



HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High-risk residential programs are hardware-secure with perimeter fencing and youth placed in these programs are not allowed access to the community. Youth assessed and classified for this level of placement require close supervision in a secure, structured residential setting. Placement in programs at this level is prompted by a concern for public safety that outweighs placement in programs that are less secure. High-risk programs offer limited access to the community during the last sixty days of residential placement for youth assessed to be a low risk to offend while in the community. Youth receive an array of integrated services, including, but not limited to:



In FY 2006-07, 3,057 youth received services from high-risk residential programs and 1,632 youth completed services the previous year.

Of those youth who received services within FY 2006-07:

- **93% were male**
- **55% were non-white.**
- **70% were 15 to 17 years of age.**



Profile of Youth

The following tables provide demographic data taken from the Department's Juvenile Justice Information System. Profile data are based on the number of youth, unduplicated, who were provided services offered by the Residential programs areas during FY 2006-07.

- Diagnostic evaluation, substance abuse and mental health counseling
- Sexual behavior dysfunction intervention
- Gang related behavior intervention
- Pre-vocational and vocational training
- Job training, placement, and skills training
- Parenting skills and individual and family treatment
- Recreation and leisure time activities
- At the time of this report there were 23 high-risk residential programs in operation in the stat

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High-Risk Residential Programs

	AGE					Youth Served
	0-7	8 - 11	12 - 14	15 - 17	18+	
Statewide	0	6	425	2,154	472	3,057
Percentage	0%	0%	14%	70%	15%	100%

	GENDER/RACE						Youth Served
	Male			Female			
	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	
Statewide	1,226	1,593	10	127	101	0	3,057
Percentage	40%	52%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%

Program Listing by Judicial Circuit

The following tables provide a listing of the 23 high-risk residential programs that are currently in operation. The column on the far right denotes any additional specialized services the program is funded to provide. These data are based on the October 2007 Juvenile Justice Information System capacity report. Not all programs referenced here will be reported in the program evaluation section below, as they may have had no releases for FY 2005-06.

High-Risk Residential Listings by Judicial Circuit

Circuit	County	Program Name	Contract Provider	Total Beds	Specialized Beds & Funding Source
Female					
2	Jefferson	Monticello New Life	North American Family Institute	30	20 MHOS/10 RSAT
12	DeSoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk - Female	State Operated	25	25 Dual Diagnosis GR
12	DeSoto	Desoto High Risk Correctional Facility - Female	State Operated	24	None
Subtotal				79	
Male					
1	Walton	NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	North American Family Institute	25	10 MHOS/15 RSAT
1	Walton	NAFI Intensive Halfway House	North American Family Institute	15	None
1	Okaloosa	Okaloosa Youth Development Center Intensive Halfway House	Youth Services International	35	10 MHOS
4	Duval	Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	The Henry & Rilla White Youth Foundation, Inc.	24	13 MHOS/7 RSAT
5	Marion	Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	Three Springs, Inc.	42	9 RSAT Overlay
7	St. Johns	Hastings Youth Academy - High ¹	G4S Youth Services	65	None
7	St. Johns	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	Three Springs, Inc.	50	50 Sex Offender
7	Volusia	Three Springs Sex Offender Program (SOP)	Three Springs, Inc.	33	33 Sex Offender
9	Osceola	(SOP)	Three Springs, Inc.	50	50 Sex Offender
10	Polk	Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	G4S Youth Services	240	240 MHOS
12	DeSoto	DeSoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility - Male	State Operated	73	73 Dual Diagnosis GR
12	DeSoto	Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility - Male	State Operated	30	30 Intensive MH
13	Hillsborough	Hillsborough Academy Intensive Residential Treatment	Sunshine Youth Services	25	24 MHOS
14	Jackson	Arthur G. Dozier School for Boys ¹	State Operated	192	80 MHOS (SOP)
14	Jackson	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ¹	State Operated	64	32 MHOS (SOP)
15	Palm Beach	Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	Youth Services International	80	80 MHOS
15	Palm Beach	Sago Palm Academy	G4S Youth Services	264	56 RSAT/120 MHOS(SOP)
17	Broward	Broward Intensive Halfway House	State Operated	28	12 MHOS
19	Okeechobee	Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center (JOCC) SOP	G4S Youth Services	64	64 Sex Offender
19	Okeechobee	Eckerd Youth Development Center	Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.	143	None
Subtotal				1,542	
High-Risk Residential Programs				1,621	

Bed capacities based on the October 2007 JJIS bed capacity - all beds are subject to change.

