Using the ISA Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form

This form is provided with the ISA Tree Risk Assessment Manual and is intended to act as a guide for collecting and recording tree risk assessment information. This form is for trees receiving a basic (Level 2) risk assessment. It is not intended for use with limited visual (Level 1) or advanced (Level 3) assessments. Space is provided to write comments and notes for various conditions that are not included elsewhere on the form or for points that need additional explanation. It is not necessary to mark every box or to fill in every line on this form. Only information relevant to the tree risk assessment should be collected. You may adapt this form for your specific needs.

PAGE I—DATA COLLECTION

Section I—Assignment and Tree ID

Client		Date		Time	
Address/Tree location			_ Tree no	Sheet	of
Tree species	dbh	Height		_ Crown spread dia	
Assessor(s)	Time frame		Tools used_		

This section outlines the basic information for you assessment. This will be valuable information when drafting your written report. Be sure to refer back to the time frame stated in this section when determining likelihood of failure later on this form.

Client—name of the person who hired you to perform the assessment or agency for which you are working.

Date—date of the tree inspection.

Time—time of the tree inspection.

Address/Tree location—the physical address, GPS coordinates, or other location description of the tree and the location of the tree on the property, such as "backyard" or "between street and sidewalk on the north side of walk." A typical entry may be "411 Pine Street, Oakville. Large tree on left near driveway."

Tree no.—if the tree has an inventory tag with a number, it should be entered here. If a group of trees without tags are assessed, they may be assigned a sequence number.

Sheet—if multiple sheets are used for a tree assessment—or if a group of trees are assessed—the sheet number and total number of sheets used on the job may be entered.

Tree species—include the common and/or scientific name of the tree; cultivar, if known.

dbh—diameter at breast height [U.S., 4.5 feet (1.37 m); or customary diameter measure for your country; IUFRO standard is 1.3 m above ground] measured in inches or cm.

Height—tree height either visually estimated or measured. If measured, the tool used for this measurement should be noted in Tools used.

Crown spread dia.—the average diameter of the drip line of the tree; measured or estimated.

Assessor(s)—name of the person or people collecting the tree risk information; may also include qualifications such as "TRAQ."

Time frame—period in which you are estimating the likelihood of failure; typically between one and five years; Time frame should be considered when rating the likelihood of failure with all categories except imminent, which has a different time frame (very soon).

Tools used—list of tools used in the assessment such as "mallet" or "binoculars." If no tools were used, write "none" or leave blank.

Section 2—Target Assessment

	Target Assessment						
		Tai	rget zo	ne	1		
Target number	Target description	Target within drip line	Target within 1 x Ht.	Target within 1.5 x Ht.	Occupancy rate 1-rare 2-occasional 3-frequent 4-constant	Practical to move target?	Restriction practical?
1							
2							
3							
4							

The Target Assessment chart is used to list target(s)—people, property, or activities that could be injured, damaged, or disrupted by a tree failure—within the striking distance (target zone) of the tree part concerned. Four lines are provided; additional targets can be listed on a separate form. Target information will correspond with the Risk Categorization chart on the back of the form.

Target number—many trees have multiple targets within the target zone; the target number is provided to list individual targets and to facilitate inclusion of this number in the Risk Categorization chart so that the target description does not need to be rewritten.

Target description—brief description such as "people near tree" "house," "play area," or "high-traffic street." Location of the target can be noted by checking one of the distance boxes to the right of the description.

Target zone—identify where the targets are in relation to the tree or tree part:

Within drip line—target is underneath the canopy of the tree.

Within $1 \times Ht$ —target is within striking distance if the trunk or root system of the tree fails (1 times the height of the tree).

Within $1.5 \times Ht$ —target is within striking distance if the trunk or root system of the tree fails and there are dead or brittle branches that could shatter and fly from the failed tree.

Occupancy rate—an estimated amount of time the target is within the target zone. Use corresponding numbered codes (1–4):

- **1. Rare**—targets are very uncommon in the target zone.
- **2. Occasional**—the target is present infrequently or irregularly.
- **3. Frequent**—the target is present for a large portion of the day or week.
- **4. Constant**—the target is present at all times or nearly all times.

