APPENDIX C: SAMPLE RUBRIC FOR EVALUATING STUDENT PAPERS

Title ______Reviewer _____

Manuscript Review: Literature review

Author _____ Draft ____ Date _____

CONTENT (30)	A clear purpose and focus are established through selection and presentation of sources. Information is accurate and complete. 30	Information from various sources not integrated.	Incomplete description of studies/literature cited to understand relevance or conclusions.	Purpose and focus need clarification Information incorrect or insufficient for this topic.
ORGANIZATION	Organization is clear and aids in under-standing main points.	Transitions between paragraphs and/or sections are weak or missing. Levels of headings incorrect or inconsistent	Paragraphs do not have clear and distinct focus. Order of sections or paragraphs confusing. Headings not adequate/appropriate 5	Logical connection between points is not apparent.
(20)	20	10		0
SUPPORT/ DOCUMENTATION	Appropriate and sufficient references selected ; sources correctly cited and referenced; content synthesized.	Occasional ambiguity where ideas have come from. Conclusions are reported without support. Reliance on secondary sources.	References reported without synthesis with other works; inaccurate or ambiguous citations. Lack of distinction between research findings and opinions. Sources cited not peer- reviewed. 3	Inappropriate or insufficient references; material included without adequate citation; sections lifted from sources without putting into own words. Woks cited not in bibliography. 0
(10) STYLE (10)	Tone is professional and individual throughout. Presentation is interesting, fluent and coherent.	Syntax or vocabulary is stilted or overly complex.	Needs to move away from cliches or vernacular. Word choice imprecise or inaccurate. Unnecessary or redundant words or information. 3	Different sections of paper have different style rather than reflecting a consistent style. Uses very simple or repetitive words or sentences. 0
USAGE (10)	Vocabulary and syntax are standard and mature. 10	Occasional errors of morphology/syntax.	Consistent errors of morphology/syntax; 3	Morphological and/or syntactic errors make it difficult to understand paper 0
MECHANICS	No spelling, capitalization, format or punctuation errors.	Occasional errors of punctuation and/or spelling. More time and care are needed in proof	Consistent errors with punctuation, capitalization and/or spelling. APA format	Spelling and punctuation errors make it difficult to understand paper.
(10)	10	reading.	incorrect.	0

3

5

Comments: Lund, N.J. (rev. 1998)

(10)