¹ These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

Program Evaluation

High-risk residential programs are evaluated through the Department's quality assurance process following a set of standards developed specifically for residential programs. Standards are based on the criteria set by national standards, state policy, and Florida Statutes. The Outcome Evaluation Performance and Program Accountability Measures Performance provide information on recidivism, cost, and program effectiveness.

Quality Assurance Performance

The following table ranks the high-risk residential programs based on their 2007 QA performance score. The Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment Academy, operated by Sunshine Youth Services, and Three Springs Sex Offender program, operated by Three Springs, Inc., received the highest performance rating with an 84%.

Residential QA Performance Rankings

Ranking	Circuit	County	Program Name	Specialized Beds ¹	QA Score
High-Risk Residential Programs					
1	13	Hillsborough	Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment Academy	Specialized Beds	84%
1	7	Volusia	Three Springs Sex Offender Program	Specialized Beds	84%
2	18	Palm Beach	Sago Palm Academy	Specialized Beds	80%
3	19	Okeechobee	Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center High Risk	Specialized Beds	79%
4	12	Desoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk Female	Specialized Beds	78%
4	12	Desoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk Male	Specialized Beds	78%
4	12	Desoto	Desoto High Risk Female Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	78%
4	14	Jackson	Dozier Training School	Specialized Beds	78%
4	7	St. Johns	Hastings Youth Academy High Risk	None	78%
5	12	Desoto	Desoto Juvenile Residential Facility High Risk	Specialized Beds	77%
5	1	Walton	NAFI Intensive Halfway House	None	77%
5	1	Walton	NAFI SHOP	Specialized Beds	77%
5	10	Polk	Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	77%
6	2	Jefferson	Monticello New Life	Specialized Beds	75%
7	5	Marion	Marion County Juvenile Correctional Facility	None	70%
7	7	St. Johns	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	70%
8	1	Okaloosa	Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House	Specialized Beds	69%
9	19	Okeechobee	Eckerd Youth Development Center	None	68%
10	17	Broward	Broward Intensive Halfway House	Specialized Beds	66%
11	4	Duval	Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	Specialized Beds	63%
12	15	Palm Beach	Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	62%
13	9	Osceola	Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility Sex Offender Program	Specialized Beds	60%
-	14	Jackson	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ^{2 & 3}	Specialized Beds	-

¹ Programs with specialized beds receive funding from one or more of the following sources: RSAT, IMHS, MHOS, or BHOS (refer to the residential chapter for full descriptions).

² These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

³ This program was reviewed as part of the Dozier Training Schools and does not have unique QA score.

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Outcome Evaluation Performance

Program profile summaries and outcomes, including total releases, the percentage of youth adjudicated for offenses committed during placement (ODP), number of completions and completion rates, demographic characteristics, average length of stay and recidivism rates, are presented in the following table. Program profile summaries and outcomes are based on the number of youth who completed a high-risk residential program in FY 2005-06.

High-Risk Residential: Program Profile Summaries and Outcomes on Youth Completions FY 2005-06

