Dissolution Testing and Specification Criteria for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Containing Biopharmaceutics Classification System Class 1 and 3 Drugs Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <u>http://www.regulations.gov</u>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Richard Lostritto at 301-796-1667.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> August 2015 Biopharmaceutics

Dissolution Testing and Specification Criteria for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Containing Biopharmaceutics Classification System Class 1 and 3 Drugs Guidance for Industry

> Additional copies are available from: Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353 Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

> > U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> > > August 2015 Biopharmaceutics

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	BACKGROUND
III.	ELIGIBLE PRODUCTS
А.	Dosage Form
B.	Solubility
C.	Therapeutic Class
D.	Time to Maximum Plasma Concentration
Е.	Manufacturing and Testing History4
F.	Excipients
IV.	STANDARD DISSOLUTION TEST CONDITIONS 4
А.	Basket Method (USP apparatus 1)4
B.	Paddle Method (USP apparatus 2)4
V.	SPECIFICATION
VI.	REPLACING DISSOLUTION WITH DISINTEGRATION
VII.	REFERENCES

Draft — Not for Implementation

Dissolution Testing and Specification Criteria for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Containing Biopharmaceutics Classification System Class 1 and 3 Drugs Guidance for Industry¹

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not create any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

14 I. **INTRODUCTION**

15

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

16 This guidance is developed to provide manufacturers with recommendations for submission of 17 new drug applications (NDAs), investigational new drug applications (INDs), and/or 18 abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), as appropriate, for immediate-release (IR) tablets 19 and capsules that contain highly soluble drug substances. The guidance is intended to describe 20 when a standard release test and criteria may be used in lieu of extensive method development and specification-setting exercises. When final, this guidance will supersede the guidance for 21 22 industry on *Dissolution Testing of Immediate Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms* (August 1997) for biopharmaceutics classification system (BCS) class 1 and 3 drug substances in immediate-23 release drug products that meet the criteria in this guidance.² For class 2 and 4 drug substances. 24 applicants should still refer to the August 1997 guidance mentioned above. 25 26 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. 27 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only 28 29 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of

30 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

31

32 33

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Dissolution Technical Advisory Group (TAG) team in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

 $^{^{2}}$ We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance Web page at

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatorvInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

34 II. BACKGROUND

35

36 Drug absorption from a solid dosage form after oral administration depends on the release of the

- 37 drug substance from the drug product, the dissolution or solubilization of the drug under
- 38 physiological conditions, and the permeation across the gastrointestinal membrane.³ NDAs and
- 39 ANDAs submitted to FDA contain bioavailability (BA) or bioequivalence (BE) data and in vitro
- 40 dissolution data that, together with chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC) data,
- 41 characterize the quality and performance of the drug product. In vitro dissolution data are
- 42 generally obtained from batches that have been used in pivotal clinical and/or
- 43 bioavailability/bioequivalence studies, and from other human studies conducted during product
- 44 development. Knowledge about the solubility, permeability, dissolution, and pharmacokinetics of
- 45 a drug product is considered when defining dissolution test specifications for the drug approval46 process.
- 47
- 48 The BCS is a scientific framework for classifying drug substances based on their aqueous
- 49 solubility and intestinal permeability. The definitions of high and low solubility and high and
- 50 low permeability are used as described in the Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS)
- 51 Guidance.⁴ The different classifications are:
- 52

53	Class 1:	High Solubility - High Permeability Drugs
54	Class 2:	Low Solubility - High Permeability Drugs
55	Class 3:	High Solubility - Low Permeability Drugs
56	Class 4:	Low Solubility - Low Permeability Drugs

56 57

58 This classification can be used as a basis for determining when in vivo BA and BE studies are 59 needed and can be used to determine when a successful in vitro-in vivo correlation (IVIVC) is 60 likely. The BCS suggests that, for certain high solubility drugs, dissolution testing can be 61 standardized. Owing to their high solubility, BCS class 1 and 3 drugs are considered to be 62 relatively low risk regarding the impact of dissolution on performance, provided the in vitro 63 performance meets or exceeds the recommendations discussed herein.

64

65 This guidance establishes standard dissolution methodology and specifications that are

- 66 appropriate for BCS class 1 and class 3 drugs in IR dosage form. The availability of these
- 67 standards will facilitate the rapid development of dissolution methodology and related
- 68 specifications for these classes during drug development and application review.
- 69 70

³ Amidon GL, Lennernas H, Shah VP, and Crison JR, 1995, A Theoretical Basis for a Biopharmaceutic Drug Classification: The Correlation of In Vitro Drug Product Dissolution and In Vivo Bioavailability, Pharm.

