



# Index

## A

ABA. *See* American Bar Association (ABA)  
Abney, Sherrie R., 10, 176, 201  
ACR. *See* Association for Conflict Resolution (ACR)  
Active listening, 5, 57, 119, 203  
ADR. *See* Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)  
Adversarial perspective, change from, 1–20  
Advice, 46, 57, 58, 61, 68, 98, 147  
Advisors, personal board of, 166  
Agenda setting, 35–38, 43, 52, 54, 203  
Agreement making: and designing agreement-making process, 84; handling resistance to, 99–100; starter toolbox for, 88–104; toolbox approach to, 77–88  
Alcohol abuse, 39, 124, 144–145  
All-way veto, 55  
Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 203  
Amazon.com, 79  
American Bar Association (ABA), 3, 61, 172;  
Dispute Resolution Section, 3, 112; Ethics Committee, 136; Ethics Opinion 07-447, 136, 137; Family Law Section, 3; Louis M. Brown Legal Access Award, 61; Section on Litigation, 30; Standing Committee on Delivery of Legal Services, 139; 2007 Ethical Opinion, 22  
American Psychological Association, 3  
Anger, 173  
Apologies, 89, 194

Appeasement, collaboration *versus*, 12  
Arbitration, 132, 203  
Assets: managing, 36, 37, 57, 175; voluntary disclosure of, 25, 148–149  
Association for Conflict Resolution (ACR), 3; Peacemaker Museum Taskforce, 3  
Association of Family and Conciliation Courts, 4, 135, 139  
Asymptomatic regular parenting meeting, 104  
Australia, 109, 138, 203  
Australian Family Law Council, 138  
*Avoiding Litigation: A Guide to Civil Collaborative Law* (Abney), 176  
Axelrod, Robert, 12

## B

Baltimore, Maryland, 138–139  
Bargaining range, 49, 204  
BATNA. *See* Best alternative to negotiated agreement (BATNA)  
Beck, C. J., 13, 68  
Berg, Nancy Zalusky, 29–30  
Bergman, P., 24, 203  
Best alternative to negotiated agreement (BATNA), 204  
Best practices: to ensure competence, 127–150; key resources for, 133–139; three categories of, in, 128  
Betrayal, 173  
Binder, D. A., 24, 203

Blyth, Don, 113  
Boilerplate, 25, 102  
Boston Law Collaborative Collective, 30, 90, 112, 118  
Boston, Massachusetts, 112  
Boundaries, 54, 159, 196  
Bowen, Murray, 82–83  
Bowling, D., 20, 90  
Brainstorming, 168, 204  
Breakout rooms, 204  
Breaks, 80, 204  
*Bringing Peace into the Room* (Bowling and Hoffman), 20, 90  
Brown, Louis M., 4, 208  
Budget, estimated, 93  
Burnett, Nan Waller, 190, 191  
Bush, R.A.B., 11, 209  
Bushfield, Nora Kalb, 176

