This questionnaire is designed to obtain feedback from students for improving the course. Nothing you write on this questionnaire will affect your grade - your name should not be on this form, and in any case I will not review these completed forms until after your final grades have been turned in.
 Are you a □ Freshman, □ Sophomore, □ Junior or □ Senior? (check one) What prerequisites (if any) do you think this course should have?
3. In a typical 4-year student career, when do you think a student should take this course? Assume a typical Micro major takes General Micro and its lab in their 4th semester (as a 2nd semester Sophomore) and Med Micro in their 5th semester.
4. Would it be fair for the department (or any specific PI) to REQUIRE this course before allowing a student to take undergraduate research for credit, if we could PROMISE any student who takes it a place in a research lab (assuming they want one)?
5. Most Micro majors are readily divided into those headed for a career in Healthcare (Pre-meds, &c), Industry (getting a job right out of school), or Graduate School (Masters or Ph.D). For which of these populations is this course useful?

6. How important were the lectures/discussions on								
Good	OK	Poor	The bacterial growth curve What is an experiment? What is a lab environment like? Sterilization, storage and disposal? What is a failed experiment? The effective graphical display of scientific data Lab notebook review Scientific papers, posters, meetings, and presentations Types of undergraduate research opportunities and how to get one					
7. Are there lecture or discussion topics you think should be added?								
	Maybe	No	More discussion about the BioScreen growth curve instrument A review of concentrations, stock solutions and dilutions More guest lectures/seminars More lectures from the textbook					
8. Should this course spend \square more, \square less, or \square about the same amount of time on lectures and discussions? (check one)								
9. The lectures in this course were (for the most part) loosely-organized open discussions. Was this format a good fit for this course, or should these lectures have been more formal and structured?								
			or of extending the Friday sessions to 2 hours? Would this be enough, should this ger (3hours), or should one of the other (or both) session(s) also be lengthened?					

11.		d the tex they did?	tbook	(s) be used in the future? If so, should the lectures come more from the book
12.	Did y	ou like ha	aving g	guest seminar speakers?
13.				In free range in what aspect of growth they wanted to test. Was this good, or given more guidance, ideas, or even specific options?
14.	Abou	t the fina	l repo	rts
	Yes	Maybe	No	Was the "lab meeting" presentation format effective? Was the one-page "note" paper effective? Are they both necessary or useful?
	How	could the	se be	improved?
15.	What	was the	most i	important thing you learned in this course?

16. What was the <i>least</i> important or <i>least</i> interesting thing we did in this course?
17. What is the one thing you wish you'd done more of, or learned better, in this course?
18. If you had the power to change this course, what would you do?
The state of the s
40. What all a consider the control of the control
19. What other comments do you have about this course?