Practical to move target?—check box if it is practical to move the target out of the target zone if mitigation is required.

Restriction practical?—check box if it is practical to restrict access to the target zone.

Section 3—Site Factors

	Site Factors
History of failures	Topography Flat□ Slope□% Aspe
Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Change	d soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe
Soil conditions Limited volume ☐ Saturated ☐ Shallow ☐ Cor	mpacted Pavement over roots% Describe
Prevailing wind direction Common weather Strong v	winds ☐ Ice ☐ Snow ☐ Heavy rain ☐ Describe

Site factors may influence the likelihood of tree failure. This section provides a list of common site factors that should be considered. There may be other site factors that are critical on a given site, or which you should note even if they are not on this form. Any of these factors can be further described in the space provided or on additional paper. Other site factors affecting wind load should be noted. These may include the site elevation, surface roughness, and hilltop locations.

History of failures—note and describe evidence of previous whole-tree failures on the site, and estimate the time frame for how recently they occurred. Previous branch failures should be noted in the Crown and Branches box (located in the Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure section of the form).

Topography—check boxes for flat or sloping topography; an estimate of the slope percentage may be included.

Aspect—the compass direction that the slope is facing.

Site changes—factors affecting the root system of the tree or the change in exposure of the tree to wind; check all that apply:

None—no evidence of recent site changes.

Grade change—soil was added or removed from the site.

Site clearing—adjacent trees, which may have blocked the wind, have been removed or significantly reduced.

Changed soil hydrology—changes have been made that affect water flow in or out of the site.

Root cuts—the root system has been cut or otherwise significantly damaged. Additional information on root cuts will be included in the Roots and Root Collar box. **Soil conditions**—factors that can affect the ability of the root system to mechanically support the tree, as well as the general health and vitality of the tree; check all that apply:

Limited volume—soil volume limited by rocks, water table, building foundations, size of a container, or other factors.

Saturated—soil saturated due to poor drainage, high water table, excess irrigation, or location in a low area. May be saturated now or have a history of inundation.

Shallow—rooting depth limited by one or more factors including high water table, rock ledges, compacted layers, or underground structures such as parking decks.

Compacted—soil is severely compacted, limiting the depth, spread, and distribution of the root system.

Pavement over roots—concrete, asphalt, pavers, or other materials restricting root growth or water movement into the root zone. If present, enter the percentage of the area within the drip line that is paved.

Prevailing wind direction—a typical, consistent, moderate-tostrong wind, usually from a single direction, which has affected tree crown and root system development.

Common weather—trees will adapt to a number of climatic conditions if they occur regularly; check all that apply.

Section 4—Tree Health and Species Profile

	Tree Health	and Species Prof	ile		
Vigor Low ☐ Normal ☐ High ☐ Pests	Foliage None (seasonal) □	None (dead) ☐ Abiotic	Normal%	Chlorotic%	Necrotic%
Species failure profile Branches ☐ Tru	nk□ Roots□ Describe				

This section provides the opportunity to note any species specific failure patterns that you suspect may influence likelihood of failure. Any species information you feel is important should be noted in this section. Any of these factors can be further described in the spaces provided or on additional paper.

Vigor—an assessment of overall tree health; classify as low, normal, or high:

Low—tree is weak, growing slowly, and/or under stress.

Normal—tree has average vigor for its species and the site conditions.

High—tree is growing well and appears to be free of significant health stress factors.

Foliage—size and color are indications of tree health; compare with a healthy specimen of the same species in the area. Lines and boxes in this section allow data collection of the percentage of each category, or simply a check mark for presence:

None (seasonal)—a deciduous tree that has dropped its leaves for the winter.

None (dead)—a tree that has dropped its leaves because it is dead.

Normal—foliage size and color are normal for the species in the area.

Chlorotic—yellowish-green to yellow.

Necrotic—dead foliage in part of or the entire crown.

Pests—insects and diseases that may significantly affect tree health or stability.

Abiotic—abiotic problems that may significantly affect tree health or stability.

Species failure profile—any known failure problems with the species in the branches, trunk, or roots.