## C

California, 62–63, 137, 161; Notice of Limited Scope Representation Court Form, 63  
California Assembly, 137  
California Family Code Section 2013, 137  
California Supreme Court, 105  
California Western School of Law, 4; Center for Creative Problem Solving, 4  
Call-to-case ratio, 204  
*Calm in the Face of the Storm: Spiritual Daily Practice for the Peacemaker* (Burnett), 190–191  
Cameron, Nancy, 2, 19, 29, 190, 191, 202  
Cameron, S., 174  
Cameron, Nancy, 43  
Canada, 109, 134, 138, 145  
Caucus, 65, 204  
CDP. *See* Collaborative divorce professional (CDP)  
CDR Associates, 208  
Child abuse, 53, 140  
Child specialist, 51, 54–56, 107, 115, 204  
Children: of divorce, 23, 26, 27, 36, 52–55, 63, 117, 121, 124; emotional issues of, 175; fear of losing, 173  
*Children in the Middle* (DVD), 53  
*Children: The Experts of Divorce* (DVD), 53  
Circle of Conflict, 80  
Client coach, 164, 204–205  
Client library, 180–181  
Clients: conflict of duty to colleagues or, 142–143; education of, 179–181; guide for discussion with, on collaborative practice, 146–150; offering intangible benefits to, 181–183; presenting own proposals, 85; providing educational information to, 180; as responsible for own agreements, 5–6, 40–41; right of lawyer in same firm to represent, 141  
Closed practice groups, 110–111  
Closing statements, 103  
CME. *See* Confidential mini-evaluation (CME)  
Coben, James, 138  
Cohen, Jerry, 123  
Collaborative Alliance, Inc. (Edina, Minnesota), 112–113  
Collaborative approach: *versus* appeasement, 12; development of, 2–5; as interdisciplinary approach, 5–6; paradigm change from adversarial to, 1–20; and treating negotiation room as last stop in dispute resolution, 8–10  
Collaborative coaching, 51, 71–74  
*Collaborative Connection* (International Academy of Collaborative Professionals), 108  
Collaborative conversation checklists, 25, 30  
Collaborative divorce, 21–58; as beginning of paradigm shift, 20; and mediation, 64–76; and unbundled legal services, 59–64. *See also* Collaborative divorce organizations; Collaborative divorce practice, career in; Collaborative divorce process  
*Collaborative Divorce* (Tesler and Thompson), 60  
Collaborative divorce organizations: and closed practice groups, 110–111; and collaborative practice groups, 109; and interdisciplinary collaborative group practices, 111–113; and International Academy of Collaborative Professionals, 106–111; and open practice groups, 109–110  
Collaborative divorce practice, career in, 151–169; and basic interdisciplinary col-

- laborative divorce training program, 168–169; and clarity about fees, 183–185; and collaborative services for nonfamily civil matters, 176–178; commitment needed for, 160–169; developing collaborative professional signature for, 163–164; and establishing personal board of advisors, 166; and identifying target market, 165; and increasing telephone and e-mail intake procedures, 179; and integrity, 152; and marketing self, 185–188; and offering intangible benefits to clients, 181–183; and offering mediation services, 178; overview of, 151–152; personal attributes and skill set for, 152–160; personal qualities for, 154–155; and premarital and post marital agreements, 174–176; professional qualities for, 153–154; and providing client library, 180–181; and providing educational information to clients, 180; qualities for working with parties in, 155–157; and serving as expert in mediations and other collaborative engagements, 178; and skills at table, 157–160; and study group for collaborative professionals, 166–167; and substantive courses inside and outside own profession, 169; training and conferences for, 167; and trust, 152
- Collaborative divorce process: attorneys and parties committed to refrain from threatening or taking court action in, 28; and collaborative communications and documents as confidential and inadmissible in court, 28; confidentiality of, 149; and convening, 41; drafting settlement documents in, 44–45; financial professionals in, 56–58; intake, screening, and informed consent in, 38–39; and meaning of collaborative, 30–45; meetings and contact between joint sessions in, 44; mutual balance and respect in, 38; office preparation for, 38; opportunity to save time and money in, 149; orientation, contracting, and process-setting joint session for, 43; and participation agreement with court disqualification clause, 28–30; parties as key players in resolving divorce issues in, 27–28; and preliminary planning meetings, 43; as process favored by judges and courts, 33; role of lawyers in, 45–50; role of mental health professionals in, 50–56; sample agenda for, 35–38; signing and closure meeting in, 45; and summary letters of session, 44; working joint sessions for, 43–44
- Collaborative divorce process, lawyers in: as advocate, 46–47; as ally, 47; and client education, 48; as coach, 47; as consultant, 47; and counselor at law, 48; as drafter or ghostwriter, 50; and fact gathering, 49; and legal research, 49; as negotiator and negotiation coach, 49–50; as preventive legal health provider, 50; and process management, 48; as representative, 46; roles of, 46–47
- Collaborative divorce process, mental health professionals in: as child specialist, 54–56; as divorce coach, process facilitator, or communications coach, 52–54; as medical physician, 56; overview of, 50–52; as person in shadows, 56; roles of, 52–54
- Collaborative divorce professional (CDP), 96–99, 143, 205
- Collaborative Family Law: Another Way to Resolve Family Disputes*, 194
- Collaborative joint sessions, summary letter of, 209
- Collaborative Law: model, 107
- Collaborative law, 29, 205; and commitment to healing of family, 26; definition of, 31; direct and open communication in, 23–25; and principles of respect and dignity, 22–23; question about lawyer not trained in, 143–144; respect and dignity in, 22–23; and use of interest-based negotiations and mutually beneficial solutions, 26–27; and use of required forms and agreement templates as collaborative conversation checklists, 25; using mediation with, 66–68; values and principles of, 21–27; and voluntary and full disclosure of information and documents, 25–26
- Collaborative Law* (Tesler), 3, 6
- Collaborative Law: A New Model for Dispute Resolution* (Gutterman), 55

