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 15. Junior Secondary Schools (2009-2011)

	Chikun	Zaria	Kagarko	Birnin Gwari	Sanga	Soba
2009						
Classroom need major repair	32	15	33	12	31	7
Insufficient Seating	58	44	61	39	64	48
No Source of Water	11	24	33	6	33	23
2010						
Classroom need major repair	25	6	22	23	5	0
Insufficient Seating	57	23	60	41	49	20
No Source of Water	21	0	23	7	7	6
2011						
Classroom need major repair	16	14	18	3	0	0
Insufficient Seating	57	56	42	56	0	27
No Source of Water	14	20	24	18	33	30

Source: Kaduna Annual School Census 2009-2011.

KANO SCHOOL CONDITIONS**Table 16. Primary School (2009-2011)**

	Garun Mallam	Kiru	Kunchi	Makoda	Minjibir	Rimin Gado	Rogo	Ungogo	Wudil
2009									
Classroom need major repair	13	31	19	7	22	25	40	26	10
Insufficient Seating	72	64	54	44	78	74	79	77	47
No Source of Water	57	65	71	53	66	35	38	68	34
2010									
Classroom need major repair	15	12	17	6	23	26	25	19	5
Insufficient Seating	61	52	39	44	80	39	75	81	37
No Source of Water	55	58	80	59	62	75	45	57	26
2011									
Classroom need major repair	20	12	21	11	12	17	12	47	6
Insufficient Seating	61	59	44	44	66	66	59	176	40
No Source of Water	62	67	81	55	65	60	31	62	25

Source: Kano Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 17. Junior Secondary Schools (2009-2011)

	Garun Mallam	Kiru	Kunchi	Makoda	Minjibir	Rimin Gado	Rogo	Ungogo	Wudil
2009									
Classroom need major repair	4	8	17	4	9	9	12	15	26
Insufficient Seating	67	35	44	49	38	68	61	57	35
No Source of Water	10	32	55	27	29	33	30	33	23
2010									
Classroom need major repair	20	5	5	0	9	13	9	11	4
Insufficient Seating	42	35	10	34	30	45	43	64	34

	Garun Mallam	Kiru	Kunchi	Makoda	Minjibir	Rimin Gado	Rogo	Ungogo	Wudil
No Source of Water	40	54	50	0	36	33	33	29	50
2011									
Classroom need major repair	0	2	0	5	7	4	39	30	0
Insufficient Seating	100	19	41	5	34	12	47	45	26
No Source of Water	0	54	50	0	33	38	0	63	33

Source: Kano Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 18. Public Primary School Enrollment - Kaduna

	2009				2010				2011			
LGAs	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)
Chikun	51,420	25,337	5,335	3,211	52,890	26,261	5,229	2,949	50,501	25,067	4,752	2,805
Zaria	71,955	32,960	6,228	4,743	74,126	34,497	6,240	4,445	74,872	36,561	5,252	4,383
Kagarko	34,679	16,751	3,804	1,985	34,175	16,468	3,487	1,927	33,021	16,117	3,221	2,003
BIRNINGWARI	44,279	16,692	4,021	1,996	49,451	18,962	4,590	1,926	56,846	20,729	4,961	2,049
Sanga	48,871	23,641	4,448	3,294	62,477	30,499	5,521	4,471	48,585	23,673	3,958	3,496
Soba	51,874	20,197	5,677	1,529	50,270	19,745	5,848	1,285	49,647	19,236	5,471	1,296
Total Proj. LGAs	303,078	135,578	29,513	16,758	323,389	146,432	30,915	17,003	313,472	141,383	27,615	16,032
Non project LGAs	676,581	305,759	65,204	34,288	751,732	347,255	75,164	39,326	753,803	346,379	72,601	40,086

Source: Kaduna Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 19. Public Junior Secondary School Enrollment – Kaduna