Section 5—Load Factors

	Load Factors	
Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☐	Wind funneling □	Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large ☐
Crown density Sparse ☐ Normal ☐ Dense ☐	Interior branches Few□ Normal□ Dense□	Vines/Mistletoe/Moss □
Recent or planned change in load factors		

Generally, two types of load need to be considered when evaluating tree risk. Dynamic load is from wind as it impacts the tree, and static load is from gravity acting on the tree. These two loads can interact.

Wind exposure—factors that affect wind load on the tree; check all that apply:

Protected—trees or structures in the area significantly reduce wind velocity or the tree's exposure to wind.

Partial—other trees, or buildings near the tree, moderately reduce the impact of wind on the tree.

Full—tree is fully exposed to wind.

Wind funneling—wind may be "funneled" or "tunneled" (by buildings, canyons, large stands of trees) toward the tree so that wind velocity experienced by the tree is increased.

Relative crown size—comparison of the tree's crown size to the trunk diameter; classify as small, medium, or large.

Crown density—the relative wind transparency of the crown:

Sparse—crown allows a large degree of wind and light penetration; varies with species.

Normal—indicates moderate wind and light penetration.

Dense—crown does not allow much light or wind penetration.

Interior branches—increase wind resistance but dampen branch/tree movement:

Few—little wind resistance and damping.

Normal—moderate wind resistance and damping.

Dense—significant wind resistance and damping.

Vines/Mistletoe/Moss—check box if present at moderate to high levels that increase weight or wind resistance. Moss refers to Spanish or ball moss (epiphytes).

Recent or planned change in load factors—record any factors, recent or planned, that may significantly affect the load on any defects.

Section 6—Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure

Unbalanced crown ☐ LCR%		Cracks		Lightning damage \Box
Dead twigs/branches Max. dia		Codominant		Included bark 🗆
Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia		Weak attachments		Cavity/Nest hole % circ
Over-extended branches			s 🗆	Similar branches present □
Pruning history	_		Cankers/Galls/Burls □	Sapwood damage/decay □
Crown cleaned Thinned Raised	. 🛚			,
Reduced		Conks		
Flush cuts		Response growth ——		
Main concern(s)				
,	Moderat	•		
Likelihood of failure Improbable □ Possible □ I	Probable	□ Imminent □		

This section provides a systematic checklist for assessing the tree, dividing it into "Crown and Branches", "Trunk", and "Roots and Root Collar". Check only factors that apply to the assessed tree. These factors may or may not contribute to your Main concern(s), Load on defect, or Likelihood of failure.

Crown and Branches

Unbalanced crown—check box if foliage is not uniformly distributed.

Live crown ratio (LCR)—the ratio of the height of the live crown to the height of the entire tree [LCR=(crown height/tree height) \times 100].

Dead twigs/branches—small diameter, dead branches; check box if present and indicate percentage and maximum size(s) in diameter.

Broken/Hangers—broken or cut branches remaining in the crown; record the number and size (maximum diameter).

Over-extended branches—check box if there are branches that extend beyond the tree's canopy or that are excessively long with poor taper.

Pruning history—check appropriate boxes if pruning is known and relevant:

Crown cleaned—pruning of dead, dying, diseased, and broken branches from the tree crown.

Thinned—selective removal of live branches to reduce crown density. Other pruning types include, but are not limited to, structural, pollarding, espalier, and vista, and may be included in your notes.

Raised—removal of lower branches to provide clearance.

Reduced—pruning to decrease tree height or spread by cutting to lateral branches.

Topped—inappropriate pruning technique used to reduce tree size; characterized by internodal cuts.

Lion-tailed—inappropriate pruning practice removing an excessive number of inner and/or lower lateral branches.

Flush cuts—pruning cuts through (or removal of) the branch collar, causing unnecessary injury to the trunk or parent branch.

Other—note any other pruning history that may affect the likelihood of failure.

Cracks—separation in the wood in either a longitudinal (radial, in the plane of ray cells) or transverse (across the stem) direction; check box if present and describe briefly.

