



## Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation – Second Edition

### Publication Summary Form Publication Data

Instrument name/abbreviation **Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation – Second Edition (GFTA-2)**

|                                      |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Author</b>                        | Dr. Ronald Goldman and Dr. Macalyne Fristoe  |
| <b>Publisher/address</b>             | American Guidance Service, Inc., Circle Pines, MN 55014-1796   |
| <b>Copyright date</b>                | 2000   |
| <b>Product Description</b>           |  |
| <b>Brief description</b>             | GFTA-2 is a systematic means of assessing an individual's articulation of the consonant sounds of Standard American English. It provides a wide range of information by sampling both spontaneous and imitative sound production, including single words and conversational speech.  |
| <b>Primary use/purpose</b>           | The primary purpose of this test is to provide speech-language pathologists with a method of assessing an individual's articulation of consonant sounds. Inherent in the GFTA-2 is the diagnostic potential for comparing articulation at different levels of complexity. The GFTA-2 can provide a record of growth in articulation skills across a broad time span. |
| <b>Age range covered</b>             | 2 years through 21 years   |
| <b>Administration time</b>           | 5 to 15 minutes (depending on age)   |
| <b>Individual vs. group</b>          | Individually administered only   |
| <b>User qualifications</b>           | Policy I; Policy II, Level A   |
| <b>Content</b>                       |  |
| <b>Domains</b>                       | Consonant phonemes in the English language   |
| <b>Test names</b>                    | Sounds-in-Words (normed test)<br>Sounds-in-Sentences<br>Stimulability  |
| <b>Composite names</b>               | N/A  |
| <b>Forms</b>                         | GFTA-2 Response Form   |
| <b>Materials included in the kit</b> | Easel<br>Manual<br>Response Forms (pkg. of 25)<br>Canvas carry bag   |
| <b>Item Information</b>              |  |
| <b>Item types</b>                    | Sounds-in-Words--Spontaneous single-word elicitation in response to pictures<br>Sounds-in-Sentences--Content-controlled, conversational retelling of a story<br>Stimulability--Imitative speech sounds   |
| <b>Response format</b>               | Both single word and conversational speech production  |
| <b>Item scoring</b>                  | Only on Sounds-in-Words  |

| <b>Scoring Information</b>      |   |   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Scoring options</b>          | Hand scoring and scoring ASSIST<br>Two levels of scoring dependent on the qualifications of the examiner:<br>Level 1: Each sound production is judged only for presence of error. This level of evaluation can be completed by a speech-language pathology assistant.<br>Level 2: Each sound production is judged for presence and type of error. This type of discriminative evaluation should be done only by a trained speech-language pathologist.  |   |
| <b>Derived scores available</b> | Age-based standard scores ( $M = 100$ , $SD = 15$ ), percentiles, test-age equivalents  |   |
| <b>Norm groups available</b>    | Age-based and gender-based  |   |
| <b>Interpretive features</b>    | Yes   |   |
| <b>Computerized scoring</b>     | Yes   |   |
| <b>Technical Information</b>    |   |   |
| <b>Standardization</b>          |   |   |
| <b>Description</b>              | Over 3,500 examinees aged 2 through 21 were tested at over 300 sites nationwide. A representative sample of 2,350 subjects was used for developing the normative scores.<br><br>Because of the rapid changes in articulation development between the ages of 2-0 and 8-11, 1-year age intervals were specified for these early years. For the middle ages, where little change in articulation ability occurs, 2-year intervals were used. For ages 15 through 21, which usually reflect mastery of articulation ability, one multiyear age interval was selected for the testing plan. |   |
| <b>Date</b>                     | 1999  |   |
| <b>Size</b>                     | 2,350 (1,175 each of males and females)<br>Based on U.S. census data in the year 1998 (from machine-readable data file).  |   |
| <b>Sample</b>                   |   |   |
| <b>Sample controlled for:</b>   |   |   |
|                                 | <b>Females</b>  | <b>Males</b>  |
| <b>Age/Gender</b>               | 11 age groups totaling 1,175  | 11 age groups totaling 1,175  |
| <b>Race</b>                     | African American: 16.4% (U.S. 15.7%)<br>Hispanic: 15.7% (U.S. 15.7%)<br>White: 64.1%; (U.S. 63.4%)<br>Other: 3.8% (U.S. 5.1%)   | African American: 14.6% (U.S. 15.7%)<br>Hispanic: 15.8% (U.S. 15.7%)<br>White: 65.4%; (U.S. 63.4%)<br>Other: 4.2% (U.S. 5.1%) |
| <b>Geographic region</b>        | Northeast: 18.6% (U.S. 18.2%)<br>North Central: 23.7% (U.S. 23.4%)<br>South: 35.2% (U.S. 34.4%)<br>West: 22.5% (U.S. 23.7%)   | Northeast: 18.3% (U.S. 18.2%)<br>North Central: 23.9% (U.S. 23.4%)<br>South: 34.4% (U.S. 34.4%)<br>West: 23.4% (U.S. 23.7%)   |

