# AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ISLAMIC SOCIAL SCIENCES

SPECIAL ISSUE
ALI MAZRUI: THE GLOBAL MUSLIM THINKER.



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC THOUGHT

#### Editor-in-Chief Editor AbdulHamid A. AbuSulayman Zakyi Ibrahim

#### **Managing Editor**

Aliaa Dakroury

**Copy Editor** 

Jay Willoughby

#### **Book Review Editor**

Mahdi Tourage

#### **Associate Editors**

Imad-ad-Dean Ahmad Haifaa Jawad Mehmet Asutay Marcia Hermansen Ahmad Yousif

#### **International Advisory Board**

Khurshid Ahmad M. Kamal Hassan Akbar Ahmed Mohammad H. Kamali Manzoor Alam **Enes Karic** Khaled Blankinship Seyyed Hossein Nasr James P. Piscatori Katherine Bullock Anne Sofie Roald Charles Butterworth Ahmad Davutoglu Tamara Sonn John L. Esposito Antony Sullivan Mehdi Golshani Sayyid M. Syeed

#### A publication of:

The International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT)

Mailing Address:

All correspondence should be addressed to the Editor at: AJISS, P.O. Box 669, Herndon, VA 20172-0669 USA

Phone: 703-471-1133 • Fax: 703-471-3922 ajiss@iiit.org • www.iiit.org

## Editor-in-Chief Editor AbdulHamid A. AbuSulayman Zakyi Ibrahim

#### **Managing Editor**

Aliaa Dakroury

**Copy Editor** 

Jay Willoughby

#### **Book Review Editor**

Mahdi Tourage

#### **Associate Editors**

Imad-ad-Dean Ahmad Haifaa Jawad Mehmet Asutay Marcia Hermansen Ahmad Yousif

#### **International Advisory Board**

Khurshid Ahmad M. Kamal Hassan Akbar Ahmed Mohammad H. Kamali Manzoor Alam Enes Karic Khaled Blankinship Seyyed Hossein Nasr Katherine Bullock James P. Piscatori Anne Sofie Roald Charles Butterworth Ahmad Davutoglu Tamara Sonn John L. Esposito Antony Sullivan Mehdi Golshani Sayyid M. Syeed

#### A publication of:

The International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT)

#### Mailing Address:

All correspondence should be addressed to the Editor at: AJISS, P.O. Box 669, Herndon, VA 20172-0669 USA

Phone: 703-471-1133 • Fax: 703-471-3922

ajiss@iiit.org • www.iiit.org

#### **VOLUME 33 SUMMER 2016 NUMBER 3**

# AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ISLAMIC SOCIAL SCIENCES

A double blind peer-reviewed and interdisciplinary journal

#### SPECIAL ISSUE

Ali Mazrui: The Global Muslim Thinker

Guest Editors Naveed Sheikh, Keele University (UK) Ahmed Salem, Zayed University (UAE)

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC THOUGHT

#### **Note to Contributors**

The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences (AJISS) is a double blind peer-reviewed and interdisciplinary journal that publishes a wide variety of scholarly research on all facets of Islam and the Muslim world: anthropology, economics, history, philosophy and metaphysics, politics, psychology, religious law, and traditional Islam. Submissions are subject to a blind peer review process.

Submissions must conform to the following guidelines:

- Be the author's original research. Simultaneous submissions to other journals, as well as previous publication in any format and language, are not accepted.
- Be between 7,000 and 10,000 words in length (shorter articles may be accepted when justified by their exceptionally high quality); book reviews and conference reports must be between 1,000-1.500 words;
- Include a 250 word (max) abstract;
- Cite all bibliographical information in endnotes. Provide full biographical information (e.g., full name(s) of author(s), complete title of the source, place of publication, publishing company, date of publication, and the specific page being cited) when the source is mentioned for the first time. For subsequent citations of the same source, list the author's last name, abbreviate the title, and give the relevant page number(s). Do not use footnotes or a bibliography;
- Avoid putting the author's name in headers or footers, and avoid any personal references in the body or the endnotes that might betray their identity to referees;
- Include a cover sheet with the author's full name, current university or professional affiliation, mailing address, phone/fax number(s), and current e-mail address. Provide a two-sentence biography;
- Transliterate Arabic words according to the style in AJISS, which is based upon that used by the Library of Congress;
- All submissions should be in MS-Word, double-spaced, and on single-sided numbered pages;
- · AJISS does not return manuscripts to authors.