LGAs	2009				2010				2011											
	TOTAL ENROL-LMENT	GIRLS	JS1 (GIRLS)	JS3 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROL-LMENT	GIRLS	JS1 (GIRLS)	JS3 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROL-LMENT	GIRLS	JS1 (GIRLS)	JS3 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROL-LMENT REARTICU-LATED	GIRLS REARTICU-LATED	JS1 (GIRLS) REARTICU-LATED	JS3 (GIRLS) REARTICU-LATED	TOTAL ENROL-LMENT (INCLUDES REART.)	GIRLS (INCLUDES REART.)	JS1 (GIRLS) (INCLUDES REART.)	JS3 (GIRLS) (INCLUDES REART.)
Chikun	10,328	5,023	1,749	1,586	12,651	6,194	1,882	1,970	6,372	3,294	861	1,284	4107	1,849	524	637	10,479	5,143	1,385	1,921
Zaria	16,533	6,471	1,474	2,582	18,807	7,360	2,412	2,295	10,868	4,326	1,276	1,585	6739	3,297	1,081	1,134	17,607	7,623	2,357	2,719
Kagarko	7,581	3,228	920	1,100	8,199	3,583	1,080	1,182	7,407	3,213	995	1,252	1200	513	128	186	8,607	3,726	1,123	1,438
BIRNINGWARI	5,832	2,232	838	646	5,668	1,611	516	535	2,964	685	66	293	666	199	55	46	3,630	884	121	339
Sanga	10,916	4,957	1,744	1,548	8,933	3,990	1,501	1,122	1,486	712	249	235	2375	1,061	356	373	3,861	1,773	605	608
Soba	4,159	834	340	246	4,595	1,262	351	595	1,785	377	146	119	1718	434	135	148	3,503	811	281	267
Total Proj. LGAs	55,349	22,745	7,065	7,708	58,853	24,000	7,742	7,699	30,882	12,607	3,593	4,768	16,805	7,353	2,279	2,524	47,687	19,960	5,872	7,292
Non project LGAs	120,306	50,743	15,792	16,978	120,965	53,048	16,421	17,593	82,684	37,914	12,357	12,731	43,103	17,244	5,790	5,515	125,787	55,158	18,147	18,246

Source: Kaduna Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 20. Public Primary School Enrollment - Kwara

LGAs	2009				2010				2011			
	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)
Asa	15,526	7,585	1,511	1,045	15,541	7,680	1,575	1,071	16,012	7,862	1,651	1,092
Baruten	18,461	7,895	1,803	869	18,467	7,984	1,817	967	20,051	8,652	1,995	1,058
Ilorin West	29,654	14,979	1,947	2,516	30,048	15,091	2,053	2,695	29,780	15,056	2,131	2,593
Isin	2,988	1,467	294	203	3,408	1,701	294	226	3,184	1,564	278	180
Offa	9,880	4,704	785	713	10,364	5,128	941	755	11,310	5,749	995	886

	2009				2010				2011			
Patigi	18,393	7,631	1,659	916	18,734	8,138	1,638	990	19,467	8,503	1,703	1,099
Total Proj. LGAs	94,902	44,261	7,999	6,262	96,562	45,722	8,318	6,704	99,804	47,386	8,753	6,908
Non project LGAs	104,702	49,925	9,092	6,970	102,746	48,943	9,317	6,764	111,689	53,426	10,842	7,608

Source: Kwara Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 21. Public Junior Secondary School Enrollment - Kwara

	2009				2010				2011			
LGAs	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)
Asa	4,389	1,787	546	650	4,283	1,787	580	609	4,330	1,887	628	536
Baruten	2,938	1,233	371	387	2,699	1,108	378	358	3,428	1,465	505	445
IlorinWest	20,030	10,201	3,129	3,556	15,931	7,977	2,832	2,562	21,856	10,949	3,964	3,164
Isin	1,161	559	184	192	1,371	654	221	214	1,270	567	203	180
Offa	5,623	2,868	1,008	919	6,060	3,206	1,021	1,024	5,881	3,049	980	1,029
Patigi	3,071	1,048	308	364	2,806	984	328	349	2,616	976	344	295
Total Proj. LGAs	37,212	17,696	5,546	6,068	33,150	15,716	5,360	5,116	39,381	18,893	6,624	5,649
Non project LGAs	47,675	21,971	7,012	7,410	50,318	22,272	6,924	7,649	53,012	24,273	8,154	7,914

Source: Kwara Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 22. Public Primary School Enrollment – Kano

LGAs	2009				2010				2011			
	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROLLMENT	GIRLS	CLASS 1 (GIRLS)	CLASS 6 (GIRLS)
Garun Mallam	27,679	14,215	3,588	1,046	27,849	13,792	3,551	1,033	28512	15021	3428	1095
Kiru	37,877	16,631	3,537	1,349	49,911	22,853	6,192	2,070	51428	24066	5527	2059
Kunchi	51,267	23,496	5,763	2,183	26,488	12,489	3,075	1,159	28052	13273	3148	1309
Makoda	12,328	4,974	1,429	427	16,342	7,222	1,775	533	19671	9285	2134	663
Minjibir	42,265	19,969	4,841	1,728	48,762	22,517	5,810	1,680	55818	27852	7259	2091
Rimingado	24,913	12,113	2,532	1,332	28,817	14,119	3,195	1,546	30329	15226	3273	1522
Rogo	48,582	21,974	4,615	2,351	57,533	27,030	5,742	3,112	59766	30107	7299	2933
Ugogo	73,481	36,139	7,494	4,963	88,167	43,752	9,080	5,131	91671	45876	9775	5215
Wudil	37,005	18,571	3,996	2,370	43,608	21,605	4,596	2,531	45983	23145	4981	2526
Total Proj. LGAs	355,397	168,082	37,795	17,749	387,477	185,379	43,016	18,795	411,230	203,851	46,824	19,413
Non project LGAs	1,528,075	724,334	146,445	83,803	1,576,992	752,286	153,491	84,391	1,723,558	828,348	175,201	93,775

Source: Kano Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 23. Public Junior Secondary School Enrollment – Kano

LGAs	2009				2010				2011											
	TOTAL ENROL- LMENT	GIRLS	JS1 (GIRLS)	JS3 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROL- LMENT	GIRLS	JS1 (GIRLS)	JS3 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROL- LMENT	GIRLS	JS1 (GIRLS)	JS3 (GIRLS)	TOTAL ENROL- LMENT REARTICU- LATED	GIRLS REARTICU- LATED	JS1 (GIRLS) REARTICU- LATED	JS3 (GIRLS) REARTICU- LATED	TOTAL ENROL- LMENT (INCLUDES REART.)	GIRLS (INCLUDES REART.)	JS1 (GIRLS) (INCLUDES REART.)	JS3 (GIRLS) (INCLUDES REART.)
Garun Mallam	2,786	567	240	126	2,367	756	310	174	464	173	49	70	2335	497	205	145	2799	670	254	215
Kiru	5,886	1,376	576	348	6,439	1,797	655	560	2175	146	49	45	4382	1711	706	443	6557	1857	755	488
Kunchi	1,720	477	200	80	1,923	609	217	195	683	78	22	36	938	260	100	70	1621	338	122	106
Makoda	1,903	403	163	105	1,655	449	152	138	343	112	28	33	1617	271	73	88	1960	383	101	121
Minjibir	3,993	935	360	271	5,166	1,419	445	443	2507	100	100	0	2233	1297	521	350	4740	1397	621	350
Rimingado	720	45	20	25	3,793	1,508	187	120	1610	196	110	22	2160	794	300	250	3770	990	410	272
Rogo	5,539	1,442	575	351	2,009	526	773	569	3444	1231	445	402	9264	3502	1,376	1,026	12708	4733	1,821	1,428
Ugogo	7,848	1,893	749	555	7,955	2,389	1,055	655	3013	1041	331	420	6336	2288	889	593	9349	3329	1,220	1,013
Wudil	5,445	1,521	543	483	4,904	1,717	623	499	849	786	218	199	3739	1165	412	368	4588	1951	630	567
Total Proj. LGAs	35,840	8,659	3,426	2,344	36,211	11,170	4,417	3,353	15,088	3,863	1,352	1,227	33,004	11,785	4,582	3,333	48,092	15,648	5,934	4,560
Non project LGAs	228,303	83,930	27,775	28,765	235,769	93,902	32,187	28,743	77,669	32,214	11,793	9,583	175,707	74,087	24,491	24,312	253,376	106,301	36,284	33,895

Source: Kano Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 24. Percentage of Schools with no Toilets – Kwara

	Primary School			Junior Secondary School		
LGAs	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011
Asa	95	75	57	76	35	33
Baruten	87	68	42	50	27	30
Ilorin West	43	19	13	67	30	21
Isin	66	49	49	88	38	31
Offa	60	40	32	88	28	17
Patigi	84	77	71	92	71	67

Source: Kwara Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 25. Percentage of Schools with no Toilets – Kaduna

	Primary School			Junior Secondary School		
LGAs	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011
Chikun	187	72	72	6	17	36
Zaria	70	33	37	4	5	0
Kagarko	181	74	75	14	27	24
Birnin Gwari	150	67	69	6	13	9
Sanga	168	57	72	10	19	0
Soba	174	69	76	1	0	0

Source: Kaduna Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 26. Percentage of Schools with no Toilets – Kano

LGAs	Primary School			Junior Secondary School		
	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011
Garun Mallam	64	57	50	20	50	0
Kiru	83	63	75	40	75	3
Kunchi	68	58	63	36	63	2
Makoda	71	66	39	36	39	3
Minjibir	74	63	60	13	60	0
Rimin Gado	77	71	61	83	61	5
Rogo	88	66	50	35	50	3
Ungogo	63	41	60	48	60	3
Wudil	58	37	34	29	34	1

Source: Kano Annual School Census 2009-2011.