- Collaborative Law Training Manual*, 137
- Collaborative participation agreement, 93, 132–133
- Collaborative practice: benefits and risks of, 145–150; as consumer-oriented one-stop shopping, 34; definition of, 31; and early intervention, 34; guide for discussion with clients on, 146–150; guidelines and principles, 146; seven ways mediation intersects with, 68–76
- Collaborative practice, building profitable, 169; investment of time and dollars in, 172–173; and offering other additional collaborative professional services, 173–178
- Collaborative Practice: Deepening the Dialogue* (Cameron), 19, 202
- Collaborative Practice-California, 109
- Collaborative Prenuptial Agreement, 176
- Collaborative Professional Locator, 108
- Collaborative professional team, solidifying, 94
- Collaborative professionals: commitment of, to interdisciplinary approach, 13–15; conflicts between, 76; controlling struggles among, 125–126; definition of effective settlement for, 10–12; and focus on conduct of negotiation process, 13–15; orientation of, 67; and settlements based on common interests, 12–13; and specialized training, 32–33; study group for, 166–167; trained, 147; and treating negotiating room as last stop in dispute resolution, 8–10; and use of candor and transparency to find solution, 15–17
- Collaborative Review* (International Academy of Collaborative Professionals), 108, 139
- Collaborative statutes, lack of, in state or county, 145
- Collaborative team approach: fees for, 115–117; financial professional's involvement with other team members in, 119; providing *versus* building team for, 119; seven cost variables in, 116–117
- Collaborative Way to Divorce, The* (Ousky and Webb), 28–29
- Colorado Ethics Opinion 115, 136
- Columbia, Missouri, 178
- Comediation, 66, 73, 106, 205
- Commitment clause. *See* Disqualification clause
- Common interests, 12–13
- Commonality, 99, 178, 201
- Communication: direct and open, 23–25, 65; guidelines, 53; improving, 96
- Communications coach, 52
- Compassion, 195
- Competence: and client satisfaction, 127–131; and questions and answers about ethics and competent service, 140–145
- Complete Guide to Mediation* (Mosten), 3, 200
- Comprehensive divorce settlement, 205
- Conciliation, 191, 205
- Conference presentations, 185
- Confidential mini-evaluation (CME), 205
- Confidentiality, 155, 205; of collaborative process, 149; and confidential reports, 122–123; duty to maintain client, 140–141; of expert reports, 125
- Confirmation bias, 206
- Consensus building, 206
- Consultation, 100, 169
- Consulting attorney, 47
- Control struggles, 125–126
- Convening, 41, 89–93, 206
- Cooperation, 192
- Cooperative law, 29, 206. *See also* Disqualification agreement
- Countertransference, 206. *See also* Transference
- Courage, 195
- Court action, 62–63; filing, 41–42
- Court field trip: setting up, 90–91; using, to make parties agreement ready, 91–92
- Cox, G. G., 64
- Craine, Ellen, 182
- Creativity, 126, 159, 193
- Custody evaluation, 132, 178, 205