AJISS is indexed in the following publications: a) U.M.I. (16 mm microfilm, 35 mm microfilm, 105 mm microfiche for article copies of 1990 issues and after); b) Religion Index One: Periodicals and Index to Book Reviews in Religion (1987 and after). These indexes are part of the ATLA Religion Data-base, available on the WilsonDisc CD-ROM from H. W. Wilson Co., and online via WilsonLine, BRS Information Technologies, and Dialog Information Services; c) Public Affairs Information Service (December 1990 and after); d) Sociological Abstracts - Pro-Quest (1985 and after); and e) International Current Awareness Services (1992 and after). Selected material is indexed in the International Bibliography of the Social Sciences. Opinions expressed in AJISS are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editors or publishers. No photocopying is allowed without the express permission of the publisher. See last page for distributors and subscription rates.

The TranslitLS, TranslitSBL and TranslitLSAKK fonts used to create this work are © 1994-2002 Payne Loving Trust. They are available from Linguist's Software, Inc., www.linguistsoftware.com, PO Box 580, Edmonds, WA 98020-0580 USA, tel (425) 775-1130.

### **CONTENTS**

Reflections  Ali Mazrui: Beacon at the Intersection of Islam and Africa  Ebrahim Rasool
Ebrahim Rasool
Ali Mazrui's Scholarship Seifudein Adem1
Articles
Mapping Mazrui's Mind: Assessing the Mazruiana through a Bibliometric Lens Muhammed Haron
Localizing Islam in the West: Mazrui's Journey from the Study of African Politics to Global Cultures  Ahmed Ali Salem
Making Africa Legible: Kiswahili Arabic and Orthographic Romanization in Colonial Zanzibar Caitlyn Bolton
Islam, Politics and Globalization: Mazrui's Struggle for a System of Universal Values  **Rachid Mrani***  7
Obituary
Mumtaz Ahmed: Model Scholar, Educator, and Public Intellectual  Tamara Sonn
Book Reviews
Religious Secularity: A Theological Challenge to the Islamic State (by Naser Ghobadzadeh)  Banafsheh Madaninejad
Reimagining Malcolm X: Street Thinker Versus Homo Academicus (by Seyed Javad Miri)  John Andrew Morrow

Writing Self, Writing Empire: Chandar Bhan Brahman and the Cultural World of the Indo-Persian State Secretary (by Rajeev Kinra)  Aria Fani	106
Islamic Cultures, Islamic Contexts: Essays in Honor of Professor Patricia Crone (by Behnam Sadeghi, Asad Q. Ahmed, Adam Silverstein, and Robert Hoyland, eds.)  Emmanuelle Stefanidis	
Mirrors of Entrapment and Emancipation: Forough Farrokhzad and Sylvia Plath (by Leila Rahimi Bahmany)  Babak Elahi	115
Persophilia: Persian Culture on the Global Scene (by Hamid Dabashi)  Negar Davari	119
After the American Century: The Ends of U.S. Culture in the Middle East (by Brian T. Edwards)  Mojtaba Ebrahimian	
The Muslim Question in Canada: A Story of Segmented Integration (by Abdolmohammad Kazemipur)  Kathy Bullock	
Shiʻi Islam: An Introduction (by Najam Haider) <i>Husein Khimjee</i>	131
The Holy City of Medina: Sacred Space in Early Islamic Arabia (by Harry Munt)  *Rose Aslan	134
Forum	
A Muslim Reflection on Dangerous Games: What the Moral Panic over Role-Playing Games Says about Play, Religion, and Imagined Worlds Amina Inloes	138
Conference, Symposium, and Panel Reports	
Second International Congress on Islamic Civilization in Southern Africa:  Developments and Future Perspectives  Muhammed Haron	150
Reaching Consensus on Organ Donation  Jay Willoughby	154
IIIT Intellectual Panels Saulat Pervez	157
ISIS and Islam: How a Terrorist's Ideology Twists Religion  Jay Willoughby	162