Classification: The Correlation of In Vitro Drug Product Dissolution and In Vivo Bio. Res.,12:413-420.

⁴ See <u>http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/centersoffices/officeofmedicalproductsandtobacco/cder/ucm128219.htm</u> and guidance for industry on *Waiver of In Vivo Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Based on a Biopharmaceutics Classification System* (May 2015), available at <u>http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM070246.pdf</u>.

Draft — Not for Implementation

71 III. ELIGIBLE PRODUCTS

72

73 In addition to being an IR dosage form, your drug product should meet all of the following

conditions in order for the dissolution standards in this guidance to apply.⁵ You also should follow
 Agency guidances to establish that your drug product is either a BCS class 1 or 3 product.⁶ To

help determine if your product meets any particular condition listed below, contact the review

77 division for your specific drug product.

78 79

80

84

90

A. Dosage Form

81 This guidance applies to solid orally-administered immediate release dosage forms, such as tablets 82 and capsules that are meant to be swallowed. It does not include chewable tablets, and does not 83 apply to orally disintegrating tablets.

85 **B.** Solubility

To be considered BCS class 1 or 3,⁷ the drug substance should be considered highly soluble with
the highest dose strength soluble in 250 mL or less of aqueous media over the pH range of 1 to
6.8.⁸ The drug substance should also be chemically stable for 24 hours over this same pH range.

91 C. Therapeutic Class

This guidance does not apply to narrow therapeutic index (NTI) drugs because of the critical
relationship between the bioavailable dose (and therefore dissolution) on clinical performance.
For more information on NTI drugs, the current approach to establish the NTI classification of a
drug is described in the draft product-specific guidance on Warfarin Sodium, posted December
2012, on the FDA Web site for Individual Product Bioequivalence Recommendations,
<u>http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/U</u>
<u>CM201283.pdf</u>.

100

101 D. Time to Maximum Plasma Concentration

102103 If the time to maximum plasma concentration is critical to the intended use, this guidance does not

104 apply. For example, labeling claims of early or rapid onset of action (e.g., rapid analgesia, rescue

105 medications, etc.) exclude the product from adoption of the dissolution standards proposed herein.
106

⁵ For these classes of products, these recommendations will supersede those in the Dissolution Methods Database, and upon finalization of this guidance FDA will update the Dissolution Methods Database or remove entries from the Database that are covered by this guidance. For products where the method described in a United States Pharmacopeia (USP) drug product monograph differs from the recommendations of this guidance, ANDA applicants may propose to use the approaches in this guidance as an alternative method and seek revision of the relevant monograph.

 $^{^{6}}_{7}$ Supra note 5.

⁷_o Supra note 5.

⁸ For ANDAs, the highest dose strength for which approval is sought.

Draft — Not for Implementation

107 E. Manufacturing and Testing History

109 Manufacturing and testing history, including stability testing, should demonstrate that the product 110 will meet the specifications in this guidance when using the standard dissolution test conditions.

112 F. Excipients

113

111

108

Excipients chosen for drug product formulations should be consistent with the design of IR drugproducts. Excipients should be included in normal quantities that are consistent with the product's

116 labeled function. Large quantities of excipients, such as sweeteners and surfactants, may be

problematic. You are encouraged to contact the review division for your specific drug productwhen this is a factor.

119

120

IV. STANDARD DISSOLUTION TEST CONDITIONS

121

128 129

130 131

132

133

135 136

137

138

If a product is deemed to be eligible for a standard dissolution method and specification, you
 should use one of the following methods.⁹ Information on apparatus and number of units to test
 can be found in the USP General Chapter <711> Dissolution. You should calibrate apparatus
 before use.¹⁰

- 127 A. Basket Method (USP apparatus 1)
 - Stirring rate = 100 RPM
 500 mL of 0.01M HCl aqueous media
 - No surfactant in media
 - 37±0.5°C
- 134 B. Paddle Method (USP apparatus 2)
 - Stirring rate = 75 RPM
 - 500 mL of 0.01M HCl aqueous media
 - No surfactant in media
 - 37±0.5°C
- 139 140

141 Although the hydrodynamics of the gastrointestinal tract are complicated and cannot be

reproduced by the USP basket or paddle apparatus, a stirring rate of 100 RPM has been found to

- be discriminatory for the basket method. For the paddle method, 75 RPM can be discriminatory
- 144 while minimizing coning effects seen with lower rates. The acid conditions of the media reflect

the conditions of the stomach whose volume is estimated at 250 mL when a glass of water is co-

⁹ Shah V, Gurbarg M, Noory A, Dighe S, Skelly J, 1992, Influence of higher rates of agitation on release patterns of IR drug products, J Pharm Sci 81(6) 500-503.