|                                     |   |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>SES/Parent education</b>         | Mother's education level was used to indicate socioeconomic status.<br>Grade 11 or less: 16.6% (U.S. 16.2%)<br>High School Graduate: 34.0% (U.S. 34.0%)<br>1 to 3 Years of College or Technical School: 28.3% (U.S. 28.3%)<br>4 or More Years of College: 21.0% (U.S. 21.5%)  | Mother's education level was used to indicate socioeconomic status.<br>Grade 11 or less: 16.2% (U.S. 16.2%)<br>High School Graduate: 34.4% (U.S. 34.0%)<br>1 to 3 Years of College or Technical School: 28.4% (U.S. 28.3%)<br>4 or More Years of College: 21.0% (U.S. 21.5%) |
| <b>Community size</b>               | N/A   |  |
| <b>Special populations included</b> | Yes, in approximately the same proportions in which they occur in the general population. Based on current IDEA definitions and regulations, preschool children were tracked noncategorically, while school-age children were tracked based on the four largest special education categories: specific learning disability, speech and/or language impairment, mental retardation, serious emotional disturbance. |  |
| <b>Reliability</b>                  |   |  |
| <b>Internal reliability</b>         | Median reliability for females is .96. Median reliability for males is .94.   |  |
| <b>Test-retest</b>                  | Median test-retest reliability is .98 for initial, medial, and final sounds.  |  |
| <b>Interrater</b>                   | Median percentages of agreement for initial, medial, and final sounds are 93, 90, and 90, respectively.   |  |
| <b>Validity</b>                     |   |  |
| <b>Intercorrelations</b>            | N/A   |  |
| <b>Content</b>                      | Sounds-in-Words was designed to sample 23 of the 25 consonant sounds recognized in Standard American English.   |  |
| <b>Construct</b>                    | Sounds-in-Words claims to measure the ability to correctly articulate consonant and consonant cluster sounds. Evidence that Sounds-in-Words is measuring articulation is provided by the developmental progression of total raw scores and item scores. See Table 6.5 in the manual.  |  |
| <b>Concurrent</b>                   | N/A   |  |
| <b>Predictive</b>                   | N/A   |  |
| <b>Factor analysis</b>              | N/A   |  |
| <b>Clinical sample</b>              | No validity studies with the clinical sample  |  |
| <b>Canadian study</b>               | A special study was completed with 281 Canadian children to validate the use of Sounds-in-Words with English-speaking children in Canada.   |  |
| <b>Other</b>                        |   |  |
| <b>Developmental history</b>        | Pilot study July – October 1998<br>National standardization May – November 1999<br>(See Chapter 5 in manual for complete development information.)  |  |

|                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
|                                      |   |
| <b>Special features</b>              | <p>Target words for questionable images and for culturally specific content dropped</p> <p>New artwork</p> <p>Age range expanded from 2-0 through 21-11</p> <p>Age-based standard scores available for males and females</p> <p>User-friendly color coding of original maintained</p> <p>Multiple testing of sounds per plate whenever possible</p>                       |
| <b>Federal mandates met</b>          | GFTA-2's age-based norms can be used to meet the requirements of PL 94-142 and PL 99-457 (now incorporated into IDEA, reauthorized as PL 105-17).   |
| <b>Adaptation of special needs</b>   | Requires no reading or writing on the part of the examinee.   |
| <b>Sensitivity to other cultures</b> | Independent consultants representing the perspectives of African Americans, Asians, Hispanics, Native Americans, and women reviewed the target words and artwork of GFTA-2 during development, and modifications were made following these reviews. All items in the GFTA-2 are presented with attractive artwork that is balanced for racial and gender representations. |
| <b>Training options available</b>    | ASHA-sponsored CEU presentations available, call Lisa Dunham at 800-328-2560 for more information.  |

Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliate(s). All rights reserved.