#### Mazrui: Man, Mission, Movement

In the checkered history of Africology, from early colonial endeavors to the brave new world of postcolonialist dissections, few scholars elicited the excitement and adoration that Professor Ali Al-Amin Mazrui (1933-2014) did. On the very continent that he studied so intensely, libraries, educational centers, and roads have been named for him posthumously in recognition of his manifold contributions. And yet, although rare by the standards of academic aloofness, the adulation of his defenders was matched by the abrasive disdain and aberrant hostility of his detractors, some of whom were undoubtedly driven by intellectual or political opposition to his underlying project of reviving non-western consciousness during an era so marked by the supposed universalism of western finance, culture, and militarism.

To be certain, though, Mazrui was not fazed by such criticism or challenge; instead, it would appear that he rather thrived on controversy, relishing each emergent opportunity to provide correctives to the received wisdom. Indeed, when writing, Mazrui was often schooling. His deliberately provocative pronouncements, in prose and speech, would question and rattle, but always make, in demonstrative (rather than didactic) terms, poignant points about errors perceptual and praxeological. In so doing, Mazrui – clearly inspired by the finesse of his Oxford doctoral training – was not shy to adopt riveting rhetorical devices: irony, hyperbole, and simile abounded. Such devices, however, did not obscure the structured ways, even if implicit, through which his analysis unfolded. When he took the time, he would reason as well as argue in clear schemata by employing binaries, triads, dichotomies, and juxtapositions. His eye for detail was as pronounced as his mastery of history: microhistory could give way to longue durée in a paragraph, the local and the global would intertwine, and the ideational and the material would interact dialectically.

A comparativist *par excellence*, Mazrui would leap from case to point and vice versa, often in such passionate pursuit of an overarching argument that pauses for clarifications, problematizations, definitions, and disclaimers would amount to mere obstacles on the rhetorical race-course. Stylistically and in terms of ratiocination, he was indeed an enemy of commas.

To bracket Mazrui, in terms of epistemology, as either Durkheimian, Weberian, or Hegelian is probably not pertinent to any attempt to understand his method. Not only was Mazrui a non-conformist, he was an iconoclast and thus unbound by precedent or convention. This was true both in regards to western epistemic techniques and in relation to the Islamicate traditions, where he also did not see *ijmā* (scholastic consensus) as necessarily binding for posterior scholarship. Although cognizant of methodological interparadigm debates in the North American social sciences, as well as in western literature and in global area studies, Mazrui sought subscriber status to no established methodological school. Presumably, the deep-seated intramural sectarianism among adherents to rival meta-theories would, to Mazrui, be a distraction rather than a condition of practice, even as for him the library was a second home and critique a life-long vocation.

Within the purview of the Islamic sciences, in which the late Mazrui took a keener interest, the insistent lack of subscription to a canon and established precedent could not elicit the same gravitas as when exercised within those fields in which he had been trained. His father, the shaykh or mwalimu al-Amin bin Ali Mazrui (1891-1947) had, of course, initially hoped that his son would be able to add the honorific al-Azhari to his name, but the educational path of this scion of the Mazrui family took him to western seats of learning instead. Thus Mazrui did not have the opportunity to seek or obtain advanced accreditations ( $ij\bar{a}za$ ) in the classical sciences. In the end, therefore, Mazruian ijtihād was strictly opinion (ra'y) only, rather than a rigorous engagement with the text or the interpretative tools of the  $fuqah\bar{a}$ . One could reasonably assume that public benefit (maşlaḥah) would be Mazrui's guiding concern, although he never pretended to be constrained by the deductive principles of the ancestors. Nevertheless, the point remains that the professor's eclecticism and originality were persistent across disciplinary divides, even in areas that were outside the remit of his original expertise. His ideas did not amount to a "school," but they did provide for an "apEditorial vii

proach" that was meticulously anchored in incessant interrogation and critical rethinking.

