

Board Meeting Booklet

for

July 7, 2011

Kentucky Infrastructure Authority 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3646 502-573-0260 502-573-0157 fax http://kia.ky.gov



AGENDA

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY FULL BOARD MEETING 1024 CAPITAL CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 340 July 7, 2011 – 1:00 p.m.

Call to Order:

Vice Chair Damon Talley

٠	Confirmation of Press Notice
•	Confirmation of Quorum

Recognition of Members/Guests

I. BUSINESS (Board Action Required)

A. Minutes

	1.	Consideration of Approval of the Minutes of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Regular Board Meeting of May 5, 2011 (Attachment I.A.1.)	Vice Chair Damon Talley	9
В.	Ne	w Projects/Action Items		
	1.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors Authorizing Approval for the Filing of an Application with the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the Federal Fiscal Year 2011 Capitalization Grant for the Wastewater Revolving Fund (Attachment I.B.1.)	Ms. Kasi White, KIA	19
	2.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors Authorizing Approval for the Filing of an Application with the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the Federal Fiscal Year 2011 Capitalization Grant for the Drinking Water Revolving Fund (Attachment I.B.2.)	Ms. Kasi White, KIA	23
	3.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Establishing Fund A Interest Rates for the Period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 (Interest Rate History included) (Attachment I.B.3.)	Ms. Kasi White, KIA	27
	4.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Establishing Fund F Interest Rates for the Period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 (Attachment I.B.4.)	Ms. Kasi White, KIA	31
	5.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors Establishing Fund B Interest Rates for the Period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 (Interest Rate History included) (Attachment I.B.5.)	Ms. Kasi White, KIA	35

6.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors for Authorizing an Amendment to the Conditional Commitment for a Fund A loan (A10-04) increasing the amount to \$2,400,000 to the Oldham County Environmental Authority, Oldham County, Kentucky (SX21185017) (Attachment I.B.6.)	Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW Ms. Kasi White, KIA	39
7.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors for Approval of a Fund A Ioan (A11-15) in the amount of \$400,000 to the Oldham County Environmental Authority, Oldham County, Kentucky (SX21185037) (Attachment I.B.7.)	Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW Ms. Kasi White, KIA	53
8.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors for Approval of a Fund F Ioan (F11-07) in the amount of \$2,500,000 to the Breathitt County Water District, Breathitt County, Kentucky (WX21025015, WX21025020, WX21025021) (Attachment I.B.8.)	Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW Ms. Kasi White, KIA	69
9.	Resolution and Order of the Board of Directors Authorizing and Approving the Issuance of Obligations of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority to Reimburse Capital Expenditures made by Governmental Agencies Pursuant to Loans made by the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority to such Governmental Agencies (Attachment I.B.9.)	Ms. Kasi White, KIA	83
EXECI	UTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT	Mr. John Covington, KIA	
STATI	JS REPORTS FOR FUNDS A, A2, B, B1, C, F, F2	Mr. John Covington, KIA	87
ANNO	UNCEMENTS/NOTIFICATIONS	Mr. John Covington, KIA	
Tentat Kentud	KIA Board Meeting: tively set for Thursday, August 4, 2011 cky Infrastructure Authority Capital Center Drive, Suite 340, Frankfort		

V. ADJOURN

II.

III.

IV.

Vice Chair Damon Talley

Α T Т Α + С Η Μ Ε Ν Т

I.A.1.

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY Minutes of the Full Board

Meeting Date/Location: May 5, 2011 – 1:00 p.m. Kentucky Infrastructure Authority 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340, Frankfort

Members present:

- Mr. Hank List, Energy and Environment Cabinet (permanent proxy for Secretary Leonard K. Peters, EEC) Mr. Jamie Link, Finance and Administration Cabinet
- (permanent proxy for Secretary Lori H. Flanery, FAC)
- Mr. Erik Dunnigan, Economic Development Cabinet (proxy for Secretary Larry Hayes, EDC)
- Mr. Jerry Wuetcher, Public Service Commission (permanent proxy for Executive Director Jeff Derouen, PSC)
- Mr. Damon Talley, representing the Kentucky Rural Water Association
- Mr. C. Ronald Lovan, representing the American Water Works Association
- Ms. Linda C. Bridwell, representing for-profit private water companies
- Mr. Thomas P. Calkins, representing the Kentucky Municipal Utilities Association
- Mr. David W. Cartmell, Mayor, City of Maysville, representing the Kentucky League of Cities

Members absent:

Mr. Tony Wilder, Commissioner, Department for Local Government

Mr. Jody Jenkins, Union County Judge/Executive, representing the Kentucky Association of Counties

Guests:

Mr. Jeremy Buchanan, Purchase Area Development District

Mayor John Wood, City of Barlow

Mr. Ron Schmitt, Jr., Sanitation District No. 1

Ms. Ashley Adams, Sanitation District No. 1

Mr. Kyle Boyle, Sanitation District No. 1

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, Division of Water

Mr. Tom Midkiff, Office of Financial Management

Ms. Kristi Culpepper, Legislative Research Commission

Mr. Joe Henry, GRW Engineers

Mr. Corey Napier, Vaughn & Melton Engineering

- Mr. Mitch Brunsma, Vaughn & Melton Engineering
- Mr. Gary Larimore, Kentucky Rural Water Association
- Mr. Joe Burns, Kentucky Rural Water Association
- Mr. Ralph Johanson, GRW Engineers
- Mr. Chris Brewer, City of Barbourville

PROCEEDINGS

Vice Chair Damon Talley called the meeting of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (KIA) Board to order. He noted that a quorum was present and that the press had been notified regarding the meeting. He asked board members and guests to introduce themselves.

Mr. Rusty Anderson, KIA, made maps available for viewing via the Water Resource Information System (WRIS) which showed an overview of the water and sewer projects that were to be considered at this board meeting.

I. <u>BUSINESS (Board Action Required)</u>

A. 1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

For: KIA Regular Board Meeting of April 7, 2011

Ms. Linda Bridwell moved to approve the minutes of the April 7, 2011 regular board meeting. Mr. Tom Calkins seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

B. NEW PROJECTS/ACTION ITEMS

Vice Chair Damon Talley informed the Board that Agenda Item No. 5 regarding the Southern Water and Sewer Project was pulled to be presented at a future date.

1. RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED DRINKING WATER REVOLVING FUND (F11-09) LOAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$230,000 TO THE CITY OF BARLOW, BALLARD COUNTY, KENTUCKY

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW, and Ms. Sandy Williams, KIA, presented the project to the Board. The City of Barlow requested a \$230,000 Drinking Water SRF loan for the New Clearwell Construction and Water Tank Refurbishment project. This project involves the construction of a new clearwell and repair and refurbishment of a 100,000 gallon water storage tank. The current clearwell and tank structures were constructed in 1976. Inspection of the clearwell showed that the lining system has deteriorated and the structure has cracking concrete. construction of the new clearwell, the old structure will be demolished. The After current water tank was found to need interior and exterior refurbishment and repair. The current structure can continue to be used after the repairs are completed. The project did not qualify for Green Project Reserve funding. The project does qualify for additional subsidization in the amount of \$80,500. The unforgiven balance of the loan is \$149,500 to be repaid in 20 years with an interest rate of 1%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$8,640. Both DOW and KIA Staff recommended approval of the loan with the standard conditions.

Ms. Linda Bridwell moved to approve the resolution with the standard conditions. Mr. Ron Lovan seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

2. RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND LOAN (A11-12) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$15,187,500 TO THE SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 1, BOONE, CAMPBELL AND KENTON COUNTIES, KENTUCKY

RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND LOAN (A11-13) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$14,188,155 TO THE SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 1, BOONE, CAMPBELL AND KENTON COUNTIES, KENTUCKY

RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND LOAN (A11-14) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,788,000 TO THE SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 1, BOONE, CAMPBELL AND KENTON COUNTIES, KENTUCKY

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW, and Ms. Sandy Williams, KIA, presented the three loan requests to the Board. Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) requested funds for seven projects that will reduce or eliminate sewer overflows. SD1 has operated under a Consent Decree with the Kentucky Division of Water and US EPA since April 18, 2007. These projects will also aid in compliance with the Consent Decree. The primary impacts of the projects will be a) divert wastewater flows from the Dry Creek Reclamation facility to the Eastern Regional Reclamation facility, b) convey storm water runoff to Banklick Creek rather than entering the combined system, c) eliminate overflows from the Kentucky Aire pump station by connecting to the Frogtown sewer and eventually the Western Regional Reclamation system, and d) remove private source inflows into the system. The projects have been combined into three loan requests based on projected completion dates.

The request for \$15,187,500 (A11-12) includes the Ash Street Force Main project and the Ash Street Pump Station project. The projects are part of a master plan to divert wastewater flows from the Dry Creek Reclamation Facility to the Eastern Regional Reclamation facility. The projects qualify for Green Project Reserve (GPR) funding for \$3,463,000. The breakdown of the GPR to the respective "green" categories is \$1,676,000 for green infrastructure and \$1,787,000 for energy efficiency. The projects do not qualify for additional subsidization. The term of the loan is 20 years with an interest rate of 2%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$955,464. Both DOW and KIA staff recommended approval of the loan with the standard conditions. The request for \$14,188,155 (A11-13) includes the Church Street CSO Reduction Project, the Kentucky Aire Pump Station Elimination project, and the Lakeview Pump Station Improvements project. The projects qualify for GPR funding for \$4,223,330. The breakdown of the GPR to the respective "green" categories is \$1,148,300 for green infrastructure and \$3,075,000 for energy efficiency. The projects do not qualify for additional subsidization. The term of the loan is 20 years with an interest rate of 2%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$892,594. Both DOW and KIA staff recommended approval of the loan with the standard conditions.

The request for \$7,778,000 (A11-14) includes the Lakeside Park Public and Private Source I/I and Sewer Rehabilitation project and the Vernon Lane Public and Private Source I/I and Sewer Rehabilitation project. The projects qualify for GPR funding of \$7,530,000. The breakdown of the GPR to the respective "green" categories is \$910,000 for green infrastructure and \$6,620,000 for energy efficiency. The projects do not qualify for additional subsidization. The term of the loan is 20 years with an interest rate of 2%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$489,323. Both DOW and KIA staff recommended approval of the loan with the standard conditions.

Corrections were made to the Contacts listing in the Credit Analysis for the three projects that name the engineers involved in the projects.

Mr. Tom Calkins moved to approve the three resolutions for Loans A11-12, A11-13 and A11-14 to the Sanitation District No. 1 with the standard conditions. *Mr.* Ron Lovan seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

3. RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND LOAN (A11-11) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,937,950 TO THE CITY OF WEST LIBERTY, MORGAN COUNTY, KENTUCKY

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW, and Ms. Kasi White, KIA, presented the loan request to the Board. The City of West Liberty requested a Fund A loan in the amount of \$3,937,950 to complete four (4) sewer projects. These projects consist of the following: 1) construction of approximately 35,000 I.f. of gravity sewer, pressure sewer and force main, along with grinder pumps, road bores, system pump stations and various appurtenances along Liberty Road; 2) construction of approximately 5,000 l.f. of 8" gravity sewer, 1,000 l.f. of 4" transmission main and one duplex pump station and various appurtenances along KY 7 and KY 519; 3) upgrade of pumping and monitoring equipment at the WWTP and in the collection system; and 4) the purchase of camera inspection equipment. This work will result in new sewer service to approximately 405 residences who are using on-site sewer systems. Improvements will also result in more efficient transportation and treatment of sewer flows and a reduction in inflow and infiltration which will reduce sewage overflow events. This project did not qualify for Green Project Reserve (GPR) funding. This project qualified for additional subsidization in the amount of \$1,181,385. The unforgiven balance of the loan is \$2,756,565 to be repaid in 20 years with an interest rate of 1%, and an estimated

annual debt service payment of \$157,926.

RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED DRINKING WATER REVOLVING FUND (F11-04) LOAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,050,300 TO THE CITY OF WEST LIBERTY, MORGAN COUNTY, KENTUCKY

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW, and Ms. Kasi White, KIA, presented the loan request to the Board. The City of West Liberty requested a Fund F loan in the amount of \$3,050,300 to complete four (4) water projects. These projects consist of the following: 1) install alternate power supply for the raw water pump station and water treatment plant which currently experience power surges and outages from the single source of power; 2) replace 5,000 feet of 8" water main along Riverside Drive (current line has deteriorated and several breaks and leaks have occurred); 3) construct a new 300,000 gallon ground water storage tank and install approximately 14,000 I.f. of 12" transmission main (the existing transmission main is located along the river in the flood plain and has experienced several breaks) and 4) replace approximately 950 existing water meters with remote read meters to provide for more accurate meter readings and decrease water loss. The new lines and tank will provide a safer and more secure source of treated water, eliminating line breaks, leaks and resulting need for boil water advisories and water rationing. This project qualified for \$500,000 in GPR funding under the category of water efficiency and this project qualified for additional subsidization in the amount of \$1,067,605. The unforgiven balance of the loan is \$1,982,695 to be repaid in 20 years with an interest rate of 1%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$114,582.

DOW and KIA staff recommended approval of both loans (A11-11 and F11-04) with the standard conditions and the following special conditions: (1) The City will pass an ordinance increasing water and sewer rates per the following schedule no later than July 1, 2011: a) 5% to be effective on or before July 1, 2011, b) 5% to be effective on or before July 1, 2012, c) 4% to be effective on or before July 2, 2013, and d) 4% to be effective on or before July 1, 2014; and (2) The City will have all debt service and reserve requirements as required by outstanding loan and bond covenants funded to their appropriate levels no later than January 1, 2012.

Ms. Linda Bridwell moved to approve the Fund A resolution (A11-11) to the City of West Liberty with the standard conditions and the special conditions indicated in the staff recommendations. Mayor David Cartmell seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Linda Bridwell moved to approve the Fund F resolution (F11-04) to the City of West Liberty with the standard conditions and the special conditions indicated in the staff recommendations. Mr. Tom Calkins seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

4. RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED DRINKING

WATER REVOLVING FUND (F11-08) LOAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,000,000 TO THE CITY OF BARBOURVILLE f/b/o BARBOURVILLE UTILITY COMMISSION, KNOX COUNTY, KENTUCKY

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW, and Ms. Sandy Williams, KIA, presented the loan request to the Board. The City of Barbourville for the benefit of the Barbourville Utility Commission requested a \$4,000,000 Drinking Water SRF loan for the Barbourville Raw Waterline Replacement and Upgrades project. The Utility's existing raw water supply is in need of rehab to provide additional capacity to the water treatment to meet future projected capacity. The project consists of replacing two of the three pumps at the raw water intake pump station with new intake turbine pumps, upgrading part of the piping inside and outside the intake station, upgrades to the electrical service to handle the new pump horsepower, addition of variable speed drives to all three pumps, addition of a new flow meter at the intake pump station and improvements to the ventilation of the existing building. The project also includes adding a new section of 16" raw water line to run in parallel with an existing 12" line and constructing an intermediate water booster pump station along the existing raw water line which spans approximately 22 miles (from intake pump station to WTP). Additional raw water capacity will allow the utility to more adequately serve their existing customers as well as offer service to future customers in Knox County. It will also make it possible to offer wholesale water to surrounding utilities in need of emergency water supplies. The project did not qualify for Green Project Reserve funding. The project qualified for additional subsidization in the amount of \$1,400,000. The unforgiven balance of the loan is \$2,600,000 to be repaid in 20 years with an interest rate of 1%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$150,257. DOW and KIA staff recommended approval of the loan with the standard conditions.

Mr. Tom Calkins moved to approve the loan resolution (F11-08) with the standard conditions. Mr. Hank List seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

5. RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND, PLANNING AND DESIGN LOAN (A1 11-01) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$500,000 TO THE CITY OF PINEVILLE f/b/o PINEVILLE UTILITY COMMISSION, BELL COUNTY, KENTUCKY

Mr. Shafiq Amawi, DOW, and Ms. Kasi White, KIA, presented the loan request to the Board. The City of Pineville requested \$500,000 from the Federally Assisted Wastewater Revolving Fund ("Fund A1") for planning and design work associated with the Virginia Avenue Utility Replacement project. The anticipated project will replace a sixteen inch combined sewer line with approximately 8,100 feet of twelve inch gravity sewer and fifteen inch concrete storm sewer line along Virginia Avenue, Prospect Avenue (now Bill Adams Drive) and associated cross streets in downtown Pineville. The project will also include upgrades of existing McDonald's / Mountain View and Ball Park sanitary sewer lift stations and replacement of pertinent sanitary sewer force mains to eliminate two combined sewer overflow (CSO) locations. It will also reroute a section of sanitary sewer force main associated with the KFC sanitary sewer lift station to eliminate an odor and flow issue at Virginia Avenue and Pine Street. The existing combined sewer system is subject to wet weather overflows and the city is under Consent Judgment to eliminate its CSO's. The estimated cost of the project including planning and design and construction is estimated in the range of \$6,000,000. The project did not qualify for GPR funding. The project qualified for additional subsidization in the amount of \$150,000. The unforgiven balance of the loan is \$350,000 to be repaid in 5 years with an interest rate of 3%, and an estimated annual debt service payment of \$76,604. DOW and KIA staff recommended approval of the loan with the standard conditions.

Mr. Tom Lovan moved to approve the loan resolution (A1 11-01) with the standard conditions. Ms. Linda Bridwell seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

6. RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AUTHORIZING AND AUTHORITY INFRASTRUCTURE KENTUCKY APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY TO REIMBURSE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES MADE BY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES PURSUANT TO LOANS MADE BY SUCH AUTHORITY TO INFRASTRUCTURE KENTUCKY THE **GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES**

This is a routine resolution allowing KIA to reimburse expenses that are paid out of the Authority's funds with bond proceeds. The projects listed below are covered under this resolution:

APPLICANT	FUND	AMOUNT
City of Pineville f/b/o Pineville Utility	A1	\$ 500,000
Commission		
Sanitation District No. 1	A	\$15,187,500
Sanitation District No. 1	A	\$14,188,155
Sanitation District No. 1	Α	\$ 7,788,000
City of West Liberty	A	\$ 3,937,950
City of West Liberty	F	\$ 3,050,300
	F	\$ 4,000,000
City of Barbourville	F	\$ 230,000
City of Barlow	•	

Ms. Linda Bridwell moved to approve the resolution. Mr. Tom Calkins seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

II. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. John Covington, KIA, reported on the following items to the Board:

A. Membership terms of board members were discussed. The terms of two at-large members will be expiring June 30, 2011: Mr. Damon Talley and Ms. Linda Bridwell.

- B. Mr. Covington presented to the Board a handout that listed the 2012 Intended Use Plan Highlights, the preliminary Proposed Schedule and the CWSRF and DWSRF Funding Estimates for fiscal years 2011 and 2012.
- C. Mr. Hank List informed the Board of a recent Consent Judgment against the Tennessee Valley Authority (the Tennessee Valley Authority Clean Air Act Settlement). On April 14, 2011, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced a settlement with the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) to resolve alleged Clean Air Act violations at 11 of its coal-fired plants in Alabama, Kentucky, and Tennessee. The settlement will require TVA to invest an estimated \$3 to \$5 billion on new and upgraded state-of-the-art pollution controls. This settlement also requires TVA to spend \$350 million on environmental mitigation projects to address the impacts of past emissions. TVA will distribute a subset of the \$350 million, a total of \$60 million, to Alabama, Kentucky, North Carolina, and Tennessee for these states to implement projects of their choosing from a list of categories in the consent decree. Kentucky's share is estimated to be \$11.5 million. A list of proposed projects will be presented to the Governor's Office. The Board discussed the selection process for SRF Funding through the Water Management Councils and the ranking criteria of invited projects.

STATUS REPORT FOR FUNDS Ш.

- A. 2003 Coal/Tobacco Development Grants
- B. IEDF Fund Grants
- C. 2020 Account / Fund B Grants
- D. Funds A, A1, A2, B, B1, C, F, F2

ANNOUNCEMENTS/NOTIFICATIONS IV.

Next scheduled KIA board meeting: . Tentatively scheduled for Thursday, June 2, 2011 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340 Frankfort, Kentucky

There being no further business Mr. Tom Calkins moved to adjourn. Mayor David Cartmell seconded and the motion carried unanimously. The May 5, 2011, regular meeting of the Board of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority was adjourned.

Submitted by:

Sandy Williams, Secretary Kentucky Infrastructure Authority

6-1-11

Α Т T Α С Н Μ Ε Ν Т

I.B.1.

RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING APPROVAL FOR THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION WITH THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2011 CAPITALIZATION GRANT FOR THE WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND

WHEREAS, the Wastewater Revolving Fund was established by an Act of the 1988 General Assembly as a program to be administered by the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (the "Authority") with financial management responsibilities delegated to the Authority and responsibilities for environmental and technical assistance assigned to the Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet (the "Cabinet"), and,

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) capitalization grants are used to provide community loans from the Wastewater Revolving Fund, and the Authority acts as contracting agent for loans and disbursements of funds to the participating communities, and,

NOW, THEREFORE, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority does hereby resolve the following:

- 1. The Authority hereby approves the receipt of any monies awarded by the EPA regarding this capitalization grant for the Wastewater Revolving Fund. The Authority shall administer the program for the benefit of participating communities.
- 2. The Authority staff is directed to submit required applications and supporting documentation to EPA Region IV to obtain the "State Revolving Fund" Capitalization Grant for federal fiscal year 2011, and to take such actions as necessary to direct receipt of monies due under current EPA grants to the Authority.
- 3. The Authority hereby directs the Executive Director to approve all needed drafting and language changes for any of the above referenced documents.

The above actions and declarations are hereby directed and resolved by the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority in a public meeting the 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

PECK, SHAFFER & WILLIAMS LLP LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

Α Т T Α С Н Μ Ε Ν Т I.B.2.



RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING APPROVAL FOR THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION WITH THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2011 CAPITALIZATION GRANT FOR THE DRINKING WATER REVOLVING FUND

WHEREAS, the Drinking Water Revolving Fund was established by an Act of the 1994 General Assembly as a program to be administered by the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (the "Authority") with financial management responsibilities delegated to the Authority and responsibilities for environmental and technical assistance assigned to the Energy and Environment Cabinet (the "Cabinet"), and,

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) capitalization grants are used to provide community loans from the Drinking Water Revolving Fund, and the Authority acts as contracting agent for loans and disbursements of funds to the participating communities, and,

NOW, THEREFORE, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority does hereby resolve the following:

- 1. The Authority hereby approves the receipt of any monies awarded by the EPA regarding this capitalization grant for the Drinking Water Revolving Fund. The Authority shall administer the program for the benefit of participating communities.
- 2. The Authority staff is directed to submit required applications and supporting documentation to EPA Region IV to obtain the "State Revolving Fund" Capitalization Grant for federal fiscal year 2011, and to take such actions as necessary to direct receipt of monies due under current EPA grants to the Authority.
- 3. The Authority hereby directs the Executive Director to approve all needed drafting and language changes for any of the above referenced documents.

The above actions and declarations are hereby directed and resolved by the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority in a public meeting the 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

PECK, SHAFFER & WILLIAMS LLP LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

Α Т Т Α С Η Μ Ε Ν Т I.B.3.

KIA LOAN FUNDS INTEREST RATE HISTORY FISCAL YEARS 2003 THROUGH 2011 July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2011

FEDERAL PROGRAMS (SRF)

Fund A

und A	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Standard	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	÷ ·			3.00%
Non-Standard	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%			
Non-Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%

Interest Rates for Fund A were 3.8% and 1.8% from February 1995 to February 2002 at which time they were established at 3.0% and 1.0% where they remained until 2009.

Fund F

und F	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Standard	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	0.007	3.00%		••••	3.00%
Non-Standard	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%			2.00% 1.00%
Non-Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.00%	1.00%	1.00 /0

Interest rates for Fund F were 3.8% and 1.8% from the program's inception in April 2000 to February 2002 at which time they were established at 3.0% and 1.0% where they have remained.

Regulation amendments for Funds A and F were effective 7-7-06. The amendments allowed for more than one "hardship" rate and further defined how the hardship rates could be determined.

A RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING FUND A INTEREST RATES FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2011 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012

WHEREAS, 200 KAR 17:050, as amended, establishes terms and conditions for establishing interest rates for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (Fund A) and;

WHEREAS, the aforementioned regulations require that rates of interest be established at least annually by the Authority using a recommendation from the Executive Director based on market conditions, availability of funds and funding demand;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority hereby resolves as follows:

The interest rates for all Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Fund A loans approved by the Authority during the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, shall be as follows:

Three rates of interest will be offered for Fund A, one for standard borrowers and two for nonstandard borrowers. The standard rate shall be 3% and the nonstandard rates shall be 2% and 1%, as defined in the 2012 Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan.

The Resolution is effective upon its adoption this 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY


ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

Α Τ Т Α С Η Μ Ε Ν T I.B.4.



A RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING FUND F INTEREST RATES FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2011 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012

WHEREAS, 200 KAR 17:070, as amended, establishes terms and conditions for establishing interest rates for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (Fund F) and;

WHEREAS, the aforementioned regulations require that rates of interest be established at least annually by the Authority using a recommendation from the Executive Director based on market conditions, availability of funds and funding demand;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority hereby resolves as follows:

The interest rates for all Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Fund F loans approved by the Authority during the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, shall be as follows:

Three rates of interest will be offered for Fund F, one for standard borrowers and two for nonstandard borrowers. The standard rate shall be 3% and the nonstandard rates shall be 2% and 1%, as defined in the 2012 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan.

The Resolution is effective upon its adoption this 7th day of July, 2011.


TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY


REVIEWED BY:

LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY



Α Τ Τ Α С Η Μ Ε Ν Т

I.B.5.



KIA LOAN FUNDS INTEREST RATE HISTORY FISCAL YEARS 2003 THROUGH 2011 July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2011

STATE PROGRAMS

Fund B - October 1, 2009 through June 30, 2011

	2010	2011
Standard	3.00%	3.00%
Non-Standard	2.00%	2.00%
Non-Standard	1.00%	1.00%

Fund B regulation amendments were effective 7-29-09. The amendments changed the way interest rates are set for the Fund B program. Rates are to be set at least annually, a change from quarterly, and are set based on prevailing market conditions, availability of funds, and demand for financial assistance. Fund B rates from August 22, 1989 through September 30, 2009 were set quarterly based on the average 20-year General Obligation Bond Index from the previous quarter.