Lightning damage—often evidenced by a centrally located line of sapwood damage and bark removal on either side in a spiral pattern on the trunk or branch; check box if present.

Codominant—branches of nearly equal diameter arising from a common junction and lacking a normal branch union. Check box if present and describe.

Included bark—bark that becomes embedded in a union between branch and trunk, or between codominant stems, causing a weak structure. Check box if present.

Weak attachments—branches that are codominant or that have included bark or splits at or below the junctions. Check box if present and describe.

Cavity/Nest hole—openings from the outside into the heartwood area of the tree; record the percentage of the branch circumference that has missing wood.

Previous branch failures—check box if there is evidence of previous branch failures and describe briefly. Note "similar branches present," if relevant.

Dead/Missing bark—check box if branches are dead or if areas of dead cambium are present where new wood will not be produced.

Cankers/Galls/Burls—check box if relevant and circle which one(s) are of concern:

Canker—localized diseased areas on the branch; often sunken or discolored.

Gall—abnormal swellings of tissue caused by pests; may or may not be a defect.

Burl—outgrowth on the trunk, branch, or roots; not usually considered a defect.

Sapwood damage/decay—check box if there is mechanical or fungal damage in the sapwood that may weaken the branch, or decay of dead or dying branches. If checked, you may circle "damage" or "decay" to indicate which one is present.

Conks (mushrooms, brackets)—fungal fruiting structures; common, definite indicators of decay. Check box if present and describe under Main concern(s).

Heartwood decay—check box if present and describe.

Response growth—reaction wood or additional wood grown to increase the structural strength of the branch; note location and extent.

Main concern(s)—conditions in the crown and branches that may affect likelihood of failure. Note the main concern(s); if there are no concerns, write "none."

Load on defect—a consideration of how much loading is expected on the tree part of concern. Record as N/A (not applicable), minor, moderate, or significant, and/or note the cause of loading.

Likelihood of failure—the rating (improbable, possible, probable, or imminent) for the crown and branches of greatest concern. If there is a main concern, this information should be transferred to the Risk Categorization chart.

	—Tr	runk —	
Dead/Missing ba	ırk □	Abnormal bark	texture/color 🗆
Codominant ster	ms 🗆	Included bark \square	Cracks □
Sapwood damag	ge/decay 🛭 C	ankers/Galls/Burls	☐ Sap ooze ☐
Lightning damag	e□ Heartwoo	od decay Conks	/Mushrooms 🏻
Cavity/Nest hole	% circ.	Depth	Poor taper 🗆
Lean° Co	rrected?		
Response growt	h		
Main concern(s)			
Load on defect	N/A □ Mir	nor 🗆 Moderate l	☐ Significant ☐
Likelihood of fai	lure		

Trunk

Dead/Missing bark—check box if a stem or codominant stem is dead or if areas of dead cambium are present where new wood will not be produced.

Abnormal bark texture/color—may indicate a fungal or structural problem with the trunk; check box, if present, and add notes if it is a concern.

Codominant stems—stems of nearly equal diameter arising from a common junction and lacking a normal branch union. Note the size, location, and number, if relevant, under Main concern(s) in the Trunk box.

Included bark—bark that becomes embedded in a union between branch and trunk, or between codominant stems, causing a weak structure; check box if present.

Cracks—separation in the wood in either a longitudinal (radial, in the plane of ray cells) or transverse (across the stem) direction; check box if present and describe.

Sapwood damage/decay—check box if there is mechanical or fungal damage in the sapwood that may weaken the trunk. If checked, you may circle "damage" or "decay" to indicate which one is present.

Cankers/Galls/Burls—check box if relevant and circle which one(s); may or may not affect the structural strength of the tree:

Canker—localized diseased areas on the branch; often sunken or discolored.

Gall—abnormal swellings of tissue caused by pests; may or may not be a defect.

Burl—outgrowth on the trunk, branch, or roots; not usually considered a defect.

Sap ooze—oozing of liquid that may result from infections or infestations under the bark. May or may not affect structure or stability; check box if present.

Lightning damage—often evidenced by a centrally-located line of sapwood damage and bark removal on either side in a spiral pattern on the trunk or branch; check box if present.

Heartwood decay—Check box if present and identify/describe under Main concern(s).

Conks/Mushrooms (brackets)—fungal fruiting structures; common, definite indicators of decay when on the trunk; check box if present and identify/describe under Main concern(s).

Cavity/Nest hole—openings from the outside into the heartwood area of the tree; record the percentage of the trunk circumference that has missing wood, and the depth of the cavity.

Poor taper—change in diameter over the length of the trunk, important for even distribution of mechanical stress; check box if trunk has poor taper.

Lean—angle of the trunk measured from vertical; record the degree of lean.

Corrected?—the tree may have been able to "correct" the lean with new growth in the younger portions of the tree; note conditions related to lean in the space provided.

Response growth—reaction wood or additional wood grown to increase the structural strength of the trunk; note location and extent.

Main concern(s)—conditions in the trunk that may affect likelihood of failure. Note the main concern(s); if there are no concerns, write "none".

Load on defect—a consideration of how much loading is expected on the tree part of concern. Record as N/A (not applicable), minor, moderate, or significant, and/or note the cause of loading.

Likelihood of failure—the rating (*improbable*, *possible*, *probable*, or *imminent*) for the trunk. If there is a main concern, this information should be transferred to the Risk Categorization chart.

C-11 h:		and Root Co	
Collar buri	ed/Not visible \Box	Deptn	_ Stem girdling □
Dead \square	Decay 🗖	Conks/I	Mushrooms
Ooze \square	Cavity 🛘 _	% circ.	
Cracks	Cut/Damaged ro	oots Distance	from trunk
Root plate	lifting □	Soil weakness D]
Response §	lifting □ growthern(s)		
Response g Main conc Load on de	growthern(s)		
Response g Main conc Load on de	growth ern(s) efect N/A \(\square\) N of failure	⁄linor □ Moder.	

Roots and Root Collar

Collar buried/Not visible—check box if the root collar is not visible and, if possible, determine and note the depth below ground.

Stem girdling—restriction or destruction of the trunk or buttress roots; check box if it is a failure concern.

Dead—check box if one or more structural support roots are dead.

Decay—check box if present and identify/describe under Main concerns.

Conks/Mushrooms (brackets)—fungal fruiting structures; common, definite indicators of decay; fungal fruiting structures away from the trunk in the turf or mulch may be due to the presence of a mycorrhizal fungus and, if so, do not pose a threat to the tree. Check box if present and identify/describe under Main concern(s).

Ooze—seeping or exudation that can result from pest infestations or infections under the bark; check box if present and describe.

Cavity—definite indicators of heartwood decay; measure the size of the opening and record the percentage of the tree's circumference affected.

Cracks—separation in the wood in either a longitudinal (radial, in the plane of ray cells) or transverse (across the stem) direction; check box if present and describe.

Cut/Damaged roots—check box if present; measure and record the distance from the trunk to the cut.

Root plate lifting—soil cracking or lifting indicates the tree has been rocking, usually in high winds; check box if present, and note under Main concern(s).

Soil weakness—check box if there is a soil condition affecting the anchorage of the tree's root system; note under Main concern(s) if significant.

Response growth—reaction wood or additional wood grown to increase the structural strength of the roots or root collar; note location and extent.

Main concern(s)—conditions in the roots and root collar that may affect likelihood of failure. Note the main concern(s); if there are no concerns, write "none".

Load on defect—a consideration of how much loading is expected on the tree part of concern. Record as N/A (not applicable), minor, moderate, or significant, and/or note the cause of loading.

Likelihood of failure—the rating (improbable, possible, probable, or imminent) for the roots or root collar. If there is a main concern, this information should be transferred to the Risk Categorization chart.

PAGE 2—RISK CATEGORIZATION AND MITIGATION

The second page of the form focuses on categorizing the risk the tree poses and describing how the risk should be mitigated. It also provides space for additional notes or comments regarding any section from the first page. Use a separate sheet of paper if more space is needed.

Section 7—Risk Categorization

						Diel Cate	~~~	: -															
Γ.			<u> </u>			Risk Cate	gor	ızatı	on		_	Likel	ihoo	d					г				
nmber				e,	number			Failu	ıre			Imp	act		Fail	ure &	& Im	pact	Cor	rseq	uen	ces	
Condition number	Tree part	Conditions of concern	Part size	Fall distance	Target nun	Target protection	Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating of part (from Matrix 2)
1																							
2								_													$oxed{oxed}$	Ц	
-							L																
							H								_							Н	
3							L	L		Н	L	_	Н								\vdash	Н	
							Н																
-							H															Н	
4								\vdash		Н	\vdash		Н	=	\vdash							Н	

Matrix I. Likelihood matrix.

Likelihood		Likelihood o	of Impacting Targe	t
of Failure	Very low	Low	Medium	High
Imminent	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Very likely
Probable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	Likely
Possible	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely
Improbable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely

Matrix 2. Risk rating matrix.

Likelihood of		Consequer	ces of Failure	
Failure & Impact	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe
Very likely	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme
Likely	Low	Moderate	High	High
Somewhat likely	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Unlikely	Low	Low	Low	Low

This form uses the risk categorization methodologies presented in the ISA's *Best Management Practices: Tree Risk Assessment*. The chart provided on the form is a tool to tie the data collected on the front of the form to the risk categorization process. You can rate the risk for up to four different conditions that may be found in the tree being assessed. Additional ratings may be made on an additional form. If there is only one condition of concern, only one line needs to be completed.

Tree part—specify the branch, trunk, or root of concern. For example, Condition Number 1 may be the broken branch over the house, and Condition Number 2 may be a branch over the driveway. The entries in the Tree Part column would both be "branch." Other options for this column include "trunk" and "roots."

Conditions of concern—identify the concern(s) with the tree part listed. An example would be "large, dead branch over the house."

Part size—a characterization of the part of the tree that may fail toward the target. Usually this is the diameter of the branch that can fall or the dbh of the tree. It may be appropriate to indicate the size of the part that could impact the target. Include units of measurement.

Fall distance—if applicable, record the distance that the tree or tree part will fall before hitting a target; this may be relevant to the consequences of failure.

Target number—this number should correspond to the target(s) listed on the first page of this form.

Target protection—note any significant factors that could protect the target because this may affect the likelihood of impact and/or the consequences of failure.

Tree risk has two components: (1) the likelihood of a tree failure striking a target, which is divided into the likelihood of failure and the likelihood of impact, and (2) the consequences of failure. Use your best judgment and the data available to assess the likelihood of failure (improbable, possible, probable, imminent) and the likelihood of impact (very low, low, medium, high). After these two decisions are made, use Matrix 1 for guidance on choosing the likelihood of failure and impact category (unlikely, somewhat likely, likely, very likely).

The likelihood of failure can be categorized using the following guidelines:

Improbable—the tree or branch is not likely to fail during normal weather conditions and may not fail in many severe weather conditions within the specified time frame.

Possible—failure could occur, but it is unlikely during normal weather conditions within the specified time frame.

Probable—failure may be expected under normal weather conditions within the specified time frame.

Imminent—failure has started or is most likely to occur in the near future, even if there is no significant wind or increased load. This is a rare occurrence for a risk assessor to encounter, and it may require immediate action to protect people from harm.

Since these categories are time dependent, the time frame must be considered. The time frame is recorded on the first page.

The likelihood of impacting a target can be categorized using the following guidelines:

Very low—the chance of the failed tree or branch impacting the specified target is remote. This is the case in a rarely used site fully exposed to the assessed tree or an occasionally used site that is partially protected by trees or structures. Examples include a rarely used trail or trail head in a rural area, or an occasionally used area that has some protection against being struck by the tree failure due to the presence of other trees between the tree being assessed and the targets.

Low—it is not likely that the failed tree or branch will impact the target. This is the case in an occasionally used area that is fully exposed to the assessed tree, a frequently used area that is partially exposed to the assessed tree, or a constant target that is well protected from the assessed tree. Examples include a little-used service road next to the assessed tree or a frequently used public street that has a street tree between the street and the assessed tree.