Unaffiliated with novel epistemological trends in the discipline of international relations (IR), as well as in the emergent fields of postcolonial and subaltern studies, Mazrui thus avoided the jargon in order to speak to the concerns. He was motivated, indeed moved, by the big questions of the day: colonialism, racialism, sexism, deprivation, civilization, coexistence, and security. He was concerned with the individual, the community, the nation, the tribe, gender, and humanity at large, but, in a departure from the dominant political science approach of the global Cold War, his motif was never the preservation or imposition of order. He understood, I suspect, order to be hegemonic and against the creative flow of history itself. Hence, uproar and "shaking up" were manifestations of progress (or simply social life), whereas imposed inertia and structured stagnation were anomalous and elite-serving. To Mazrui, the systemic preoccupations of positivist political science and "realist" IR – often predicated on law-like regularities, immutable constants, and persistent universals – were both unhelpful and counterintuitive: human beings were not mechanical entities but living, sentient, and also sometimes irrational actors. The analyst's job was not to impose situational or ideational constrains on social forces or to serve those who attempted to do so, but to raise awareness of intellectual and institutional biases.

None of this is to say that Mazrui was uninterested in drawing universal lessons and, deeper still, devoted no time to the generic – over genetic – complexity of the human condition. Amid the mountains of pages, even if unexplicated, the persistent ethico-moral edifice of his work remained *pluralism*: that human beings were continuously shaped by, and allowed themselves to continue to be shaped by, a plurality of social, cultural, and ideological thought complexes. To sterilize plurality was not only unnatural but, as sterilization goes, unfertile. Mazrui's animosity to western power projection was thus strategic only: It was not a negation of liberal norms or Enlightenment values, but rather a demur to the naked, or even embellished, aggression carried out in the name of those norms. Orientalism, whether in ivory towers or on Capitol Hill, was erroneous not because it took ideas seriously, but because of the exclusionary and impositionist aims to which it subjected those ideas. Of course, Orientalism was errant also in its irretrievable linearity, in

its assumption that actions follow maxims absent contexts. In this sense, Mazrui was preoccupied with culture but was no culturalist, for culture was neither self-referential nor, in the academic vernacular, an independent variable.

In the course of his octogenarian lifespan, Mazrui shook the foundations of African studies, while making important contributions to political science and Islamic studies. A figure so imposing, yet so humble, Mazrui began as an intellectual and ended as an institution.

#### This Issue

The present issue constitutes what the Germans call a *Gedenkscrift*: an anthology, crafted in gratitude, in memoriam of a recently departed figure of authority, in this case Professor Ali Mazrui. Leaving behind an extraordinarily rich and diverse legacy, he engaged with a plethora of themes, methods, and formats, some of which are discussed in this thematic issue. The majority of papers derive from a seminar in his honor of hosted by the International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT) in October 2015, coinciding with Mazrui's first death anniversary. The seminar brought together scholars from Africa, Europe, North America, and the Middle East to reflect on the life and legacy of this towering figure.

This issue opens with a revised version of the keynote address, delivered by Ambassador Ebrahim Rasool, entitled "Ali Mazrui: Beacon at the Intersection of Islam and Africa." Possibly Mazrui would, with a twinkle in his eye, demur at the idea of this proposed "intersection," for Islam, as opposed to Africa, is not cartographically defined. Indeed, the purport of the "triple heritage" is that Africa is itself only with reference to Islam. But this is, perhaps, a mere academic indulgence, for Mazrui's legacy is certainly more complex. In his testimony to Mazrui's motivational impact on the post-Apartheid political constellation in South Africa, Rasool depicts Mazrui as a tireless agent for change, somebody who embodied the possibility of combining progress, pluralism, and ethnic pride. Rasool's reflections at once capture the erudition of an intellect pierced by monism – resisting any ephemeral fascination with postmodern anti-foundationalism – and the principled and compassionate striving of a man not content with mere accolades. Until his last breath, Mazrui was a man on a mission, a scholar who could be neither bought nor bullied.

Editorial ix

In a second piece of reflective writing, Seifudein Adem's brief meditation on the life and works of Mazrui provides an insightful discussion of a scholar who was not only the academic equivalent to an *agent provocateur*, but also a bridge builder. He sought to condemn western transgressions only to rectify western consciousness (much akin to the peace-building method of "truth and reconciliation"). Adem, a long-standing colleague of Mazrui, suggests that Mazrui would self-consciously seek to synthesize in his works activism and academia, western scholarly credentials with an eastern heritage, and a positivist method with normative commitments. In all of these ways, and more, Mazrui was indeed a "master synthesizer."

