School Co-Teacher(s)

Da te Click here to enter a date.

PURPOSE: This tool is designed to assist co-teaching teams by facilitating reflection upon their co-teaching implementation in order to enhance or refine existing practices.

Dire c tions-Part 1

In each of the category areas, each teacher should <u>individually</u> check the rating of **initiating**, **developing** or **sustaining** that best describes their **co-teaching team's** current status of collaborative implementation of co-teaching approaches. The categories included are planning, instructional environment, physical environment, discipline and school environment.

<u>Initia ting</u>: Two teachers have been assigned to the same classroom and have begun to develop a co-teaching partnership and establish responsibilities to be shared.

<u>Developing</u>: Co-Teachers are sharing many responsibilities, modifying their thinking and implementing evidence-based co-teaching practices in an observable way.

<u>Sustaining</u>: Co-Teachers consistently share responsibilities and collaboratively implement evidence-based co-teaching practices through seamless planning, delivery and a ssessment of instruction.

¹ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | <u>Initia ting</u> | <u>Developing</u> | Susta ining |
|--|---|---|---|
| | • One teacheraddresses the planning and adaptations necessary for students' specific needs including Universal Design for Leaming | • One teacherplans for instruction, assessment, behavior and integration of technology | Both teachers equally share in the lesson development appropriate to the approach of co-teaching utilized |
| ent | • One teacherplanscontent delivery | One teacheradapts plans for students with disabilities instruction, assessment and behaviorusing Universal Design for Leaming | • Identification/alignment to and use of State Cumiculum (SC) and/orCore Learning Goals (CIG) |
| Panning for Instruction and Assessment | • Each teacher understands the outcome of the lesson and separately monitors student progress | • Teachers plan to jointly deliver the lesson | • Incorporation of modifications, accommodations, Universal Design for Learning and differentiation to address diverse learning needs |
| P for Instructio | • Specialeducation teacherplans for IEP implementation | • One teachermonitors student progress and adjusts instruction accordingly | • Planning for implementation of instruction and assessment a ligned to the SC and individualized goals to be addressed for all students |
| | | | • Se le c tion of appropriate instructional and assessment de livery, based on students' needs and content, including the integration of technology |
| | | | Incorporation of classroom management procedures Both teachers monitor student progress and adjust instruction accordingly |
| | • Teachers maintain separate gradebooks | • Teachers begin to develop a consistent grading policy | Both teachers agree upon a consistent policy for grading and home work |

² This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| <u>Initia ting</u> | <u>Developing</u> | Susta ining |
|--|---|--|
| Students are learning and engaged by only one teacher | Students learn and engage more with one teacher than another | · All students leam and engage with both teachers |
| Specialeducatoronly provides assistance to the students identified with disabilities and collects and analyzes data in isolation | One teacher leads instruction while the other teacher only interjects, circulates and provides student support as needed | Teachers share equal status in instruction, assessment and classmom management, including data collection and analysis |
| • One teacher's name is included on all written materials | • One teacher primarily communicates with students, parents, other teachers and administrators, regarding instruction, assessment and classroom management | • Teachers share responsibilities such as: communicating with students, parents, other teachers, and administrators, regarding instruction, assessment and classroom management |
| | One teacher's name is noted on the majority of information with occasional inclusion of the other teacher's name when it relates to specific students | Both teachers' names are listed on items such as the door, rosters, report cards, and written communication to parents |
| Specialeducatordevelops assessments for students identified with disabilities and provides grades to the generaleducator. | • One teacherdevelops assessments and collects and analyzes data | • Teachers share responsibility for integrating technology into instructionaldelivery and assessment |
| | upon policy forgrading and homework | Both teachers utilize a consistent policy for grading and home work |
| | Students are learning and engaged by only one teacher Specialeducatoronly provides assistance to the students identified with disabilities and collects and analyzes data in isolation One teacher's name is included on all written materials Specialeducator develops assessments for students identified with disabilities and provides | Students are learning and engaged by only one teacher Specialeduc atoronly provides assistance to the students identified with disabilities and collects and analyzes data in isolation One teacher's name is included on all written materials One teacher primarily communicates with students, parents, other teachers and administrators, regarding instruction, assessment and classmoom management One teacher's name is noted on the majority of information with occasional inclusion of the other teacher's name when it relates to specific students One teacher primarily communicates with students, parents, other teachers and administrators, regarding instruction, assessment and classmoom management One teacher's name is noted on the majority of information with occasional inclusion of the other teacher's name when it relates to specific students One teacher develops assessments and collects and analyzes data One teacher minimal entry interiects, circulates and provides student One teacher primarily One teacher pri |