Program Name	Completions										
	Total Releases	Percent ODP ¹	Total		Percent Male	Percent Black	Percent Hispanic	Average Age at Admission	Average Prior Seriousness Index	Average Length of Stay	Recidivism Rate
			N ⁴	Percent							
Female											
Monticello New Life	45	13%	36	80%	0%	53%	6%	16.9	21.1	276	22%
Orange Intensive Halfway House ²	28	7%	22	79%	0%	55%	23%	16.4	17.5	302	27%
Vernon Place - Female ²	53	17%	43	81%	0%	51%	14%	16.6	17.7	303	21%
Subtotal	126	13%	101	80%	0%	52%	13%	16.7	18.9	294	23%
Male											
Broward Intensive Halfway House	29	10%	28	97%	100%	93%	7%	16.1	26.6	325	57%
Cypress Creek Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - High ³	73	7%	60	82%	100%	58%	7%	16.8	29.8	300	49%
Arthur G. Dozier School for Boys ^{2 3}	102	3%	91	89%	100%	68%	7%	16.8	28.3	376	48%
Eckerd Youth Development Center	98	6%	79	81%	100%	59%	13%	16.8	37.9	445	42%
Everglades Youth Development Center ²	131	8%	71	54%	100%	52%	23%	16.8	38.8	281	49%
Hastings Youth Academy - High ²	76	14%	65	86%	100%	72%	6%	16.8	24.7	287	38%
<i>Hillsborough IRT - Youth Services International²</i>	22	9%	3	14%	100%	67%	0%	12.0	27.3	664	100%
<i>Hillsborough Academy Intensive Residential Treatment</i>	12	17%	10	83%	100%	80%	0%	13.4	27.7	212	50%
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - High Risk ^{2 3}	60	5%	58	97%	100%	62%	7%	16.9	36.0	347	44%
Manatee Youth Academy ²	25	4%	23	92%	100%	48%	35%	16.8	31.6	289	57%
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility - Global Redirections ^{2 3}	69	12%	30	43%	100%	50%	10%	16.8	31.8	285	54%
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility - Three Springs, Inc.	24	21%	18	75%	100%	67%	6%	17.1	28.6	177	61%
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	25	12%	22	88%	100%	36%	18%	16.4	35.1	226	36%
NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP) ³	31	3%	29	94%	100%	52%	7%	16.9	33.5	292	39%
Okaloosa Youth Development Center Intensive Halfway House	42	7%	40	95%	100%	48%	5%	16.5	37.1	324	43%
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	24	0%	23	96%	100%	61%	17%	16.6	28.0	202	65%
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	220	5%	205	93%	100%	59%	10%	16.9	36.0	325	46%
Sago Palm Academy - Youth Development Center	142	8%	57	40%	100%	70%	11%	16.6	31.5	316	56%
Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	26	0%	23	88%	100%	83%	4%	16.7	17.7	275	43%
Subtotal³	1,231	7%	935	76%	100%	61%	10%	16.7	32.7	321	47%
Female Mental Health											
Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk - Female	19	11%	18	95%	0%	39%	11%	16.9	29.1	319	39%
Florida Institute For Girls ²	34	21%	16	47%	0%	38%	19%	17.1	20.9	228	25%
Umatilla Juvenile Residential Facility ²	97	6%	87	90%	0%	63%	6%	16.5	23.8	220	33%
Subtotal	150	10%	121	81%	0%	56%	8%	16.7	24.2	236	33%
Male Mental Health											
Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility - Male	67	6%	66	99%	100%	27%	20%	16.7	33.5	427	45%
Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility - Male	22	18%	22	100%	100%	27%	9%	16.2	33.5	468	41%
<i>Sago Palm Academy - Mental Health Overlay</i>	4	0%	1	25%	100%	100%	0%	18.8	53.0	80	100%
Subtotal	93	9%	89	96%	100%	28%	17%	16.6	33.7	433	45%

1 ODP is the percent of releases adjudicated for an offense during supervision.

2 These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

3 These programs also include releases to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs. These releases are counted as completions in the associated table. Recidivism for those youth released to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs is not however included in this table

4 Italics indicate the program completed less than 15 youth and care should be taken in interpreting these data.

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High-Risk Residential: Program Profile Summaries and Outcomes on Youth Completions FY 2005-06 (continued)