In the first research paper of this thematic issue, Muhammad Haron painstakingly charts Mazrui's awe-inspiring publishing career in a bibliometric study of Mazrui's scholarship (colloquially known as *Mazruiana*). The total output spans over half a century (1963-2014) and amounts to a staggering figure in excess of 500 items – journal articles, book chapters, monographs, and edited volumes – a feat that is probably unparalleled in western humanities and social sciences. Haron demonstrates not only the exceptional productivity of Mazrui and his incommensurable contribution to knowledge, but also, by means of citation statistics, suggests the continued impact of this scholarship upon later generations of Africanists. Indeed, since the last two decades of the previous millennium, any young scholar of Africa could agree or disagree with Mazrui, but none of them could ignore him.

Although Mazrui was published in the most prestigious journals of his field even in the emergent phase of his decades-long career, a cursory view interestingly suggests that over time he became rather unconcerned with the rank of the publication house, the seniority of the editorial boards, and the circulation and citation figures. It is possible that Mazrui saw in the increasingly interventionist peer-review processes an obstruction to expeditious production: his turbo-driven creative flow allowed for no month-long negotiations of revisions in the face of often fairly conservative editorial practices. It also appears to me that he may have seen in the hyper-selectivist publication houses of Oxbridge and research-intensive universities in North America (R1s), an elitist and exclusionary circle of constraint in relation to the production and circulation of knowledge beyond institutional boundaries. In this sense, Mazrui's utilitarian and globalist inclusivism went hand in hand with his passion-filled productivity.

In his contribution, Ahmed Ali Salem, who served as co-editor for parts of this collection, dissects Mazrui's transition from the study of Africa to the study of Islam, primarily *qua* cultural and political force. In my reading, Mazrui saw Islam as both particular and universal: It had an import on identity formation, but not to the exclusion of other identities, and its impulses were political without necessarily being politicizing. Mazrui had no patience with the various western secularization theses that invariably linked social progress to the retreat of religion. Nor did he have patience with the specific ostracism of Islam from public life, and its depiction as, in western contexts, an alien religion – demography, theology, and intellectual history notwithstanding. Of course Mazrui was always adamant about the fallacy of the Lewis-Huntington "clash-of-civilizations" prism, and yet he simultaneously posited that while Islam could be liberal (viz., freedom-enhancing), it should not aim to be moderate (understood as subservient to western foreign-policy designs). One may detect, therefore, a tension between Islam as a force of resistance and Islam as (to use a concept that Mazrui does not invoke) "social capital." But this tension is, yet again, a creative one. Nevertheless, Mazrui was one to recognize that there were, in a tangible sense, "sharp edges" that had to be softened in order to admit Islam as part of the cultural and political repertoire of contemporary West-centric civilization. Much of his career was devoted to softening these edges.

Caitlyn Bolton's article, "Making Africa Legible: Kiswahili Arabic and Orthographic Romanization in Colonial Zanzibar," engages a theme that was close to Mazrui's concerns, namely, how orthographic and linguistic practices are not value-neutral, but are instead the consequences of particular constellations of power. Drawing upon archival material in English, Arabic, and Swahili from the Zanzibar National Archives, she shows how European colonialism quite literally sought to rewrite Africa by decoupling it from its Arabic heritage. Her case study displays how Kiswahili's Arabic letters were forcibly converted into Roman orthography by means of the missionaries' language standardization reforms and imposition of new orthographic standards in public schools in colonialperiod Zanzibar. This "purification" of Kiswahili from "foreign influences" and, without any hint of irony, the "improvement" of the "illiterate" natives' written language sought, by design, to decouple Africa from Islam. Expressing, perhaps, an attempt to remake Africa, imperial-era orthographic initiatives came to signify also an estrangement of Africa from

Editorial xi

itself. The resultant rootlessness and generational anomie is one that Mazrui not only diagnosed, but also sought to treat.