Fund B - March 31, 1988 through September 30, 2009)	

Standard	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Quarter 1	3.60%	3.60%	3.21%	2.48%	2.79%	2.60%	3.07%
Quarter 2	3.60%	3.60%	3.21%	2.70%	2.43%	2.70%	3.07%
Quarter 3	3.60%	3.60%	2.62%	2.50%	2.20%	2.60%	3.07%
Quarter 4	3.60%	3.60%	2.71%	2.72%	2.40%	2.60%	3.57%
Non-Standard	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2007	2009
Non-Standard Quarter 1	2003	2004	2005 1.21%	2006 0.48%	2007 0.79%	2007 0.60%	<u>2009</u> 1.07%
Quarter 1	L						
	1.60%	1.60%	1.21%	0.48%	0.79%	0.60%	1.07%

Fund B rates (from August 22, 1989 through September 30, 2009) were set quarterly based on the average 20-year General Obligation Bond Index from the previous quarter. Historically, board action had only been taken to set rates upon presentation of Fund B Applications.

Fund C

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Interim	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	

Fund C pooled loan rates are not established by the KIA Board but are set at the time of the corresponding bond issuance and are tied to the interest rate of the bonds.

The Fund C interim loan rate was established at 3%, a rate tied to the earnings on Fund C surplus fund investments.

A RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING FUND B INTEREST RATES FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2011 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012

WHEREAS, 200 KAR 17:010, as amended, establishes terms and conditions for establishing interest rates for the Infrastructure Revolving Loan (Fund B) and;

WHEREAS, the aforementioned regulations require that interest rates be established annually by the Authority using a recommendation from the Executive Director based on market conditions, availability of funds, and funding demand and;

WHEREAS, the aforementioned regulations require that there shall be a minimum of two interest rates: the standard interest rate and nonstandard interest rate(s).

NOW, THEREFORE, THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The interest rate for all Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Fund B loans approved by the Authority during the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, shall be as follows:

Three rates of interest will be offered for Fund B, one for standard borrowers and two for nonstandard borrowers. The standard rate shall be 3% and the nonstandard rates shall be 2% and 1%, as defined in the 2012 Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plans.

The Resolution is effective upon its adoption this 7th day of July 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

Α Т Т Α С Η Μ Ε Ν Т

I.B.6.

A RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND A LOAN (A10-04) INCREASING THE AMOUNT TO \$2,400,000 TO THE OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY, OLDHAM COUNTY, KENTUCKY

WHEREAS, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (the "Authority") has been duly created as a body corporate and politic constituting a public corporation and a governmental agency of the Commonwealth of Kentucky pursuant to Chapter 224A of the Kentucky Revised Statutes (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Act, the Authority is duly and legally authorized to make loans to Governmental Agencies for the purpose of providing funds for the construction and acquisition of sanitary sewer facilities, water facilities or other types of infrastructure, and in that regard, to enter into Assistance Agreements with such Governmental Agencies governing the provisions in respect of which such loans are to be made, the amounts thereof and the repayment provisions in respect thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Authority previously approved a Federally Assisted Waste Water Revolving Fund Loan (A10-04) to the Oldham County Environmental Authority on May 6, 2010 in the amount of \$1,500,000 and the Oldham County Environmental Authority has requested to increase loan A10-04 by \$2,400,000; and

WHEREAS, the Authority anticipates entering into an Assistance Agreement for a loan from the Authority's Federally Assisted Wastewater Revolving Loan Program (Fund A) with the Oldham County Environmental Authority, subject to final determination of amount when the factors involving such financing have been determined; and

WHEREAS, the Authority will, in the near future, authorize and issue a series of its Infrastructure Authority Revenue Bonds for the purpose of funding loans to various governmental agencies, such series of Bonds to be known as Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Revenue Bonds with the appropriate Series designation; and

WHEREAS, the Authority wishes to establish terms and conditions on said Fund A loans prior to the issuance of Authority Revenue Bonds and recognizes that additional planning and design of the financed projects are required.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS ITS DULY AUTHORIZED AND EMPOWERED GOVERNING BODY, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AND ORDER, AS FOLLOWS: <u>Section 1.</u> All statements of fact set forth in the preambles to this Resolution and Order are incorporated herein by reference, the same as if set forth verbatim. All such statements of fact are hereby declared to be true and accurate in all material respects.

Section 2. The Authority hereby authorizes the Amendment of a conditional Federally Assisted Wastewater Revolving Fund loan increasing the amount to \$2,400,000 of project expense including capitalized interest for the construction period, to the Oldham County Environmental Authority for the Buckner Interceptor Sewer and Mockingbird Valley WWTP Elimination Project. Such amounts are subject to adjustment by further action of the Authority or may be adjusted by action of the Authority staff at the time of the issuance of bonds based on adjustment in project costs of not more than (10%) ten percent of the project cost authorized by this resolution. Upon satisfaction of all conditions of the commitment, execution of an assistance agreement for this loan is authorized.

Section 3. The loan shall be repayable over 20 years at an interest rate of 2.0%. In addition to debt service, a .20% annual administration fee on the unpaid loan balance will be charged. A replacement reserve of \$6,000 will be funded annually. These terms are subject to adjustment upon execution of the loan agreement, upon changes in the project conditions or determination that the project will require authority financing to be done on a taxable basis.

<u>Section 5.</u> This Resolution and Order shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption at a properly held meeting of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority this 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

PECK, SHAFFER & WILLIAMS LLP LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY



LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY

614

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER 200 FAIR OAKS LANE, 4TH FLOOR FRANKFORT KENTUCKY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

June 17, 2011

Ms. Sandy Williams Kentucky Infrastructure Authority 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

STEVEN L. BESHEAR

GOVERNOR

Re: CWL1011 Buckner WWTP--3329 Activity ID: FGL20100003 Fund A

Dear Ms. Williams:

Enclosed is the revised budget for the above-referenced project. The Oldham County Environmental Authority is requesting \$900,000 which will revise the loan amount to \$2,400,000. This increase does require board approval. The Division of Water recommends approval of this request.

If you have any questions, please contact Alison Simpson, Project Administrator, at (502) 564-8158, extension 4591.

Sincerely,

uddy giffin

Buddy Griffin, Supervisor SRF & SPAP Section Water Infrastructure Branch

BG:as

Enclosure

c: Ed Basquill, OCEA Bryan Kirby, CEDA Ernest Hall, ERH, Inc.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY				
			Reviewer:	Kasi White
	JRE AUTHORITY		Date:	July 7, 2011
FUND A, FEDERALLY ASSIS	STED WASTEWATER		KIA Loan Number:	A10-04 (increase)
REVOLVING LOAN FUND			WRIS Number	SX21185017
			HUC Code:	05140101170
BORROWER:	OLDHAM COUNTY I	ENVIRONMENTA	L AUTHORITY	
PRICE DESCRIPTION	OLDHAM COUNTY			
BRIEF DESCRIPTION:				······································
The Oldham County Environ	mental Authority is requ	uesting an increa	se of \$900.000 to the	previously approved proje
(\$1,500,000) IOF CONSTRUCTION	of the Buckner Interce	eptor Sewer & Mi	ockingbird Valley WW	TP Elimination projects Th
I increase is primarily necessital	ted by 1) a significant in	crease in the cost	of PVC due to increase	ed oil prices 2) the need for
specialized inspector and flag	person required by th	e railroad compa	ny for a jack and hor	a under a contion of rollrow
property, and 3) to address Reformatory (KSR). These re-	problems that are curr	ently being enco	untered with solids th	at onter the Kentucky Sta
Reformatory (KSR). These pro	blems will get worse w	ith the completion	of the force main an	at enter the Kentucky Sta
The issue with the KSR is disc	ussed in detail along wit	h the original proje	of the lorce main and	a the addition of future flow
PROJECT FINANCING:				
Fund A Loan		PROJECT BUD		
Fund A Loan	\$ 2,400,000	Administrative E		\$ 22,50
		Engineering Fee	S	274,000
		Construction		1,999,500
		Contingency		104,000
TOTAL	\$ 2,400,000	TOTAL		·
REPAYMENT	T		Est. Annual	\$ 2,400,000
	Rate	2.00%	Payment	*•••••••••••••
	Term	20 years	1st Payment	\$25,164 6 Mo. after first draw
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	Engineer		ist ayment	o wo. alter first draw
		ERH, Inc.		
	Bond Counsel	Dook Chaffer 9	\A/())!:=====	
PROJECT SCHEDULE		Peck, Shaffer, &	vvillams	
	Bid Opening:		huma 1. 0011	
	Construction Start;		June 1, 2011	
	Construction Stop:		July, 2011	
DEBT PER CUSTOMER	Existing:	\$ 1,715	July, 2012	
	Proposed:	\$ 2,550		
OTHER DEBT	See Attached	φ2,000		
OTHER STATE-FUNDED	1			
PROJECTS LAST 5 YRS	See Attached			
RESIDENTIAL RATES	Τ			
	J Current	Users	Avg. Bill	
	Additional	6,117		(for 4,000 gallons)
REGIONAL COORDINATION		0	\$ 41.71	(for 4,000 gallons)
	This project is consiste	nt with regional pla	anning recommendatio	ns.
CASHFLOW	Cash Available for			
		Data	Income after Debt	
Audited 2007	Debt Service	Debt Service	Service	
Audited 2008	1,427,565	966,560	461,005	1.48
Audited 2009	704,073	1,148,186	(444,113)	· 0.61
Audited 2009 Audited 2010	20,288	1,499,530	(1,479,242)	0.01
	(282,158)	473,429	(755,587)	(0.60)
Projected 2011 Projected 2012	515,875	523,929	(8,054)	0.98
Projected 2012	871,727	869,253	2,474	1.00
Projected 2013	1,096,877	1,028,962	67,916	1.07
Projected 2014	1,270,147	1,194,859	75,288	1.06
Projected 2015	1,254,502	1,192,224	62,278	1.05

Reviewer: Kasi White Date: July 7, 2011 Loan Number: A10-04 (increase)

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY WASTEWATER REVOLVING LOAN FUND (FUND "A") OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY, OLDHAM COUNTY PROJECT REVIEW SX21185017 INCREASE

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Oldham County Environmental Authority is requesting an increase of \$900,000 to the previously approved project (\$1,500,000) for construction of the Buckner Interceptor Sewer & Mockingbird Valley WWTP Elimination projects. The increase is primarily necessitated by 1) a significant increase in the cost of PVC due to increased oil prices, 2) the need for a specialized inspector and flag person required by the railroad company for a jack and bore under a section of railroad property, and 3) \$300,000 to address problems that are currently being encountered with solids that enter the Kentucky State Reformatory (KSR) and to rehab some equipment.

Because the WWTP treats waste sources from a prison system, all wastewater must be passed through a cominuter. The prisoners have a tendency to flush inappropriate items down the toilets. The grinding procedure is required so that illegal materials cannot be re-obtained from some other point within the WWTP by personnel working at the plant. This procedure produces small pieces of material that in mass are clogging the effluent bar screens in the oxidation ditch and further clogging and burning up the return sludge pumps. It has been determined that the higher flows being added to the system with the force main will accelerate this problem. The additional funds will permit the construction of a new combined headworks with an automated self-cleaning screen that will remove the material after the grinding procedure but prior to entering the remaining system. This expenditure was contemplated for a future project but it has become apparent that the problem must be addressed prior to the addition of new flow.

The text of the original loan request is below:

The Oldham County Sewer District is requesting a Fund A base loan in the amount of \$1,500,000 for construction of the Buckner Interceptor Sewer & Mockingbird Valley WWTP Elimination project. The Buckner and Mockingbird wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) are aging facilities that serve residential and commercial areas in their respective regions. Both plants are hydraulically overloaded which resulted in over 250 violations of the Clean Water Act (bypassing, overflows, etc.) from January 2008 to present. This project involves the construction of an interceptor sewer line from the Buckner WWTP to the new regional WWTP at the Kentucky State Reformatory (KSR). The Buckner WWTP is approximately 50% overloaded. This interceptor line will divert a portion of the flow from the Buckner plant to the KSR plant, thereby alleviating the

overloading at the Buckner plant and allowing it to operate within its design capacity and discharge permit.

The second portion of this project is the construction of an interceptor line and lift station from the Mockingbird Valley WWTP to the regional KSR WWTP. The Mockingbird Valley plant will be taken off line and dismantled. This project proposes to construct three pump stations, approximately 21,500 linear feet of six, twelve, and fifteen inch force mains and decommission of the Mockingbird Valley plant. These improvements will then transport wastewater from these two areas to the regional WWTP located at the Kentucky State Reformatory.

II. PROJECT BUDGET

A I I I I I I I I I I	 Total
Administrative Expenses	\$ 22,500
Engineering Fees	274,000
Construction	1,999,500
Contingency	 104,000
Total	\$ 2,400,000

III. PROJECT FUNDING

Desta de la com	Amount	%
Revised Fund A Loan	\$ 2,400,000	100%
Total	\$ 2,400,000	100%

IV. KIA DEBT SERVICE

Construction Loan Interest Rate Loan Term (Years) Estimated Annual Debt Service Administrative Fee (0.20%)	IGINAL ,500,000 2.00% 20 91,367 3,000	INCREASE \$900,000 2.00% 20 \$ 54,820 1,800	REVISED \$2,400,000 2.00% 20 146,187 4,800
Total Estimated Annual Debt Service	\$ 94,367	\$ 56,620	\$ 150 987

V. PROJECT SCHEDULE

Bid Opening:	June 1, 2011
Construction Start:	July, 2011
Construction Stop:	July, 2012

VI. RATE STRUCTURE

A) Customers

	Total
Residential	5,933
Commercial	184
Industrial	0
	6,117

* No customers will be added as a result of this project.

B) Rates

The historical and current charges for wastewater utility service are as follows:

Date of Last Rate Increase	Previous 9/1/2010	As of /1/2011
Monthly Meter Charge Rate Per 1,000 Gallons	\$ 13.17 5.34	\$ 14.22 5.77
Cost for 4,000 gallons % of Rate Increase Affordability Index (Rate/MHI)	\$ 34.53 23.9% 0.7%	\$ 37.30 8.0% 0.7%

VII. DEMOGRAPHICS

In 2000, the population of Oldham County was 46,178 with a Median Household Income (MHI) level of \$63,229. The median household income for the Commonwealth is \$33,672. This project qualifies for a 2% interest rate because it is considered regional as defined in 200 KIA 17:050 because it combines two or more existing facilities or systems. The increase will be offered at the same terms as the original approval.

VIII. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (See Exhibit 1)

Financial information for the legacy Oldham County Sewer District ("OCSD") was obtained from the audited financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2007 through 2010. Financial information for the Storm Water Management Entity is not included.

HISTORICAL

OCSD was established by the Oldham County Fiscal Court in December 1996 as a county wide sewer district for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, operating and maintaining sanitary sewer systems. OCSD's jurisdictional boundaries included all of Oldham County with the exception of the incorporated cities of LaGrange and

Crestwood. Since OCSD's creation, Pewee Valley has been removed from OCSD's territory. On August 1, 2005, the City of Crestwood merged its sewer assets with the OCSD. On December 1, 2008 the City took back the management of its sewer system and the District transferred related assets back to the City. On August 10, 2010 OCSD and Oldham County Storm Water Management were merged to form the Oldham County Environmental Authority.

On November 15, 2008, Veolia assumed the operations and maintenance under a ten year contract. The monthly fee is guaranteed to cover all costs of operations except for maintenance, repairs and utilities which are trued up annually. The contract contains numerous performance metrics that are required to be reported to the Authority Board.

HISTORICAL

Revenues increased by 6% from \$2,502,282 in 2007 to \$2,628,693 in 2010. A 25% rate increase in mid fiscal 2009 was offset by the loss of Crestwood sewer revenues (\$250,000) and a change in accounting treatment for a transaction with the Kentucky State Reformatory (\$327,000). Operating expenses increased by 37% from \$2,203,118 in 2007 to \$3,018,829 in 2010. During 2009 Authority incurred an additional \$495,000 in expenses for removal of an eighteen month backlog of sludge, catch up maintenance at the plants, and delinquent line repairs.

Interest income has decreased due to both a decrease in cash and investments and decreases in interest rates. Capacity charges have decreased as a result of weak demand for new construction and tap-in bans in some areas due to treatment plants being at or over their designed capacity.

Cash available for debt service declined from \$1,427,565 in 2007 to \$(282,158) in 2010. The debt coverage ratio declined from 1.48 to (0.60) during the same period. During 2009, the District fell out of compliance with loan covenants on a KLC lease agreement. While wastewater rates were increased by 25%, the increase was not sufficient to meet cash flow requirements. The debt was subsequently refinanced through the Oldham County Fiscal Court.

As a condition of borrowing funds from KIA on projects A10-04, A10-05, A10-06 and A10-07 in mid 2010 the Authority agreed to increase rates by June 15, 2010 as follows:

2011	2012	2013	2014	2045
33.96%	17 000/		2014	2015
00.90 %	17.83%	2.26%	2.13%	2.13%

These increases were not implemented; however a single rate increase of 23.9% was passed on July 17, 2010 to be effective September 1, 2010 and an additional rate increase of approximately 8% was passed in May, 2011 to become effective in early FY2012. Higher than anticipated revenues for 2010, as well as a significant decrease in expenses for 2011 alleviated the need for higher rate increases.

PROJECTED

Projections are based on the following assumptions:

- Revenues will increase by 2% volume annually. In addition, a minimum of two (2) 5% rate increases will be required to be effective on or before July 1, 2012 and July 1, 2013.
- 2. Expenses will increase 4% annually
- 3. Negative cash flow after debt service is projected at \$8,054 for 2011. Debt service costs on KIA loans (interest only) are projected at zero for 2011 and \$58,400 for 2012.
- 4. A replacement reserve of \$2,000 will be funded annually. Annual replacement reserve funding including previously approved KIA projects (A10-04, A10-05, A10-06 and A10-07) will be \$14,950.
- 5. Debt service on the proposed loan, four previously approved loans (A10-04, A10-05, A10-06 and A10-07) and the proposed increase for A10-04 is estimated at \$382,152 annually. Total annual debt service will increase from \$523,929 in 2011 to approximately \$1,192,224 in 2015.

Based on the assumptions, the utility can maintain a minimum debt service ratio of 1.05.

REPLACEMENT RESERVE

The annual replacement cost is \$6,000. This amount should be added to the replacement account each December 1 until the balance reaches \$60,000 and maintained for the life of the loan.

IX. DEBT OBLIGATIONS (as of 6/30/10)

	Outstanding	Maturity
Oldham County Fiscal Court	\$3,340,000	2031
Series 2009 Bonds	7,153,207	2030
KIA A10-04 (i/a/o \$2.4MM)	0	TBD (*, **)
KIA A10-05 (i/a/o \$1MM)	0	TBD (*)
KIA A10-06 (i/a/o \$250m)	0	TBD (*)
KIA A10-07 (i/a/o \$1.93MM)	0	TBD (*)
Total	\$10,493,207	
		for these proje

Assistance Agreements have not been signed for these projects.

** Includes proposed increase of \$900,000.

X. OTHER STATE OF FEDERAL FUNDING IN PAST FIVE YEARS

Project		Funding Source	Amount	Туре
	Interceptor	HB608	50,000	Grant
	ver WWTP	HB380	750,000	Grant
	system Upgrades	HB608	950,000	Grant

XI. CONTACTS

Applicant

Name	Oldham County Environmental Authority
Address	700 West Jefferson Street
	LaGrange, KY 40031
County	Oldham
Contact	Horace Harrod
Phone	(502) 225-9477
Email	horace.harrod@farmcreditbank.com

Applicant Contact

Name	Community & Economic Development Associates, Inc. (CEDA)
Address	PO Box 855
	Richmond, KY 40476
Contact	Bryan Kirby, CGA
Phone	(859) 624-3396
Email	bryan@cedainc.net

Engineer

Ernie Hall
ERH, Inc.
3155 Hifner Road
Versailles, KY 40383
(859) 221-5200
erhall1@windstream.net

XII. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

KIA staff recommends approval of the increase to the loan with the standard conditions and the following special condition:

The Oldham County Environmental Authority shall pass the necessary resolution and/or ordinance no later than October 1, 2011 that will enact rate increases of <u>at least 5%</u> annually effective on each date designated below:

Effective Date	7	/1/2012	7	/1/2013
Monthly Meter Charge Rate Per 1,000 Gallons	\$	15.15 6.14	\$	15.91 6.45
Cost for 4,000 gallons Affordability Index (Rate/MHI)	\$	39.71 0.8%	\$	41.71

	Audited	%	Audited	*	Audited	*	Audited	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
Operating Revenues	2007	Change	2008	Change	2009	Change	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Service Charges	2,481,298	8%	2,680,354	%6-	2,440,636	8%	2,628,576	3,209,575	3,675,427	4,015,977	4,322,197	4,430,252
Other	20,984		9,317		14,480		117	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Total Revenues	2,502,282	%1	2,689,671	%6-	2,455,116	<u>7%</u>	2,628,693	3,229,575	3,695,427	4,035,977	4,342,197	4,450,252
Operating Expenses Service and Administrative Costs	2 203 11B	1 2%	2 450 015	12%	2 761 526	%0	3 018 829	2 750 000	2 860 000	2 974 400	3 093 400	3 217 100
Depreciation	605,245	27%	767,146	%9 ⁻	722,728	%0	726,340	760,000	838,000	929,000	929,000	929,000
Replacement Reserve	0		0		0		0	0	0	1,000	14,950	14,950
Total Expenses	2,808,363	15%	3,226,161	8%	3,484,254	%2	3,745,169	3,510,000	3,698,000	3,904,400	4,037,350	4,161,050
Net Operating Income	(306,081) 88 8%	75%	(536,490) 91.7%	92%	(1,029,138)	8%	(1,116,476)	(280,425)	(2,573)	131,577	304,847	289,202
Non-Operating Income and Expenses		150	165 200	/0 F W	00 000	2.40/	20 GEA	16 300	16 200	16 300	16 300	16 300
interest on investments Capacity Charges	200,/3U 839,651	-40%	318,117	% †	30,030 235,840	%. † 0-	75,324	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Total Non-Operating Income & Expenses	1,128,401	-58%	473,417	-31%	326,698	-67%	107,978	36,300	36,300	36,300	36,300	36,300
Add Non-Cash Expenses Depreciation	605,245	27%	767,146	-6%	722,728	%0	726,340	760,000	838,000	929,000	929,000	929,000
Cash Available for Debt Service	1,427,565	-51%	704,073	%26-	20,288	-1491%	(282,158)	515,875	871,727	1,096,877	1,270,147	1,254,502
Debt Service Eviction Principal	616 813		762 569		1.020.054		236.657	000.06	385,000	400,000	410,000	420,000
Existing Interest	349,747		385,617		479,476		236,772	433,929	425,853	414,495	402,707	390,072
REVISED KIA Loan A10-04 (Buckner)									24,000 15 000	99,493 40,427	150,987 68 854	150,987 68 854
KIA Loan A10-U5 (1 & 1) KIA Loan A10-06 (Green Vallev)									3,750	10,364	15,728	15,728
KIA Loan A10-07 (Orchard/Willow)									9,650	38,600	121,419	121,419
Proposed KIA (Covered Bridge)									6,000	16,582	25,164	25,164
Total Debt Service	966,560		1,148,186		1,499,530		473,429	523,929	869,253	1,028,962	1,194,859	1,192,224
Income After Debt Service	461,005		(444,113)		(1,479,242)		(755,587)	(8,054)	2,474	67,916	75,288	62,278
Debt Coverage Ratio	1.48		0.61		0.01		(09.0)	0.98	1.00	1.07	1.06	1.05

EXHIBIT 1 OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY CASH FLOW ANALYSIS (JUNE)

REQUIRED RATE INCREASES

5%

5%

OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY BALANCE SHEETS (JUNE)

ASSETS Current Assets	Audited <u>2007</u>	Audited 2008	Audited 2009	Audited <u>2010</u>	Projected Completion
Cash	555,758	3 1,614,830	841,165		
Accounts Receivable	615,858	.,,			
Other	858,955				,
Total Current Assets	2,030,571		,		
Non-Current Assets			.,,	1,440,127	1,753,860
Cash	3,418,983	1 704 500	004040		
Total Non-Current Assets		, .,			242,028
Utility Plant	3,418,983	1,704,562	294,248	281,193	242,028
Utility Systems					
Construction in Progress	22,677,627	30,514,651	25,611,919	25,784,193	32,043,300
Less Accumulated Dependent in the	5,959,228	393,776	301,325	429,107	250,000
Less Accumulated Depreciation() Net Fixed Assets	(2,330,407)	(3,097,553)		(3,959,883)	
Net liked Assets	26,306,448	27,810,874	22,636,547	22,253,417	<u>(5,798,383)</u> 26,494,917
Other Assets			,,.	-2,200,417	20,434,317
Other Assets					
	47,466	41,966	0	62,070	47,500
Total Other Assets	47,466	41,966	0	62,070	47,500
Total Assets	31,803,468	31,817,926	24,748,011	24,041,807	28,538,305
LIABILITIES					
Current Liabilities Line of Credit	1,467	0	0		
Accounts Payable	319,710	157,714	295,972	0	0
Accrued Liabilities	116,980	284,929	54,188	84,530	150,000
Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	385,479	1,165,991	236,657	135,525	125,000
Current Portion of Unearned Tap Fees	0	0	59,840	90,000	410,000
Total Current Liabilities	823,636			8,092	0
	023,030	1,608,634	646,657	318,147	685,000
Long Term Liabilities Long Term Debt, Less Current Portion Unearned Tap Fees	16,099,338	14,936,524	10,235,000	10,403,207	15,188,207
Total Long Term Liabilities	0	0	1,018,855	995,279	695,279
Total Liabilities	16,099,338	14,936,524	11,253,855	11,398,486	15,883,486
Total Liabilities	16,922,974	16,545,158	11,900,512	11,716,633	16,568,486
Retained Earnings:					10,000,400
Invested in Capital Assets Net of Related Debt	13,239,147	14,849,798	11 029 620	44 700 040	
Unrestricted	1,641,347	422,970	11,938,620	11,730,210	11,382,086
Total Retained Earnings	14,880,494	15,272,768	<u>908,879</u> 12,847,499	<u>594,964</u> 12,325,174	<u>587,734</u> 11,969,820
Total Liabilities and Equities	31,803,468	31,817,926	24,748,011	24,041,807	28,538,306
Balance Sheet Analysis Current Ratio					
Debt to Equity	2.47	1.41	2.81	4.54	2.56
Working Capital	1.14	1.08	0.93	0.95	1.38
Percent of Total Assets in Working Capital	1,206,935	651,890	1,170,559	1,126,980	1,068,860
Days Sales in Accounts Receivable	3.79%	2.05%	4.73%	4.69%	3.75%
	89.8	72.3	79.9	79.5	69.1

7/1/2011 4:13 PM, Balance Sheet

K:\2 Loan Team\Fund A Loans\Oldham County Sanitation District - Buckner (A10-04)\Increase Backup\Executive Summary - Oldham County Sanitation District (A10-04)\Increase Backup\Executive Summary - Oldham County Sanitation District (A10-04)\Increase

Т Т Α С Η Μ Ε Ν Т

Α

I.B.7.

A RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND LOAN (A11-15) OF \$400,000 TO THE OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY, OLDHAM COUNTY, KENTUCKY

WHEREAS, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (the "Authority") has been duly created as a body corporate and politic constituting a public corporation and a governmental agency of the Commonwealth of Kentucky pursuant to Chapter 224A of the Kentucky Revised Statutes (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Act, the Authority is duly and legally authorized to make loans to Governmental Agencies for the purpose of providing funds for the construction and acquisition of sanitary sewer facilities, water facilities or other types of infrastructure, and in that regard, to enter into Assistance Agreements with such Governmental Agencies governing the provisions in respect of which such loans are to be made, the amounts thereof and the repayment provisions in respect thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Authority anticipates entering into an Assistance Agreement for a loan from the Authority's Federally Assisted Wastewater Revolving Loan Program (Fund A) with the Oldham County Environmental Authority, subject to final determination of amount when the factors involving such financing have been determined; and

WHEREAS, the Authority will, in the near future, authorize and issue a series of its Infrastructure Authority Revenue Bonds for the purpose of funding loans to various governmental agencies, such series of Bonds to be known as Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Revenue Bonds with the appropriate Series designation; and

WHEREAS, the Authority wishes to establish terms and conditions on said Fund A loans prior to the issuance of Authority Revenue Bonds and recognizes that additional planning and design of the financed projects are required.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS ITS DULY AUTHORIZED AND EMPOWERED GOVERNING BODY, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AND ORDER, AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1.</u> All statements of fact set forth in the preambles to this Resolution and Order are incorporated herein by reference, the same as if set forth verbatim. All such statements of fact are hereby declared to be true and accurate in all material respects.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Authority hereby authorizes the issuance of a conditional Federally Assisted Wastewater Revolving Fund loan for \$400,000 of project expense including capitalized interest for the construction period, to the Oldham County Environmental

Authority for the Covered Bridge WWTP Decommission Project. Such amounts are subject to adjustment by further action of the Authority or may be adjusted by action of the Authority staff at the time of the issuance of bonds based on adjustment in project costs of not more than (10%) ten percent of the project cost authorized by this resolution. Upon satisfaction of all conditions of the commitment, execution of an assistance agreement for this loan is authorized.

Section 3. The loan shall be repayable over 20 years at an interest rate of 2.0%. In addition to debt service, a 0.2% annual administration fee on the unpaid loan balance will be charged. A replacement reserve of \$2,000 will be funded annually. These terms are subject to adjustment upon execution of the loan agreement, upon changes in the project conditions or determination that the project will require authority financing to be done on a taxable basis.

<u>Section 4.</u> This Resolution and Order shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption at a properly held meeting of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority this 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

PECK, SHAFFER & WILLIAMS LLP LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY



STEVEN L. BESHEAR GOVERNOR LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER 200 FAIR OAKS LANE, 4TH FLOOR FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

May 24, 2011

Mr. John Covington Executive Director Kentucky Infrastructure Authority 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Re:

CWL 11093 Fund A Ohio River WWTP--3330 HUC-11#05140101170 Watershed: Ohio River, Goshen Activity ID: FGL20100008

Dear Mr. Covington:

The Division of Water (DOW) hereby certifies that the Oldham County Environmental Authority is entitled to receive priority for funding for the Covered Bridge WWTP Decommissioning project and is eligible to receive \$400,000 from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund. The following requirements have been addressed as follows:

- 1. Plans and specifications are expected to be submitted to DOW by August 12, 2011.
- 2. Construction bids are expected to be opened by September 23, 2011.
- 3. Project specific environmental information is expected to be submitted to DOW by June 30, 2011.

The binding commitment letter should include the following general conditions to satisfy federal requirements:

- 1. The Authority to Award (bid) package must be submitted to DOW for approval within 14 days of bid opening. DOW must perform the DBE reviews, conduct a pre-construction and project management conference and approve executed contract documents.
- 2. Loan Agreement must be executed within six (6) months from bid opening.
- 3. The city must agree to expend all loan funds within six months of the date of initiation of operation.
- 4. Documentation of final funding commitments from all parties other than the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (KIA) as reflected in the credit analysis shall be provided to KIA prior to their loan closing and disbursement of the loan monies. Rejections of any anticipated project funding shall be immediately reported to KIA and may cause this loan to be subject to further consideration.
- 5. The borrower must complete and submit any missing or incomplete parts of the Application to KIA upon request.
- 6. The borrower must receive DOW approval of the Plans and Specifications before bidding the project.
- 7. The borrower must receive DOW approval of the Environmental Assessment before bidding the project.



The SRF application has been completed by staff of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (KIA). If you have any questions or concerns regarding missing or additional submission requirements, please contact KIA at (502) 573-0260. Should you have any questions concerning this letter, do not hesitate to contact Alison Simpson, Project Administrator, at (502) 564-8158, extension 4591.

Sincerely,

Guddy Giffi

Buddy Griffin, Supervisor SRF & SPAP Section Water Infrastructure Branch

BG:as

Enclosures

C: Horace Harrod, Chairman, Oldham Co. Environmental Authority Holly L. Nicholas, Kentucky Engineering Group, PLLC Mark Ralph, Tetra Tech, Inc.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTUR FUND A, FEDERALLY ASSIST REVOLVING LOAN FUND	ED WASTEWATER		Reviewer: Date: KIA Loan Number: WRIS Number HUC Code:	Kasi White July 7, 2011 A11-15 SX21185037 05140101170
BORROWER:	OLDHAM COUNTY E	NVIRONMENTAL	AUTHORITY	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION:	OLDHAM COUNTY			
The Oldham County Environment WWTP Decommissioning project plant periodically has odor, n approximately 2,200 linear feet of Ohio River WWTP. Additionally, this project.	ct. This package plant oise and inflow and of force main will be con	was constructed i infiltration issues nstructed to conve tion will be upgrad	which will be elimi which will be elimi ay waste from the Cov led to handle the incre	nated. A pump station and ered Bridge subdivision to the
PROJECT FINANCING:		PROJECT BUDO		
Fund A Loan	\$ 400,000	Administrative E> Legal Expenses Engineering Fee Construction Contingency Other		7,500 77,500 260,000 26,000 6,000
TOTAL	\$ 400,000	TOTAL	-	\$ 400,000
REPAYMENT		0.000/	Est. Annual	\$121,419
	Rate	2.00%	Payment 1st Payment	6 Mo. after first draw
	Term	20 years	TSL F dynnenit	
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	Engineer Bond Counsel	Tetra Tech, Inc. Peck, Shaffer, &	Williams	
PROJECT SCHEDULE			······································	
	Bid Opening: Construction Start: Construction Stop:		October, 2011 December, 2011 July, 2012	
DEBT PER CUSTOMER	Existing:	\$ 1,715		
	Proposed:	\$ 2,550		
OTHER DEBT	See Attached			
OTHER STATE-FUNDED PROJECTS LAST 5 YRS	See Attached			
RESIDENTIAL RATES	T	User		
	Current	6,117	+	53 (for 4,000 gallons) 71 (for 4,000 gallons)
REGIONAL COORDINATION	Additional This project is consist	0 rent with regional s	\$ 41.7 planning recommenda	
	_			
CASHFLOW	Cash Available for		Income after De	
	Debt Service			
Audited 2007	1,427,565	966,560		
Audited 2008	704,073	1,148,186		
Audited 2009	20,288	1,499,530 473,429	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Audited 2010	(282,158)) 473,429 523,929	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- /
Projected 2011	515,875 871,727	869,253		,
Projected 2012		1,028,962		
Projected 2013	1,096,877 1,270,147	1,194,859		
Projected 2014 Projected 2015	1,254,502	1,192,224		
Frojecieu zoro	1,204,002	.,,	-	

Reviewer: Kasi White Date: July 7, 2011 Loan Number: A11-15

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY WASTEWATER REVOLVING LOAN FUND (FUND "A") OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY, OLDHAM COUNTY PROJECT REVIEW SX21185037

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Oldham County Environmental Authority ("OCEA" and formerly Oldham County Sewer District) is requesting a Fund A loan in the amount of \$400,000 for the Covered Bridge WWTP Decommissioning project. This package plant was constructed in the 1970's and has exceeded its useful life. This plant periodically has odor, noise and inflow and infiltration issues which will be eliminated. A pump station and approximately 2,200 linear feet of force main will be constructed to convey waste from the Covered Bridge subdivision to the Ohio River WWTP. Additionally, the Albrecht pump station will be upgraded to handle the increased flow that will result from this project.

The Authority and the Division of Water are in negotiations about the details of an Agreed Order. DOW has indicated that five package plants need to be removed over the next five years. Since 1996 the Authority has built one new regional facility (Ohio River WWTP) and eliminated only three package plants. The Authority has plans to eliminate the five plants that have the highest occurrence of overflows and permit violations. In 2010 KIA approved loans totaling \$3,680,000 to eliminate the Willow Creek, Orchard Grass and Green Valley plants and to divert flow from the Buckner plant to the Kentucky State Reformatory. An additional \$900,000 has since been requested for the Buckner project and is discussed in a separate increase request (A10-04).

II. PROJECT BUDGET

Administration	Total		
Administrative Expenses	\$	23,000	
Legal Expenses Engineering Fees		7,500	
Construction		77,500	
Contingency		260,000	
Other		26,000	
Total		6,000	
Iotal	\$	400,000	
III. PROJECT FUNDING			
Fund A Loan		Amount	%
	\$	400,000	100%
Total	\$	400,000	100%

IV. KIA DEBT SERVICE

Construction Loan	\$ 400,000
Interest Rate	2.00%
Loan Term (Years)	20
Estimated Annual Debt Service	\$ 24,364
Administrative Fee (0.20%)	800
Total Estimated Annual Debt Service	\$ 25,164

V. PROJECT SCHEDULE

Bid Opening Date	October, 2011
Construction Start	December, 2011
Construction Completion	July, 2012

VI. CUSTOMER INFORMATION AND RATE STRUCTURE

A) Customers

	Total
Residential	5,933
Commercial	184
Industrial	0
	6,117

* No customers will be added as a result of this project.

B) Rates

The historical and current charges for wastewater utility service are as follows:

Date of Last Rate Increase	Previous 9/1/2010	4.1	As of /1/2011
Monthly Meter Charge Rate Per 1,000 Gallons	\$ 13.17 5.34	\$	14.22 5.77
Cost for 4,000 gallons % of Rate Increase Affordability Index (Rate/MHI)	\$ 34.53 23.9% 0.7%	\$	37.30 8.0% 0.7%

VII. DEMOGRAPHICS

In 2000, the population of Oldham County was 46,178 with a Median Household Income (MHI) level of \$63,229. The median household income for the Commonwealth is \$33,672. This project qualifies for a 2% interest rate because it is considered regional as defined in 200 KIA 17:050 because it combines two or more existing facilities or systems.

VIII. 2010 CAPITALIZATION GRANT EQUIVALENCIES

- 1) Green Project Reserve This project does not qualify for Green Project Reserve (GPR) funding.
- 2) Additional Subsidization This project does not qualify for additional subsidization.

IX. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (See Exhibit 1)

Financial information for the legacy Oldham County Sewer District ("OCSD") was obtained from the audited financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2007 through 2010. Financial information for the Storm Water Management Entity is not included.

HISTORICAL

OCSD was established by the Oldham County Fiscal Court in December 1996 as a county wide sewer district for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, operating and maintaining sanitary sewer systems. OCSD's jurisdictional boundaries included all of Oldham County with the exception of the incorporated cities of LaGrange and Crestwood. Since OCSD's creation, Pewee Valley has been removed from OCSD's territory. On August 1, 2005, the City of Crestwood merged its sewer assets with the OCSD. On December 1, 2008 the City took back the management of its sewer system and the District transferred related assets back to the City. On August 10, 2010 OCSD and Oldham County Storm Water Management were merged to form the Oldham County Environmental Authority.

On November 15, 2008, Veolia assumed the operations and maintenance under a ten year contract. The monthly fee is guaranteed to cover all costs of operations except for maintenance, repairs and utilities which are trued up annually. The contract contains numerous performance metrics that are required to be reported to the Authority Board.

HISTORICAL

Revenues increased by 6% from \$2,502,282 in 2007 to \$2,628,693 in 2010. A 25% rate increase in mid fiscal 2009 was offset by the loss of Crestwood sewer revenues (\$250,000) and a change in accounting treatment for a transaction with the Kentucky State Reformatory (\$327,000). Operating expenses increased by 37% from \$2,203,118 in 2007 to \$3,018,829 in 2010. During 2009 Authority incurred an additional \$495,000 in expenses for removal of an eighteen month backlog of sludge, catch up maintenance at the plants, and delinquent line repairs.

Interest income has decreased due to both a decrease in cash and investments and decreases in interest rates. Capacity charges have decreased as a result of weak demand for new construction and tap-in bans in some areas due to treatment plants being at or over their designed capacity.

Cash available for debt service declined from \$1,427,565 in 2007 to \$(282,158) in 2010. The debt coverage ratio declined from 1.48 to (0.60) during the same period. During 2009, the District fell out of compliance with loan covenants on a KLC lease agreement. While wastewater rates were increased by 25%, the increase was not sufficient to meet cash flow requirements. The debt was subsequently refinanced through the Oldham County Fiscal Court.

As a condition of borrowing funds from KIA on projects A10-04, A10-05, A10-06 and A10-07 in mid 2010 the Authority agreed to increase rates by June 15, 2010 as follows:

2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
33.96%	17.83%	2.26%	2.13%	2.13%

These increases were not implemented; however a single rate increase of 23.9% was passed on July 17, 2010 to be effective September 1, 2010 and an additional rate increase of approximately 8% was passed in May, 2011 to become effective in early FY2012. Higher than anticipated revenues for 2010, as well as a significant decrease in expenses for 2011 alleviated the need for higher rate increases.

PROJECTED

Projections are based on the following assumptions:

- 1. Revenues will increase by 2% volume annually. In addition, a minimum of two (2) 5% rate increases will be required to be effective on or before July 1, 2012 and July 1, 2013.
- 2. Expenses will increase 4% annually
- 3. Negative cash flow after debt service is projected at \$8,054 for 2011. Debt service costs on KIA loans (interest only) are projected at zero for 2011 and \$58,400 for 2012.
- 4. A replacement reserve of \$2,000 will be funded annually. Annual replacement reserve funding including previously approved KIA projects (A10-04, A10-05, A10-06 and A10-07) will be \$14,950.
- 5. Debt service on the proposed loan, four previously approved loans (A10-04, A10-05, A10-06 and A10-07) and the proposed increase for A10-04 is estimated at \$382,152 annually. Total annual debt service will increase from \$523,929 in 2011 to approximately \$1,192,224 in 2015.

Based on the assumptions, the utility can maintain a minimum debt service ratio of 1.05.

REPLACEMENT RESERVE

The annual replacement cost is \$2,000. This amount should be added to the replacement account each December 1 until the balance reaches \$20,000 and maintained for the life of the loan.

X. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Debt Issue	Outstanding	
	(6/30/10)	Maturity
Oldham County Fiscal Court	\$3,340,000	2031
Series 2009 Bonds	7,153,207	2030
KIA A10-04 (i/a/o \$2.4MM)	0	TBD (*, **)
KIA A10-05 (i/a/o \$1MM)	0	TBD (*)
KIA A10-06 (i/a/o \$250m)	0	TBD (*)
KIA A10-07 (i/a/o \$1.93MM)	ů 0	TBD (*)
Total	\$10,493,207	

* Assistance Agreements have not been signed for these projects.
** Includes proposed increase of \$900,000.

XI. OTHER STATE OF FEDERAL FUNDING IN PAST FIVE YEARS

Drainet Title	Funding		
Project Title	Source	Amount	Type
Buckner Interceptor Ohio River WWTP	HB608	50,000	Grant
Sewer System Upgrades	HB380	750,000	Grant
of the obstern opgrades	HB608	950,000	Grant

XII. CONTACTS

Applicant

Name Address	Oldham County Environmental Authority
Address	700 West Jefferson Street
• •	LaGrange, KY 40031
County	Oldham
Contact	Horace Harrod
Phone	(502) 225-9477
Email	horace.harrod@farmcreditbank.com

Applicant Contact

Name	Kentucky Engineering Group
Address	PO Box 1034
	Versailles, KY 40383
Contact	Holly L. Nicholas
Phone	(859) 333-9742
Email	hnicholas@kyengr.com

Engineer

Name	Mark Ralph
Firm	Tetra Tech, Inc.
Address	2000 Wellington Way
Addresse	Louisville, KY 40222
Phone	(502) 568-6688
Email	Mark.Ralph@tetratech.com

XIII. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

KIA staff recommends approval of the loan with the standard conditions and the following special condition:

The Oldham County Environmental Authority shall pass the necessary resolution and/or ordinance no later than October 1, 2011 that will enact rate increases of <u>at least 5%</u> annually effective on each date designated below:

Effective Date	7/	1/2012	7/1/2013		
Monthly Meter Charge Rate Per 1,000 Gallons	\$	15.15 6.14 39.71	\$	15.91 6.45 41.71	
Cost for 4,000 gallons Affordability Index (Rate/MHI)	φ	0.8%	Ψ	0.8%	

	Audited	6	:									
Uperating Revenues Service Charges Other	2007 2,481,298	Change 8%	2008 2680 354	% Change	Audited 2009	% Change	Audited 2010	Projected 2011	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
Total Revenues	20,984 2,502,282	707	9,317	%A-	2,440,636 14,480	8%	2,628,576 117	3,209,575	3,675,427	4,015,977	2014 4,322,197	2015 4,430,252
Operating Expenses		2	1/0/600'7	%6-	2,455,116	7%	2,628,693	3,229,575	3,695,427	20,000 4,035,977	20,000 4,342,197	20,000 4,450,252
Depreciation Replacement Reserve Total Expenses	2,203,118 605,245 0	12% 27%	2,459,015 767,146	12% -6%	2,761,526 722,728	%0 %6	3,018,829 726,340	2,750,000 760,000	2,860,000 838,000	2,974,400	3,093,400	3,217,100
Net Operating Income	2,808,363	15%	3,226,161	8%	0 3,484,254	%1	0 3,745,169	3,510,000		3 004 400		929,000 14,950
Non-Operating Income and Expenses	(306,081) 88.8%	75%	(536,490) 91.7%	92%	(1,029,138)	8%	(1,116,476)	(280,425)		131,577	4,037,350 304,847	4,161,050 289,202
Capacity Charges Total Non-Operating Income & Expenses	288,750 839,651	-46% -62%	155,300 318,117	-41%	90,858 235 840	-64%	32,654	16,300	16,300	16,300	16 300	16 200
Add Non-Cash Expenses Depreciation	1, 128,401	-58%	473,417	-31%	326,698	-67%	75,324 107,978	20,000 36,300	20,000 36,300	20,000 36,300	20,000 36,300	10,300 20,000 36,300
Cash Available for Debt Service	605,245 1 427 FEF	27%	767,146	~9-	722,728	%0	726,340	760,000	838,000	929,000	929.000	929 000
Debt Service	CDC' 171'	%LC-	704,073	%26-	20,288	-1491%	(282,158)	515,875	871,727 1	1,096,877 1		1,254,502
Existing Interest Existing Interest REVISED KIA Loan A10-04 (Buckner) KIA Loan A10-05 (I & I)	616,813 349,747		762,569 385,617		1,020,054 479,476		236,657 236,772	90,000 433,929	385,000 425,853	400,000 414,495	410,000 402,707	420,000 390.072
KIA Loan A10-06 (Green Valley) KIA Loan A10-07 (Orchard/Willow) Proposed KIA (Covered Bridge)									24,000 15,000 3,750	99,493 49,427 10,364	150,987 68,854 15.728	150,987 68,854 15 728
Total Debt Service	966,560		1,148,186		100 500				9,650 6,000	38,600 16,582	121,419 25,164	121,419 25 164
Income After Debt Service	461,005		1011 110		1,499,530		473,429	523,929	869,253 1,(1,028,962 1,	1	1,192,224
Debt Coverage Ratio	1 48		(011,111)		(1,479,242)		(755,587)	(8,054)	2,474	67,916	75,288	62,278
REQUIRED RATE INCREASES			0.61		0.01		(09.0)	0.98	1.00	1.07	1.06	1.05

7/1/2011 4:12 PM. Cashflow. 1 0 K:\2 Loan Team\Fund A Loans\Oldham County Environmental Authority - Covered Bridge (A11-17)\Executive Summary - Oldham County - Covered Bridge (A11-15)_Board

5%

5%

OLDHAM COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY BALANCE SHEETS (JUNE)

BALANCE SHEETS (JUNE)				A	Projected
ASSETS	Audited 2007	Audited 2008	Audited <u>2009</u>	Audited <u>2010</u>	<u>Completion</u>
Current Assets					740 400
Cash	555,758	1,614,830	841,165	637,764	740,160
Accounts Receivable	615,858	533,132	537,685	572,371	763,700
Other	858,955	112,562	438,366	234,992	250,000
Total Current Assets	2,030,571	2,260,524	1,817,216	1,445,127	1,753,860
Non-Current Assets	3,418,983	1,704,562	294,248	281,193	242,028
Cash		1,704,562	294,248	281,193	242,028
Total Non-Current Assets	3,418,983	1,704,502	234,240	201,100	
Utility Plant					00.040.000
Utility Systems	22,677,627	30,514,651	25,611,919	25,784,193	32,043,300
Construction in Progress	5,959,228	393,776	301,325	429,107	250,000
Less Accumulated Depreciation ()	(2,330,407)	(3,097,553)	(3,276,697)	(3,959,883)	(5,798,383) 26,494,917
Net Fixed Assets	26,306,448	27,810,874	22,636,547	22,253,417	20,494,917
Other Assets					(7 500
Other Assets	47,466	41,966	0	62,070	47,500
Total Other Assets	47,466	41,966	0	62,070	47,500
Total Assets	31,803,468	31,817,926	24,748,011	24,041,807	28,538,305
LIABILITIES					
Current Liabilities					0
Line of Credit	1,467	0	0	0	0
Accounts Payable	319,710	157,714	295,972	84,530	150,000
Accrued Liabilities	116,980	284,929	54,188	135,525	125,000
Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	385,479	1,165,991	236,657	90,000	410,000 0
Current Portion of Unearned Tap Fees	0	0	59,840	8,092	
Total Current Liabilities	823,636	1,608,634	646,657	318,147	685,000
Long Term Liabilities				10.100.007	45 400 007
Long Term Debt, Less Current Portion	16,099,338	14,936,524	10,235,000	10,403,207	15,188,207
Unearned Tap Fees	0	0	1,018,855	995,279	695,279
Total Long Term Liabilities	16,099,338	14,936,524	11,253,855	11,398,486	15,883,486
Total Liabilities	16,922,974	16,545,158	11,900,512	11,716,633	16,568,486
Retained Earnings:	40.000.447	4 4 0 40 700	11,938,620	11,730,210	11,382,086
Invested in Capital Assets Net of Related Debt	13,239,147	14,849,798	908,879	594,964	587,734
Unrestricted	1,641,347 14,880,494	422,970	12,847,499	12,325,174	11,969,820
Total Retained Earnings	14,000,494	10,272,100	12,011,100		
Total Liabilities and Equities	31,803,468	31,817,926	24,748,011	24,041,807	28,538,306
Balance Sheet Analysis					2.56
Current Ratio	2.47	1.41	2.81	4.54	2.56
Debt to Equity	1.14	1.08	0.93	0.95	1,068,860
Working Capital	1,206,935	651,890	1,170,559	1,126,980	
Percent of Total Assets in Working Capital	3.79%	2.05%	4.73%	4.69% 79.5	69.1
Days Sales in Accounts Receivable	89.8	72.3	79.9	79.5	03.1

7/1/2011 4:12 PM, Balance Sheet K:\2 Loan Team\Fund A Loans\Oldham County Environmental Authority - Covered Bridge (A11-17)\Executive Summary - Oldham County - Covered Bridge (A11-15)_Board



Α T Τ Α С Η Μ Ε Ν Т

I.B.8.

A RESOLUTION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF A CONDITIONAL COMMITMENT FOR A FEDERALLY ASSISTED DRINKING WATER REVOLVING FUND LOAN (F11-07) OF \$2,500,000 TO THE BREATHITT COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, BREATHITT COUNTY, KENTUCKY

WHEREAS, the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (the "Authority") has been duly created as a body corporate and politic constituting a public corporation and a governmental agency of the Commonwealth of Kentucky pursuant to Chapter 224A of the Kentucky Revised Statutes (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Act, the Authority is duly and legally authorized to make loans to Governmental Agencies for the purpose of providing funds for the construction and acquisition of sanitary sewer facilities, water facilities or other types of infrastructure, and in that regard, to enter into Assistance Agreements with such Governmental Agencies governing the provisions in respect of which such loans are to be made, the amounts thereof and the repayment provisions in respect thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Authority anticipates entering into an Assistance Agreement for a loan from the Authority's Federally Assisted Drinking Water Revolving Loan Program (Fund F) with the Breathitt County Water District, subject to final determination of amount when the factors involving such financing have been determined; and

WHEREAS, the Authority will, in the near future, authorize and issue a series of its Infrastructure Authority Revenue Bonds for the purpose of funding loans to various governmental agencies, such series of Bonds to be known as Kentucky Infrastructure Authority Revenue Bonds with the appropriate Series designation; and

WHEREAS, the Authority wishes to establish terms and conditions on said Fund F loans prior to the issuance of Authority Revenue Bonds and recognizes that additional planning and design of the financed projects are required.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS ITS DULY AUTHORIZED AND EMPOWERED GOVERNING BODY, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AND ORDER, AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1.</u> All statements of fact set forth in the preambles to this Resolution and Order are incorporated herein by reference, the same as if set forth verbatim. All such statements of fact are hereby declared to be true and accurate in all material respects.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Authority hereby authorizes the issuance of a conditional Federally Assisted Drinking Water Revolving Fund Ioan for \$2,500,000 of project expense including capitalized interest for the construction period, to the Breathitt County Water District for the KY 1098 South Fork and Canoe Waterline Extension project. Such amounts are subject to adjustment by further action of the Authority or may be adjusted by action of the Authority staff at the time of the issuance of bonds based on adjustment in project costs of not more than (10%) ten percent of the project cost authorized by this resolution. Upon satisfaction of all conditions of the commitment, execution of an assistance agreement for this loan is authorized.

<u>Section 3.</u> Principal forgiveness of 35% will be deducted from the approved loan amount. The unforgiven principal balance of \$1,625,000 shall be repaid.

Section 4. The loan shall be repayable over 20 years at an interest rate of 1.0%. In addition to debt service, a 0.25% annual administration fee on the unpaid loan balance will be charged. A replacement reserve of \$6,250 will be funded annually. These terms are subject to adjustment upon execution of the loan agreement, upon changes in the project conditions or determination that the project will require authority financing to be done on a taxable basis.

<u>Section 5.</u> This Resolution and Order shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption at a properly held meeting of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority this 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

PECK, SHAFFER & WILLIAMS LLP LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY



LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY

STEVEN L. BESHEAR GOVERNOR

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER 200 FAIR OAKS LANE, 4TH FLOOR FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

July 5, 2011

Mr. John Covington Executive Director Kentucky Infrastructure Authority 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Re:

DWL1172 Fund F
 Breathitt Co Water District--45303
 Activity ID: FGL20110013
 Assistance Agreement
 Watershed Name: South Fork
 Quicksand Creek
 Watershed Code (HUC11): 05100201130

Dear Mr. Covington:

The Division of Water hereby certifies that the referenced project is entitled to an Assistance Agreement from the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, and that the project is in compliance with federal and state requirements. The project is eligible to receive \$2,500,000.00 for KY 1098 South Fork waterline extension and Canoe Road waterline extension.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact Buddy Griffin, Project Administrator, at (502) 564-8158, extension 4971.

Sincerely,

Guddy Giff-

Buddy Griffin, Supervisor SRF & SPAP Section Water Infrastructure Branch

BG:bg

C: Peck, Shaffer & Williams Bobby Thorpe, Jr., Chairman, Breathitt County Water District Nesbitt Engineering, Inc.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUC FUND F, FEDERALLY ASS REVOLVING LOAN FUND	TURE AUTHORITY ISTED DRINKING WATE	ĒR	Reviewer: Date: KIA Loan Number WRIS Number	Kasi White July 7, 2011 F11-07 WX21025015, WX21025020
BORROWER:	BREATHITT COUN	TY WATER DIST	PICT	WX21025021
BRIEF DESCRIPTION:	BREATHITT COUN	TY	RICI	
This request is comprised of that currently has contamin manganese, sodium chloride	as well as pathogens that	at usually include	fecal coliform bacteria	quate water supply to a community contaminants include iron, sulfur, I contamination at various levels.
The first project is the installa 315 north of 1933 (Turner's Point, Buzzard Fork, Stampe HWY 52 and upgrade the HV PVC of new lines will be cons and the Short Fork Road are from KY 1114 to Walter Com the projects.	ation of approximately 78 Creek) and the remainin r Fork and Lick Branch. VY 52 and Town Hill pur structed to serve the are	,330 linear feet o ng side roads off The project will a mp stations. In a a of South Fork f	f PVC and ductile iron of Canoe Road includ so install a service line ddition, approximately from Swift Branch to C	pipe in various sizes to service KY ding Canoe, Spicer Branch, Butter e via railroad bore to Cecil Clair on 46,247 linear feet of 6", 4" and 2" Open Fork and Press Howard Fork be constructed to extend service comers will be added as a result of
PROJECT FINANCING: Fund F Loan		PROJECT BUD	DGET	
HB1	\$ 2,500,000 275,000	Administrative I Legal Expenses Land, Easemer Engineering Fe Construction Contingency	Expenses S Its	\$ 40,839 18,700 4,699 355,554 2,117,200
TOTAL	\$ 2,775,000	TOTAL		238,008
REPAYMENT	<u> </u>	TIUTAL	F 1 4	\$ 2,775,000
	Rate Term	1.00%	Est. Annual Payment	\$ 93,910
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	Engineer	20 years Nesbitt Engineer	1st Payment ring, Inc.	6 Mo. after first draw
PROJECT SCHEDULE	Bond Counsel	Peck, Shaffer, &	Williams	
TROJECT SCHEDULE	Bid Opening: Construction Start:		August, 2011 October, 2011	
DEBT PER CUSTOMER	Construction Stop: Existing:	A	November, 2012	
	Proposed:	\$ 560 \$ 1.519		
OTHER DEBT	See Attached	\$ 1,519		
OTHER STATE-FUNDED	T			
PROJECTS LAST 5 YRS	See Attached			
RESIDENTIAL RATES	Γ			
	Current	<u>Users</u> 1,105	Avg. Bill	
	Additional	342	\$ 39.80 \$ 39.80	(in here gallons)
REGIONAL COORDINATION	This project is consisten	t with regional pla	<u>\$</u> 39.80	(for 4,000 gallons)
ASHFLOW				113.
	Cash Available for		Income after Debt	
udited 2006	Debt Service	Debt Service	Service	
udited 2007	(15,725) 6,578	0	(15,725)	n/a
udited 2008	(39,715)	0 45,070	6,578	n/a
udited 2009	(43,548)	45,070 56,427	(84,785)	(0.88)
eliminary 2010	34,564	574,181	(99,975)	(0.77)
ojected 2011	47,467	31,781	(539,617)	0.06
rojected 2012	152,030	45,368	15,686	1.49
ojected 2013	134,600	123,383	106,662	3.35
ojected 2014	126,208	123,226	11,217 2,982	1.09
	-		2,902	1.02

Reviewer: Kasi White Date: July 7, 2011 Loan Number: F11-07

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY DRINKING WATER REVOLVING LOAN FUND (FUND "F") BREATHITT COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, BREATHITT COUNTY **PROJECT REVIEW** WX21025015, WX21025020 and WX21025021

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Breathitt County Water District is requesting a Fund "F" loan in the amount of \$2,500,000 to extend water service in various areas. The request is comprised of three components. The projects will provide an adequate water supply to areas that currently have contaminated drinking water sources. Selective testing indicates that contaminants include iron, sulfur, manganese, sodium chloride as well as pathogens that usually include fecal coliform bacterial contamination at various levels.

The first project is the installation of approximately 78,330 linear feet of PVC and ductile iron pipe in various sizes to service KY 315 north of 1933 (Turner's Creek) and the remaining side roads off of Canoe Road including Canoe, Spicer Branch, Butter Point, Buzzard Fork, Stamper Fork and Lick Branch. The project will also install a service line via railroad bore to Cecil Clair on HWY 52 and upgrade the HWY 52 and Town Hill pump stations. In addition, approximately 46,247 linear feet of 6", 4" and 2" PVC of new lines will be constructed to serve the area of South Fork from Swift Branch to Open Fork and Press Howard Fork and the Short Fork Road area. Lastly, approximately 25,000 linear feet of 4" and 2" line ill be constructed to extend service from KY 1114 to Walter Combs' House at the end of Houston Road. Approximately 340 customers will be added as a result of the projects.

II. PROJECT BUDGET

	-	Total
Administrative Expenses	\$	40,839
Legal Expenses		18,700
Land & Easements		4,699
Engineering Fees		355,554
Construction		2,117,200
Contingency		238,008
Total	\$	2,775,000

III. PROJECT FUNDING

	Amount	%
Fund F Loan	\$ 2,500,000	90%
HB1 (No CPBOC approval)	275,000	10%
Total	\$ 2,775,000	100%

IV. KIA DEBT SERVICE

~

Total Estimated Annual Debt Service	\$	93,910
Total Estimated An 15 to 5		4,063
Administrative Fee (0.25%)	Ψ	89,848
Estimated Annual Debt Service	\$	
Loan Term (Years)		20
		1.00%
Interest Rate	φ	1,625,000
Amortized Loan Amount	\$	
Less: Principal Forgiveness (35%)	\$	875,000
	\$	2,500,000
Construction Loan	•	

V. PROJECT SCHEDULE

Bid Opening:	August, 2011
Construction Start:	October, 2011
Construction Stop:	November, 2012

VI. RATE STRUCTURE

The district purchases all water for distribution from the City of Jackson at a rate of \$1.90 per 1,000 gallons. There is a minimum purchase required of 400,000 gallons per month.

A. Customers

Customers	Current	Proposed	Total
Residential	1,100	342	1,442
Commercial	5	0	5
Industrial	0	0	0
	1,105	342	1,447

The Canoe Road project is expected to add 220 customers while the Highway 1,098 project will add 122 customers.

B. <u>Rates</u>

Rates were last increased in October, 2005.

First 2,000 gallons (minimum) Rate Per Additional 1,000 Gallons	\$ 19.90
Cash (1 000 Valions	9.95
Cost for 4,000 gallons	\$ 39.80
Affordability Index (Rate/MHI)	2.5%

VII. DEMOGRAPHICS

In 2000, the County's population was 13,500 with a Median Household Income (MHI) of \$19,155, which is less than 80% of the MHI for the Commonwealth (\$33,672), thus qualifying the District for a 1% interest rate on the proposed loan.

VIII. 2010 CAPITALIZATION GRANT EQUIVALENCIES

- 1) Green Project Reserve This project does not qualify for Green Project Reserve (GPR) funding.
- Additional Subsidization This project qualifies for additional subsidization in the amount of \$875,000.

IX. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (See Exhibit 1)

Financial information for the utility was obtained audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 through 2009. Amounts for 2010 are based on preliminary financial statements.

HISTORICAL

The Breathitt County Water District (BCWD) was created in 2003 to provide water service to unserved residences in Breathitt County. The customer base has increased from about 100 in 2006 to approximately 1,100 today. Since its inception the District has extended the water supply to numerous areas throughout the County.

Revenues have increased 461% from \$88,609 in 2006 to \$497,238 in 2010. Operating expenses and purchased water costs have increased 339% from \$105,449 to \$463,023 during the same period. Cash available for debt service has been negative in all years but 2007 due to customer hookups from extension projects being realized a little later after project completion than expected. The debt coverage ratio in 2010 was 0.06. Reserve funds and a portion of operating cash were utilized to fund the required \$572,000 in debt service from the ARRA loan.

The 2010 operating cash balance represents about 1.5 months of operating expenses and purchased water cost. There are minimal restricted reserve funds to support total capital assets of \$23.5 million. Large unexpected expenses could stress the District's finances given these combined factors.

Financing for the District's capital expansion has involved a combination of grants, fiscal court contributions and credit facilities. The District's long term debt is minimal and is comprised of Series 2009 Revenue Bonds and a note to KIA. Total debt to equity is approximately 4%. Days' sales in accounts receivables are 35.9 which reflects an efficient collection process.

PROJECTED

Projections are based on the following assumptions:

- Revenues have been adjusted to include the additional 340 customers that will be added in 2012 as a result of the project.
- Customer growth outside of planned service area expansions will be 2% per year.
- Operating expenses and purchased water costs are projected to increase by 4% annually
- Average water use was calculated at 3,600 gallons per month per customer based on historical usage.
- The replacement reserve is \$6,250 for this project and \$7,250 annually in total (includes KIA loan F2 09-05).
- Debt service on the new KIA loan will be \$93,910 annually beginning in June 2013.

Based on the above assumptions the Breathitt County Water District will meet the required cash flow through the projected years with a debt coverage ratio of 1.09 in 2013. Debt to equity will increase from 4% to 11% upon completion of the project.

REPLACEMENT RESERVE

Based on the information provided in the application the annual replacement cost is \$6,250. This amount should be added to the replacement account each December 1 until the balance reaches \$62,500 and maintained for the life of the system.

X. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

	Outstanding	Maturity
Series 2009 Revenue Bonds	\$350,000	2049
KIA (F2 09-05)	257,630	2030
Total	\$607,630	

XI. OTHER STATE OF FEDERAL FUNDING IN PAST FIVE YEARS

Project Title	Funding Source	Amount	Type
Watts Extension	HB608	\$1,600,000	Type Grant
Watts Extension	CDBG	\$1,000,000	Grant
Ext on Hwy 205/1812 to Wolfe County	HB380	\$1,200,000	Grant
Highway 30 West Project	HB608	\$750,000	Grant
	CDBG, USDA,		Cram
Highway 30 East Extension	RD, ARC, CST	\$1,200,000	Grant

XII. CONTACTS

Applicant

Name	Breathitt County Water District
Address	1137 Main Street
	Jackson, Kentucky 41339
County	Breathitt County
Contact	Bobby Thorpe, Jr.
Phone	(606) 666-3800, extension 250
Email	breathittwater@yahoo.com

Engineer

Name	Matt Steen
Firm	Nesbitt Engineering, Inc.
Address	227 North Upper Street
	Lexington, Kentucky 40507
Phone	(859) 233-3111
Email	msteen@nei-ky.com

Applicant Contact

Name	Kentucky River Area Development District
Address	917 Perry Park Road
	Hazard, KY 41701
Contact	Jennifer McIntosh
Phone	(606) 436-3158
Email	Jennifer@kradd.org

XIII. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

KIA staff recommends approval of the loan with the standard conditions.

EXHIBIT 1 BREATHITT COUNTY WATER DISTRICT DECEMBER CASH FLOW ANALYSIS	ECEMBER												
Operating Revenues Charges for Services Tap Fees Other	Audited 2006 51,597 0 37,012	% Change 148% -100%	Audited 2007 128,026 54,550 25	% Change 59% -55% 169276%	Audited 2008 203,781 24,750 42,344	% Change 49% 135% 26%	Audited 2009 58,093 53,533	% Change 39% -52% -11%	Preliminary 2010 27,802 47,524	Projected 2011 480,776 5,000	Projected 2012 565,884 69,000	Projected 2013 652,927 5,000	Projected 2014 5,000
l otal Revenues	88,609	106%	182,601	48%	270,875	53%	415,629	20%	497,238	533.300	682.408	47,324 705 451	41,524
Operating Expenses Purchased Water Operating Expenses Depreciation Replacement Reserve	13,946 91,503 121,135	158% 54% 67%	36,029 141,024 202,701	2% 94% 40%	36,709 274,150 283,242	92% 42% 26%	70,497 388,935 358,016	62% -10% 57%	114,289 348,734 563,199	120,055 364,078 577,500	143,381 380,097 594,000	167,180 396,821 610,900	173,851 173,851 414,281 796,800
Total Expenses	226,584	68%	379,754	56%	594,101	38%	817,448	26%	0 1,026,222	2,000 1,063,633	7,250 1,124,728	7,250	7,250 1,392,182
Net Operating Income	(137,975)	43%	(197,153)	64%	(323,226)	24%	24% (401,819)	32%	(528,984)	(530,333)	(442,320)		(671,042)
Non-Operating Income and Expenses Interest on Investments Total Non-Operating Income & Expenses	1,115 1,115	-8% -8%	1,030 1,030	-74% -74%	269 269	-5%	255 255	37% 37%	349 349	300	350 350	400	450
<i>Add Non-Cash Expenses</i> Depreciation	121,135	67%	202,701	40%	283,242	26%	358,016	57%	563, 199	577.500	594.000	400 610 900	45U 796 800
Cash Available for Debt Service	(15,725)	-142%	6,578	-704%	(39,715)	10%	(43,548)	-179%	34,564	47,467	152,030	134.600	126.208
Debt Service (enter as positive # s) Existing Principal Existing Interest KIA (F2 09-05) Proposed KIA Loan	00		00		0 45,070		0 56,427		557,057 10,387 6,737	5,500 9,188 14,593	5,500 9,044 14,574	6,000 8,899 14,574	6,000 8,742 14,574
Total Debt Service	0		0		45,070		56,427		574,181	2,500 31,781	16,250 45,368	93,910 123,383	93,910 123,226
Income After Debt Service	(15,725)		6,578		(84,785)		(99,975)		(539,617)	15,686	106,662	11,217	2,982
Debt Coverage Ratio	n/a		n/a		(0.88)		(0.77)		0.06	1.49	3.35	1.09	1.02

78

7/5/2011 11:53 AM, Cashflow K.\2 Loan Team/Fund F Loans/F11-07 Breathitt County Water District/Executive Summary, Breathitt County Water District (F11-07) - Board Version 6-11

BREATHITT COUNTY WATER DISTRICT BALANCE SHEETS (DECEMBER)

BREATHIT COUNTY WATER DISTRICT BALANCE SHEETS (DECEMBER)				المعالم ما	Preliminary	Project
ASSETS	Audited <u>2006</u>	Audited <u>2007</u>	Audited 2008	Audited 2009	<u>2010</u>	Completion
Current Assets			40 700	119,763	19,287	19,287
Cash	38,002	32,149	40,788	26,998	48,881	46,740
Accounts Receivable	5,905	15,236	20,945	20,990	6,799	7,500
Inventory					151,460	25,000
Other Current Assets (Construction Receivables)			04 700	146,761	226,427	98,527
Total Current Assets	43,907	47,385	61,733	140,701	220,421	00,021
Restricted Assets	40.000	43,005	14,475	89,114	163	10,000
Restricted for Construction	40,332 0	43,005	0	369.833	34,551	34,551
Debt Service Reserve Fund	0	Ū	Ū			3,000
KIA R&M Fund	40,332	43,005	14,475	458,947	34,714	47,551
Total Restricted Assets	40,002	10,000	,			
Utility Plant	6,375,640	9,329,214	13,881,781	19,863,658	23,537,096	26,312,096
Land, System, Building and Equipment Less Accumulated Depreciation ()	(184,865)	(387,566)	(670,809)	(1,028,825)	(1,592,024)	(2,763,524)
Net Fixed Assets	6,190,775	8,941,648	13,210,972	18,834,833	21,945,072	23,548,572
Total Assets	6,275,014	9,032,038	13,287,180	19,440,541	22,206,213	23,694,650
LIABILITIES						
Current Liabilities						00.000
Accounts Payable	1,195	5,622	9,919	20,798	16.884	20,900
Accrued Liabilities	1,184	2,226	478	838	10,012	15,000 25,000
Construction Related Payables	0	0	0	0	151,460 28,804	17,649
Current Portion Long Term Debt	0	0	0	500,000	20,004	73,782
Current Portion Proposed KIA Loan			10.007	504 636	207,160	152,331
Total Current Liabilities	2,379	7,848	10,397	521,636	207,100	102,003
Long Term Liabilities	•	0	1,267,334	350,000	590,103	554,926
Long Term Debt	0	0	1,207,334	000,000	000,000	1,551,218
KIA - Proposed Loan		0	1,267,334	350,000	590,103	2,106,144
Total Long Term Liabilities	0	0	1,207,334			
Total Liabilities	2,379	7,848	1,277,731	871,636	797,263	2,258,475
Retained Earnings:				47.004.022	21,326,165	21,341,325
Invested in Capital Assets Net of Related Debt	6,190,775	8,941,648	11,943,638	17,984,833 89,114	21,320,103	10,000
Restricted for Construction	40,332	43,005	14,475 0	369,833	34,551	34,551
Restricted for Debt Service	0	0 0	0	003,008	0	3,000
Restricted for R&M	0 41,528	39,537	51,336	125,125	48,071	47,300
Unrestricted Total Retained Earnings	6,272,635	9,024,190	12,009,449	18,568,905	21,408,950	21,436,176
Total Liabilities and Equities	6,275,014	9,032,038	13,287,180	19,440,541	22,206,213	23,694,651
•			,, , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Balance Sheet Analysis	18.46	6.04	5.94	0.28	1.09	0.65
Current Ratio	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.05		
Debt to Equity	41,528	39,537	51,336	(374,875)		
Working Capital Percent of Total Assets in Working Capital	0.66%	0.44%	0.39%	-1.93%		
Days Sales in Accounts Receivable	24.3	30.5	28.2	23.7	35.9	25.0
Days Gales in Accounts Receivable						

Α Т Т Α С Н Μ Ε Ν Т

I.B.9.

A RESOLUTION OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS OF THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY TO REIMBURSE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES MADE BY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES PURSUANT TO LOANS MADE BY THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY TO SUCH GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (the "Authority") has heretofore approved the undertaking of the projects described in further detail in Exhibit A (collectively, the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Authority anticipates making loans to governmental agencies pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 224A of the Kentucky Revised Statutes (the "Act") for the Project and the governmental agencies may be expected to pay and/or incur costs with respect to the Project ("Prior Capital Expenditures") on or after the date of this resolution and prior to the issuance of the obligations (the "Obligations") which will finance the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Directors hereby approves the use of the Obligations to finance the costs of the Project in the maximum principal amount set forth in <u>Exhibit A</u> plus costs of issuance related thereto and amounts required to fund a debt service reserve, if any, and declares its intent that any Prior Capital Expenditures made by the governmental agencies pursuant to loans made by the Authority will be made in anticipation of the issuance of such Obligations to reimburse said Prior Capital Expenditures. This declaration is made pursuant to Treas. Reg. \ni 1.150-2.

Section 2. The Executive Director and Treasurer of the Authority are hereby authorized and directed to reimburse, not later than eighteen months after the later of (i) the date of the expenditure or (ii) the date the item was placed in service, but in any event not later than three years after the original expenditure, only those Prior Capital Expenditures made with respect to assets having a reasonably expected economic life of at least one year, and in addition, eligible preliminary expenditures paid and incurred prior to commencement of the Project.

Section 3. The Authority finds and determines that, consistent with the Authority's budgetary and financial circumstances, it does not have funds currently available and does not expect to have funds available in the future that may be allocated on a long-term basis, other than the proceeds of the Obligations, to finance the Prior Capital Expenditures.

Section 4. The Authority hereby directs the Executive Director, Secretary, and Treasurer to take the following action at the time the Prior Capital Expenditures are reimbursed from proceeds of the Obligations: (i) evidence the reimbursement on the books and records maintained with respect to the Obligations, and (ii) identify either the actual prior expenditure to be reimbursed or, in the case of reimbursement of a fund or account, the fund or account from which the expenditure was paid.

Section 5. The books, records and proceedings of the Authority with respect to this resolution shall be made reasonably available by the Authority for its inspection by the general public at the Authority's administrative office every business day during normal business hours commencing no later than 30 days after the passage of this resolution. Said books, record and proceedings of the Authority with respect to this resolution will continue to be reasonably available to the general public until the date of issuance of the Obligations.

Section 6. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption.

Passed and adopted this 7th day of July, 2011.

TONY WILDER, CHAIR KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

ATTEST:

SANDY WILLIAMS, SECRETARY KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

REVIEWED BY:

PECK, SHAFFER & WILLIAMS LLP LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY

EXHIBIT A

APPLICANT	FUND	AMOUNT
Oldham County Environmental	А	\$ 2,400,000
Authority Oldham County Environmental	А	\$ 400,000
Authority City of Bardstown (≤10% Increase) Sanitation District No. 1 (≤10% Increase)	A) A	\$ 1,980,000 \$ 4,920,300
City of Princeton (≤10% Increase)	В	\$ 430,645
Breathitt County Water District	F	\$ 2,500,000

FUNDS A, A1, A2, B, B1, C, F, F2

]
	FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER R	AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 2000:	
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1989:	\$33,237,382	FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$17,081,460
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$5,873,998	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,416,292
UNUSED TITLE II GRANT FUNDS	\$7,822.276	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$683,258)
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	(\$1,564,455)	NET 00 SRF FUNDS	\$19,814,494
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	\$45,369,201		• •• • ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
NET 89 SRF FUNDS	\$45,369,201	AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 2001:	
		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$16,929,594
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1990:	A17 700 / 40	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,385,919
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$17,798,449 \$3,559.690	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$677,184)
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)		NET 01 SRF FUNDS	\$19,638,329
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$711,938)		\$10,000,0m0
NET 90 SRF FUNDS	\$20,646,201	AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 2002:	
		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$16,967,313
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1991:	404 050 000	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,393,463
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$31,258,282	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$678,692)
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$6,251,656	NET 02 SRF FUNDS	\$19,682,084
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$1,250,331)	NET UZ SAF FUNDS	\$15,002,004
NET 91 SRF FUNDS	\$36,259,607	AVAN ADI E FUNDO FEVOROD.	
		AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2003:	\$16,857,027
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1992:		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$25,429,111	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,371,405
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$5,085,822	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$674,281)
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$1,017,164)	NET 03 SRF FUNDS	\$19,554,151
NET 92 SRF FUNDS	\$29,497,769		
		AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2004:	
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1993:		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$16,867,224
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$24,462,603	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,373,445
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$4,892,521	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$674,688)
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$978,504)	NET 04 SRF FUNDS	\$19,565,981
NET 93 SRF FUNDS	\$28,376,620		
NET 93 SHEFUNDS	\$20,0,0,020	AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2005:	
		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$13,714,668
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1994:	\$15,178,779	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$2,742,934
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS		ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$548,586)
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,035,756	NET 05 SRF FUNDS	\$15,909,016
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$607,151)	NET US SHITTONDS	\$10,000,010
NET 94 SRF FUNDS	\$17,607,384	AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2006:	
		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$11,145,123
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1995:			\$2,229,025
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$15,676,353	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	(\$445,804)
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,135,271	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	\$12,928,344
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$627,054)	NET 06 SRF FUNDS	\$12,920,344
NET 95 SRF FUNDS	\$18,184,570		
		AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2007:	#10 CO1 005
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1996:		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$13,621,905
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$25,678,432	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$2,724,381
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$5,135,686	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$544,876)
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$1,027,137)	NET 07 SRF FUNDS	\$15,801,410
NET 96 SRF FUNDS	\$29,786,981		
		AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2008:	
ADDITIONAL FUNDS FFY 1997:		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$8,648,100
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$7,912,200	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$1,729,620
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$1,582,440	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$345,924)
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$316,488)	NET 08 SRF FUNDS	\$10,031,796
NET ADD'L 97 SRF FUNDS	\$9.178.152		
NET ADDE 37 ONET UNDO	\$01.701.0E	AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2009:	
AVAILADI E EUNDO EEV 1000-		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$8,648,100
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1998:	\$17,138,187	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$1,729,620
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$17,138,187 \$3,427,637	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$345,924)
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)		NET 09 SRF FUNDS	\$10,031,796
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$685,527)	NET 09 SHI I DINDS	φ10,001 <u>1</u> ,00
NET 98 SRF FUNDS	\$19,880,297	AVAILADI E FUNDO EEVOOTO.	
		AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY2010:	¢05 000 000
AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 1999:		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$25,932,000
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$17,139,573	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$5,186,400
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,427,914	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$1,037,280)
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$685,582)	NET 09 SRF FUNDS	\$30,081,120
NET 98 SRF FUNDS	\$19,881,905		
	· · ·	NET FY 1989-2010 SRF FUNDS	\$467,707,208
		CURRENT REVOLVING/SURPLUS FUND BAI	ANCI \$325,355,671
		2010 A - Leverage - Balance	\$261
		Balance Available for Loan	\$13,922,065

PROJECTS APPROVED IN SPY 1992: MANCHESTER, CITY OF 2 MARCHESTER, CITY OF		TOTAL PROJEC	T KIA LOAN	DATE	
1 PREVELLE_OTY OP (1) 33.047 285 \$3.199,217 (c) 05-03.89 (AMD 11-1990) 8 + 691) 2 JENKINS CITY OF TOTALS \$2.273,520 \$2.20119 (c) 5-03.89 (AMD 11-1990) 8 + 691) 2 JENKINS CITY OF TOTALS \$2.273,520 \$2.20119 (c) \$0.4749 4 MT WESHINGTON (T) OF \$1.957,322 \$900,187 (c) \$0.749 (AMD 51-590) 5 MAYFELD (T) OF (1) \$3.341,300 \$51.857,322 \$900,187 (c) \$0.749 6 MAYFELD (T) OF (1) \$3.341,300 \$51.957,321 \$900,197 (c) \$0.749 7 JACKSON, (T) YOF (1) \$3.341,300 \$51.957,321 \$90.979 (c) \$1.243,89 8 SOUTH SOME, (T) YOF (1) \$3.830,069 \$2.282,800 (c) \$81.660 11 PROVECKS, (T) YOF (1) \$3.830,069 \$2.282,800 (c) \$81.660 12 CAMTON, (T) OF (1) \$3.830,069 \$2.282,800 (c) \$81.660 11 PROVECKS, (T) YOF (1) \$3.880,069 \$2.283,800 \$1.660 13 PROVECKS, (T)	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SEV 1080.	AMOUNT			ED
Instructed life UP OF 34.451.803 32.209.119 (c) 0.00.0399 (AMD 11:900.5.16.9) 2 PEROLECTS APPROVED IN SET 1990: 31.022.398 \$7.083.119 5.03.99 4.07.99 4.07.99 5.03.99 4 MT. WASHINGTON, CITY OF 31.97.722 \$900.197 0 8.07.89 4.07.99 4.00.0.117.90 5.03.99 4.00.0.117.90 4.00.0.117	1 PIKEVILLE, CITY OF (1)	\$2.047.00	-		
Determine SUZ24307 SL84/300 SUZ34307 SL84/300 SUZ34307 PENDECTS APPROVED IN SEY 1990. TOTALS SUZ24307 SUR41161 B07-89 (AND B16-60) MAYFELD, CITY OF SUB18/7-322 State 10 B07-89 (AND B16-60) DAWNELD, CITY OF SUB18/7-322 State 10 B07-89 (AND B16-60) SOUTH SIGHT OF (1) SU241350 SUB18/7-304 Burnel min Penyle SOUTH SIGHT OF (1) SU241350 SUB18/7-304 Burnel min Penyle SUB18/7-104 SUB18/7-304 SUB18/7-304 Burnel min Penyle SUB18/7-104 SUB18/7-164 SUB18/7-304 Burnel min Penyle SUB18/7-104 SUB18/7-164 SUB18/7-164 SUB18/7-164 SUB18/7-164 BOVD COUNTY PEGAL COUNT OF	2 MANCHESTER, CITY OF				
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SPY 1990: \$10,223,396 \$7,083,116 \$10,273,396 4 MT WASHINGTON, CITY OF \$10,273,396 \$30,0187 \$60,807,99 \$30,749 \$31,739 \$30,749 \$31,739 \$31,739 \$31,739 \$31,739 \$31,739 \$31,739 \$31		\$2,724,30	+mim00,110		
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SPT 1902: 4 MAXPRELD, CITY OF \$1.957.322 \$500.17 \$8.07.89 MAD B 16400 5 MAXPRELD, CITY OF \$3.241.350 \$3418.055 \$6.07.89 MAD B 16400 7 JACKSON, CITY OF \$3.241.350 \$3418.055 \$6.07.89 MAD B 16400 8 SOUTH SHORE, CITY OF \$3.241.350 \$3418.055 \$6.07.89 MAD B 16400 9 JACKSON, CITY OF (1) \$3.241.350 \$5.389.996 \$12.1349 MAD B 16400 9 JEROLECTS APPROVED IN SPT 1901 \$761.374 \$567.388 \$60.01 \$8-16.90 9 JEROLECTS CITY OF (2) \$761.374 \$567.388 \$61.690 \$11.19.90 10 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (2) \$264.163 \$327.894 \$1.19.90 \$1.19.90 11 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (2) \$37.89.000 \$22.237.352 \$1.90.394 \$1.90.394 12 CAMPTOVED IN SPT 1992 \$37.89.000 \$22.37.352 \$1.90.394 \$1.90.394 13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF \$37.89.000 \$22.37.322 <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(C) 5-03-89</td> <td></td>	TOTAL			(C) 5-03-89	
• M. WASHRIDN, CITY OF \$1.957.922 \$509.187 (c) \$9.719 \$3.435.233 (c) \$9.739 (c)	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SEV 1000.				
s MAYFELD.CITY OF \$34,057,754 \$30,0187 (c) \$0,769 (AMD, 516.60) s DAXANDALLE, CITY OF \$32,41,350 (c) \$0,769 (AMD, 516.60) s SOLTON OF (f) \$32,41,350 (c) \$0,749 (AMD, 516.60) s SOLTON OF (f) \$32,41,350 \$1,762,006 \$2,789 (AMD, 620.81) 9 LEWISBURG, CITY OF (f) \$12,822,661 \$22,828,800 \$16.500 \$16.500 10 PROVEDING, CITY OF (f) \$32,839,665 \$22,828,800 \$16.500 \$16.900 11 PROVEDING, CITY OF (f) \$30,851,50 \$22,828,800 \$16.800 \$16.900 12 CAMPTON, CITY OF (f) \$30,850,000 \$52,231,581 \$16.900 \$16.900 13 BOULING, BREEN, CITY OF (f) \$31,850,000 \$52,237,342 \$16.900 \$22,828,910 \$16.900 14 BOULING, CITY OF (f) \$33,789,000 \$52,237,342 \$16.766 \$10.4000 \$11.12,820 15 BOULING, CITY OF (f) \$33,789,000 \$52,237,342 \$16.766 \$10.74,110 \$10.800,110.800 16 LOM	4 MI. WASHINGTON, CITY OF	\$1.057.000			
J. J.CCKSOL CHT VC /r B J.CCKS	5 MAYFIELD, CITY OF		- φουσ, τογ (
B SOUTH SHORE, CITY OF SU241350 Status Status Assumed from PenyWise (AMD 1:12:82) Assumed from PenyWise (AMD 1:12:82) Assumed from PenyWise (AMD 1:12:82) 9 LEWISSURG, CITY OF (PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (1) S12.822.85 S20.3175 (c) 12:13:89 (AMD 1:12:82) 9 LEWISSURG, CITY OF (1) S12.822.85 S20.3175 (c) 8-16:80 11 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (1) S12.827.884 (c) 8-16:80 12 CAMPTON, CITY OF (2) S12.877.684 (c) 8-16:80 13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1) S14.876.864 (c) 11:19:90 14 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (2) S2.877.684 (c) 3-16:80 15 ELIZABETHTOWN, CITY OF S12.872.850 (c) 3-28:81 16 LONDON, CITY OF S33.881.000 S2.277.842 (c) 7-24:91 17 TUTLLS S33.864.447 S31.883.960 6-20:91 17 CALLS S33.861.001 S2.277.842 (c) 7-24:91 16 LONDON (TY OF S1.277.121 S685.202 (c) 9-16:91 17 WILLIANSBURG, CITY OF	DANVILLE, CITY OF		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		(AMD. 8-16-90)
TOTALS 32.982.085 33.08.066 T2-13.99 (MD 16.2017) PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1991: 5751.974 53.08.066 8-16-90 8-16-90 10 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (1) 53.07.016 8-16-90 8-16-90 11 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (2) 532.00.06 53.07.011 8-16-90 12 GAMPTION, CITY OF (2) 532.00.08 52.05.163 60.16-90 13 GAMPTION, CITY OF (2) 53.06.00 53.22.51.63 (1) 11-19-90 14 SOUND AND CITY OF (1) 53.06.00 53.22.51.63 (1) 11-19-90 15 BLUARETHITON, CITY OF (1) 53.06.00 53.22.51.63 (1) 11-19-90 16 LONDON, CITY OF (1) 53.06.00 53.23.73.42 (1) 7.2.461 16 LONDON, CITY OF 53.07.93.00 53.23.73.42 (1) 7.2.461 19 MOLLAGONTY FISCAL COUPT 53.79.900 53.17.75.11.75.17.75.17.75.17.75.11.75.11.	8 SOUTH SHORE CITY OF			· ==; / (4//)	A REALING HOULD FELLYVINE
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SEY 1991: 9 LEWISBURG, CITY OF 9 1/2.802.813 588.886 (c) 8-16-80 9 LEWISBURG, CITY OF (1) \$3.803.825 \$2.833.880 (c) 8-16-80 Interval 10 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (2) \$3.803.825 \$2.833.880 (c) 8-16-80 Interval 11 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (2) \$3.803.825 \$2.833.880 (c) 8-16-80 Interval 12 CAMPTON, CITY OF (2) \$3.803.825 \$2.833.880 (c) 8-16-80 Interval 13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (2) \$3.666.175 \$5.226.1756 11.19.900 Interval 14 ELZXAM State 1.833 \$5.700.71 3.36.93 Interval 3.36.93 15 ELXING COUNTY FISCAL COUNT \$3.789.000 \$52.237.342 (c) 7.24-91 Interval Interval 3.36.93 19 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COUNT \$1.024.110 \$33.369.00 \$3.87.735 (c) Interval <			\$2,031,315 (0		
9 LEWISBURG, CITY OF 5751.974 5867.388 (c) 8-16-90 10 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (1) \$380.082 \$280.089 \$280.089 \$61.690 11 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (2) \$380.082 \$380.087 \$16.690 \$61.690 13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1) \$39.696.015 \$537.641 (c) \$11.19.900 (AMD 11.19.90) 14 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1) \$39.61.000 \$32.28.18 (c) 13.49.1 15 ELZABETHTOW, RUTY OF (1) \$39.651.000 \$32.29.51.60 (c) 32.89.61 16 PINEVILLE, CITY OF \$31.69.900 \$52.237.342 (c) 7.24.91 16 LONDON, CITY OF \$33.664.477 \$331.841.950 (c) 32.89.61 17 BUTLASSEND (C) CUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3.79.9000 \$52.237.342 (c) 7.24.91 20 WILLAMSBURG, CITY OF \$1.024.110 \$31.88.590 (c) 9.16.91 21 BOND COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3.799.000 \$52.237.342 (c) 7.24.91 20 WILLAMSBURG, CITY OF \$1.024.110 \$31.789.196 (c) 9.16.91 <		\$12,882,851	\$8,388,968		(, and , 6 20-31)
10 PROVIDENCE_CITY OF (1) 3 201 974 3 567 388 (c) 8 16-80 11 PROVIDENCE_CITY OF (2) 53 305 255 3 228 286 (c) 8 16-80 12 CAMPTON_CITY OF (2) 53 305 025 3 282 066 (c) 8 16-80 13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1) 59 450 163 58 570 011 (c) 11-19-80 14 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1) 59 451 100 3 228 314 (c) 13-19 1 15 ELZARETHTOWN, CITY OF (1) 53 169 500 52 228 314 (c) 3 23.891 (c) 3 23.891 (c) 16 LONDON, CITY OF (1) 53 169 500 52 228 3134 (c) 3 23.891 (c) 3 23.891 (c) 16 LONDON, CITY OF (1) 53 169 500 52 228 3134 (c) 3 23.891 (c) 3 23.891 (c) 17 BOYD COLMTY FISCAL COURT 53 780 000 52 229 37.892 (c) 7 24-91 (c) 3 33.844 (c) 9 16-91 (c) 19 BOYD COLMTY FISCAL COURT 53 783 000 53 22.897 7302 (c) 9 16-91 (c) (c) 3 23.891 (c)<	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1991:				
11 PROVIDENCE (CTY OF 12) \$3.800.862 \$2.828.860 (c) 8.16.90 12 CAMPTON, (CTY OF \$860.061 \$367.641 (c) 8.16.90 13 BOWLING GREEN, (CTY OF (1) \$3.800.862 \$32.261.86 (c) 1.1.19.90 14 BOWLING GREEN, (CTY OF (1) \$3.800.862 \$3.228.158 (c) 1.1.19.90 15 ELIZABETHTOWN, (CTY OF \$3.300.8786 \$2.283.544 (c) .3.28.91 16 PIEVLIEL (CTY OF (1) \$3.378.900 \$2.237.342 (c) .3.28.91 16 IDAND COULTY OF \$3.789.000 \$2.237.342 (c) .3.461 17 BUTLER, (CTY OF \$3.789.000 \$2.237.342 (c) .3.461 17 DUID COULTY OF \$3.789.000 \$2.237.342 (c) .3.461 18 LONDON, CTY OF \$3.789.000 \$2.237.342 (c) .3.461 19 GOTO COULTY OF \$3.177.312 \$68.289 (c) .4.61 20 GEORETOWN, CTY OF \$3.078.000 \$2.237.342 (c) .4.631 21 GATEMENDURY OF	10 PROVIDENCE CITY OF	\$751,974	\$667.388 (c	.) 8-16-00	
12 CAMPTON, CITY OF 3820,069 5820,069 (c) 8-16-90 13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1) 59,459,163 55,764 (1) (c) 8-16-90 14 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (2) 52,616,756 52,616,756 (c) 11,19-90 15 ELIZABETHTOWN, CITY OF 53,016,930 52,223,544 (c) 32,8-91 (AMD, 11-12,92,8,12-1-93) 16 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (1) 53,016,930 52,223,544 (c) 32,8-91 (AMD, 11-12,92,8,12-1-93) 17 BUTLER, OLTY OF 51,034,004 53,039,00 51,13,744 (c) 9-16-91 18 LONDON, CITY OF 53,789,000 52,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 (AMD, 11-12,92,8,12-1-93) 20 WILLAMSBURG, CITY OF 51,024,110 52,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 (AMD, 11-12,92,12-1-93) 21 GREEND, CITY OF 51,024,110 52,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 (AMD, 11-12,92,12-1-93) 23 GEORGETOWN, CITY OF 51,03,200 54,113,203 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 11-12,92,11,12-1,12-1,12-1,12-1,12-1,12-	11 PROVIDENCE, CITY OF (1)		\$2,828,680 (c	3-16-90	
Image Control	12 CAMPTON, CITY OF		\$820,069 (c) 8-16-90	
Image: Instruction Image:	13 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (1)		\$367,641 (c		(AMD. 11-19-90)
The PRINCULE (CITY OP \$9,8,61,000 \$22,22,168 Constrained Constrained 17 BUTLER, CITY OP \$33,169,900 \$22,23,142 (c) \$32,8,91 18 LONDON, CITY OP \$33,169,900 \$22,23,142 (c) \$32,8,91 19 BOTLER, CITY OP \$33,169,900 \$22,23,142 (c) 7,24,91 19 BOND, CONTY OP \$3,789,000 \$22,23,142 (c) 7,24,91 19 BOND, CONTY OP \$3,789,000 \$22,23,142 (c) 7,24,91 20 GEORENUE CITY OP \$453,3800 \$442,739 (c) 9,16-91 (AMD, 11-12,92) 20 GEORENUE NUTY OP \$5,091,737,12 \$888,280 (c) 9,16-91 (AMD, 11-12,92) 21 GEORENUE NUTY OP \$5,2091,294 \$1,802,200 (c) 110,1-91 (AMD, 11-12,92) 22 COMBERLIAND, CITY OP \$5,161,272 \$1,983,365 \$17,773,16 \$1,922,366,07 110,1-91 (AMD, 11-12,92) 20 MURBERLIAND, CITY OP \$1,198,365 \$17,73,16	14 BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF (2)		\$2,570,011 (C		
17 BUTLER, CITY OF \$3,169,900 \$22,35,44 (c) 3,28,64 (AMD, 11-12,82,8,12-1-93) 18 LONDON, CITY OF TOTALS \$373,869,000 \$22,237,342 (c) 7,24-91 9 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,789,000 \$22,237,342 (c) 7,24-91 19 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,789,000 \$22,237,342 (c) 7,24-91 20 WILLMSBURG, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$391,843,9950 (AMD, 1-23,92) 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$391,843 (c) 9-16-91 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$1,024,117 \$1,773,928 (c) 9-16-91 22 GEORGETOWN, CITY OF \$2,641,171 \$1,779,428 (c) 9-16-91 24 HICKMEDURG, CITY OF \$2,641,171 \$1,779,428 (c) 9-16-91 26 MIDDLEBURG, CITY OF \$2,641,171 \$1,779,428 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-12,92) 26 MIDDLEBURG, CITY OF \$2,641,171 \$1,779,428 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-12,92) 27 MURELWRICH, CITY OF \$3,128,690 (c) \$1,022,910 (c) (AMD, 9-10-92) (AMD, 9-10-92) (AMD, 9-10-92) (AMD, 9-10-92)	16 PINEVILLE CITY OF (1)	\$9,851,000	\$9,226,158 (c)	1-31-01	
18 LONDON, CITY OF S373850 5187 950 (c) 3-28-91 (MUC) H1/282 & [2/163) TOTALS 5338,564,447 531,883,950 6-20-91 PROJECTS APPROVED IN SPY 1982: 19 BOYO COUNTY FISCAL COURT 53,789,000 52,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 20 WILLIAMSBURG, CITY OF \$10,024,110 \$931,344 (c) 9-16-91 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$453,300 \$54,42,739 (c) 9-16-91 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$5,097,312 \$688,289 (c) 9-16-91 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,022,200 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 11-1242) 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,082,360 (c) 2-255,220 (10,01-91 (AMD, 513-82) (AMD, 513-82) 25 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,128,166 \$773,715 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 513-82)	17 BUTLER, CITY OF		\$2,293,544 (c)	3-28-91	(AMD 11-12 00 0 40 4 95
TOTALS 338,664,447 331,683,560 0-20.91 PBOJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1992: 9 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,789,000 \$2,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 20 WILLAMSBURG, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$303,134 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-23-93) 21 GREERNUP, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$307,390 \$642,739 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-23-93) 22 GEORGETOWN, CITY OF \$2,241,171 \$1,779,494 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-12-92) 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,241,171 \$1,779,494 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-12-92) 25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,241,171 \$1,779,494 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-12-92) 26 MIDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,249,123 \$1,808,050 \$173,156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 1-12-92) 27 CAMPBELLKENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$5,127,1690 \$573,156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 9-10-92) 29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$5,127,1690 \$13,28,1600 \$2-24-92 <td< td=""><td>18 LONDON, CITY OF</td><td></td><td>\$187,950 (c)</td><td>3-28-91</td><td>(AWD: 11-12-92 & 12-1-93)</td></td<>	18 LONDON, CITY OF		\$187,950 (c)	3-28-91	(AWD: 11-12-92 & 12-1-93)
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SEY 1992: 19 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,789,000 \$2,237,342 (c) 7,24.91 20 WILLIAMSBURG, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$931,344 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-23.93) 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$433,800 \$64,19,705 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-23.93) 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$2,261,177,112 \$685,289 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-1,12.92) 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,261,177,112 \$685,289 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 1-1,12.92) 25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,261,177,112 \$685,289 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD, 5-13.92) 26 MIDDLESBORD, CITY OF \$2,2641,771,310,751,67 11-01-91 (AMD, 5-13.92) (AMD, 5-13.92) 27 CAMPBELLKENTON TO S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$1,180,365 \$773,156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 9-10.92) 28 MURRAY, CITY OF \$1,386,700 \$804,177 (c) 12-04-91 29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,388,950 \$1,328,800 (c) </td <td>TOTALS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6-20-91</td> <td></td>	TOTALS			6-20-91	
19 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,789,000 \$2,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 20 WILLAMSBURG, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$931,344 (c) 9-16-91 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$433,800 \$42,739 (c) 9-16-91 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$60,09,300 \$6,119,705 (c) 9-16-91 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,280 (c) 11-01-91 25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,280 (c) 11-01-91 26 MIDESBORD, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,280 (c) 11-01-91 26 MUBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,193,365 \$773,715 (c) 11-01-91 27 CAMBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,193,365 \$577,176 (c) 11-01-91 28 MURERLAND, CITY OF \$1,386,300 \$1,328,600 (c) 12-04-91 30 WHEELWRIGHT, CITY OF \$1,193,1344 \$1,142,183 (c) 51-39-2 (ABD 9-10-92) 31 PADUCAH-MCCBACKAN JOINT SEWER AGENCY \$1,889,900 \$1,432,330 (c) 51-39-2 (ABD 9-27.94) 32 FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,211,239 \$2,478,237 (c) 5-13-92 (401,000,000		
19 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,789,000 \$2,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 20 WILLAMSBURG, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$931,344 (c) 9-16-91 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$433,800 \$42,739 (c) 9-16-91 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$60,09,300 \$6,119,705 (c) 9-16-91 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,280 (c) 11-01-91 25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,280 (c) 11-01-91 26 MIDESBORD, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,280 (c) 11-01-91 26 MUBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,193,365 \$773,715 (c) 11-01-91 27 CAMBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,193,365 \$577,176 (c) 11-01-91 28 MURERLAND, CITY OF \$1,386,300 \$1,328,600 (c) 12-04-91 30 WHEELWRIGHT, CITY OF \$1,193,1344 \$1,142,183 (c) 51-39-2 (ABD 9-10-92) 31 PADUCAH-MCCBACKAN JOINT SEWER AGENCY \$1,889,900 \$1,432,330 (c) 51-39-2 (ABD 9-27.94) 32 FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,211,239 \$2,478,237 (c) 5-13-92 (PROJECTS APPROVED IN SEV 1992				
20 WILLIAMSBURG, CITY OF 52,237,342 (c) 7-24-91 21 GREENUP, CITY OF \$1,024,110 \$52,37,342 (c) 9-16-91 22 GEORGETOWN, CITY OF \$6,009,300 \$6,117,7312 \$5665,289 (c) 9-16-91 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$2,041,177,131 \$5,779,494 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD 11-12-92) 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,041,177,131 \$5,779,494 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD 5-13-82) 26 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF \$2,041,171,151,779,494 (c) 9-16-91 (AMD 5-13-82) 27 CAMPBELL/KENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$1,196,365 \$773,156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD 5-13-82) 28 MURDLESBORO, CITY OF \$5,126,809 \$5,161,272 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD 9-10-82) 29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$5,1366,000 \$381,075 (c) 12-04-91 34 30 FLEMINGRE, CITY OF \$5,136,000 (c) \$2-25-92 (REAP -216-94) (ASD MED HOM MEDLAND) 31 PENDECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1993: \$1,128,149 (c) 51-3-92 (AMD -2+8-94) (ASD -2+9-94) 32 P	19 BOYD COUNTY FISCAL COURT	\$2 780 000	** • • • •		
Disclose State 2:39 State 2:39 State 2:39 State 3:30 20 GEDRETOWN, CITY OF \$6009,300 \$6119,705 Set 4:2739 (c) 9-16-91 23 STANFORD, CITY OF \$1,177,312 \$562,299 (c) 9-16-91 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,641,171 \$17,73,494 (c) 9-16-91 26 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,291,224 \$1,002,290 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD. 5-13-92) 27 CAMPBELLXENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$332,085 \$51,77,3156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD. 9-10-92) 29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$3,121,690 \$33,1675 (c) 12-04-91 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$1,328,000 \$34,675,000 \$580,417 (c) 12-04-91 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$32,211,937 \$2,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP, 2-16-94) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$32,211,937 \$2,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (AMD. 35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 </td <td>20 WILLIAMSBURG, CITY OF</td> <td></td> <td>\$2,237,342 (c)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	20 WILLIAMSBURG, CITY OF		\$2,237,342 (c)		
23 STANFORD, CITY OF 36,009,300 \$6,119,705 (c) 9-16-91 24 HICKMAN, CITY OF \$2,2641,171 \$1,773,494 (c) 9-16-91 25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,291,294 (c) 9-18-91 (AMD. 11-12-92) 26 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF \$2,291,294 (c) 9-18-91 (AMD. 51-362) 26 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF \$2,091,294 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD. 9-10-92) 27 CAMPBELL/KENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$1,196,365 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD. 9-10-92) 27 CAMPBELL/KENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$1,196,365 (c) 12-04-91 (AMD. 9-10-92) 28 MURRAY, CITY OF \$1,366,700 (c) \$260,417 (c) 12-04-91 30 WHEELWRICHT, CITY OF \$1,189,95 (c) \$1-392 (ASUMED FROM NEDLAND) 31 EMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,128,193 (c) \$1-392 (ASUMED FROM NEDLAND) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$32,171,937 (c) \$-13-92 (ABD. 9-27.94) 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 (c) \$-10-92 AMD. 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$2,276,200 \$785,200 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 9-1-94)		\$453,800	\$442,739 (c)		
24 HICKMAN, CITY OF 31,177,312 \$685,280 (c) 9-16-91 25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,290 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 11-12-92) 26 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,802,290 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 5-13-92) 27 CAMPBELL/KENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$1,196,365 \$773,156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 5-13-92) 29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$5,121,690 \$5,161,272 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 5-13-92) 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$1,366,700 \$580,417 (c) 12-04-91 (ASUMEP FROM REDUAND) 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$1,181,933,226,600 (c) 2-25.92 (REAP 2-16-94) 33 FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,181,94 \$1,142,183 (c) 5-13-92 (ASUMEP FROM REDUAND) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,2211,937 \$2,376,203 \$27,495,029 (AMD. 35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c	23 STANFORD, CITY OF		\$6,119,705 (c)		(AMD. 1-23-93)
26 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF \$2,091,294 \$1,800,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,10	24 HICKMAN, CITY OF		\$685,289 (c)		
20 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF \$832,085 \$175,785 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 5-13-92) 27 CAMPBELL/KENTON S.D. (MELBOURNE) \$1,190,365 \$777,785 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 9-10-92) 28 MURRAY, CITY OF \$51,126,90 \$55,161,272 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 9-10-92) 20 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$1,366,700 \$580,177,166 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD, 9-10-92) 30 WHEELWRIGHT, CITY OF \$1,326,700 \$581,617,510 (c) 2-26-92 (REAP, 2-16-94) 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$4,071,300 \$1,328,600 (c) 2-25-92 (REAP, 2-16-94) 33 FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,182,194 \$1,142,183 (c) 5-13-92 (ASSUMED FROM REDUAND) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$32,11,937 \$2,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP, 9-27-94) PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1993: FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. SERSUPENVED IN SFY 1993: FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$3,045,968 \$29,023,341 </td <td>25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF</td> <td>Φ2,041,171 \$2,091,294</td> <td>\$1,779,494 (c)</td> <td></td> <td>(AMD. 11-12-92)</td>	25 BRANDENBURG, CITY OF	Φ2,041,171 \$2,091,294	\$1,779,494 (c)		(AMD. 11-12-92)
25 Over DURAY, CITY OF \$1,196,365 \$773,156 (c) 11-01-91 (AMD.9-10-92) 29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$5,121,690 \$5,121,727 (c) 12-04-91 30 WHERAY, CITY OF \$1,386,700 \$368,0417 (c) 12-04-91 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$1,398,950 \$1,495,501 (c) 5-13-92 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$1,128,194 \$1,142,183 (c) 5-13-92 32 PADUCANCEACKEN JOINT SEWER AGENCY \$1,899,950 \$1,495,501 (c) 5-13-92 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$32,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP.9-27-94) 35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 5-13-92 (AMD.9-10-92) 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$494,566 \$200,766 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD.9-10-92) 37<	26 MIDDLESBORO, CITY OF		\$1,802,290 (c) \$175,785 (c)		
29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF \$5,121,690 \$5,121,690 \$5,121,690 \$5,121,690 \$1,126,191 30 WHEELWRIGHT, CITY OF \$971,000 \$361,675 (c) 12-04-91 12-04-91 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$4,071,300 \$1,3495,501 (c) 5-25-92 (REAP 2-16-94) 32 PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JOINT SEWER AGENCY \$1,189,950 \$1,495,501 (c) 5-13-92 (ASUMED FROM REDUND) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$32,11,937 \$2,376,208 \$27,495,029 (REAP 9-27-94) PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1993: STRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD 36 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD 36 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$498,968 \$200,766 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD 3-31-96) 39 MARTIN, CITY OF (1) \$39,845,968 \$9,603,714 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD 9-1-94) 4 9 \$32,976,200 \$715,740,449 (c) 0-12-9-93 (AMD 10-6065) <	28 MURBAY, CITY OF	\$1,196,365	\$773,156 (c)		
30 WHEELWRIGHT, CITY OF 31,306,700 \$361,675 (c) 12-04-91 31 EMINENCE, CITY OF \$4,071,300 \$316,867.5 (c) 12-04-91 32 PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JOINT SEWER AGENCY \$1,489,950 \$1,495,501 (c) 5-13-92 (ASSUMED FROM REDLAND) 33 FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,128,194 \$1,142,183 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP. 2-16-94) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,211,937 \$2,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP. 9-27-94) PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1993: FRAINKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. GENER'S COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$1,089,866 \$2200,766 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD.3-31-95) GENER'S SUBSENTIN, CITY OF (f) \$2,976,200 \$785,200 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD.9-1-94) OLIVE HILL, CITY OF (1) \$39,445,968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD.9-1-94) OLIVE HILL, CITY OF (2) \$39,445,968 \$22,459,603 (c) 01-29-93 (AMD 10/605) (REAP.9.31-96)(AMD	29 CUMBERLAND, CITY OF		\$5,161,272 (c)		(AMD. 9-10-92)
12 PADUCAH-MCGRACKEN JOINT SEWER AGENCY \$4,071,300 \$1,328,600 (c) 22-25-92 (REAP, 2-16-94) 33 FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF \$1,889,950 \$1,148,163 (c) 5-13-92 (ASSUMED PROM REDLAND) 34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT \$3,211,937 \$2,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP, 9-27-94) 35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$3,6975,208 \$22,7495,029 (REAP, 9-27-94) (MD, 9-19-92) 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. 37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 1-12-92 (AMD, 3-31-95) 38 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$498,5968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD, 9-1-94) 39 MARTIN, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD, 9-1-94) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$1,6340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) 05-05-93 (REAP, 3-31-95) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP, 3-31-95) 43 HAVINE, CITY OF (2) \$3,722,365	30 WHEELWRIGHT, CITY OF		\$680,417 (c)	12-04-91	
1 1	31 EMINENCE, CITY OF		\$361,675 (c) \$1,328,600 (a)		
34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT TOTALS \$1,128,194 \$32,11,937 \$32,178,237 \$1,142,183 (c) \$-13-92 \$-13-92 (REAP.9-27-94) 35 FRANKIN, CITY OF (1) CORNITH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) \$2,076,208 \$27,7495,029 AMD. 36 CORNITH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) \$11,12.92 AMD. 37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF HOKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) \$11,12.92 AMD. 38 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) \$11,12.92 (AMD 3-31.95) 39 MARTIN, CITY OF (1) \$2,976,200 \$778,200 (c) \$178,200 (c) \$11,12.92 (AMD 3-31.95) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) \$12,17.92 (AMD 10/605) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) \$05-05-93 (REAP 3-31-96) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) \$08-31-93 (REAP 3-31-96) 43 BENHAM, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) \$08-31-93 (REAP 3-31-96) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF (2) \$940,	33 FLEMINGSBURG CITY OF		\$1,495,501 (c)		
TOTALS 336,975,208 \$2,378,237 (c) 5-13-92 (REAP. 9-27-94) 35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. 36 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. 37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$2,976,200 \$785,200 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 3-31-95) 38 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$2,976,200 \$785,200 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 3-31-95) 39 MARTIN, CITY OF (1) \$2,976,200 \$779,212 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD. 9-1.94) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF \$2,286,847 \$579,212 (c) 01-29-93 (AMD 10/6/05) 11 TOTALS \$36,291,393 \$29,923,350 05-05-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) PIN	34 WEBSTER COUNTY FISCAL COURT		\$1,142,183 (c)		(ASSUMED FROM REIDLAND)
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1993: 047,1450,075 35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 \$0,1790 \$10.92 AMD. 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$1,089,866 \$200,766 (c) 11.12.92 (AMD. 3.31.96) 37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$9,845,968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12.17.92 (AMD. 9.1.94) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF (1) \$9,845,968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12.17.92 (AMD. 9.1.94) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) 05-05-93 (REAP. 3.31.95)(AMD.12.18.98) TOTALS \$36,291,393 \$29,923,350 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$40,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3.31.95)(AMD.12.18.98) TOTALS \$36,291,393 \$29,923,350 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$1045,666 \$863,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3.31.95) AMD INFY 1994: PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$1045,61			\$2,378,237 (c)		(REAP. 9-27-94)
35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1) \$497,979 \$497,979 (c) 9-10-92 AMD. 36 CORINTH, CITY OF (1) \$2,976,200 \$785,200 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 3-31-95) 37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$9,845,968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD. 9-1-94) 39 MARTIN, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD. 9-1-94) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF \$3,245,120 \$2,426,003 (c) 01-29-93 (AMD 10/6/05) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) 05-05-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (C) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (C) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (C) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (C) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$1,946,		400,370,200	\$27,495,029		
36 CORINTH, CITY OF \$1437,979 \$\$497,979 \$\$(c) 9-10-92 AMD. 37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF \$1,099,866 \$200,766 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 3-31-95) 38 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$9,845,968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD. 9-1-94) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD. 10/6/05) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) 05-05-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 41 NICHOLASVILLE OUTY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$3,722,362 \$2,365,520 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY O	35 FRANKLIN, CITY OF (1)				
37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF \$1,089,866 \$220,766 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 3-31-95) 38 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1) \$2,976,200 \$778,200 (c) 11-12-92 (AMD. 3-31-95) 39 MARTIN, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD. 9-1-94) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF \$3,245,120 \$2,426,003 (c) 01-29-93 (AMD 10/6/05) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) 05-05-93 (REAP. 3-31-95)(AMD.12-18-98) PHOJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1994: PHOJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1994: PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95,040,012-18-98) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$2,883,563 </td <td>36 CORINTH, CITY OF</td> <td></td> <td>\$497,979 (c)</td> <td>9-10-92</td> <td>AMD</td>	36 CORINTH, CITY OF		\$497,979 (c)	9-10-92	AMD
101 101 \$9,845,968 \$9,693,741 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD 3-31-95) 40 0LIVE HILL, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) 12-17-92 (AMD 9-1-94) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$3,245,120 \$2,2426,003 (c) 01-29-93 (AMD 10/6/05) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$36,291,333 \$29,923,350 (EAP. 3-31-95) (AMD .12-18-98) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$1,916,066 \$865,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$3,722,362 \$2,365,520 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DIS	37 PLEASUREVILLE, CITY OF		\$200,766 (c)	11-12-92	
30 MARTIN, CITY OF \$2,295,847 \$579,212 (c) 12:17.92 (AMD. 9-1-94) 40 OLIVE HILL, CITY OF \$3,245,120 \$2,426,003 (c) 12:17.92 (AMD 10/6/05) 41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 (c) 05:05.93 (REAP. 3-31-95) PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1994: 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 05-11-94 <td>30 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1)</td> <td></td> <td>⊅/85,200 (c) \$9,693,741 (∧)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	30 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (1)		⊅/85,200 (c) \$9,693,741 (∧)		
41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES TOTALS \$32,45,120 \$16,340,413 \$15,740,449 \$36,291,393 \$29,923,350 \$2,426,003 (c) 05-05-93 (AMD 10/6/05) (REAP. 3-31-95)(AMD.12-18-96) PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1994: \$36,291,393 \$29,923,350 \$29,923,350 (REAP. 3-31-95)(AMD.12-18-96) 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$3,722,362 \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) (REAP. 5-30-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$885,720 \$646,748 (c) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP. 8-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 14-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-96) (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-96) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,000,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-96) (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-96)		\$2,295,847	\$579,212 (c)		(AMD, 9-1-94)
TOTALS $\frac{515,740,4449}{32,993,350}$ (C05-05-93(REAP. 3-31-95)(AMD.12-18-98)PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1994:42PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2)\$940,000\$418,542 (c)07-07-93(REAP. 3-31-95)43IRVINE, CITY OF\$2,883,563\$1,658,248 (c)08-31-93(REAP. 3-31-95 & AMD. 8-29-96)44BENHAM, CITY OF\$1,916,066\$853,191 (c)08-31-93(REAP. 3-31-95 & AMD. 8-29-96)45PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1)\$3,722,362\$2,365,520 (c)12-01-93(REAP. 3-31-95)46PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2)\$1,045,618\$597,712 (c)12-01-93(REAP. 5-30-95)47HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF\$8885,720\$646,748 (c)02-16-94; 4-7-11(REAP. 5-30-95)48LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1\$4,767,980\$3,624,359 (c)05-11-94(AMD.5-30-95) Assumed from Crofto49HAZARD, CITY OF\$7,746,540\$6,511,067 (c)05-11-94(AMD.5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-96)50SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1\$2,100,000\$1,402,737 (c)05-11-94(AMD.5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-96)51LAGRANGE, CITY OF\$898,515\$832,777 (c)06-29-94(AMD.7-17-95)52MADISONVILLE, CITY OF\$15,713,000\$15,552,994 (c)06-29-94(AMD. 7-17-95)53DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF\$846,480\$816,170 (c)06-29-94(ADD.7-17-95)54CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF\$5,678,656\$3,200,000 (s)06-29-94(BEAP.115,	41 NICHOLASVILLE PUBLIC UTILITIES		\$2,426,003 (c)		(AMD 10/6/05)
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1994: 42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$3,722,362 \$2,365,520 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$885,720 \$646,748 (c) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP. 8-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-96) (AMD 7-13-98) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-96) (AMD 7-13-98) 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD 7-13-96) 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c)					
42 PINEVILLE, CITY OF (2) \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 43 IRVINE, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95 & AMD. 8-29-96) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95 & AMD. 8-29-96) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$3,722,362 \$2,365,520 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 8-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-96)(AMD 7-13-96) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$15,713,000 </td <td></td> <td>400,231,030</td> <td>ə29,923,350</td> <td></td> <td></td>		400,231,030	ə29,923,350		
43 IRVINE, CITY OF \$940,000 \$418,542 (c) 07-07-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$3,722,362 \$2,265,520 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP. 8-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-98) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) <td< td=""><td>42 PINEVILLE CITY OF (2)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	42 PINEVILLE CITY OF (2)				
44 BENHAM, CITY OF \$2,883,563 \$1,658,248 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP. 3-31-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$885,720 \$646,748 (c) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP. 8-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95) 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-98) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$866,566 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95)	43 IRVINE, CITY OF		\$418,542 (c)	07-07-03	
45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1) \$1,916,066 \$853,191 (c) 08-31-93 (REAP, 3-31-95) 46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP, 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$885,720 \$646,748 (c) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP, 8-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95) 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-98) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$5678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (SEAP) 45 5 00000 000 <td>44 BENHAM, CITY OF</td> <td></td> <td>\$1,658,248 (c)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	44 BENHAM, CITY OF		\$1,658,248 (c)		
43 FRINCE TON, CITY OF (Part 2) \$1,045,618 \$597,712 (C) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$885,720 \$646,748 (C) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP. 5-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (C) 05-11-94 (REAP. 8-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (C) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-98) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (C) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (C) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (C) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (C) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$56,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (PEAB. 10.5.500,000)	45 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 1)		\$853,191 (c)	08-31-93	(REAP, 3-31-95)
47 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF \$885,720 \$646,748 (c) 12-01-93 (REAP. 5-30-95) 48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 02-16-94; 4-7-11 (REAP. 8-30-95) Assumed from Crofto 49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$7,746,540 \$6,6511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95)(AMD 7-13-98) 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$56,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (REAP. 10.5.000000)	46 PRINCETON, CITY OF (Part 2)		¢∠,365,520 (c) \$597.712 (∞)		(REAP. 5-30-95)
49 HAZARD, CITY OF \$4,767,980 \$3,624,359 (c) 05-10-94, 4-7-11 (REAP. 8-30-95) Assumed from Croftc 50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 (AMD 5-30-95) (AMD 7-13-98) 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (c) 06-29-94 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$56,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (REAP. 10.5 onument)	48 LEDBETTER SANITATION DISTRICT	\$885,720	\$646,748 (c)		(REAP. 5-30-95)
50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1 \$7,746,540 \$6,511,067 (c) 05-11-94 51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$2,100,000 \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-96) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-96) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$56,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (READ. 10.5.000000000000000000000000000000000	49 HAZARD, CITY OF	\$4,767,980	\$3,624,359 (c)		(HEAP, 8-30-95) Assumed from Croftc
51 LAGRANGE, CITY OF \$1,402,737 (c) 05-11-94 (Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1 52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF \$898,515 \$832,777 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552,994 (c) 06-29-94 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) 06-29-94 TOTALS	50 SHELBY COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #1		\$6,511,067 (c)		(AMD 7-13-98)
53 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF \$15,713,000 \$15,552.994 (c) 06-29-94 (AMD. 7-17-95) 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) 06-29-94 54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$55,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94	51 LAGHANGE, CITY OF		\$1,402,737 (c)	05-11-94	(Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1
54 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$846,480 \$816,170 (c) 06-29-94 55 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$5,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 56 CATLETTSBURG, CITY OF \$5,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94	52 MADISONVILLE, CITY OF		Φσ32,/// (c) \$15.552.994 (c)	06-29-94	(AMD, 7-17-95)
TOTALS \$5,678,656 \$3,200,000 (s) 06-29-94 (READ to 5 00000	54 CATLETTSBURG CITY OF	\$846,480	\$816,170 (c)		
		A 10 1 1 1 1 1	<u>\$3,200,000</u> (s)	0 0 00 0	(READ 10 5 OF () -
100,000	TOTALS	\$49,144,500	\$38,480,065		(HEAP. 12-5-95)(AMD.12-4-96)

		TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT	KIA AMOUNT		DATE APPROVED	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1995:					
55	HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$401,000	\$240,264	(c)	08-30-94; 4-7-11	Assumed from Pembroke
56	MT. STERLING, CITY OF	\$1,110,540	\$1,055,969	• •	08-30-94	
57	WEST LIBERTY, CITY OF	\$737,972	\$329,764		08-30-94 08-30- 9 4	(AMD, 2-26-96) (REAP, 2-26-96) (REAP,3-6-97)
58	MT. VERNON, CITY OF	\$998,650 \$790,000	\$652,162 \$388,332		08-30-94	(REAF, 2-20-90) (REAF, 3-0-97)
59 60	WORTHINGTON, CITY OF SOMERSET, CITY OF	\$2,759,200	\$2,631,067	1.1	08-30-94	
61	PERRY COUNTY S.D. #1	\$845,790	\$601,673	(c)	08-30-94	(AMD. 6/1/97)
62	BOYD COUNTY (PHASE II)	\$6,990,000	\$6,270,000		09-27-94	(AMD. 2-2-95)(AMD 12-10-99)
63	FRANKLIN, CITY OF (2)	\$2,600,080	\$2,572,240		09-27-94	
64	BRODHEAD, CITY OF	\$899,411 \$1,759,645	\$502,650 \$1,596,327		11-29-94 11-29-94	(AMD. 8-29-96)
65 66	NEWPORT, CITY OF PIKEVILLE, CITY OF (2)	\$3,466,413	\$2,134,015		11-29-94	(AMD: 8-30-95)
					11-29-94	(AMD.6-19-97)(ASSUMED FROM REIDLAND)
67		\$3,798,069 \$3,715,533	\$3,516,117 \$3,191,098		02-02-95	REIDEAND)
68 69	HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF (2) JENKINS, CITY OF (2)	\$719,755	\$719,755	• •	02-02-95	
70	RUSSELL, CITY OF	\$244,730	\$71,666		02-02-95	
71	LEBANON, CITY OF	\$6,491,336	\$5,805,838	(C)	03-31-95	
72	REGIONAL WATER RESOURCE AGENCY (O'BORO)	\$11,885,276	\$7,160,493	• •	03-31-95	
73	MOREHEAD, CITY OF (RCSD)	\$3,347,424	\$3,130,152		03-31-95 5-30-95	(Assumed from RCSD) (AMD, 3/16/99)
	GREENUP CO. ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION BOYD / GREENUP SANITATION DISTRICT #1	\$3,798,920 \$391,116	\$3,370,168 \$371,573		5-30-95	(AND: 0/10/00)
•••	PIKEVILLE, CITY OF	\$1,953,260	\$462,584	1.1	5-30-95; 3/2/06	Assumed from Mtn WD
10	TOTALS	\$59,704,120	\$46,773,907			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1996: NONE	\$ 0	\$0			
	TOTALS	\$0	\$0	-		
				•		
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1997:	* C 050 575	\$0 546 000	(0)	7-18-96	(REAP. 4-27-98)
		\$6,053,575 \$871,000	\$2,546,299 \$435,000	• •	7-18-96	(NEAL: 4-27-50)
78 79	HAWESVILLE, CITY OF EMINENCE, CITY OF	\$1,381,339	\$945,408	• •	7-18-96	
80	SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF	\$4,608,815	\$3,959,472		7-18-96	
81	ELKHORN CITY, CITY OF	\$926,726	\$926,726		7-18-96	(AMD 4-1-98)
	GRAYSON, CITY OF	\$3,635,970	\$3,424,567		7-18-96 7-18-96	(AMD. 9-1-98)
	MOREHEAD, CITY OF	\$2,357,176 \$719,287	\$781,381 \$681,983		8-29-96	
84 85	JACKSON, CITY OF LAWRENCE, COUNTY OF	\$1,566,000	\$868,219	1.1	3-6-97	(AMD 11/1/01)
	GREENUP CO. ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION	\$2,368,793	\$2,331,195	1.1	3-6-97	
	HARDIN CO WATER DISTRICT 1	\$4,846,450	\$4,809,652	(c)	6-19-97; 3/6/08	Assumed from Radcliff
88	WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (1)	\$1,082,400	\$980,781	_(c)	6-19-97	
		\$30,417,531	\$22,690,683	-		
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1998:		****	1-1	0 14 07 0/0/00	(A1) Approve fail the second
89	CRESTWOOD, CITY OF	\$351,516	\$351,516		8-14-97; 3/2/06 11-10-97	(A1) Assump. fell through (A1)
90	PARIS, CITY OF	\$723,734 \$2,279,000	\$402,667 \$1,074,308	• •	11-10-97	
	LEWISPORT, CITY OF MAYSVILLE, CITY OF	\$12,538,828	\$11,722,252		2-26-98	
	WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (2)	\$265,000	\$253,057	1.1	2-26-98	
	PRESTONSBURG, CITY OF	\$4,392,500	\$919,183		2-26-98	
-	CRESTWOOD, CITY OF	\$10,358,404	\$6,146,750	_(+)	4-27-98; 3/2/06	Assump. fell through
	TOTALS	\$30,908,982	\$20,869,733	-		
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 1999:					
96	PARIS, CITY OF	\$7,528,385	\$5, 9 43,287	(C)	9-1-98	
97	MIDWAY, CITY OF	\$282,250	\$141,125		10-13-98	(A1)
	MAYFIELD, CITY OF	\$1,401,962	\$1,160,472		10-13-98	(A1)
	WURTLAND, CITY OF	\$125,900	\$103,950 \$7,615,106		12-18-98 12-18-98	(A1) (AMD 01/8/04)
	DANVILLE, CITY OF LEWIS COUNTY SANITATION DIST NO. 1	\$7,712,132 \$2,924,800	\$620,001		04-27-99	AMD 10/7/99
101	LEWIS COUNTY SANITATION DIST NO. T TOTALS	\$19,975,429	\$15,583,941	(0)	04 27 00	
100	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2000:	\$1,100,372	\$279,000	(c)	09-01-99	
	MOREHEAD, CITY OF HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$889,478	\$834,891		09-01-99	AMD 6/8/00
	RWRA-OWENSBORO	\$26,264,248	\$25,963,257		12/10/99	AMD 6/2/05
	SOUTH SHORE, CITY OF	\$502,790	\$188,849		12/10/99	
	MOREHEAD, CITY OF	\$592,695	\$257,300	(c)	4/4/00	
	MIDWAY, CITY OF	\$4,337,596	\$702,589		6/6/2000	
	TOTALS	\$33,687,179	\$28,225,886	-		

	TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT	KIA	DATE	
		AMOUNT	APPROVED	
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2001:				
108 PIKEVILLE, CITY OF	A 4 000 (A)			
109 WURTLAND, CITY OF	\$1,205,484	\$1,116,574 (c)	07/18/2000; 5/16/01 9/6/01; 3/2/06	Assumed from Mtn WD
110 LEITCHFIELD, CITY OF	\$1,717,540	\$1,561,400 (c)	07/18/00	AMD 9/29/00
111 CLOVERPORT, CITY OF	\$6,146,196 \$57,500	\$5,355,560 (c)	8/29/00	
112 WHITE PLAINS, CITY OF	\$2,250,400	\$57,500 (c)	11/22/00	(A1)
113 MANCHESTER, CITY OF	\$5,060,376	\$669,700 (c) \$1,053,589 (c)	12/21/00	
114 ARLINGTON, CITY OF	\$677,333	\$1,053,589 (C) \$167,567 (C)	1/25/01	
τοτα		\$9,981,890	6/7/01	
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY2002:				
115 CARROLLTON, CITY OF	\$C 007 101			
116 MT. STERLING WAT & SEW COMMISSIO	\$6,997,101 N \$12,009,185	\$2,518,301 (c)	8/9/01	AMD 9/6/01
117 SHEPHERDSVILLE, CITY OF	+	\$11,936,783 (c)	2/7/02	
118 MARION, CITY OF	\$9,976,728 \$312,715	\$7,581,466 (c)	2/7/02	
119 GREENVILLE, CITY OF	\$2,242,571	\$312,715 (c)	3/7/02	(A1) AMD 1/9/03
120 LEBANON JUNCTION, CITY OF	\$2,898,419	\$2,223,347 (c)	3/7/02	
121 HARRODSBURG, CITY OF	\$4,418,916	\$1,813,385 (c)		AMD 7/1/05
122 WURTLAND, CITY OF	\$1,782,900	\$3,314,760 (c) \$1,782,872 (c)	5/2/02	
τοται			6/6/02	
	\$42,537,535	\$31,483,629		
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2003				
123 SALYERSVILLE, CITY OF 124 LONDON, CITY OF	\$7,662,832	\$2,035,486 (c)	8/15/02	
125 Paducah McCracken Joint Sewer Agency	\$15,262,069	\$9,864,503 (c)	10/3/02	AMD 9/19/03
126 Boyd & Greenup Co Sanitation Dist #1	\$1,497,900	\$1,382,413 (c)	2/6/03	
a a second co cantalion bist #1	\$849,503	\$694,844 (c)	5/1/03	
TOTAL	S \$25,272,304	\$13,977,246		
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2004				
127 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	£4.070.000			
128 MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT	\$4,979,920	\$4,682,517 (c)	8/7/03	
129 BENTON, CITY OF	\$729,158 \$1,823,194	\$184,695 (c)	9/4/03	
130 PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JSA	\$1,401,000	\$293,902 (c) \$1,229,425 (c)	12/4/03; 10/7/04	
131 MARION, CITY OF	\$1,775,704	\$515,296 (c)	12/4/03	
132 MOREHEAD, CITY OF 133 PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JSA	\$11,233,204	Am 1 A A 1 A 4	3/4/04 3/4/04; AMD 11/05	
134 JESSAMINE-SOUTH ELKHORN WAT DIST	\$1,040,275	\$908,403 (c)	3/4/04	
135 BEREA, CITY OF	\$298,200	\$196,861 (c)	41410.1	(1)
136 HOPKINSVILLE WATER ENV AUTH	\$9,011,045	\$5,000,000 (c)	4/1/04; 1/6/05	(1)
137 SOUTHERN WAT & SEW DIST	\$2,469,924	\$2,469,924	05/06/04; 3/2/06	
	\$3,750,000	\$68,037 (c)	05/06/04	
TOTALS	\$38,511,624	\$22,738,183		
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2005				
138 LAWRENCEBURG, CITY OF	*0.010 700	•		
139 LORETTO, CITY OF	\$8,319,703	\$7,889,974 (c)	7/1/04	
140 SANITATION DISTRICT #1	\$5,563,468 \$39,028,077	\$2,245,865 (c)	7/1/04; 9/14/05	
141 HODGENVILLE, CITY OF	\$2,639,104	\$32,401,491 (c)	8/5/04; 10/1/06	
142 CARROLLTON, CITY OF	\$10,870,148	\$968,539 (c) \$4,288,600 (c)	10/7/04	
143 WINCHESTER MUNICIPAL UTILITIES	\$23,212,000	\$21,000,000	5/5/05 6/23/05	
TOTALS	\$89,632,500	\$68,794,469	0,20,00	
PROJECTS APPROVED IN COM				
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2006 144 ALEXANDRIA, CITY OF				
145 PAINTSVILLE, CITY OF	\$2,535,785	\$426,220 (c)	7/7/05	
146 CYNTHIANA, CITY OF	\$954,415	\$429,715 (c)	10/6/05	
147 WESTERN MASON SD	\$10,902,650	\$5,671,622 (c)	12/1/05	
148 LEDBETTER WATER DISTRICT	\$4,644,000	\$802,000 (c)	12/1/05	
149 RICHMOND, CITY OF	\$3,326,078 \$2,152,200	\$2,326,078	3/2/06	
	\$2,152,200	\$2,152,200	6/1/06	
TOTALS	\$24,515,128	\$11,807,835		

