

IQPP Corporate Audit Report Form and Checklist

Version 7.0 Effective April 1, 2015





IQPP Corporate Audit Report Form Version 7.0

Auditor
Company
Address
Government Authority Identification
Telephone Fax
Person Responsible for Quality Assurance
Date of auditStart Time
(approx.) End Time
Other regulatory and government-agency approvals
Cities regulatory and government agency approvals
Auditor notes unrelated to standards
Auditor Recommendation: [] For Certification/Recertification
[] For Certification/Recertification, pending resolution of issues listed on report form,
Section(s)Page(s)
[] Significant issues listed on report form,
Section(s)/Page(s)
[] Recommend Re-audit within days.
PPTA Review Date Reviewed



Auditor's Statement

As an Auditor for the International Quality Plasma Program (IQPP), I shall not, either directly or indirectly, for myself or for the benefit of or in conjunction with any other person, corporation, partnership, association, agency, department, or other legal entity, use, communicate or otherwise disclose, or permit to be disclosed, any Confidential Information relating to this audit or company without prior written consent of such company; provided, however, Auditor may, only to the extent reasonably necessary or appropriate to the performance of Auditor's duties, disclose such Confidential Information to PPTA or an employee of PPTA for use in the IQPP Certification or a person to whom disclosure is otherwise required by applicable state or federal law or regulation.

All information obtained during audit will be forwarded to PPTA to be made a part of the company's IQPP certification file.

As a consultant appointed by PPTA to perform this company's IQPP audit, I hereby attest that to the best of my knowledge no conflict of interest exists between my current clients and the audited company and/or PPTA.

As a consultant for the purposes of performing the IQPP audit of said company, I certify that the attached audit findings and comments are true and accurate findings based on my observations and record review during the audit.

Auditor Signature	Date
POST AUDIT REVIEW	
	nas reviewed the observations listed in this report. My signature does no I of any of the observations made by the Auditor.
Company Representative	Date
Title	Center Name/Location



IQPP Corporate Audit Checklist Version 7.0

#			No	Rankino
1.	Audit Question Does the company have written procedures or, in the	Yes	110	Ranking Critical
•	case of automated donor process, functional			Ontiour
	documentation (specifications/validation) that conform			
	to the IQPP Qualified Donor Standard?			
2.	Does the company have written procedures to track			Critical
	Applicant Donor Units (orphan units) as to their final			Ontiour
	disposition?			
Διι	ditor Comments on Section A:			
R	- Community Recod Donor Population			
	- Community-Based Donor Population	Vac	No	Panking
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	
	Audit Question Does the company have written procedures in place	Yes	No	Ranking Critical
#	Audit Question Does the company have written procedures in place that conform to the IQPP Community-Based Donor	Yes	No	
# 1.	Audit Question Does the company have written procedures in place that conform to the IQPP Community-Based Donor Standard?	Yes	No	Ranking Critical
	Audit Question Does the company have written procedures in place that conform to the IQPP Community-Based Donor	Yes	No	



	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
	Does the company have written procedures in place			Critical
	that conform to the IQPP Use of the NDDR Standard? ditor Comments on Section C:			
<u>) –</u> !	Donor Education Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
		163	INU	Major
,	Does the company have written procedures in place			,
	that conform to the IQPP Donor Education Standard? Can the company show evidence of donor education material that is provided to the plasma centers to educate donors?			Minor
,	that conform to the IQPP Donor Education Standard? Can the company show evidence of donor education material that is provided to the plasma centers to			,
•	that conform to the IQPP Donor Education Standard? Can the company show evidence of donor education material that is provided to the plasma centers to educate donors?			,
	that conform to the IQPP Donor Education Standard? Can the company show evidence of donor education material that is provided to the plasma centers to educate donors?			,
	that conform to the IQPP Donor Education Standard? Can the company show evidence of donor education material that is provided to the plasma centers to educate donors?			,
\ <u>\</u>	that conform to the IQPP Donor Education Standard? Can the company show evidence of donor education material that is provided to the plasma centers to educate donors?			,



E-	E – Personnel Education and Training			
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
1.	Does the company have a written training guide with			Major
	instructions or procedures to be performed by the			-
	trainee for each relevant plasma center job function?			
2.	Does the company have an annual GMP training policy			Major
	for all plasma center employees?			-
3.	Is there a policy and process in place to verify that			Minor
	plasma center employees (with a functional job related			
	to donor screening, plasma collection, product handling			
	or other similar functions) have attained the minimum			
	level of education required in the Standard?			
A	or other similar functions) have attained the minimum			

Auditor Comments on Section E:

F – Professional Plasma Collection Facility

NOTE: There are no questions from this section that are applicable for the Corporate Audit.

G-	· Complaints			
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
1.	Does the company have written procedures available for receiving, recording and evaluating customer and/or donor complaints?			Major

Auditor Comments on Section G:






H -	Release Procedures			
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
1.	Does QA/responsible person have the authority and			Critical
	responsibility as outlined by the plasma center SOP or			
	job description to stop			
	a) the release of plasma for shipment, if necessary?			
	b) plasma center production, if necessary?			
2.	Does the company have written procedures that outline			Critical
	and instruct QA/responsible person on the specific			
	checks that must be verified as acceptable before			
	plasma units are released?			
3.	Is final plasma release controlled by Quality Assurance			Critical
	personnel or a qualified alternate?			

Auditor Comments on Section H:

	Viral Marker Standard			
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
1.	Does the company have written procedures in place			Critical
	that conform to the IQPP Viral Marker Standard?			
2.	If the data are computer-generated, is the query set up			Major
	to classify Applicant and Qualified Donors according to			
	the IQPP Qualified Donor Standard?			

Auditor Comments on Section I:





J –	Cross Donation Management Standard			
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
1.	Does the company have written procedures that conform to the IQPP Cross Donation Management Standard and contain the following?			Critical
	a) A procedure stating how it will prevent an individual found to be an active donor in more than one center from donating more often than allowed.			
	b) A notification process to inform all known plasma centers within a center's DRA of the opening of a new center and provide all required information to the CDCS no later than 30 days prior to the scheduled opening date.			
	 An articulated process to apply a permanent deferral to a donor who is found to be cross- donating. 			
	d) SOP requiring use of the PPTA Cross Donation Check System (or, where the CDCS is not permissible by law, an alternative national or regional registry, if available, and, where no alternate deferral registry is available, an intracompany process) in accordance with the Standard.			

Auditor Comments on Section J.



K -	K – Standard for Recording Donor Adverse Events			
#	Audit Question	Yes	No	Ranking
1.	Does the company have a documented process for recording known DAEs considered to be associated with any part of a Source Plasma donation program (this includes initial screening, donation, immunization for high titer collections, etc.) following company approved SOPs, and does this process conform to the Standard?			Major
2.	Does the process require centers to record, in the facility's documentation system, DAEs as required by the Standard?			Major

Auditor Comments on Section K:



General Overall Comments:

Ranking Guidelines:

Critical Observations = 50 points each Major Observations = 10 points each

Scoring Guidelines:

A score of 51 points or more will trigger a procedure in which a re-audit in less than one year may occur.