## SUMMARY MINUTES OF THE COMMISSION FOR ARKANSAS PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION

# MEETING November 5, 2013

Place: ADE Auditorium

Time: 8:00 a.m.

#### **Commission Members in Attendance:**

Dr. Tom Kimbrell, Commissioner, Arkansas Department of Education

Mr. Richard Weiss, Director, Arkansas Department of Finance and Administration

Mr. Mac Dodson, President, Arkansas Development Authority

## Others in Attendance:

Mr. Tony Wood, Deputy Commissioner, Arkansas Department of Education

Mr. Jeremy Lasiter, General Counsel, Arkansas Department of Education

Ms. Lori Freno, Assistant General Counsel, Arkansas Department of Education

Dr. Charles C. Stein, PE, CEFP, Director, DPSAFT

Mr. Terry Granderson, Assistant Director, DPSAFT

Ms. Carol Bowman, Administrative Analyst, DPSAFT

#### Quorum was met.

Meeting called to order.

#### Agenda item:

## 1. Summary Meeting Minutes - August 29, 2013

The Commission approved the meeting minutes for the August 29, 2013, Commission meeting.

### 2. Rules Governing the Academic Facilities Partnership Program - Draft Rules

- Public Comments matrix
- Rule Draft
- 7100 Draft
- 7120 Draft
- 7130 Draft
- 7140 Draft
- 7200 Draft
- POR Draft

Lori Freno stated that notifications of the public hearings for the rules were posted in the Arkansas Democrat/Gazette newspaper for three days and on both the ADE and Division websites. Two public hearings were held. She indicated the Public Comments were on the matrix, and after reviewing the comments that a decision was made to accept or not accept the changes.

Dr. Kimbrell asked if there were safe room changes, which Lori Freno stated there were not because the rule mirrored the law.

The rule was approved by the Commission to forward to the Arkansas Legislative Council Administrative Rules and Regulations Subcommittee.

## 3. Commission Review of District Appeals of Decisions of the Academic Facilities Review Board

Jeremy Lasiter provided an overview of the procedures, explaining the amount of time for each step of the process, and that the Commission could extend the time. A final written determination is due to the school districts within ten days. The burden of proof is on the school districts.

Dr. Kimbrell recused himself since he had served as the superintendent of the NLRSD, and his children currently attend and his wife works in the Cabot Public Schools.

Tab 3a	Documents		
	Tab 3a1	Rules Governing Appeals from Determinations of the Arkansas Division	
		of Public School Academic Facilities and Transportation	
	Tab 3a2	Rules Governing the Academic Facilities Partnership Program	
	Tab 3a3	2012 Master Plan/Partnership Program Guidelines for 2013-2015 Biennium	
Tab 3b	North Little Rock School District		
	Tab 3b1	Appeal of Written Determination of the Division of Public School	
		Academic Facilities and Transportation Concerning Partnership Program	
		of the North Little Rock School District	
	Tab 3b2	Response to the North Little Rock School District's Appeal of the Final	
		Determination of the Academic Facilities Review Board	
	Tab 3b3	Order of the Academic Facilities Review Board	
	Tab 3b4	Transcript of Hearing on Appeal to Academic Facilities Review Board	
	Tab 3b5	Exhibits of Hearing on Appeal to Academic Facilities Review Board	

Tripp Walter, Staff Attorney of the Arkansas Public School Resource Center, presented for the North Little Rock School District (*NLRSD*). He introduced the individuals with him which were Mr. Howell, Ken Kirspel, Kelly Rogers, Fred Cashaw, and Jennifer Dedmon. Mr. Walter stated the NLRSD was appealing the decision made by the Academic Facilities Review Board to the Commission. The NLRSD's issue was with the decisions regarding the Pike View Elementary School to include the school in the suitability analysis.

After Mr. Walter completed his presentation, Lori Freno responded that the NLRSD made the decision to convert K-5 space into Pre-K, and that Pre-K space is not funded by the State, so since the district made the decision to convert the space, they must accept the consequences. The Division had told the district the project review process several times prior to the application process.

The Commission voted to uphold and agreed with the decision made by the Academic Facilities Review Board.

Tab 3c	Cabot Public School District		
	Tab 3c1	Appeal of Written Determination of the Division of Public School	
		Academic Facilities and Transportation Concerning Partnership Program	
		of the Cabot Public School District	
	Tab 3c2	Response to the Cabot Public School District's Appeal of the Final	
		Determination of the Academic Facilities Review Board	
	Tab 3c3	Order of the Academic Facilities Review Board	
	Tab 3c4	Transcript of Hearing on Appeal to Academic Facilities Review Board	
	Tab 3c5	Exhibits of Hearing on Appeal to Academic Facilities Review Board	

Dr. Tony Thurman, Superintendent, presented for the Cabot Public School District (*Cabot SD*). He introduced Chad Davidson who was with him. Dr. Thurman stated the Cabot SD was appealing the decision made by the Academic Facilities Review Board to the Commission. The Cabot SD's issue was with the decisions regarding the Ward Elementary School dining room. The district agreed that the suitability analysis indicated no suitability, but the dining space did not meet space requirements.

After Dr. Thurman completed his presentation, Lori Freno responded that the law requires consideration of existing space in the suitability analysis and that the rules must be followed.

The Commission voted to uphold and agreed with the decision made by the Academic Facilities Review Board.

Meeting adjourned.