## D

- Deadlock, 95, 123
- Debriefing, 24, 84, 94, 112, 120, 126, 200; sessions, key criteria for, 126
- Decision making, by parties, 148

*Dialogue* (Ellinor and Gerrard), 202  
Dignity, collaborative law principle of, 22–23  
Direct communication, 65, 148  
Disclosure, voluntary and full, 25–26  
Discrete task representation. *See* Unbundling  
Dispute resolution clause, 206; future, 103  
Dispute resolution manager, 206  
*Disqualification agreement*, 31  
Disqualification clause, 28–31, 140, 146–147, 206  
*Disqualification stipulation*, 31  
Divorce coach, 51, 52; deciding on single neutral, for each party, 117–118; roles of, 52–54. *See also* Collaborative coaching  
Divorce Cooperation Institute (Wisconsin), 29  
Divorce professionals, avoiding control struggles among, 125–126  
Domestic violence, 53, 173  
Drafting, 62

## E

Early intervention, 34  
Ellinor, Linda, 202  
Emery, Robert, 26  
Emotional power, 53–54  
Emotional support, 54  
Empathy, 155  
Empowerment, 192  
England, 109  
Equidistancing, 66  
Ethical opinions by ABA and state and local bodies, 136–137  
Ethical Standards for Collaborative Practitioners, 133  
*Evolution of Cooperation* (Axelrod), 12

## F

Fact collection, 61–62  
Fairness, 192  
Family: commitment to healing of, 26  
Family consultant, British mental health professionals' use of term, 47  
*Family Court Review* (Association of Family and Conciliation Courts), 4, 124, 139

Family Law Educational Reform (FLER) Project, 4; and Family Law Educational Reform (FLER) impact test, 6  
*Family Mediation: Facts, Myths, and Future Prospects* (Beck and Sales), 68  
Fear, 53–54, 174; of losing children, 173  
Federal Trade Commission, 69–70  
Fees: clarity about, 183–185; estimating, for collaborative team approach, 115–117  
Feinberg, R., 206, 209  
Finance professionals (FPs), 6, 7, 22, 114, 115; in collaborative divorce process, 56–58; involvement of, with other team members, 119; involving neutral MHP or MHP coaches with, 119; typical duties of, 57  
Financial situations, common, 120–122  
Fisher, R., 11, 27, 204, 207, 210  
FLER. *See* Family Law Education Reform (FLER) Project  
Flexibility, 65, 131, 160  
Focused evaluation, 206  
Folger, J. P., 11, 209  
Foonberg, Jay, 172  
FPs. *See* Finance professionals (FPs)  
Framing, 206–207  
Frankl, Viktor, 9  
Fremont, California, 175–176

## G

Gamache, Susan, 43–44, 155  
Generosity, 195–196  
Germany, 138  
Gerrard, Glenda, 202  
*Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In* (Fisher, Ury, and Patton), 11, 12, 27  
Ghostwriting, 50, 62  
Glassman, Frederick J., 15, 45, 68, 163  
Goldberg, S., 152, 159, 203, 207  
Golden Rule, 22  
Goldman, B., 206, 208, 210  
Great Britain, 138  
Green, Janice, 56  
Greene, J. T., 206, 209  
Grillo, T., 208  
“Gunslinger’s dilemma,” 29