| | Initia ting | <u>Developing</u> | Susta ining |
|------------------------|--|---|--|
| | • Resources and materials are viewed as property of one teacher or the other | Access to all materials in the work environment may be limited | • Bo th teachers have shared access to all resources and materials |
| | • One teacher has no assigned are a in the learning environment | • Work space is shared but is somewhat limited | Both teachers have appropriate and reasonable work space in the learning environment |
| Physic a l Environment | • No flexibility in use of space for co-teaching approaches and the encouragement of student movement for interactions | Space restricts selection of co-teaching approaches and appropriate student movement for interactions | • Space allows for grouping and student movement for interactions in the implementation of the various coteaching approaches |

⁴ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | <u>Initia ting</u> | <u>De ve loping</u> | Susta ining |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| | • One teacher is responsible for establishing and communicating expectations | Some shared responsibility for establishing and communicating expectations | Bo th teachers share responsibility for establishing and communicating expectations for all students |
| | • Each teacherdisciplines a specified group of students | • The majority of disc ip line is handled by one teacher | Both teachers ensure all students adhere to classroom expectations |
| | • One teacher is solely responsible for implementing Behavior Intervention Plans | • One teacher has primary responsibility to implement Behavior Intervention Plans | Bo th teachers share responsibility to implement Behavior Intervention Plans |
| | • Students respond to one teacher only in regard to instruction and disc ip line | • Students respond primarily to one teacher in regard to instruction and disc ip line | • Students respond to both teachers equally in regard to instruction and discipline |
| Disc ip line | • Teachers independently decide upon behavior practices to use within the classroom | Teachers use some of the behavior practices within an existing school-wide approach | Teachers use behavior practices consistent with any existing school-wide approach(es) |
| | | | |

| | <u>Initia ting</u> | <u>De ve loping</u> | Susta ining |
|----------------------|--|---|--|
| | Minimalor no time for job embedded co-planning and co- teaching | The schedule provides limited time for job embedded co-planning and co-teaching | The schedule provides time for job-embedded co-planning and co-teaching |
| ıt | Fe w re so ure e s a re provide d inc luding: sc he duling, space, appropriate student grouping, instructional materials, and technology Teachers work in isolation to address barriers or problems | Limited resources are provided including: scheduling, space, appropriate student grouping, instructional materials and technology The environment provides some support for collaboration and problem-solving by staff | Needed resources are provided including: scheduling, space, appropriate student grouping, instructional materials and technology The environment supports collaboration and problemsolving by staff |
| Sc ho ol Environment | • The school community does not embrace collaboration and coteaching as an integral part of instruction and assessment | Some members of the school community embrace collaboration and co-teaching as an integral part of instruction and assessment | • The school culture embraces collaboration and co-teaching as an integral part of instruction and assessment |
| SchoolE | • Minimalorno professional development, including jobembedded professional development specific to coteaching is provided | • Limited professional development specific to co-teaching including job-embedded professional development is provided | High quality professional development specific to coteaching is provided based upon teacher and student needs including job-embedded professional development |
| | • Feedback provided to coteachers reflects limited or no understanding of the co-teaching framework and focuses more on one teacher than another | So me feedback is provided to support enhancement of coteaching and collaborative practices which reflects partial understanding of the co-teaching framework, but may focus more on one teacher than another | Feedback and coaching is provided to support enhancement of co-teaching and collaborative practices as reflected in the co-teaching frame work |

Directions - Collaborative Reflection

Complete the following section collaborative by with your co-teaching partner. Begin by sharing your responses to Part I of the co-teaching reflection tool with your co-teacher. Compare your ratings (initiating, developing, or sustaining) and discuss your individual and team strengths and areas for improvement. Reflection Tool Part 3 may be helpful in recording your action plan that will guide your next steps in enhancing your co-teaching skills.

| Co-Teaching Categories | Stre ng ths | Areas for Improvement |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|
| Planning for Instruction and Assessment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Instructional Environment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Physic al Environment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Disc ip line | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Sc hool Environment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |

School Co-Teacher(s)

Date Click here to enter a date.