Medium—the failed tree or branch may or may not impact the target, with nearly equal likelihood. This is the case in a frequently used area that is fully exposed on one side to the assessed tree or a constantly occupied area that is partially protected from the assessed tree. Examples include a suburban street next to the assessed street tree or a house that is partially protected from the assessed tree by an intermediate tree.

High—The failed tree or branch will most likely impact the target. This is the case when a fixed target is fully exposed to the assessed tree or near a high-use road or walkway with an adjacent street tree.

After determining the likelihood of failure and the likelihood of impacting a target, the combined likelihood of a failure impacting a target can be categorized. Matrix 1 can be used as a guide in relating these likelihood factors within a given time frame. The resulting terms (unlikely, somewhat likely, likely, very likely) are defined by their use within the table and are used to represent this combination of occurrences in Matrix 2.

Within the Consequences section, one category should be selected (negligible, minor, significant, severe). Consequences of failure are estimated based on the amount of harm or damage that will be done to a target. The consequences depend on the part size, fall characteristics, fall distance, and any factors that may protect the risk target from harm. The significance of target values—both monetary and otherwise—are subjective and relative to the client.

The consequences of failure can be categorized using the following guidelines:

Negligible—low-value property damage or disruption that can be replaced or repaired, and do not involve personal injury.

Minor—low-to-moderate property damage or small disruptions to traffic or a communication utility.

Significant—property damage of moderate- to highvalue, considerable disruption, or personal injury.

Severe—serious personal injury or death, damage to high-value property, or disruption of important activities.

Risk rating of part—the risk rating of the individual part for a specified target; the risk rating is categorized using Matrix 2: Risk rating matrix. Risk rating terms are low, moderate, high, and extreme.

Section 8—Notes, Mitigation and Limitations

Residual risk	
Residual risk	
Residual risk	
Residual risk	
2 🗆 3 🗆 4 🗆	
ection interval	
-	Residual risk Residual risk Residual risk 1

Upon completion of the assessment, use this section to illustrate potential areas of concern, and to offer mitigation options. Any further recommendations or notes should be included in this section.

Notes, explanations, descriptions—space provided to describe any conditions or factors that are not well described elsewhere on the form. Include notes on anything you need to take into consideration for making ratings or recommendations.

The grid, stem, and circle templates are provided for sketching any applicable details related to the tree or site.

Mitigation options—list options for mitigating each risk described. List your preferred recommendation on the first line.

Residual risk—the residual risk is for the risk remaining after the mitigation you are recommending. Residual risk can be *low*, *moderate*, *high*, or *extreme*.

Overall tree risk rating—the highest risk determined for the tree and target of concern. If there is more than one part or target rating, the tree risk rating is the highest of the group.

Work priority—recommendation for priority of mitigation action(s). The priority aids in communicating the urgency of mitigation for an individual tree. This may be a number (e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4) or you may assign words (e.g., immediate, as soon as possible, when the workload allows; or immediate, high, medium, low). Numbers have been included on the datasheet, with "1" meaning the highest priority.

The shaded rows in the Risk Categorization chart may be used to assess residual risk after proposed mitigation. For each mitigation action, rate the expected risk remaining after treatment using the same methodology for categorizing risk as before.

Overall residual risk—risk remaining if the highest-risk tree part is mitigated.

Recommended inspection interval—recommended time for reinspection or inspection frequency.

Data—use these boxes to indicate whether this assessment is final or preliminary.

Advanced assessment needed—note the reason for any advanced assessment recommended.

Inspection limitations—note and/or describe any factors that limited your ability to inspect the tree, or check "none."