Table 27. States in Reading Comprehension and Mathematics 2007 and 2011 (mean percentage score)

	Reading Comprehension, 2007	Mathematics, 2007	Reading Comprehension, 2011	Mathematics, 2011
Kano, Grade 4	0.60%	5.60%	19.99%	20.01%
Kaduna, Grade 4	1.40%	8.70%	25.81%	42.16%
Kwara, Grade 4	6.80%	8.40%	20.06%	20.06%
Kano, Grade 6	14.50%	6.40%	19.99%	20.01%
Kaduna, Grade 6	19.70%	4.80%	45.64%	37.10%
Kwara, Grade 6	18.60%	8.90%	20.06%	19.95%

Source: Johnson, Hsief, Oniborn, 2007; Abe 2011.

Some caveats should be noted about these studies. These evaluations tested a sample of fourth and sixth grade students in Kwara, Kaduna, and Kano using some items from the Monitoring and Learning Achievement (Johnson, Hsieh, Oniborn, 2007; Abe 2011). While the test was the same between the baseline and end study, there was difference in the assessment method to test reading comprehension. The baseline study directly assessed what children were actually able to read by providing a 51 word passage to children, while the end study had enumerators read the passage to students, which tested listening comprehension. As well, each evaluation utilized a different sample of students (baseline sample of grade four students was 1,873 in reading and 1,814 in mathematics; end sample of grade four students was 3,810 in reading and 2,765 in mathematics) and neither controlled for other factors. The process to develop the sample was not described in either report, thus, it is not clear whether the samples are representative of students in project LGAs. Given these limitations, direct comparisons of the 2007 and 2011 results should not be made.

Annex C. List of Persons Met

World Bank

Deborah Newitter Mikesell, Senior Operations Officer

Halil Dundar, Lead Education Specialist

Irajen Appasamy, Senior Operations Officer

Marito Garcia, Lead Human Development Economist

Michelle Nueman, ECD Specialist

Olatunde Adetoyese Adekola, Senior Education Specialist

Peter Matero, Sector Manager Education Africa Region

Shwetlana Sabarwal, Economist

DfID

Barbara Payne, Senior Education Advisor

Ian Attfield, Senior Education Advisor

Kayode Sanni, Project Coordinator ESSPIN

Nick Santcross, Senior Education Advisor

Federal Ministry of Finance

J.O. Adeniran, Assistant Director

National Bureau of Statistics

S.J. Ichedi, Economist/Statistician

Federal Ministry of Education

Bridget Okpa, Director Policy, Planning, Management & Research

Fabowale Ghadebo, Assistant Director Research

Helen Abdu, Assistant Director Gender Education Branch of Basic and Secondary Education Department

Nicini Osiomomo, Assistant Director Multilateral Branch

Zubairu Muhammed, Chief Technical Instructor NEMIS and Statistics Branch

State of Kwara

A.B. Ahmed, M&E State Kwara Ministry of Education

A.F. Iman EMIS Kwara State Ministry of Education

Alhaji Rasaq Alabere, Director Ministry of Education and Human Capital Development
(Former Project Coordinator for Kwara)