Gushurst, Ann C., 11  
Guterman, Sheila, 55, 56, 126

## H

Haan, R. K., 13  
Hamline School of Law, 138  
Hansen, S. A., 97, 120, 193  
Hazeltine, Ann, 56  
Healing, 196; family, 99–100  
Helplessness, 174  
Hembree, Jan D., 174  
Hoffman, David, 3, 9, 20, 30, 90, 112, 118, 199  
*Hofstra Law Review*, 139  
Hofstra University, 134–135, 139  
Holistic teamwork approach, 34  
Honoring traditions, 197  
Hope, 193–194  
Hopelessness, 174  
Horizontal unbundling, 62–63  
*How to Start and Build a Law Practice* (Foonberg), 172  
Hutchins-Cook, Wendy, 42  
Hynes, James, 115

## I

“I” statements, 96  
Impasse, 40, 207; breaking, 95; dealing with, 101–102  
Informed consent: in collaborative process, 38–39; key resources for, 133  
Initial consultation, 61, 68–70, 117  
Initial positions, identifying and acknowledging, 96–97  
Intake, 38–39, 80, 179, 181  
Integrity, 152  
Interdisciplinary approach, 5–6, 105–126; and building interdisciplinary collaborative community, 114; commitment of collaborative professionals to, 33; and deciding on single neutral MHP or divorce coach for each party, 117–118; and structuring interdisciplinary practice model, 113–126  
Interdisciplinary collaborative divorce training program, 168–169

Interdisciplinary practice model: and avoiding control struggles among divorce professional, 125–126; and building interdisciplinary collaborative community, 114; and confidential or nonconfidential reports, 122–123; and deciding on single party neutral MHP or divorce coach for each party, 117–118; and estimating fees for collaborative team approach, 115–117; financial professional’s involvement with other team members in, 119; involving neutral MHP or MHP coaches with FPs in, 119–122; providing *versus* building team for, 119; structuring, 113–126; and using neutral outside evaluators, 123–125

Interest-based bargaining, 12, 207, 208

Interest-based negotiation, 7, 21, 26–27, 31, 106, 119, 132, 205

International Academy of Collaborative Professionals (IACP), 2, 3, 28–29, 51, 72, 106–111, 115, 119, 162, 174; *Collaborative Connection* (electronic newsletter), 108; *Collaborative Review* (journal), 108; Fall Forum, 111; and local standards of practice, 133–134; “Principles of Collaborative Practice,” 107; research data provided by, 108

International Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers, 30

International Alliance of Holistic Lawyers, 4

Intervention, 86, 207

Intimidation, 53–54

Investigation, 61–62

Israel, Laurie, 175

## J

*Jacobellis v. Ohio*, 153

Jacoby, Len, 105

*Jacoby v. State Bar of California*, 105

James, Lorna, 175–176

Japanese, 171

Jealousy, 173

Joint collaborative professional proposal, 95

Joint sessions, 23–24, 43–44, 49, 52, 54, 209

*Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 139

Judgments, 44, 124, 207

## K

*Kaizen*, 171  
Kitchhaven, Jeff, 201  
Kornhauser, Lewis, 17  
Kovach, K. K., 206, 207

## L

Lande, J., 30, 39, 54, 68, 135  
Lang, M. D., 81  
Lawyer as Problem Solver Award (American Bar Association), 3, 112  
*Lawyer coach*, 47  
*Lawyers as Counselors* (Binder, Bergman, and Price), 24  
“Legal clinic,” 105  
Limited issues evaluation, 206  
Limited scope representation. *See* Unbundling  
Listening, active. *See* Active listening  
Litigation, disqualification of attorneys in, 146–147  
Litigators, bringing in, 101–102  
Living settlement, 44–45  
Lopich, Robert, 177  
Los Angeles, California, 178  
Los Angeles Collaborative Family Law Association (LACFLA), 44, 64, 109, 168  
Los Angeles Superior Court, 33, 72; Rule 14.26, 137  
*Los Angeles Times*, 16  
Louis M. Brown Legal Access Award (American Bar Association), 61  
Lund, Mary Elizabeth, 106, 136  
“Lurking in the Shadows: Dealing with Collateral People and Information in Collaborative Cases” (Green), 56  
Lynch, Dina Beach, 112

