

REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WOOD BUFFALO VENDOR / CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR SERVICES & CONSTRUCTION

ALL FIELDS ARE MANDATORY								
Contractor Name:				Contract Title and Number:				
Purchase Order Number: Contract Term:		Contract Pe	tract Period: From: To:					
Contract Value:			Department:					
Performance Evaluation co	mpleted:							
☐ Six (6) Month Interval ☐ Contract Closeout								
			PERFOR	MANC	E			
EXCEPTIONAL (7)			VERY GOOD (5)			SATISFACTORY (3)		
exceeds many to the District's benefit. The scope of services was accomplished. Corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. All significant program elements,		Meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the District's benefit The scope of services was accomplished with minor problems. Corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective. Significant elements were as planned.		rict's benefit. s problems. by the	or were satisfactory. Some significant program elements are behind what was planned or above the negotiated cost.			
MARGINAL (2)				UNSA	ATISFACTOR	Y (0)	N/A	INSUFFICIENT INFO. TO RATE
Does not meet some contractual requirements. The services was not accomplished. Problems were se contractor has yet to identify corrective actions, or contractor's proposed actions appear only marginal effective or were not implemented. Some significant program elements are significant.			ious. The ne	contra and re in a til contra	not meet mos actual requirer ecovery is not mely manner. actor's correct as appear or w ctive.	nents, likely The ive	Not Applicable	There is not sufficient information to rate performance.
PERFORMANCE					Score	СО		ach additional
RATING					(0,2,3,5,7)		sheets if ne	ecessary)
Work performed in complian contract terms	nce with	□ Excep □ Very 0 □ Satisfa □ Margir □ Unsati □ N/A	Bood actory nal	rate				

Template: Vendor / Contractor Performance Evaluation – Services & Construction

Created: March 2010 Page 1 of 5



PERFORMANO RATING	E	Score (0,2,3,5,7)	COMMENTS (Attach additional sheets if necessary)
Materials, supplies and equipment provided as required?	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Staff availability	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Staff training	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Staff professionalism	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Customer service	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Staff turnover	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		

Template: Vendor / Contractor Performance Evaluation – Services & Construction Created: March 2010 Page 2 of 5



PERFORMANO RATING	E	Score (0,2,3,5,7)	COMMENTS (Attach additional sheets if necessary)
Communication and Accessibility	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Prompt and effective correction of situations and conditions	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Contractor compliance with Wage & Benefits (if appropriate)	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Documentation records, receipts, invoices and computer generated reports received in a timely manner and in compliance with contract specifications	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Licensing requirements met	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		
Insurance certificates and documentation, timely and complete	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate		

Template: Vendor / Contractor Performance Evaluation – Services & Construction Created: March 2010

Page 3 of 5



PERFORMANO RATING	E	Score (0,2,3,5,7)	COMMENTS (Attach additional sheets if necessary)
Safety: Reporting of incidents Completing safety talks with employees Compliance of hazard assessment / site safety plans Total Recordable Injury Rate (TRIR) for the duration of the contract	□ Exceptional □ Very Good □ Satisfactory □ Marginal □ Unsatisfactory □ N/A □ Insufficient info. to rate		
Would you recommend this firm again?	☐ Yes ☐ No (Explain)		

Template: Vendor / Contractor Performance Evaluation – Services & Construction Created: March 2010

Page 4 of 5



REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WOOD BUFFALO VENDOR / CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR SERVICE

OVERALL ASSESSMENT: Total score out of 91	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactor	(10-26)	
Comments:			
Name of Evaluator:			
Name of Evaluator:	(Print)		(Signature)
Title:			Date:
The Procurement Branch will arrange per Vendor Performance Evaluation report.	erformance review	meetings with vendors	s receiving a "Marginal" score or less on a
FISCAL COMPLIANCE:			
Number of Financial Change Orders			
Original Contract/Award Value \$			
Dollar amount of increase (decrease) \$_			
Percent of increase (decrease)	%		
Reason for increase (decrease)			
MANAGER/DIRECTOR REVIEW:			
Title			Signature

Template: Vendor / Contractor Performance Evaluation – Services & Construction

Date

Created: March 2010