ISA Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form

dress/Tree location					''''					
e species										
essor(s)	Time frame		Tools used							
	Target Assessm	nent								
number 1	Target description	Target within drip line	Target within 1x Ht.	Target within 1.5 x Ht.	occupancy rate 1-rare 2 - occasional 3 - frequent 4 - constant	Practical to move target?	Restriction			
1								L		
2										
3										
4										
	Site Factors									
conditions Limited volume	ther Strong winds ☐ Ice ☐ Sn Tree Health and Spec None (seasonal) ☐ None (c	ow Heavy rain ties Profile dead) Normal	□ Describe	Chloroti	ic	% Ne	crotic _			
cies failure profile Branches Trunk Root										
	Load Factor	s								
nd exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☐ W wn density Sparse ☐ Normal ☐ Dense ☐ I ent or planned change in load factors	nterior branches Few□ Norm	nal□ Dense□ \	/ines/Mistleto	oe/Mo						
Tree Def	ects and Conditions Affecting	g the Likelihood	of Failure							
	— Crown and Bra	_								
	— Crown and Bra	ncnes —								
Unbalanced crown Dead twigs/branches Mover-extended branches Pruning history Crown cleaned Thinned Reduced Topped Flush cuts Other Main concern(s)	Cracks ax. dia ax. dia Weak attach Previous bra Raised Dead/Missir ion-tailed Response gr	t ments anch failures mag bark Canke Hear	rs/Galls/Burls twood decay	C S S	avity/l Similar Sapwo	Included Nest hole branches produced damage/	d bark [% cir resent ['decay [rc.		
Dead twigs/branches	Cracks	t ments anch failures g bark Hear owth ant nt	rs/Galls/Burls twood decay	C S S	avity/l Similar Sapwo	Included Nest hole branches pr od damage/	d bark [% cir resent ['decay [rc.		

	Risk Categorization																									
							Likelihood																			
Ondition number								ber			Failu	ıre		Impact				Failure & Impact				Consequences				
ם						a)	Fall distance	number		e e			Г	Lii				(from Matrix 1)				 				Risk
itio	E Conditions				Part size	list	岩	l	Improbable	le	ple	ent	»		툍		<u>~</u>	Somewhat		Very likely	Negligible	_	Significant	[[rating of part	
ond	.		Conditions of concern			art	E	Target	Target	npro	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	ome	Likely	ery I	eglig	Minor	gnifi	Severe	(from
	Tree pa	art		Concern	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	protection	느	ď	<u> </u>	드	^		≥	ェ	_	Š	=	^	Z	≥	S	Š	Matrix 2)
	1									⊢				Н	<u> </u>			_	<u> </u>			⊢	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ш	
*	1																									
2	2									Г																
										Г							Г	Г	Г			П	Г			
3				ŀ						Г												Н				
		\dashv																				Н				
4										H								_				\vdash				
																						\vdash				
Mati	ix I . Likel	ihood	matr	ix.								-	+			+	+		-	+			+	-		
Lik	elihood			Like	lihood	of Imp	acting	Target	t								_						\perp			
of	of Failure Very low Low				ı	Medium High																				
	Imminent Unlike			Somewh			Likely Very likely				-					\exists						\top				
	Probable Unlike				likely Som		newhat likely Unlikely		Likely Somewhat like	lv.		-	_			+	_			_			+			
Improbable Unlikely Unlikely				1	Unlikely Unlikely			ı y							_						\perp					
Mati	ix 2. Risk	rating	matr	ix.				•		_																
			Cons	Consequences of Failure																						
Failure & Impact		Ne	egligible	Min	or	Signi	ficant	Severe														+				
Very likely			Low	Mode	rate	High I		Extreme			-	+			+	\dashv		-	+			+	_			
Likely		_	Low	Mode			gh	High														N	orth			
So	Somewhat likely Low				Low Moderate			Moderate					- 1			- 1										
Unlikely Low Low Low																										
Not	Notes, explanations, descriptions									_																\
										_																
										_											\					
										_																
										_																
Mitigation options																										
																					F	Resid	laut	risk	·	
Overall tree risk rating Low □ Moderate □ High □ Extreme □											-		-				3 □									
Overall residual risk Low □ Moderate □ High □ Extreme □										ı	Reco	omn	nen	ded	ins	ect	ion	inte	rval							
Data	□Final	□Pr	elimi	nary Ad v	vanced	asses	sment	neede	ed □No □Yes-	Тур	e/Re	asoı	n													
Insp	ection lir	nitati	ons l	□None □]Visibili	ty 🗆	Access	□Vin	es □Root coll	ar b	urie	d D	escr	ibe												