## M

Macfarlane, Julie, 2, 66, 143, 190  
Maloney, Stephanie, 123  
Malpractice insurance, 142  
*Man’s Search for Meaning* (Frankl), 9  
Marketing target, 72, 165  
Maslow, Abraham, 78

Massachusetts Collaborative Law Council, 176–177  
McBride, Steve, 122  
Mediate.com, 186  
Mediation, 207; advising clients about, at initial consultation, 69–70; areas of client satisfaction in, 128; basic information about, 66; categories of best practice in, 128; compatibility of, with collaborative divorce, 64–76; and direct communication, 65; and flexible process, 65; meaning of, 31, 205; and negotiation coaching, 65; offering services in, 178; and party decision making, 65; and points of intersection with collaborative practice, 68–76; providing collaborative coaching or representation in, 71–74; and providing unbundled professional services outside mediation sessions, 70; reviewing and drafting agreements reached in, and further negotiating outside mediation sessions, 71; roles in, 70–74; transformative, 29, 209; using, and collaborative law together, 66–68  
*Mediation Career Guide* (Mosten), 162, 172  
Mediation Case Law Project (Hamline School of Law), 138  
*Mediation Process, The* (Moore), 80  
“Mediation to Stay Married” (Israel), 175  
Mediators: bringing in, 74–75; collaborative work with, 200; as marketing target for services, 71; styles of, 67  
Medical physician, use of, 56  
Menkel-Meadow, C., 210  
Mental health professionals (MHPs), 6, 7, 22, 51, 76, 113–115, 207; deciding on single neutral, for each party, 117–118; involving neutral, with FPs, 119; in unbundling, 60  
Mercer, Diana, 79–80  
Meyers, Stephen, 105  
MHPs. *See* Mental health professionals (MHPs)  
Michigan, 84  
Mid-Missouri Collaborative and Cooperative Law Association, 30  
Miller, Susan, 112, 118  
Minimum Standards for Collaborative Practitioners, 133

Minneapolis, Minnesota, 112  
Minnesota, 112  
Missouri State Bar Association, 136–137  
Mnookin, Robert, 17  
*Mom's House, Dad's House* (Ricci), 99  
Moore, C. W., 80, 204, 205, 207, 208  
Mosten, F. S., 3, 39, 42, 54, 91, 106, 135, 172, 200  
Murch, David R., 17  
Mutual balance and respect, 35  
Myers-Briggs and Holland Typology test, 155

## N

Negotiation: coaching, 62, 65; process, conduct of, 13–15; style, 207  
*Negotiation Journal*, 152  
Nesburn, Judith C., 174–175  
*New Lawyer, The* (Macfarlane), 2, 143  
Nonconfidential reports, 122–123  
Normalcy, 99

## O

“Objective values,” 16  
O'Connor, Kathleen M., 13–14  
Office, as educational classroom, 89  
*Ohio, Jacobellis v.*, 153  
Ontario Collaborative Federation, 109  
Open practice groups, 109–110  
Optimism, 197  
Options, 193  
“Our Communication Is Important Too” (Mosten and Kitchhaven), 201  
Ouskey, R. D., 28–29, 35, 41, 68, 112, 155  
Outside evaluators, 123–125  
Overt conflict, managing, 100–101

## P

Paradigm change: from adversarial to collaborative perspective, 1–20; and development of collaborative approach, 2–5; four stages for lawyers making, 6–7; and interdisciplinary approach, 5–6; understanding, 6–17  
Parenting: coordination, 132; guidelines, 53

Participation agreement (PA), 132–133, 146, 207; with court disqualification clause, 28–30  
Parties: commitment of, to refrain from threatening or taking court action, 28; decision-making responsibilities of, 65; identifying and acknowledging initial positions of, 96–97; as key players in resolving divorce issues, 27–28; managing overt conflict between, 100–101; and participation agreement with court disqualification clause, 28–30; qualities while working with, 155–157  
Partnership, 2, 3, 112, 176; decision-making, 63  
Patience, 196  
Patton, B., 11, 27, 204, 207  
Peace, 47, 90, 190, 192  
Peacemaker Museum Taskforce (ACR), 3  
Peacemakers, 7, 190, 199, 200, 207  
Peacemaking, 192; collaborative colleagues for, 200–201; collaborative work with mediators and other, 200; and field experience in peacemaking organizations, 199; twenty-five steps toward, 189–202  
Periodic financial assessments, 104  
PODs. *See* Practice, organizational, and development groups (PODs)  
Positional bargaining, 208  
Post marital agreements, 132  
Power balancing, 66  
Power imbalance, 208  
Practice and organizational development groups (PODs), 109  
Practice development, 169  
Practice, organizational, and development groups (PODs), 208  
*Practicing Therapeutic Jurisprudence: Law As a Helping Profession* (Stolle, Wexler, Winick), 4  
Prenuptial Agreement Mediation, 176  
Preventive law, 208  
Preventive planning meeting, 103  
Price, S., 24, 203  
Private meetings, 204  
Process facilitator, 52



Progress notes. *See* Summary letter of collaborative joint sessions  
*Promise of Mediation, The* (Bush and Folger), 11  
Pruett, M. K., 79–80

## Q

QuickBooks reports, 123

## R

Rambo lawyers, 10  
Rapport, 152  
Rapport-building tools, 89  
Rational problem solving, 195  
Reactive devaluation, 208  
Reality, testing, 160  
Reconciliation, 194–195  
Red flag rule, 100–101  
Redlines, using, 102  
Reframing, 160, 208. *See also* Framing  
*Report to the Attorney General of Australia: Collaborative Practice in Family Law* (Australian Family Law Council), 138  
Research, 61  
Resentment, 173  
Reservation point, 208  
Resistance, to agreement building, 99–100  
Respect, collaborative law principle of, 22–23  
Responsibility, 197  
Revenge, 173  
Ricci, Isolina, 99  
Riskin, Leonard, 26, 67, 73  
Rogers, N., 203  
Role modeling, 208  
Rose, Chip, 64  
Rosenbloom, Sandra M., 174–175  
Rothman, Robert, 30  
Ryan, J., 194

## S

Sadness, 174  
Salem, Peter, 1  
Sales, B. D., 13, 68  
San Diego, California, 109  
Sander, F., 203

Santa Barbara, California, 109  
Satisfaction, client's, 193  
Schepard, Andrew, 1, 5, 134–135  
Schwab, W. H., 64  
Screening, 38–39  
*Sechel*, 159  
“Secrets of Successful (and Unsuccessful) Mediators, Studies II and III” (*Negotiation Journal*), 152  
*Self Representation in Divorce Cases* (Sales, Beck, and Haan), 13  
Self-acceptance, 197  
Self-soothing, 82–83  
Sequential sessions, 209  
Settlement documents, drafting, 44–45  
Shaw, Margaret, 152, 159  
Sherman, E., 174  
Shields, R., 194  
Shoop, Darcy A., 160  
Signing pen, special, 103  
Simulated coaching, 209  
“Sit-behind-the-client” model, 73  
Smallacombe, Ruth, 71  
Smith, V., 194  
Solutions, mutually beneficial, 26–27  
Solvability, 99  
Specialized training, 32–33  
Spirito, Joe, 131  
Standards of practice, 133–134  
*State Bar of California, Jacoby v.*, 105  
State statutes, 137–138  
Statewide Collaborative Practice Group, 109  
*Stealth coaches*, 56  
Stewart, Potter, 153  
Stolle, Dennis, 4  
Study groups, 169  
Substance abuse, 144–145, 173  
Summary letter of collaborative joint sessions, 209  
Supervision, 169  
Suspension, dealing with, 101–102

## T

Talia, Sue M., 139  
Target market, 165

Tax return reports, 123  
Taylor, A., 81  
Termination of process, dealing with, 101–102  
Tesler, Pauline, 3, 6, 7, 41, 60, 76, 111, 190  
Thankfulness, 196  
Thompson, P., 41, 60  
Thompson, Peter, 138  
Tolerance, 195  
Toolbox approach: and acquiring tools, 78–79; for collaborative agreement, 77–104; and designing agreement-making process, 84; and executing strategy, 86; and experimenting with tools, 86; and knowing and organizing tools, 79–81; and learning when and how to use each tool, 82–83; and monitoring post agreement progress of parties and preventing future conflict, 104; monitoring progress in, 86–87; and planning strategies to implement design, 85; and practicing use of tools, 83–84; reflection on use of, 88; and starter toolbox, 88–104; ten steps of, 77–88. *See also* Agreement making  
Toolbox for agreement building, starter, 88–104; and asymptomatic regular parenting meetings, 104; and bringing peace into room, 90; and closing sessions, 103; and completing collaborative participation agreement, 93; and convening collaborative process, 89–93; and court field trip, 90–92; and developing parties' agreement readiness, 96–99; and drafting agreement, 102; and follow-up reminders, 104; and handling resistance to agreement building, 99–100; and improving communication of parties, 96; and managing overt conflict between parties, 90; and periodic financial assessments, 104; and rapport-building tools, 89; and solidifying collaborative professional team, 94. *See also* Agreement making  
Towey, Anne, 112  
Traditions, honoring, 197  
Transference, 209. *See also* Countertransference  
Transformation, 195  
Transformative mediation, 209  
Transparency, 196  
Triad communication system, 73

Trusch, Norma Levine, 158  
Trust, 152, 155, 195  
Trust building, 209–210

## U

UCLA. *See* Uniform Collaborative Law Act (UCLA)  
*Unbundled*, 114  
Unbundled legal services, 138–139; and advice, 61; and court appearances, 62–63; and drafting, 62; and factual collection and investigation, 61–62; how collaborative divorce works with, 59–64; and negotiation, 62; outside collaborative framework, 60–63; and research, 61; and synergies and differences between collaborative practice and unbundling, 63–64; and unbundling, 59–60  
Unbundling, 59–60, 210  
Unbundling Legal Services Web site, 138–139  
Uniform Collaborative Law Act (UCLA), 28, 30, 31, 53, 127, 134–136, 141, 210  
Uniform Law Commission, 134  
Uniform Mediation Act, 28, 134, 135  
United States, 134, 176  
University of Florida, 67  
Ury, W., 11, 27, 204, 207  
U.S. Supreme Court, 153

## V

Vancouver, Canada, 135  
Vancouver Practice group, 109  
“Variations on the Mediation Theme” (Mosten), 56  
Vertical unbundling, 62–63  
Voluntary disclosure, 148–149

## W

Waters, Y. R., 64  
Webb, Stu, 3, 28–29, 41, 107, 190, 193  
Weiss, L., 126  
Welsh, Nancy, 26, 73  
Wexler, David, 4

Whitlatch, Amy, 121  
Wigan, Freda, 58  
Winick, Bruce, 4  
Winter, Elizabeth, 176  
Withdrawal clause. *See* Disqualification clause  
Wolman, Richard, 112, 118

## Y

Yahoo Collaborative Practice Group Listserv,  
134, 139, 174

*Yale Law Journal*, 17  
*Your Divorce Advisor* (Mercer and Pruett),  
79–80

## Z

Zealous advocacy, 16  
Zero-sum game, 210  
Zone of possible agreement (ZOPA), 210  
ZOPA. *See* Zone of possible agreement  
(ZOPA)