In a befitting final contribution, entitled "Values and Gender Equality between Islam and the West: Mazrui's Struggle for a System of Universal Values," Moulay Raschid Mrani offers a tribute to Mazrui's efforts to "normalize" Islam in global political ethics. Writing against the backdrop of increased intercivilizational militancy and the public equation of Islamic politics with radical violence, Mrani sees in Mazrui's distinct approach an attempt to redeem Islamic politics by anchoring it in a profound engagement with, and revival of, the ethicomoral compass of religion itself. The author shows that according to Mazrui, religious injunctions must be understood in the light of underlying religious values and that any tajdīd (revival) must be premised precisely on such valuational bases. The traditional understanding of taidīd, Mazrui lamented, did not distinguish between cultural mores and scriptural imperatives, an oversight that eventually caused scholars to lose sight of the undergirding as well as axial values that religion seeks to preserve or enhance. On the other hand, when religion does become grounded in values over rule-following and actors in the public domain internalize such values, their adherence to a politics of ideals will usher in a display of confidence within their civic engagements, even within minority contexts. Thus the normative has pragmatic effects.

It should be emphasized, of course, that Mazrui sought no universal valuational isomorphism but simply, in my words, valuational "accords" that would effectuate mutual respect, acceptance, and tolerance among faith traditions. In this reading, the spiritual is thus not anathematic to the political, but rather is integral to it because it allows adversarial participants in any political contest to transcend the calculus of immediate gains and move beyond the baser desires of revenge and (dis)empowerment. Nevertheless, in an intellectual move that closes the possibility of misusing religious identity in politics, Mazrui applauded secularism for its protective effects. Secularism, when understood as the spatial and functional division between religious and political institutions, safeguards religion against its opportunistic corruption at the hand of power-seeking political entrepreneurs. Secularism, in other words, is beneficial not only because religion can corrupt politics, but also because politics can corrupt religion.

Overall, the present special issue testifies to the extraordinary volume, breadth, and depth of the scholarship produced by the towering figure who was Professor Ali Al-Amin Mazrui. His determined challenge to the presumed teleology and hegemony of western ideas from Plato to NATO, while refusing to succumb to any inferiority complex associated with the subaltern, was as original as it was profound. Global in outlook and gentle in demeanor, his words spoke of liberty, his actions of dignity, and his dreams of equity.

Naveed S. Sheikh School of Politics, International Relations, and Philosophy Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, United Kingdom



# INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC THOUGHT

The International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT) is an intellectual and cultural foundation. It was established and registered in the United States of America at the beginning of the fifteenth  $hijr\bar{\iota}$  century (1401/1981) with the following objectives:

- To provide a comprehensive Islamic outlook through elucidating the principles of Islam and relating them to relevant issues of contemporary thought.
- To regain the intellectual, cultural, and civilizational identity of the ummah by conducting research in the humanities and the social sciences.
- To rectify the methodology of contemporary Islamic thought in order to enable it to resume its contribution to the progress of human civilization and give it meaning and direction in line with the values and objectives of Islam.

The institute seeks to achieve its objectives by:

- Holding specialized academic conferences and seminars.
- Supporting and selectively publishing works of scholars and researchers in universities and academic research centers in the Muslim world and the West.
- Directing higher university studies toward furthering work on issues of Islamic thought.

The institute has a number of overseas offices and affiliates, as well as academic advisors, for the purpose of coordinating and promoting its various activities. It has also entered into joint academic agreements with several universities and research centers to implement its objectives.



#### In this issue

#### REFLECTIONS

#### **ARTICLES**

Mapping Mazrui's Mind: Assessing the Mazruiana through a Bibliometric Lens

Muhammed Haron

Localizing Islam in the West: Mazrui's Journey from the Study of African Politics to Global Cultures Ahmed Ali Salem

Making Africa Legible: Kiswahili Arabic and Orthographic Romanization in Colonial Zanzibar Caitlyn Bolton

Islam, Politics and Globalization: Mazrui's Struggle for a System of Universal Values

\*Rachid Mrani\*

#### **OBITUARY**

Mumtaz Ahmad: Model Scholar, Educator, and Public Intellectual

#### **BOOK REVIEWS**

**FORUM** 

CONFERENCE, SYMPOSIUM, AND PANEL REPORTS