Awolola Joseph Kayode, Infrastructure Lead for Project in Kwara

B.A. Salman, Project Procurement in Kwara

David Adebola Oguntunde, Lead of Teacher Professional Development Kwara State

Gold Hanidrat Taruno, Early Childhood Development SUBEB

Hayia Ayelabegan, School Development Scheme in Kwara

Ibrahim Uman, Project Accountant in Kwara

Isiaka Jokotade, ECD Desk Officer ECD SUBEB

Katherine Adeyemi, Former Project Coordinator Kwara

Mallam Bolaji Abdullahi, Honorable Commissioner Education State of Kwara

Mallam Raji Mohammed, Honorable Commissioner Education State of Kwara

Nuhu A. Sakar, Teacher Professional Development Project Core Team Member

O.A. Olanrewayu, Teacher Professional Development Project Core Team Member

O.B. Ayorou, Teacher Professional Development Project Core Team Member

V.O Abuye, Inspectorate in Kwara

Local Government Representatives

A.A. Saka, Education Secretary, Ilorin West

A.N. Issa, Desk Officer Teacher Professional Development, Patigi

Abdmulha Ahmed Iado, Education Secretary Baruten

Alao Suleiman, Desk Officer, Ilorin West

Almyu Usman, Desk Officer School Development Scheme, Patigi

Ibualmy Nallah, Desk Officer School Development Scheme, Asa

J.O. Adewole, Desk Officer, Asa

J.O. Awkunle, Desk Officer, Isin

J.O. Ibiloye, Education Secretary for Isin

J.O. Oladunmade, Desk Officer Teacher Professional Development, Offa

Jimoh Ayegun Bolati, Desk Officer, Ilorin West

Mahmud Aluju, Education Secretary for Patigi

Mosurod Paliata, Desk Officer ECD Ilorin West

S.A. Bello, Desk Officer ECD, Offa

Sakomiya Kilapa, Desk Officer, Asa

Salibru Hanmuna, Desk Officer, Baruten

Ulere Balogun, Desk Officer Teacher Professional Development, Isin

Usman Kote, Education Secretary for Offa

W. Kamaldeen, Education Secretary for Asa

State of Kaduna

Joel Ushman, Project M&E Coordinator for Kaduna

Musa Duniyo, Project Internal Auditor for Kaduna

Schools in Kwara

Abdul-Qwadr Amao Arenu, Chairman School Management Committee

Akanbi John Oladele, Headteacher

Alhgi Basiru, Principal

Alhiya Olateju Bolanle, Headteacher

Ansar-ud-deen LGEA School Ilorin, Kwara

Baboko LGEA School Ilorin West

Babtalkta Are, Member School Management Committee

ECWA LGEA Primary and Junior Secondary School Odo-Eku, Isin LGEA, Kwara

Hazin Junior Secondary School Ilorin West

Joel M. Afolayan, Chairman School Based Management Committee

Kafat Salavdsen, Pre-primary Teacher

Mrs. Omidiji Alice Bode, Principal

Ms. Esther Abjodun Aderinto, Headteacher

Ms. Jaiyeda, Teacher Class 6

Ms. S.M. Bello, Headteacher

Ms. Shakirat Gbodofu, Teacher Class 5

Ms. V.A. Dada Pre-primary Teacher

Murthala Adinimole, Vice Chairman School Management Committee

Ogele Primary School Asa LGEA, Kwara

Omlara Ajadi, Pre-primary Teacher

Saka Kubarat Abeb, Pre-primary Teacher

St John's Primary and Junior Secondary School, Ilorin, Kwara

Annex D. Borrower Comments

From: "Kaduna State, Ministry of Education" <kaduna_moe@yahoo.com>
To: "scaceres@worldbank.org" <scaceres@worldbank.org>
Date: 04/08/2014 07:21 AM
Subject: RE: PPAR

Sir/Madam

Please find below a draft of our response to the PPAR.

KADUNA STATE RESPONSE TO THE ESP (P096151) DRAFT BY THE IEG

1. PRINCIPAL RATING pg v

All the ratings are in order but we wished that the PPAR's rating on 'outcome' which is 'moderately unsatisfactory' would have been 'moderately satisfactory' since the project design, though might not have been perfect, but was tailored towards the stated objectives. To a larger extend, the efficacy dimension was equally achieved. However this observation is subject to the results sheet presented.

2. LESSONS pg x

All lessons drawn from this project are accurate. If there will be another SESP or similar projects, and I hope there will be lessons drawn will be very useful. This lesson will need to be taken up early to succeed.

3. EFFICIENCY pg 28

This project was executed in just 3years 2 months as rightly observed. The execution time was very short against the original plan. We wish we had full time.

CONCLUSION

We acknowledged and commended the thorough work of the IEG which has opened our eyes to so many potholes from design to implementation. We appreciate all observations and ratings. We appreciate the entire concept of the project which has become a guide to government's roadmap for education. However, SEEP was a pilot project which was done in only 3 States and only 6 LGAs out of the project23 Kaduna has. Also only in some few schools in the project LGAs. We will therefore appreciate it much if the IEG will recommend a scaling up to a full national project because the need for education by the teaming population is enormous and the funding gap is very wide for any state to shoulder. There are quite lot children out there who need education but the resources are limited. There are many girls out there who have no option than to go and marry because their parents would rather sponsor the boy child.

Yours sincerely,

Director/PRS
Kaduna State