Program Name	Total Releases	Percent ODP ¹	Completions								
			Total		Percent Male	Percent Black	Percent Hispanic	Average Age at Admission	Average Prior Seriousness Index	Average Length of Stay	Recidivism Rate
			N ⁴	Percent							
Sex Offender											
Arthur G. Dozier School for Boys Sex Offender Program (SOP) ²	38	11%	33	87%	100%	45%	0%	15.8	20.6	742	33%
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center SOP ²	16	0%	16	100%	100%	31%	0%	16.0	17.3	689	25%
Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility Sex Offender Program (SO)	42	10%	38	90%	100%	47%	11%	16.0	16.3	572	13%
Manatee Adolescent Treatment ²	57	5%	30	53%	100%	30%	3%	15.6	17.9	527	23%
Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center (JOCC) SOP	42	5%	42	100%	100%	45%	10%	15.7	16.2	520	21%
Sago Palm Academy - Sex Offender Program	82	9%	79	96%	100%	48%	18%	16.0	18.2	518	28%
St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	39	13%	30	77%	100%	37%	7%	14.0	17.2	533	17%
Three Springs Sex Offender Program (SOP)	26	8%	25	96%	100%	28%	0%	15.7	15.6	505	24%
Subtotal	342	8%	293	86%	100%	42%	9%	15.7	17.5	561	24%
Male Substance Abuse											
Sago Palm Academy - Pathfinders	56	2%	54	96%	100%	39%	20%	17.0	32.1	308	28%
Sago Palm Academy - Trailblazers	42	2%	39	93%	100%	69%	10%	17.2	30.4	177	38%
Subtotal	98	2%	93	95%	100%	52%	16%	17.1	31.4	253	32%
High-Risk Residential Programs	2,040	8%	1,632	80%	86%	54%	11%	16.5	28.5	358	39%

1 ODP is the percent of releases adjudicated for an offense during supervision.

2 These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

3 These programs also include releases to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs. These releases are counted as completions in the associated table. Recidivism for those youth released to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs is not however included in this table

4 Italics indicate the program completed less than 15 youth and care should be taken in interpreting these data.

Program Accountability Measures (PAM) Performance

The desired outcome of juvenile justice programs is to reduce future offending in a cost-effective manner. However, by legislative and program design, programs serve youth whose risk to re-offend varies widely. These factors affect the likelihood that youth will recidivate. A simple comparison of program recidivism rates does not take these factors into account. In fact, such a method would unfairly penalize programs that serve the most challenging youth. It is therefore important that outcome measurement take into consideration the risk factors that influence the likelihood of re-offending for the youth released from each program.

The Program Accountability Measures model takes into account the risk factors of the youth completing each program and estimates the probability of those youth recidivating. In doing so, it is possible to calculate how well a program is expected to perform based on the risk of re-offending of the youth completing the program, and to compare this to how well those youth actually performed after release. This ensures that programs serving more difficult youth are not held to inequitable standards due to the higher risk of re-offending of the youth they serve, and provides a realistic measure of program effectiveness for those programs serving less challenging youth.

A Program Accountability Measures score is calculated for each program to provide a program rank based on its effectiveness and cost relative to other commitment programs. The Program Accountability Measures score is derived from a formula based on effectiveness as measured by reduced re-offending and cost per youth completing the program. The following table provides Program Accountability Measures scores by gender, restrictiveness level, and effectiveness based upon youth released in FY 2005-06, and followed for recidivism through June 30, 2007. All Program Accountability Measures tables and outputs can be found at: www.djj.state.fl.us/

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High Risk Residential: Program Accountability Measures (PAM) Performance Youth Completions FY 2005-06