Dire c tions Part 2-Instructional Delivery

In this section, you will individually describe your team's implementation of co-teaching approaches. Check the rating of sustaining, developing or initiating, that best describes your team's implementation of each co-teaching approach.

| Co-Teaching Approach | De finitio n² | Initia ting | De ve loping | Susta ining |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| 1 Teach 1 Assist ¹ | One teacher is responsible for teaching. One teacher circulates | No co-planning occurs, a lthough the lesson plan may be exchanged | • Limited co-planning occurs, with one teacherdeveloping the lesson separately | • Co-planning occurs consistently |
| | thm ug ho ut the c la ssm o m p m vid ing uno b trusive a ssistance to students as needed. | • No opportunity to switch roles-lead/assist | • The same teacher leads predominately, while the other usually assists | • Each teacher has the opportunity to lead and to assist based on the instructional activity |
| | | • This approach is used exclusively | • This approach is used predominately | • This approach is used strategically |
| | | • Specialeducation teacheronly assists students with disabilities | • Students interact mostly with one teacherorthe other teacher | Bo th teachers share responsibility for maintaining the learning environment for all students |
| | | | | Specialeducator's role clearly reflects a focus on student's IEP needs |
| | | | | |

¹ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| Co-Teaching | De finitio n² | Initia ting | <u>Developing</u> | Susta ining |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Co-Teaching Approach Station Teaching¹ | Two teachers divide content and students. Each teacher then teaches the content to one group and subsequently repeats the instruction for the other group. If appropriate, a third "station" could give students an opportunity to work independently. | • Separate content is planned by each teacher and delivered at each station, although resources may be exchanged • One teacher makes all the decisions about objectives, skill development, preteaching, etc. • Students rotate through a series of stations that may not be closely related. • Teachers group students with disabilities separately | • Le ssons are planned separately • Decisions regarding main objective, needed skill development, and pre-teaching for lesson are developed in isolation • Students rotate through two separate content stations and an independent/partner activity • Teachers group students without predetermined criteria • Students with disabilities are unevenly distributed among groups | • Co-planning occurs consistently • Decisions for lesson, including main objective, needed skill development, and pre-teaching are collaboratively developed • Students rotate through two cohesive content stations and, if appropriate, a related independent/partner activity • Teaching team uses predetermined criteria to group all students, and students with disabilities are part of each group |
| | | | | |

² This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| Co-Teaching Approach | De finitio n² | Initia ting | Developing | Susta ining |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Paralle l Te a c hing 1 | On occasion, students' learning would be greatly facilitated if they just had more supervision by the teacheror more opportunity to respond. In parallel teaching, the teachers are both teaching the same information, but they divide the class group and do so simultaneously. | Co-planning does not occur, but teachers may exchange materials and resources Each teacherdelivers different/unrelated content Specialeducator has separate group for students with disabilities and teaches modified content | Co-planning occurs so me times but le ssons are planned separately One teacher is responsible for teaching grade level content One teacher has a separate group for students with disabilities to teach content using a variety of instructional strategies | Co-planning occurs consistently Each teacher presents the same content to divided group using strategies designed to meet individual student needs Teaching team uses predetermined criteria to group all students, including students with disabilities Students with disabilities are a part of each group |

³ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| Co-Teaching Approach | De finitio n² | Initia ting | De ve loping | Susta ining |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Alte mative Te a c hing 1 | In most class groups, occasions arise in which several students need specialized attention. In alternative teaching, one teachertakes responsibility for the large group while the other works with a smaller group. | Co-planning does not occur Specialeducator always leads smaller group Small group is static and composed only of students with disabilities This approach is the primary mode of instruction, so students with disabilities are usually working separately from the rest of the class | • Co-planning occurs sometimes • One educator predominately leads the large group • Small group is composed of students who are struggling with a particular concept or skill; grouping is adjusted periodically • This approach is used primarily or exclusively for remediation for acceleration of struggling students | • Co-planning occurs consistently • Both teachers share responsibility for leading smaller teaching groups • Small groups are formed flexibly and fluidly to address instructional needs • This approach is used creatively to address a variety of student needs, including remediation for acceleration, preteaching, and enrichment |

⁴ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| Co-Teaching Approach | De finitio n² | Initia ting | De ve loping | Susta ining |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Team Teaching ¹ Interactive Teaching | Both teachers are sharing the delivery of, and have equally active roles in leading the class. Both teachers are actively engaged in the delivery of core instruction. | Co-planning occurs rarely, if ever One teacherdelivers all the content information Specialeducator delivers only supplemental instruction, not core content instruction | Some co-planning occurs sometimes One teacher (usually the general educator) delivers majority of core content Fach educator delivers a prescribed section of the lesson | Extensive co-planning occurs consistently Both teachers share delivery of core content to the entire class Teachers use a "conversation" style to deliver instruction simultaneously |
| | | Student Interactions are based on teacher roles (Special Educatoror General Educator) | • Student interactions and engagement are primarily with the one teacherdelivering content | Student interactions with both teachers demonstrate cohesive delivery of instruction and promote high levels of student engagement Specialeducator leads accountability for IEP monitoring |

References

- Adapted from Cook, Lynn and Friend, Marilyn, (2004). Co-Teaching: Principles, Practices, and Pragmatics. New Mexico Public Education Department, Quarterly Special Education Meeting, Albuquerque, NM. April 29, 2004
- Adapted from Manlyn Friend, (2008). Co-Teach!: A Handbook for creating and Sustaining Effective Classroom Partnerships in Inclusive Schools.

Directions Part 3-Collaborative Reflection

Complete the following section <u>collaborative by</u> with your co-teaching partner. Begin by sharing your responses to Part 2 of the co-teaching reflection tool with your co-teacher. Compare your ratings (initiating, developing, or sustaining) and discuss your individual and team strengths and areas for improvement. Reflection Tool Part 3 may be helpful in recording your action plan that will guide your next steps in enhancing your co-teaching skills.

| <u>Instruc tio na l De live ry</u> | Stre ng ths | Are as for Improvement |
|---|--|---|
| One Teach, One Assist Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Parallel Teaching Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Station Teaching Teacher1 rating: Choose an item. Teacher2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Alternative Teaching Teacher1 rating: Choose an item. Teacher2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Team Teaching Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. How many times in the average week do you use each instructional delivery approach? | Keeping in mind yourcument group of students a ormore co-teaching approaches you would like achievement? | and the skills and content you teach, are there one to try, or to use more of, to enhance student |

| 1 Teach 1 Assist | |
|----------------------|--|
| Station Teaching | |
| Parallel Teaching | |
| Alte mative Teaching | |
| Team Teaching | |
| | |

| School | Co-Teacher(s) | Da te Click here to enter a date. |
|--------|---------------|--|
| | | |

Directions Part 3-Collaborative Reflection

Complete the following section collaborative by with your co-teaching partner after sharing your responses to Parts I and/or 2 of the co-teaching reflection tool with your co-teacher. Based on the outcomes of your collaborative reflection, develop an action plan that will guide your next steps in enhancing your co-teaching skills.

| Write one or more Co-Teaching Learning Goal(s): |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Include your action steps to obtain your goal(s): [consider activities, preparation, implementation, outcome measures of success and time line] |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Please specify any external supports that may be needed to achieve your goal(s). |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Based upon your reflective discussion, list 3 focus are as of support/professional development that would be most helpful to you in |
|---|
| achieving yourco-teaching learning goal(s). A list of possible topics is on the next page; feel free to add yourown as well. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |