POST-AUCTION CHECKLIST

FOR THE NEW JERSEY 2012 BGS-FP AUCTION

Prepared by:	[Company]				
[Introductory comments, if any.]					
Auction began with the	ne opening of Round 1 at	[x:xx am] on Fri	day, February 3, 2012		
Auction finished with the close of Round ## at[xxx] on[xxx]					
	Start of Round 1	Start of Round 2 * (after volume reduction in Round 1, if applicable)	Start of Round n * (after post-Round 1 volume reduction, if applicable)		
# Bidders					
Tranche target	## tranches	## tranches	## tranches		
Eligibility ratio					
PSE&G load cap	## tranches	## tranches	## tranches		
JCP&L load cap	## tranches	## tranches ## tranches			
ACE load cap	## tranches	## tranches	## tranches		
RECO load cap	## tranches	## tranches ## tranches			
Statewide load cap	## tranches	## tranches	## tranches		

^{*} Note: [No volume adjustment was made during the FP auction, so the pre-auction tranche target and EDC-specific load caps were unchanged for the auction. / Or alternatively, note details of volume adjustments if they occurred.]

Post-Auction Checklist for the New Jersey 2012 BGS-FP Auction

Table 1 below shows pertinent indicators and measures for the auction.

Table 1. Summary of BGS-FP Auction

	PSE&G	JCP&L	ACE	RECO	Total
BGS-FP peak load share (MW)					
Total tranches needed					
Starting tranche target in auction					
Final tranche target in auction					
Tranche size (%)					
Tranche size (approximate MW)					
Starting EDC load caps (# tranches)					
Starting statewide load cap (#tranches)					
Final EDC load caps (# tranches)					
Final statewide load cap (#tranches)					
Quantity procured (# tranches)					
Quantity procured (% BGS-FP load)					
# Winning bidders					
Maximum # of tranches procured from any one bidder					
Minimum and maximum starting prices prior to indicative bids (cents/kWh)					
Starting price at start of auction (cents/kWh) *					
Final auction price (cents/kWh) **					

^{*} Price shown in "Total" column is an average across the EDCs weighted by each EDC's "Starting tranche target in auction".

^{**} Price shown in "Total" column is an average across the EDCs weighted by each EDC's "Final tranche target in auction".

Post-Auction Checklist for the New Jersey 2012 BGS-FP Auction

Table 2. Overview of Findings on BGS-FP Auction

	Question	Comments
1	BP's/NERA's recommendation as to whether the	
	Board should certify the FP auction results?	
2	Did bidders have sufficient information to prepare	
	for the FP auction?	
3	Was the information generally provided to bidders	
	in accordance with the published timetable? Was	
	the timetable updated appropriately as needed?	
4	Were there any issues and questions left unresolved	
	prior to the FP auction that created material	
	uncertainty for bidders?	
5	From what BP/NERA could observe, were there	
	any procedural problems or errors with the FP	
	auction, including the electronic bidding process,	
	the back-up bidding process, and communications	
	between bidders and the Auction Manager?	
6	From what BP/NERA could observe, were	
	protocols for communication between bidders and	
	the Auction Manager adhered to?	
7	From what BP/NERA could observe, were there	
	any hardware or software problems or errors, either	
	with the FP auction system or with its associated	
	communications systems?	
8	Were there any unanticipated delays during the FP	
	auction?	
9	Did unanticipated delays appear to adversely affect	
	bidding in the FP auction? What adverse effects did	
	BP/NERA directly observe and how did they relate	
12	to the unanticipated delays?	
12	Were appropriate data back-up procedures planned and carried out?	
11		
11	Were any security breaches observed with the FP	
	auction process?	

Post-Auction Checklist for the New Jersey 2012 BGS-FP Auction

	Question	Comments
12	From what BP/NERA could observe, were	
	protocols followed for communications among the	
	EDCs, NERA, BPU staff, the Board (if necessary),	
	and BP/NERA during the FP auction?	
13	From what BP/NERA could observe, were the	
	protocols followed for decisions regarding changes	
	in FP auction parameters (e.g., volume, load caps,	
	bid decrements)?	
14	Were the calculations (e.g., for bid decrements or	
	bidder eligibility) produced by the FP auction	
	software double-checked or reproduced off-line by	
	the Auction Manager?	
15	Was there evidence of confusion or	
	misunderstanding on the part of bidders that	
	delayed or impaired the auction?	
16	From what BP/NERA could observe, were the	
	communications between the Auction Manager and	
	bidders timely and effective?	
17	Was there evidence that bidders felt unduly rushed	
	during the process? Should the auction have been	
	conducted more expeditiously?	
18	Were there any complaints from bidders about the	
	process that BP/NERA believed were legitimate?	
19	Was the FP auction carried out in an acceptably fair	
	and transparent manner?	
20	Was there evidence of non-productive "gaming" on	
	the part of bidders?	
21	Was there any evidence of collusion or improper	
	coordination among bidders?	
22	Was there any evidence of a breakdown in	
	competition in the FP auction?	
23	Was information made public appropriately? From	
	what BP/NERA could observe, was sensitive	
	information treated appropriately?	

Post-Auction Checklist for the New Jersey 2012 BGS-FP Auction

	Question	Comments
24	Does the FP auction appear to have generated a	
	result that is consistent with competitive bidding,	
	market-determined prices, and efficient allocation	
	of the BGS-FP load?	
25	Were there factors exogenous to the FP auction	
	(e.g., changes in market environment) that	
	materially affected the FP auction in unanticipated	
	ways?	
26	Are there any concerns with the FP auction's	
	outcome with regard to any specific EDC(s)?	