Program Type/Program Name	N	Observed Recidivism Rate	Percent Difference ¹	Cost per Completion	Effectiveness Category	Cost Category	PAM Score
Female High Risk Residential							
Vernon Place - Female ²	43	21%	-1%	\$53,009	Effective	High	69
Monticello New Life	36	22%	0%	\$42,842	Average	High	70
Florida Institute For Girls ²	16	25%	0%	\$45,425	Average	High	70
Orange Intensive Halfway House ²	22	27%	0%	\$57,698	Average	High	68
Umatilla Juvenile Residential Facility ²	87	33%	4%	\$56,764	Below Average	High	59
Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk - Female	18	39%	9%	\$268,059	Least Effective	High	35
Male High Risk Residential							
Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility Sex Offender Program (SOP)	38	13%	-15%	\$71,830	Highly Effective	High	96
St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	30	17%	-14%	\$84,261	Highly Effective	High	94
Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center (JOCC) SOP	42	21%	-9%	\$80,726	Highly Effective	High	85
Three Springs Sex Offender Program (SOP)	25	24%	-9%	\$73,881	Highly Effective	High	86
Manatee Adolescent Treatment Services Sex Offender Program (SOP) ²	30	23%	-9%	\$70,954	Highly Effective	High	86
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center SOP ²	16	25%	-9%	\$87,104	Highly Effective	High	83
Sago Palm Academy - Pathfinders	54	28%	-5%	\$38,967	Highly Effective	Moderate	83
Sago Palm Academy - Sex Offender Program	79	28%	-4%	\$57,153	Effective	High	77
Arthur G. Dozier School for Boys Sex Offender Program (SOP) ²	33	33%	-2%	\$129,729	Effective	High	61
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	22	36%	-1%	\$31,178	Effective	Moderate	72
Sago Palm Academy - Trailblazers	39	38%	0%	\$32,049	Average	Moderate	72
Hastings Youth Academy - High ²	65	38%	0%	\$32,745	Average	Moderate	72
Okaloosa Youth Development Center Intensive Halfway House	40	43%	0%	\$35,852	Average	Moderate	71
Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	23	43%	0%	\$45,013	Average	High	70
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ²	58	44%	0%	\$48,043	Average	High	69
NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	29	39%	0%	\$49,534	Average	High	69
Eckerd Youth Development Center	79	42%	0%	\$102,760	Average	High	60
Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility - Male	22	41%	0%	\$113,360	Average	High	58
Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility - Male	66	45%	1%	\$141,914	Below Average	High	54
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	205	46%	1%	\$47,482	Below Average	High	68
Arthur G. Dozier School for Boys ²	91	48%	1%	\$65,880	Below Average	High	63
Everglades Youth Development Center ²	71	49%	2%	\$46,374	Below Average	High	64
Cypress Creek Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - High	60	49%	2%	\$33,391	Below Average	Moderate	67
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility - Global Redirection Services ²	30	54%	5%	\$29,071	Least Effective	Moderate	60
Sago Palm Academy - Youth Development Center	57	56%	6%	\$34,510	Least Effective	Moderate	59
Manatee Youth Academy ²	23	57%	7%	\$40,616	Least Effective	High	53
Broward Intensive Halfway House	28	57%	8%	\$48,546	Least Effective	High	51
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility - Three Springs, Inc.	18	61%	11%	\$44,231	Least Effective	High	44
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	23	65%	14%	\$37,953	Least Effective	Moderate	40

¹ Percentages have been rounded to the nearest whole percent.

² Program either closed, changed names, changed providers or had a structural programmatic change.

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Program Expenditures

The following table provides total state versus federal expenditures for high-risk residential programs and includes self-reported federal expenditures from private provider organizations. The source documents from which these amounts were derived are as follows: Florida Accounting Information Resource Report at Level 2 for period July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007; Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006; and program cost affidavits submitted by private provider organizations. The first two sources are submitted to the Florida Department of Financial Services and used by the State Auditor General's Office for their audit of the Department's expenditures of federal funds.