¹⁰ See guidance for industry on *The Use of Mechanical Calibration of Dissolution Apparatus 1 and 2 – Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP)* (January 2010), available at

 $[\]underline{http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM198649.pdf.}$

Draft — Not for Implementation

146 147 148	paddle	ed with the oral dosage form. This volume is too low to use with the current basket and e apparatus; however, 500 mL of media is commonly used and should be a sufficient he of media for a highly soluble, rapidly dissolving drug.			
149					
150	V.	SPECIFICATION			
151					
152 153		rug product dissolution specification will depend on the BCS class of the drug substance and I follow the recommendations below. Applicants may consider further supporting their			
154	proposed dissolution specifications with appropriate simulations in addition to dissolution				
155	perfor	mance data.			
156					
157 158	•	For BCS class 1 products, a single point dissolution specification of Q=80% in 30 minutes.			
159 160	•	For BCS class 3 products, a single point dissolution specification of Q=80% in 15 minutes.			
161	BCS	elass 3 products that meet the more stringent specifications will better ensure that the			
162		ailability of the drug is not limited by dissolution, and the rate-limiting step for drug			
163	absorption becomes gastric emptying. For ANDAs, these criteria should apply unless supported				
164	by data on the dissolution performance of the reference-listed drug.				
165	<i>c j u</i>				
166	VI.	REPLACING DISSOLUTION WITH DISINTEGRATION			
167					
168	For dr	ug products in both BCS classes 1 and 3, USP disintegration testing can be used in lieu of the			
169		ution test if the product is shown to meet a dissolution specification of Q=80% in 15 minutes.			
170					
171	For dr	ug products that meet this criterion, the USP disintegration test, which requires the product			
172	to completely disintegrate within 5 minutes (via USP apparatus in 0.01M HCl), may serve as a				
173	surrogate for routine release and stability dissolution testing. However, the approved dissolution				
174	method should be retained as the primary method and the approved disintegration method as an				
175	alternate method. Note that to support post-approval changes for which dissolution testing would				
176	be typ	ically be needed, you should use the approved dissolution method.			
177					
178	VII.	REFERENCES			
179					
180	Amidon GL, Lennernas H, Shah VP, and Crison JR, 1995, A Theoretical Basis for a				
181	Biopharmaceutic Drug Classification: The Correlation of In Vitro Drug Product Dissolution and				
182	In Viv	o Bioavailability, Pharm Res,12:413-420.			
183					
184	Dickinson PA, et al., 2008, Clinical Relevance of Dissolution Testing in Quality by Design,				
185	AAPS	Journal, Vol. 10, No. 2.			
186					
187		guidance for industry, 1995, Immediate Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms. Scale-up and			
188	Post-Approval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls, In Vitro Dissolution Testing,				
189	and In	Vivo Bioequivalence Documentation [SUPAC-IR].			
190					

Draft — Not for Implementation

- FDA guidance for industry, 1997, Dissolution Testing of Immediate Release Solid Oral DosageForms.
- 193

FDA guidance for industry, 2010, The Use of Mechanical Calibration of Dissolution Apparatus 1
 and 2 – Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP).

- 196
- 197 FDA guidance for industry, 2000, Waiver of In Vivo Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies
- 198 for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Based on a Biopharmaceutics Classification199 System.
- 200

FDA guidance for industry, 2015, Waiver of In Vivo Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies
 for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Based on a Biopharmaceutics Classification
 System.

- 203
- FDA draft guidance for industry, 2012, Warfarin Sodium.

Shah V, Gurbarg M, Noory A, Dighe S, Skelly J, 1992, Influence of higher rates of agitation on
release patterns of IR drug products, J Pharm Sci 81(6) 500-503.

- 209210 Strauch S, Jantratid E, and Dressman JB, 2009, Comparison of WHO and US FDA biowaivers
- dissolution test conditions using bioequivalent doxycycline hyclate drug products, J Pharmacy
- and Pharmacology, 61:331-337.
- 213
- 214 United States Pharmacopeia (USP) General Chapters <711> Dissolution (2011), <701>
- 215 Disintegration (2008).
- 216

217 WHO,, 2006, Proposal to waive in vivo bioequivalence requirements for WHO Model List of

- 218 Essential Medicines immediate-release, solid oral dosage forms. Annex 8 of WHO Expert
- 219 Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations. Geneva: WHO.
- 220

Yu LX, et al., 2002, BCS: The Scientific Basis of Biowaiver Extensions, Pharm Research, 19(7)
921-925.

- 223
- 224