State and Federal Expenditures FY 2006-07

CONTRACT PROVIDER PROGRAM NAME	STATE EXPENDITURES			FEDERAL EXPENDITURES			TOTAL State + Federal Expenditures		
	Contract #	DJJ Contract Expenditures	Other State Expenditures	Total State Expenditures	Medicaid/ BHOS	National School Lunch		Other Federal Expenditures	Total Federal Expenditures
Correction Services of Florida, LLC									
Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	D7E01	1,171,623	0	1,171,623	0	0	0	1,171,623	
Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.									
Eckerd Youth Development Center	P7044	8,741,842	0	8,741,842	0	177,481	0	8,919,323	
Florida Department of Juvenile Justice									
Broward Intensive Halfway House	NA	1,645,024	0	1,645,024	0	0	0	1,645,024	
DeSoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility - Male	NA	7,749,921	0	7,749,921	0	0	0	7,749,921	
Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk - Female	NA	2,520,204	0	2,520,204	0	0	0	2,520,204	
Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility - Male	NA	3,044,957	0	3,044,957	0	0	0	3,044,957	
Desoto High Risk Correctional Facility - Female	NA	1,789,423	0	1,789,423	0	0	0	1,789,423	
Arthur G. Dozier School for Boys ¹	NA	11,456,871	0	11,456,871	0	0	0	11,456,871	
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ²	NA	3,476,130	0	3,476,130	0	0	0	3,476,130	
G4S									
Cypress Creek Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ³	E5E01	1,764,601	0	1,764,601	0	0	0	1,764,601	
Hastings Youth Academy	G8E01	2,360,619	0	2,360,619	0	0	0	2,360,619	
Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center (JOCC) SOP ³	T5F01	3,612,973	0	3,612,973	0	0	0	3,612,973	
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	J8G01	10,797,936	0	10,797,936	0	0	0	10,797,936	
Sago Palm Academy ⁴	O8H01	11,575,956	0	11,575,956	0	0	0	11,575,956	
North American Family Institute									
Monticello New Life	R2004	1,708,405	0	1,708,405	0	47,674	25,129	1,781,208	
NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	A8004	1,160,874	0	1,160,874	0	41,493	36,108	1,238,475	
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	A8004	987,676	0	987,676	0	24,896	21,665	1,034,237	
Sunshine Youth Services									
Hillsborough Academy Intensive Residential Treatment	M8G02	1,377,124	0	1,377,124	0	0	0	1,377,124	
Three Springs, Inc.									
Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility Sex Offender Program (SOP)	I3F10	2,908,998	0	2,908,998	0	0	0	2,908,998	
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	E6E05	1,754,485	0	1,754,485	0	0	0	1,754,485	
St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	R4H01	2,742,562	0	2,742,562	0	0	0	2,742,562	
Three Springs Sex Offender Program (SOP)	M8G01	1,966,691	0	1,966,691	0	0	0	1,966,691	
Youth Services International Southeastern, Inc.									
Okaloosa Youth Development Center Intensive Halfway House ⁵	P7024	1,560,548	0	1,560,548	234,120	0	0	1,794,668	
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	R2016	3,852,728	0	3,852,728	0	0	0	3,852,728	
Programs that closed									
Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.									
Vernon Place - Female	N6D02	357,695	0	357,695	0	6,667	0	364,362	
Florida Department of Juvenile Justice									
Orange Intensive Halfway House	NA	1,228,353	0	1,228,353	0	0	0	1,228,353	
Diversified Behavioral Health Solutions, Inc.									
Umatilla Juvenile Residential Facility	F7G03	1,020,704	no affidavit	1,020,704	no affidavit	no affidavit	no affidavit	1,020,704	
Manatee County Sheriff's Office									
Manatee Youth Academy	L5G02	957,395	0	957,395	0	5,450	9,218	972,063	
TOTAL		\$95,292,314	\$0	\$95,292,314	\$234,120	\$303,661	\$92,120	\$629,901	\$95,922,215

Sources: Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, Office of Management and Budget.
Provider self-reported funding excluding DJJ Contract expenditures.

Did not report indicates the provider did not respond to a certified letter requesting funding information.

¹ Dozier Training School for Boys received \$6,762,544.39 for high risk beds and \$4,694,326.61 for sex offender beds.

² Jackson Juvenile Offender Correction Center received \$1,989,571.91 for high risk beds and \$1,486,558.47 for high risk sex offender beds. The program also received DJJ funding for maximum risk beds.

Those expenditures are included in the maximum risk chapter.

³ Program also received DJJ funding for maximum risk beds. The expenditures are included in the maximum risk chapter.

⁴ Sago Palm received \$1,842,384.05 for high risk beds, \$3,398,695.84 for sex offender beds, \$2,476,096.81 for Pathfinders, \$2,266,813.96 for those youth receiving Mental Health Overlay Services, and \$1,591,964.86 for Trailblazers.

⁵ Program also received DJJ funding for moderate risk beds. The expenditures are included in the moderate risk chapter.