		TOTAL PROJECT	KIA		DATE	
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT		APPROVED	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2007	\$9,405,000	\$7,196,879	(c)	7/13/06	
150	REGIONAL WAT RES AGENCY	\$1,925,000	\$307,952		8/3/06	
151	N MADISON CO SD	\$1,918,000	\$666,811		10/5/06	
152	BOYD CO SANITATION DIST #2 N MADISON CO SD	\$12,736,123	\$8,104,622	(c)	10/5/06; 12/7/06	
153	OHIO CO REG WASTEWATER DIST	\$15,940,000	\$6,607,612		11/2/06	
155	CITY OF NICHOLASVILLE	\$12,459,352	\$12,053,913		12/7/06; 4/1/09	
156	CITY OF BOWLING GREEN	\$5,155,190	\$5,130,100		12/7/06	
157	CITY OF BEAVER DAM	\$1,311,900	\$597,921		6/21/07 6/21/07	
158	CITY OF FALMOUTH	\$5,619,316	\$2,812,236	(0)	0/21/07	
	TOTAL	\$66,469,881	\$43,478,046			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2008					
	CITY OF BARLOW	\$169,500	\$168,954	(C)	9/6/07	(A1)
160	CITY OF WHITESBURG	\$7,474,000	\$1,040,900		9/6/07	
161	CITY OF OWENSBORO	\$24,531,634	\$22,900,578		10/4/07	
	CITY OF ALEXANDRIA	\$316,441	\$186,073	1.1	11/1/07 11/1/07	
	CITY OF MURRAY	\$1,469,778	\$1,227,741	(C)	11/1/07	
164	CITY OF RICHMOND	\$57,843,022	\$54,690,822 \$1,425,488	(c)	11/1/07	
	REGIONAL WATER RESOURCE AGENCY	\$1,709,375 \$4,146,560	\$1,600,000	(0)	2/7/08	
	Paducah McCracken JSA	\$54,235,960	\$51,735,960		4/3/08; 11/12/09; 2/3/11	
	Bowling Green, City of	\$83,147,554	\$70,000,000		4/3/08; 6/4/09	
	Sanitation District #1 Elizabethtown, City of	\$21,192,000	\$21,192,000		4/3/08	
	Nicholasville, City of	\$9,554,625	\$7,494,225		4/3/08	
171	Somerset, City of	\$14,897,000	\$8,477,690		4/3/08	
	Williamstown, City of	\$18,748,000	\$17,848,000		6/2/08	
	TOTAL	\$299,435,449	\$259,988,430	-		
				-		
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2009				9/4/08; withdrawn 5/11/1	٥
173	Hopkinsville, City of	\$4,916,100	\$17,208,000		1/8/09	•
	Lexington-Fayette Urban County Govt	\$18,354,000 \$14,323,800	\$10,000,000		4/9/09	
	Paducah McCracken JSA	\$15,000,000	\$9,500,000		5/7/09; 9/1/10	
	Mt Washington, City of Sanitation District #1	\$5,802,300	\$4,920,300		6/4/09; 2/3/11; 6/30/11	
	Sanitation District #1	\$7,062,000	\$5,459,988	(c)	6/4/09	
	Sanitation District #1	\$20,108,000	\$15,230,000		6/4/09	
	Sanitation District #1	\$6,968,000	\$5,768,000		6/4/09	
181	Sanitation District #1	\$3,287,000	\$2,470,000		6/4/09 6/4/09	
182	Sanitation District #1	\$12,065,000	\$9,900,000		0/4/09	
	TOTAL	\$107,886,200	\$80,456,288			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2010					
183	Kentucky Horse Park	\$1,950,000	\$1,000,000		8/6/09	
184	Maysville, City of	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000		9/3/09	
185	Prestonsburg, City of	\$272,000	\$272,000		10/1/09; 2/4/10 10/1/09; 2/4/10	
186	Prestonsburg, City of	\$786,000	\$786,000 \$0		10/1/09	withdrawn 10/12/10
187	Louisville & Jefferson Co. Metro. Sewer Dist.	\$4,847,095 \$7,500,000	\$7,500,000		11/12/09	
188	Hopkinsville, City of	\$550,000	\$550,000		11/12/09	
		\$2,475,000	\$1,149,256		12/3/09; 2/4/10	
		\$7,720,231	\$7,709,331		1/7/10; 2/3/11	
191	Ashland, City of Winchester, City of	\$37,000,000	\$36,600,000		2/4/10	
	Prestonsburg, City of	\$4,530,405	\$1,860,405		2/4/10	
194	Oldham Co Env Auth - SX21185017	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000		5/6/10; 7/7/11	
195	Oldham Co Env Auth - SX21185029	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000		5/6/10 5/6/10	
196	Oldham Co Env Auth - SX21185024	\$1,930,000	\$1,930,000		6/3/10; 10/14/10	
197	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	\$10,500,000	\$10,500,000 \$3,928,375		6/3/10; 10/14/10	
198	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	\$7,028,375	\$3, 320 ,373			
	Total	\$101,489,106	\$88,185,36	7		

		TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT	KIA AMOUNT	DATE APPROVED	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2011				
199	Oldham Env Auth - SX21185028	\$500,000	\$250,000		
200	Sanitation District #1 SX21117126	\$19,935,700		7/1/10	
202	Bardstown, City of SX21179019	\$1,980,000	\$17,146,500	7/1/10	
203	LaCenter, City of SX21007015	\$895,000	\$1,980,000	12/9/10; 6/10/11	
204	Livermore, City of SX21049008	\$2,105,000	\$895,000	12/9/10	
205	Morehead, City of SX21205034	\$3,000,000	\$1,105,000	12/9/10	
206	Regional Water Resource Agency	\$4,005,000	\$3,000,000	12/9/10	
207	Campton, City of	\$3,217,200	\$4,005,000	12/9/10	
208	Hardinsburg, City of	\$400,000	\$1,400,000	2/3/11	
209	Louisa, City of	. ,	\$400,000	2/3/11	
210	Flemingsburg, City of	\$2,058,580 \$8,000,000	\$1,400,000	2/3/11	
211	Louisville-Jefferson Co MSD	\$8,906,000	\$8,906,000	3/3/11	
212	Vanceburg, City of	\$3,400,000	\$3,400,000	3/3/11	
	Williamsburg, City of	\$3,400,000	\$2,000,000	3/3/11	
	Hopkinsville, City of	\$1,035,517	\$1,035,517	3/3/11	
	Hopkinsville, City of	\$500,000	\$500,000	4/7/11	
	Hopkinsville, City of	\$26,641,000	\$26,641,000	4/7/11	
	Pineville, City of	\$7,300,000	\$7,100,000	4/7/11	
	Sanitation District #1	\$500,000	\$500,000	5/5/11	
-	Sanitation District #1	\$17,225,660	\$14,188,155	5/5/11	
	Sanitation District #1	\$19,000,000	\$15,187,500	5/5/11	
	West Liberty, City of	\$9,572,000	\$7,778,000	5/5/11	
	West Elberty, Olly 0	\$3,937,950	\$3,937,950	5/5/11	
	Total	\$139,514,607	\$122,755,622		
1	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2012				
223	Didham Co Env Authority	\$400,000	\$400,000	7/7/11	
	Totai	\$400,000	\$400,000		
C	GRAND TOTALS - COMMITMENTS	\$1,311,654,198	\$1,031,425,634		

bold, italics - pending board approval

(c) - Final amounts; Loan has been closed

(s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement

(A1) - Planning and Design Loan

(+)-Const Amt; AA amnt represents Const + Plan & Design

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY FEDERALLY ASSISTED WASTEWATER REVOLVING LOAN FUND ---- (FUND A2) AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009

\$49,878,100 (\$1,995,124) \$47,882,976

AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 2009:	
FEDERAL ARRA GRANT FUNDS	
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	
NET ARRA FUNDS	

 $\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 9\\ 20\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 9\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 5\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 9\\ 40\\ 42\\ \end{array}$

43

]	TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT	KIA		FIRST USE FUNDS	DATE APPROVED
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2009:	AMOUNT	Amoon		1 01000	
	Sanitation District #1	\$395,000	\$395,000	(c)	\$395,000	6/4/09
		\$1,755,545	\$1,371,545	(0)	\$1,371,545	6/4/09; 1/7/10; 1/25/10
	Sanitation District #1	\$1,755,545	\$1,578,539		\$1,578,539	6/4/09
5	Sanitation District #1	\$834,241	\$834,241		\$834,241	6/4/09
-	Sanitation District #1	\$2,620,000	\$2,620,000	(α)	\$2,620,000	6/25/09
1	Lexington Fayette Urban Co Govt	\$2,620,000	\$237,000		\$237,000	6/25/09
	Lexington Fayette Urban Co Govt	•,			\$1,139,716	6/25/09
	Louisa, City of	\$1,150,000	\$1,139,716	(C)	\$2,193,000	6/25/09; 1/7/10
	Pineville, City of	\$2,193,000	\$2,193,000			6/25/09
•	Shepherdsville, City of	\$8,500,000	\$3,000,000		\$3,000,000	6/25/09
	TOTALS	\$19,563,325	\$13,369,041		\$13,369,041	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2010:				A 1 010 005	7/0/00-1/7/10
)	Calvert City, City of	\$1,083,128	\$1,046,065		\$1,046,065	7/9/09; 1/7/10
1	Princeton, City of	\$2,475,000	\$1,103,744		\$1,103,744	7/9/09; 2/4/10
2	Northern Madison Co Sanitation District	\$510,000	\$510,000	(C)	\$510,000	8/6/09
3	Kentucky Horse Park	\$1,950,000	\$950,000		\$950,000	8/6/09
1	Sanitation District #4 of Boyd County	\$475,000	\$400,000		\$400,000	8/6/09
5	Ashland, City of	\$250,252	\$246,646		\$246,646	8/20/09; 1/25/10
6	Covington, City of	\$1,200,000	\$1,197,390	•	\$1,197,390	8/20/09
7	Bowling Green, City of	\$134,996	\$134,996	• •	\$134,996	8/20/09
3	Frankfort, City of	\$962,035	\$800,000		\$800,000	8/20/09
•	Wilder, City of	\$215,889	\$114,302		\$114,302	9/3/09; 1/25/10
)	Richmond, City of	\$125,000	\$125,000	(C)	\$125,000	9/3/09
	Maysville, City of	\$2,000,000	\$500,000		\$500,000	9/3/09
2	Prestonsburg, City of	\$4,530,405	\$2,670,000		\$2,670,000	10/1/09
3	Kentucky Department of Parks	\$500,000	\$500,000		\$500,000	10/1/09
ţ	Kentucky Department of Parks	\$358,008	\$355,867	(C)	\$355,867	10/1/09; 1/25/10
5	Kentucky Department of Parks	\$1,457,402	\$1,366,291		\$1,366,291	10/1/09; 1/25/10
5	Morehead, City of	\$801,203	\$801,203	(C)	\$801,203	10/1/09
7	Morehead, City of	\$1,293,013	\$1,293,013		\$1,293,013	10/1/09
3	Winchester, City of	\$693,000	\$600,000	(C)	\$600,000	10/1/09
)	Russellville, City of	\$952,300	\$799,967	(C)	\$799,967	10/1/09
)	Kuttawa, City of	\$530,000	\$300,000	(C)	\$300,000	10/1/09
	Paintsville, City of	\$1,400,000	\$1,150,000		\$1,150,000	10/1/09
2	Louisville & Jefferson Co. Metro. Sewer Dist.	\$17,500,000	\$5,000,000		\$5,000,000	10/1/09
3	Falmouth, City of	\$611,898	\$611,898	(C)	\$611,898	10/1/09; 11/12/09
i.	Calvert City, City of	\$850,000	\$850,000		\$850,000	10/1/09; 12/16/09
5	Sacramento, City of	\$750,000	\$750,000		\$750,000	10/1/09
5	Grant Co. Sanitary Sewer Dist.	\$1,925,000	\$300,000		\$300,000	10/1/09
,	Mayfield, City of	\$975,000	\$975,000	(C)	\$975,000	10/1/09
ł	Warsaw, City of	\$3,841,156	\$2,060,156		\$2,060,156	11/12/09 In amt is \$2,060,155.54
í	Madisonville, City of	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000		\$3,800,000	11/12/09
Ś	Meade Co Riverport Authority	\$482,642	\$482,642		\$482,642	12/3/09; 1/25/10
, ,	Troublesome Creek Env Authority	\$3,425,000	\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000	12/3/09
,	Ohio Co Regional Waste Water District	\$465,303	\$465,303		\$465,303	12/3/09; 1/25/10; 2/17/11
ŝ	Mountain Water District	\$750,000	\$750,000		\$750,000	12/3/09; 2/4/10
	TOTALS	\$59,272,630	\$34,509,483	-	\$34,509,483	

TUTALS	\$35,272,030	\$34,303,400	\$04,000,400
GRAND TOTALS - COMMITMENTS	\$78,835,955	\$47,878,524	\$47,878,524
BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR LO	AN		\$4,452

bold, italics - pending board approval (c) - Final amounts; Loan has been closed (s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement

	TOTAL NUTLOOD		KENTU	CKY INFRASTRUCTUR	E AUTHORITY V FUND (FUND I	B)		
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO	NS FYS 1989-90:		\$20,000,000				
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO	NS FYS 1991-92:		\$14,000,000				
	TOTAL DEAUTHORIZATIO	NO FTO 1993-94;		\$15,000,000				
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO	NEVE 1000 00		(\$3,504,592)				
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO	NEVS 2000 2002		\$0				
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO	N FYS 2000-2002:		\$0				
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATIO	N FYS 2004-2006		\$0				
	TOTAL AUTHORIZATION	N FYS 2006-2008		\$0 \$0				
	TOTAL 1	989-2008:		\$45,495,408				
	Revolving Available to Current M	Loan/Grant Before						
	Conant M	eeting		\$1,496,357				
		TOTAL	KIA	KIA	GENERATED			
		INFRASTRUCTURE	GRANT	Loan	PRIVATE	1000		
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	INVESTMENT	JOBS	DATE	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1989:				INVESTMENT	UNEATED	APPROVED	COMMENTS
1		\$1,059,938		\$407,770 (c)	#40 700 0			
2		\$2,175,540		\$407,770 (C) \$1,475,540 (C)	\$13,700,000	257	12-21-88	
3		\$2,402,764		\$1,475,540 (C) \$1,500,000 (C)	\$2,225,000	96	12-21-88	
4		\$775,627		\$287,984 (c)	\$75,000,000	326	12-21-88	
5	GRAVES COUNTY	\$3,717,000		\$287,984 (C) \$3,717,000 (C)	\$4,380,000	210	03-22-89 (REA	P. 1-31-91 & AMD. 6-20-91)
	TOTALS	\$10,130,869		\$3,717,000 (C) \$7,388,294	\$31,037,070	600	05-03-89	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1990:			ə <i>1</i> ,300,294	\$126,342,070	1,489		
5	LAWRENCEBURG, CITY OF							
,		\$301,000		\$86,114 (c)	\$426,187	30	08-07-89	
		\$1,296,200		\$699,674 (c)	\$2,470,000	200	08-07-89	
		\$319,573		\$163,822 (c)	\$1,533,133	140	08-07-89	
5		\$1,200,000		\$340,000 (c)	\$0	750	08-07-89	
1		\$2,040,263		\$490,425 (c)	\$2,000,000	125		2 00 04 6 41
2		\$1,875,000		\$1,000,000 (c)	\$10,000,000	300	12-13-89 (HEAF	3-28-91 & AMD. 6-26-92)
3		\$701,900		\$148,649 (c)	\$7,500,000	200	04-25-90	
1		\$864,347		\$456,480 (c)	\$20,000,000	154	04-25-90 (AMD.	2.00.040
`	-	\$1,591,673		\$1,434,750 (c)	\$0	,3 4 0	04-25-90 (AMD. 04-25-90	3-20-91)
	TOTALS	\$10,189,956		\$4,819,914	\$43,929,320	1,899	0.20.00	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1991:							
	RICHMOND, CITY OF	\$1,508,300		\$250,000 (c)	\$1 500 000			
	WARREN COUNTY W.D. (Chg)	\$638,035		\$229,035 (c)	\$1,500,000	100	09-26-90	
				\$229,035 (C) \$319,969 (C)	\$14,000,000	280	09-26-90	
		\$964,742		4410,000 (C)		74	09-26-90	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top	\$964,742 \$890,977			\$2,900,000			
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1)			\$186,711 (c)	\$2,250,000	500	11-19-90	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER	\$890,977		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000	500 432	06-20-91	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1)	\$890,977 \$336,450	****	\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000	500 432 101		I-17-93)
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000	500 432	06-20-91	I-17-93)
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000	500 432 101	06-20-91	I-17-93)
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000	500 432 101 1,487	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ;	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000	500 432 101 1,487 220	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000	500 432 101 1,487	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. : 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (M	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (M	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,662,259 \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$86,039		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0 \$400,000 \$0 \$32,000,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,662,259 \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$4400,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993:	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$297,000		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$86,039 \$11,509,856		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$4400,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$332,000,000 \$0 \$332,000,000 \$0 \$332,000,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12 708	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$86,039 \$11,509,856 \$11,218,460		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$22,600,203 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$4400,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 <u>12</u> 708 40	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 06-26-92 12-17-92	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT COVINGTON, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$260,039 \$11,509,856 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,662,259 \$2,660,800 (c) \$144,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c) \$1,634,610 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000 \$340,000,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12 708 40 280	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92 12-17-92 01-29-93	
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILE, CITY OF WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$86,039 \$11,509,856 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510 \$516,140		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c) \$1,634,610 (c) \$460,327 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000 \$340,000,000 \$18,000,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 <u>12</u> 708 40 280 500	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. ;-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92	3-92; 6-29-94; 12/9/04)
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF (2) WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT COVINGTON, CITY OF HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$260,039 \$11,509,856 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,662,259 \$2,660,800 (c) \$144,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c) \$1,634,610 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$400,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000 \$340,000,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12 708 40 280	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. 7-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92 12-17-92 01-29-93	3-92; 6-29-94; 12/9/04)
	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT COVINGTON, CITY OF HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1994:	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$297,000 \$266,039 \$11,509,856 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510 \$516,140 \$8,688,679 \$12,188,789		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c) \$1,634,610 (c) \$460,327 (c) \$5,000,000 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000 \$340,000,000 \$18,000,000 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12 708 40 280 500 500	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. ;-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92	3-92; 6-29-94; 12/9/04)
33))	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT COVINGTON, CITY OF HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1994: GRAYSON, CITY OF (2)	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$297,000 \$297,000 \$297,000 \$297,000 \$266,039 \$11,509,856 \$1,765,510 \$516,140 \$6,688,679 \$12,188,789 \$1,712,759		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,662,259 \$2,662,259 \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,492,809 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$366,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c) \$1,634,610 (c) \$460,327 (c) \$5,000,000 (c) \$7,474,447	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000 \$340,000,000 \$18,000,000 \$0 \$359,412,000	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12 708 40 280 500 500 1,320	06-20-91 06-20-91 (AMD. ; 09-16-91 (AMD. ; 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92 12-17-92 01-29-93 01-29-93 05-05-93 (REAP. 3	3-92: 6-29-94: 12/9/04)
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Mtn. Top MONTICELLO, CITY OF (1) JEFFERSON COUNTY / KROGER TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992: NEWPORT, CITY OF HODGENVILLE, CITY OF SCOTTSVILLE, CITY OF MONTICELLO, CITY OF WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (V WARREN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT (S LIVERMORE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993: MARION, CITY OF DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT COVINGTON, CITY OF HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF TOTALS PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1994:	\$890,977 \$336,450 \$1,425,575 \$5,764,079 \$4,017,704 \$125,900 \$4,252,173 \$2,610,040 \$121,000 \$297,000 \$297,000 \$266,039 \$11,509,856 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510 \$1,218,460 \$1,765,510 \$516,140 \$8,688,679 \$12,188,789		\$186,711 (c) \$366,293 (c) \$1,310,251 (c) \$2,662,259 \$2,931,899 (c) \$114,931 (c) \$2,660,800 (c) \$1,495,628 (c) \$92,809 (c) \$203,233 (c) \$86,039 (c) \$7,585,339 \$379,510 (c) \$1,634,610 (c) \$460,327 (c) \$5,000,000 (c)	\$2,250,000 \$2,300,000 \$23,950,000 \$46,900,000 \$46,900,000 \$0 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$32,000,000 \$0 \$35,331,899 \$1,412,000 \$340,000,000 \$18,000,000 \$0	500 432 101 1,487 220 16 260 200 12 708 40 280 500 500	06-20-91 06-20-91 (АМД. ; 09-16-91 (АМД. ;-2 11-01-91 12-04-91 12-04-91 02-25-92 02-25-92 02-25-92 06-26-92	3-92: 6-29-94: 12/9/04)

				TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE AMOUNT	KIA GRANT AMOUNT	KIA Loan AMOUNT	GENERATED PRIVATE INVESTMENT	JOBS CREATED	DATE APPROVED COMMENTS
	35 36	GALLATIN COUNTY CAMPBELLSVILLE, CITY OF	TOTALS	\$4,089,434 \$4,905,000 \$17,455,502		\$4,000,000 (c) \$1,905,000 (s) \$8,710,618	\$400,089,434 <u>\$0</u> \$404,485,434	400 295 1, 043	09-29-93 (AMD. 6-29-94, REAP. 3-31-95) 12-05-95 (REAP.)(AMD. 7-13-98)
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY		4i.noinage					
						#3 199 731 (a)	\$0	1,500	08-30-94 (AMD. 7-5-96)
	37	HENDERSON, CITY OF	(phase 1) (phase 2)	\$7,445,372		\$3,188,731 (c) \$581,512 (c)	\$0		08-30-94
•	38	WEBSTER COUNTY WATER	TOTALS	\$1,268,460 \$8,713,832		\$638,000 (c) \$4,408,243	\$0 \$0	58 1,558	05-30-95
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	1996:						
	39	OAK GROVE, CITY OF		\$787,990		\$498,295 (c)	\$0	134	05-14-96
	40	MOUNT STERLING, CITY OF	707410	\$2,467,009		\$2,467,009 (c) \$2,965,304	\$0 \$0	400	05-14-96
			TOTALS	\$3,254,999		\$2,505,504			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	1997:				**	20	8-29-96
	41	CORBIN, CITY OF HICKORY WATER DISTRICT		\$583,878 \$1,028,000		\$300,000 (c) \$528,000 (c)	\$0 \$0	30 95	8-29-90 12-4-96 (REAP. 9-1-98)
	42	RICKORT WATER DISTRICT	TOTALS	\$1,611,878		\$828,000	\$0	125	
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	199 8 :						
٠	42	PRESTONSBURG, CITY OF		\$4,392,500		\$600,000 (c) \$1,772,800 (c)	\$0 \$0	77 400	2-26-98 \$50M inc. app. 2-5-99 2-26-98 (REAP. 12-10-99)
•	43	PAINTSVILLE, CITY OF	TOTALS	\$8,070,950 \$12,463,450		\$1,772,800 (0)	\$0	477	
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	1999;						
٠	44	HARDINSBURG, CITY OF		\$5,239,680		\$131,231 (c)	\$0	14 400	7-13-98 4-27-99
٠	45	PRESTONSBURG, CITY OF	TOTALS	\$1,591,200 \$6,830,880		\$795,600 (C) \$926,831	\$0		
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	2000:						
٠	46	GALLATIN CO WATER DISTR	ICT	\$1,100,000		\$744,796 (c)	\$95,000,000		09/01/1999; AMD 4/4/00 12/10/1999; AMD 9/1/01
•	47	CARROLTON, CITY OF (CELC	TEX)	\$184,300 \$1,284,300		\$112,200 (c) \$856,996	\$75,000,000 \$170,000,000		
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	2005:						
	48	UNION COUNTY FISCAL COU	BT	\$1,550,000		\$0	\$0	NA	11/04/2004 commitment expired
٠	40	OWENTON, CITY OF		\$736,890		\$0		NA NA	11/04/2004 commitment expired 03/03/2005
*	41 42	HART CO FISCAL COURT BOONE COUNTY WATER DIS	TRICT	\$3,192,000 \$3,548,148		\$2,170,999 \$2,506,118 (c)		NA	6/2/05; 7/1/10 assumed from Boone Co FC
	72		TOTALS	\$9,027,038		\$4,677,117	\$0		
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY		4500 770		\$596,776 (c)	\$0	NA	2005; 7/26/06; 10/2/08 Assumed from Fancy Farm WD
:	43 44	GRAVES CO WATER DISTRIC GRAVES CO WATER DISTRIC		\$596,776 \$152,176		\$152,176 (c)	\$0	NA	9/1/2005; 10/2/08 Assumed from Fancy Farm WD
٠	45	WESTERN MASON SANITATI		\$4,644,000		\$560,272 (c) \$0		NA NA	12/01/2005 12/01/2005 commitment expired
	46 47	BONNIEVILLE, CITY OF Elkton, City of		\$3,160,000 \$808,000		\$808,000	\$0	NA	03/02/2006
٠	48	MT VERNON, CITY OF		\$2,055,000		\$945,000 (c)	\$0	NA	05/04/2006
			TOTALS	\$11,415,953		\$3,062,225	\$0		
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY	2007:	****		\$O	\$0	NA	08/03/2006 Withdrawn
:	49 50	GUTHRIE, CITY OF OWINGSVILLE, CITY OF		\$640,000 \$4,367,250		\$797,250 (c)		NA	06;10/01/2009 338,997 In; 50,000 grant
٠	51	JAMESTOWN, CITY OF		\$13,065,000		\$3,588,700 (c)		NA	12/07/2006 3,038,700 in; 550,000 grant 02/08/2007 broadband
•	52			\$2,400,000 \$7,366,000		\$1,200,000 \$750,000		NA	05/10/2007 EO 2007-298 GF
	53 54	ALBANY, CITY OF GRAVES CO WATER DISTRIC	ст	\$7,366,000 \$849,154		\$849,154 (c)		NA	5/10/2007: 10/2/08 S Graves WD
			TOTALS	\$28,687,403		\$7,185,103	\$0		-

		TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE AMOUNT	KIA GRANT AMOUNT	KIA Loan AMOUNT	GENERATED PRIVATE INVESTMENT	JOBS		
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2008:						D AFFROVED	COMMENTS
* 5	5 CAVELAND ENVIRONMENTAL							
	6 HOPKINSVILLE ELECT & EN NET	\$2,910,00	-	\$125,000	¢	0 NA	00/00/0007	
* 5	COLOR CLEVINE	\$3,000,00	-	\$3,000,000		0 NA	09/06/2007 sewe	ſ
	8 WILLIAMSBURG, CITY OF	\$750,00		\$340,000		0 NA	10/04/2007 fiber	optic communications
* 5	9 LOGAN TODD REG WAT COMM	\$3,237,44	-	\$400,000 (0 NA	10/04/2007 water	
* 6	0 BURGIN, CITY OF	\$1,500,00		\$400,000 (0 NA	10/04/2007 water	
6		\$50,00	-	\$50,000 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 NA	11/01/2007 water	
62	2 GLASGOW, CITY OF	\$2,700,000		\$2,700,000 (0	· ·) NA	11/01/2007 water	
63		\$1,200,000		\$1,200,000 (0	1) NA	12/06/2007 purch	ase Auxier Water
64		\$690,000		\$490,000 (0) NA	2/7/2008; 10/9/08 broad	band
65	HINDMAN, CITY OF	\$2,708,000		\$848,000) NA	03/06/2008 sewer	
66		\$500,000		\$500,000 (c		NA NA	03/06/2008 water	
00	WALTON, CITT OF	\$4,000,000)	\$1,000,000 (0		NA NA	04/03/2008 water	
					<i>ା</i> କ୍ୟ	NA	06/05/2008 sewer	
	TOTALS	\$23,245,440)	\$11,053,000	\$0			
~	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2009:				30			
67		\$2,350,000		\$750.000	**			
68		\$1,075,000		\$1,000,000		NA	08/07/2008 sewer	
69		\$841,000		\$841,000 (c	\$0		08/07/2008 sewer	
70		\$1,119,707		\$582,883 (c)			10/02/2008 water n	neters
71		\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000	\$ 0	NA	12/04/2008 water	
72	PINEVILLE, CITY OF	\$350,000		\$0	\$0		06/25/09; 4/7/11 broadb	and; assumed from Murray
	_			φυ	\$0	NA	06/25/2009 sewer -	withdrawn 9/24/09
	TOTALS	\$8,235,707		\$5,673,883			-	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2010:	· · ·		40,070,000	\$0			
73		\$2,670,000		**				
74	Falmouth, City of	\$564.835		\$0		NA	10/01/2009 sewer	
75	Bullitt Co Sanitation District	\$500,000		\$564,835		NA	10/01/2009 sewer	
76	Hart Co Industrial Authority	\$2,300,000		\$500,000	\$0	NA	02/04/2010 sewer	
77	Marshall Co. Fiscal Court	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	\$1,000,000 \$200,000	\$3,000,000	40	OF OT LOTO Sewer	
			4000,000	\$200,000	\$0	NA	06/03/2010 80% gra	nt/ 20% ioan
	TOTALS	\$7,034,835	\$800,000	\$2,264,835				
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2011;		0000,000	32,204,033	\$3,000,000	40		
78	Bullitt Co Sanitation District	\$500,000	\$0	#F00.000				
79	Greenville, City of	\$1,115,000	\$0 \$0	\$500,000	\$0		08/05/2010 sewer	
80	Marion, City of	\$2,100,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,115,000	\$0		10/14/2010 sewer	
81	Princeton, City of	\$430,645	\$0 \$0	\$1,246,754	\$0		10/14/2010 water	
82	Bracken Co Water District	\$475,000	\$0 \$380,000	\$430,645	\$0		2/9/10; 7/1/11 sewer	
83	Caneyville, City of	\$445,000	\$380,000 \$0	\$95,000	\$0	NA	12/09/2010 water	
84	Crab Orchard, City of	\$1,660,000	\$0 \$400.000	\$110,000	\$0		12/09/2010 sewer	
85	Flatwoods, City of	\$887,500	\$468,000	\$410,000	\$0		12/09/2010 water	
86	Graves County Water District	\$1,111,266	\$220,000	\$117,000	\$0		02/03/2011	
87	Mt. Washington, City of	\$700,000	\$560,000	\$780,000	\$0 1		02/03/2011	
88	White Plains, City of	\$657,000	\$525,600	\$140,000	\$0 1		02/03/2011	
	Beattyville, City of	\$675,000	\$140,000	\$131,400	\$0 1		02/03/2011	
	Elkton, City of	\$141,700	\$140,000	\$35,000	\$0 N		03/03/2011 water	
91	Nicholas County Sanitation District	\$2,641,000	\$0 \$0	\$141,700	\$0 M		03/03/2011 water	
92	North McLean Co WD	\$737,871	\$200.000	\$550,000	\$0 N		03/03/2011 sewer	
-	MuniNet	\$2,033,947	\$200,000	\$50,000	\$0 N		03/03/2011 water	
93		,-00,07/	φu	\$2,033,947	\$0 N	A	04/07/2011 broadband	
93					++ ··		04/07/2011 Droadband	
93	TOTALS	\$16,310,929	\$2 902 600	A7 000 110			04/07/2011 broadband	l
93	TOTALS	\$16,310,929	\$2,893,600	\$7,886,446	\$0	0	04/07/2011 broadband	I
93	TOTALS		\$2,893,600 \$3,693,600	\$7,886,446 \$92,801,655				I

bold, italics - pending board approval * Bonds have not been sold for these projects + Projects were funded under the master note (c) - Final amounts; Loan has been closed (s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY WATER RESOURCES GRANT------ (FUND B1)

			TOTAL	<u></u>		
			INFRASTRUCTURE	KIA	DATE	
			AMOUNT	AMOUNT	APPROVED	COMMENTS
	PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 1991 THRO	UGH JUNE 30.	<u>1992:</u>			
1	ELKHORN WATER DISTRICT		\$383,000	\$151,233 ((c) 9-16-91	
2	BEAVER-ELKHORN WATER DISTRICT (PH I	I)	\$2,262,200	\$1,383,547 ((c) 9-16-91	(REAP. 5-5-93)
3	BEAVER-ELKHORN WATER DISTRICT (PH I		\$3,386,325	\$616,453 ((c) 9-16-91	(REAP. 5-5-93)
4	WACO WATER DISTRICT	,	\$304,525	\$300,000 ((c) 9-16-91	
5	NORTH MERCER WATER DISTRICT		\$1,833,000	\$500,000 ((c) 9-16-91	
6	WEBSTER COUNTY WATER DISTRICT		\$2,269,500	\$1,500,000 ((c) 9-16-91	
7	ANDERSON COUNTY		\$361,638	\$270,000 ((c) 9-16-91	
8	GEORGETOWN, CITY OF		\$3,474,350	\$400,000 ((c) 9-16-91	
9	LYNCH, CITY OF		\$502,500	\$499,945 ((c) 9-16-91	
9		TOTALS	\$14,777,038	\$5,621,178		
	PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 1992 THRC	UGH JUNE 30.	<u> </u>			
				\$300,000 ((c) 11-12-92	(AMD. 9-29-93)
10	DAVIESS COUNTY FISCAL COURT		\$300,000	\$300,000 \$300,000	(0) 11-12-32	(AND: 3-23-30)
	(East Daviess County Water Association)	TOTALS	\$300,000	\$300,000		
	PROJECTS PER SPECIAL PROVISIONS DU	RING 1998 GEN	NERAL SESSION			
11	MEADE COUNTY FISCAL COURT		\$4,204,225	\$2,500,000	12/18/1998	
12	CITY OF RICHMOND		\$8,400,000	\$4,000,000	09/01/1998	
	CITY OF MIDWAY		\$4,760,971	\$3,500,000	06/06/2000	
	CITY OF HINDMAN		\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	07/28/1999	
		TOTALS	\$20,365,196	\$13,000,000	(rif)	
	PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 1998 THRC	UGH JUNE 30.	1999:			
15	WATER RESOURCE DEV COMMISSION		\$1,053,000	753.000	(rlf) 4-27-99	
15	WATER RESOURCE DEV COMMISSION	TOTALS	\$1,053,000	753,000		
16	PROJECTS PER SPECIAL PROVISIONS DU		650,000.00	650,000.00	06/06/2000)
	FLEMING CO WATER COMM		75,000.00	75,000.00	02/01/2001	(AA date)
	FLEMING CO '201' SEWER PLANNING		50,000.00	50,000.00	10/01/2001	(AA date)
	CITY OF WURTLAND		25,000.00	25,000.00	10/01/2001	(AA date)
	SPURLOCK & LITTLE MUD CREEK		300,000.00	300,000.00	08/17/2001	(AA date)
	PIKE COUNTY - TAYLOR FORK		50,000.00	50,000.00	08/06/2001	(AA date)
	SOUTHERN MADISON WATER DISTRICT		200,000.00	200,000.00	03/01/2001	(AA date)
	HENDERSON CO WATER DISTRICT		500,000.00	500,000.00	08/20/2001	(AA date)
	LEWIS CO WATER & SEWER		500,000.00	500,000.00	11/22/2000)
	GREEN CO WATER & SEWER		500,000.00	500,000.00	08/06/2001	(AA date)
	LARUE COUNTY FISCAL COURT		750,000.00	750,000.00	08/06/2001	(AA date)
	CARROL COUNTY FISCAL COURT		250,000.00	250,000.00	08/01/2001	(AA date)
	CITY OF RACELAND		25,000.00	25,000.00	09/21/2001	(AA date)
	CITY OF WORTHINGTON		25,000.00	25,000.00		(AA date)
	CITY OF FLATWOODS		25,000.00	25,000.00		(AA date)
		TOTALS	3,925,000.00	3,925,000.00	(rlf)	

TOTAL			
INFRASTRUCTURE	KIA	DATE	
AMOUNT	AMOUNT	APPROVED	COMMENTS

PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 2001 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002:

21 Octombia October 19 an				
31 Columbia-Campbellsville	WX21001008	\$3,415,000	\$55,000	12/06/2001
32 Bath County Water District	WX21011002	\$2,253,000	\$1,150,000	12/06/2001
33 City of Paris	WX21017002	\$2,300,000	\$1,000,000	12/06/2001
34 City of Ashland	WX21019006	\$2,362,626	\$750,000	12/06/2001
35 Bracken County Water District	WX21023001	\$1,810,000	\$93,500	12/06/2001
36 City of Murray	WX21035005	\$1,126,000	\$0	12/06/2001
37 Center Ridge Water District	WX21035011	\$262,200	\$262,200	12/06/2001
38 West Carroll Water District	WX21041301	\$2,200,000	\$500,000	12/06/2001
39 Rattlesnake Ridge Water District	WX21043001	\$4,130,000	\$440,000	12/06/2001
40 Christian County Water District	WX21047011	\$2,200,000	\$1,000,000	12/06/2001
41 Owensboro Municipal Utilities	WX21059001	\$2,500,000	\$1,000,000	12/06/2001
42 Consumers Water District	WX21083002	\$1,117,868	\$1,000,000	12/06/2001
43 City of Caneyville	WX21085001	\$486,000	\$186,000	12/06/2001
44 Grayson County Water District	WX21085009	\$2,878,000	\$1,000,000	12/06/2001
45 City of Cumberland	WX21095662	\$1,600,000	\$400,000	12/06/2001
46 Henderson Water Utility	WX21101005	\$600,000	\$600,000	12/06/2001
47 Wood Creek water District	WX21125527	\$350,364	\$350,364	12/06/2001
48 Louisa Water District	WX21127001	\$5,570,570	\$1,000,000	12/06/2001
49 Electric Plant Board of the City of Vanceburg	WX21135001	\$4,077,000	\$977,000	12/06/2001
50 City of Sacramento	WX21149002	\$150,000	\$150,000	12/06/2001
51 Western Lewis Rectorville Water District	WX21161001	\$1,399,000	\$275,000	12/06/2001
52 Edmonton Water Works	WX21169001	\$2,222,782	\$595,782	12/06/2001
53 Tri-Village Water District	WX21187205	\$1,800,000	\$800,000	12/06/2001
54 City of Falmouth	WX21191311	\$1,000,000	\$300,000	12/06/2001
55 City of Hazard	WX21193009	\$5,500,000	\$250,000	12/06/2001
56 Buffalo Trail Water Association 57 US 60 Water District	WX21201005	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	12/06/2001
58 Taylorsville Water Works	WX21211026	\$1,375,000	\$650,000	12/06/2001
	WX21215087	\$3,500,000	\$430,400	12/06/2001
59 Campbellsville-Greensburg 60 Cadiz-Trigg County Regional Water Commissi	WX21217004	\$3,181,900	\$0	12/06/2001
60 Cadiz-Trigg County Regional Water Commissi 61 City of Midway		\$14,000,000	\$70,000	12/06/2001
62 City of Booneville	WX21239003	\$766,000	\$150,000	12/06/2001
	WX21189002	\$687,000	\$130,000	06/06/2002
	TOTALS	\$78,320,310	\$16,315,246 (rif)	
PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 2006 THRO	UGH JUNE 30, 2007	7:		
63 Knott Co Water & Sewer		\$500,000	\$500,000	10/05/2006
64 Bath Co WD		\$514,340	\$250,000	12/07/2006
65 Western Pulaski Co Water District		\$620,000	\$620,000	5/10/07; 6/21/07
66 City of Harlan		\$200,000	\$200,000	06/21/2007
	TOTALS	\$1,834,340	\$1,570,000 (rif)	
			······································	
PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2008:				
67 City of Arlington 68 City of Elkton		\$190,750	\$190,750	07/19/2007
69 City of Georgetown		\$200,000	\$200,000	07/19/2007
70 Morehead Utility Plant Board		\$80,000	\$80,000	07/19/2007
Vo Morenead Olinty Plant Board		\$373,900	\$373,900	07/19/2007
	TOTALS	\$844,650	\$844,650	
GRAND TO	TALS	\$121,419,534	\$41,484,424	
	Too Internet	,,	¥71,707,924	

bold, italics - pending board approval (s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement

(c) - Grant has been closed.

(rlf) - To be funded out of the revolving loan funds

FUND-B 07/05/2011 Page 3

.

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY WATER RESOURCES LOAN ------ (FUND B2) GATEWAY, BIG SANDY, KENTUCKY RIVER AND CUMBERLAND VALLEY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS

TOTAL AUTHORIZATION FYS 1991-94 TOTAL DEAUTHORIZATION FYS 1995-96 TOTAL AUTHORIZATION FYS 1997-98 TOTAL AUTHORIZATION FYS 1998-99	\$30,000,000 (\$1,716,586) \$0 \$0
TOTAL 1991 - 1998	\$28,283,414

			TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE AMOUNT	KIA AMOUNT	INTERES	AREA T DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT	DATE APPROVED	COMMENTS
	PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 1991 THE	OUGH JUNE	30, 1992:					
1 2 3	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Indian Cree MARTIN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 HYDEN / LESLIE CO. WATER DISTRICT	k)	\$2,712,760 \$2,481,566 \$2,196,941	\$337,760 (c) \$1,329,826 (c) \$1,965,619 (c)		BIG SANDY BIG SANDY KY. RIVER	0 7 -24-91 09-16-91 09-16-91	(REAP. 5-5-93)
4	SOUTHERN WATER & SEWER	(Phase I)	\$1,045,700	\$514,888 (c)	3.0%	BIG SANDY		(REAP. 1-29-93), Assumed from Beaver Elkhorn 12-21-00
5	SOUTHERN WATER & SEWER	(Phase II)	\$2,262,200	\$847,982 (c)	3.0%	BIG SANDY		(REAP. 5-5-93), Assumed from Beaver
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SOUTHERN WATER & SEWER MANCHESTER, CITY OF MOREHEAD, CITY OF MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT (Multi-Area) PIKEVILLE, CITY OF BARBOURVILLE, CITY OF CORBIN, CITY OF PRESTONSBURG, CITY OF HARLAN, CITY OF WHITESBURG, CITY OF CLAY COUNTY FISCAL COURT (North Manchester Water Association) ROCKCASTLE COUNTY FISCAL COURT (Western Rockcastle Water Association)	(Phase III)	\$3,386,325 \$1,766,705 \$449,442 \$5,165,093 \$903,718 \$829,099 \$4,500,000 \$1,449,838 \$2,173,278 \$1,434,170 \$1,332,179 \$2,805,321 \$778,500	\$2,831,354 (c) \$970,439 (c) \$359,646 (c) \$5,165,093 (c) \$897,868 (c) \$897,868 (c) \$1,924,831 (c) \$1,924,831 (c) \$1,960,288 (c) \$1,434,170 (c) \$932,179 (c) \$2,621,001 (c) \$364,572 (c)	3.0% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9	BIG SANDY BIG SANDY CUMB. VALLEY BIG SANDY CUMB. VALLEY CUMB. VALLEY CUMB. VALLEY BIG SANDY CUMB. VALLEY KY. RIVER CUMB. VALLEY		Elkhorn 12:21-00 (REAP 5-5-93 & 2:2:95)). Assumed from Beaver Elkhorn 12:21-00
		TOTALS	\$37,672,835	\$26,119,616				
19 .	PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 1992 THRC JACKSON COUNTY FISCAL COURT Jackson County Water Association)	UGH JUNE 3		\$1,792,633 (c) \$1,792,633	2.45%	KY. RIVER	11-12-92	

PROJECTS APPROVED JULY 1, 1993 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1994:

20 LAUREL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 TOTALS	\$1,324,000 \$1,324,000	\$450,000 (c) \$450,000	1.6%	CUMB. VALLEY	5-11-94 (REAP. 12-5-95)
GRAND TOTALS	\$40,855,480	\$28,362,249			

(s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement.

(c) - Final Amount; Loan has been closed.

		KENTUCKY INFF GOVERNMENTAL AGEN	RASTRUCTURE AU CIES PROGRAM	THOR	- (FUND C)	
	[TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT	KIA AMOUNT		DATE APPROVED	COMMENTS
		THORITY LOANS (42)				
REFI	VANCED BY THE KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTUR	RE AUTHORITY (1989)	\$32,025,000			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1989:	\$3,450,000	\$2,500,000	(c)		
1	CAMPBELLSVILLE, CITY OF	••••	\$1,764,950	(c)		
2	CARLISLE, CITY OF	\$3,958,731	\$913,800	(c)		
3	CRITTENDEN, CITY OF	\$1,725,300	\$505,728	(c)		
4	EDMONTON, CITY OF	\$740,728	\$380,000	(C)		
5	FULTON, CITY OF	\$1,200,000	\$825,477	(c)		
6	INEZ, CITY OF	\$5,692,657		(c) (c)		ASSUMED FROM CITY OF PADUCAH
7	PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JOINT SEWER CON	\$1,844,500	\$1,844,500			
	RUSSELL, CITY OF	\$2,851,000	\$2,851,000	(c)		
9	STANFORD, CITY OF	\$1,782,000	\$590,000	(c)		
10	TOMPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$720,000	\$445,000	(c)		
11	WEST LIBERTY, CITY OF	\$3,086,995	\$1,805,854	(c)		
12	HARDIN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT #2	\$3,597,502	\$932,502	(C)		ASSUMED FROM MCCRACKEN CO SEWER
13	PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JOINT SEWER CON	\$1,528,626	\$1,528,626	(c)		ASSUMED FROM MCCRACKEN CO SEWER
14	PADUCAH-MCCRACKEN JOINT SEWER CON	\$2,099,125	\$2,099,125	(c)		ASSUMED FROM MODIFICATION FOR
15	OLDHAM COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$1,191,282	\$1,330,500	(c)		ASSUMED FROMKENTUCKY TURNPIKE WATER DISTRICT
10	LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY	\$2,593,497	\$1,094,330	(c)		ASSUMED FROMKENTOURT TOTAL THE PICTURE
10	TOTALS	\$38,061,943	\$21,411,392			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1990:				00.57.00	
	BULLOCK-PEN WATER DISTRICT	\$779,649	\$399,049	(c)	08-07-89	(AMD, 8-16-90 & 12-4-91)
17	BOYD COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT #2	\$2,525,506	\$1,477,350	(c)	08-07-89	(AMD, 8-10-50 & 12-4 01)
18	FLEMINGSBURG, CITY OF	\$1,218,286	\$1,066,000	(c)	08-07-89	(1)(0, 7, 80)
19	FRANKLIN, CITY OF	\$695,690	\$687,204	(C)	05-03-89	(AMD. 8-7-89)
20	PINEVILLE, CITY OF	\$3,099,590	\$308,767	(c)	09-27-89	
21	EDMONSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$860,117	\$507,7 6 7	(c)	12-13-89	(1) (0, 00, 01)
22	HENDERSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICT #4	\$581,609	\$550,000	(c)	12-13-89	(AMD. 6-20-91)
23	EAST LOGAN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$1,253,120	\$514,303	(c)	12-13-89	
24	MCKEE, CITY OF	\$562,789	\$185,289	(c)	04-25-90	
25	TOTALS	\$11,576,356	\$5,695,729			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1991:		\$200 400		08-16-90	
26	U.S. 60 WATER DISTRICT	\$391,065	\$202,400	(c)	08-16-90	
20	EDMONTON, CITY OF	\$727,621	\$502,621	(c)	09-26-90	
28	MUNFORDVILLE, CITY OF	\$107,320	\$100,850	(C)	03-28-91	
20	I FXINGTON-SOUTH ELKHORN WATER DIST	\$1,750,000	\$1,712,000	(c)	03-28-91	ASSUMED FROM REIDLAND WSD
30	PADLICAH-MCCRACKEN JOINT SEWER CON	v \$583,445	\$450,884	(c)	06-20-91	(REAP. 12-17-92)(AMD. 11-10-97)(AMD 8-7-03)
31	SOUTH GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$720,000	\$728,605	(c)	06-20-91	(REAP. 9-16-91)
32	TRIMBLE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$342,103	\$328,603	(c)	06-20-91	(112) (110) (10) (1)
33	HENDERSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICT #2	\$633,013	\$325,000	- (c)	00-20-51	
00	TOTALS	\$5,263,172	\$4,350,963			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1992:		****	(0)	07-24-91	
34	TOMPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$648,200		(c)	07-24-91	
35		\$140,577		(c)	09-16-91	
36	WILMORE, CITY OF	\$745,155		(c)	09-16-91	
27	EDMONSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICCT	\$197,959		(c)	11-01-91	
38	THE REPORT OF THE PROTOCT	\$596,100	****		11-01-91	
30	BULLOCK-PEN WATER DISTRICT	\$1,067,019			12-04-91	
40	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	\$494,603		(c)	05-13-92	
41	FULTON, CITY OF	\$409,587	***		05-13-92	
41	BULLOCK-PEN WATER DISTRICT	\$62,111			03-13-92	
-+2	TOTALS	\$4,361,311	\$2,715,815			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1993:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1-1	11 10 00	(AMD: 6-29-94)(Assumed from Stamping Ground 3/2/06)
47	GEORGETOWN, CITY OF	\$554,870	6 · · · 6 · · · 6		11-12-92 11-12-92	(MMID: 0.52 34/Maagunoo ugu gimubus) areen areen
43	PIKEVILLE, CITY OF	\$1,317,639			10 17 00	
45	A REAL PROVIDE AND A REAL POINTY WATER DIST.	RI \$1,414,199	A 1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
46		\$188,000				
	and the second	\$336,885	\$264,145	(C)	00-00-90	
40	WURTLAND, CITY OF	\$3,811,593	4.5. 1.1.5. 0.0.5			

		Γ	TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT	KIA AMOUNT		DATE	
				AMOUNT		APPROVED	COMMENTS
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1994:					
	4	8 WHITESBURG, CITY OF 9 JONATHAN CREEK WATER DISTRICT	\$1,701,993	\$381,855		09-29-93	
	5	0 NORTHERN ROCKCASTLE COUNTY WATER	\$2,768,000	\$2,302,500	• • •	09-29-93	(AMD 9/7/03)
	5	1 Shelbyville, City of	\$455,226 \$2,100,000	\$265,226	• • •	09-29-93	
		2 LAUREL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT #2	\$1,324,000	\$697,263 \$900,950	(-)	05-11-94 05-11-94	Assumed from Shelby Co SD #1
		TOTALS	\$8,349,219	\$4,547,794		05-11-94	(AMD. 12-5-95)
				• 1,0 17,7 01	r		
	-	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1995:					
•		3 CARLISLE, CITY OF 4 HENRY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT #2	\$715,000	\$425,895	(c)	11-29-94	
		5 CALHOUN, CITY OF	\$8,426,000	\$5,026,000			(AMD, 12-5-95)
		TOTALS	\$506,100 \$9,647,100	\$453,299 \$5,905,194		5-30-95	
			\$9,047,100	\$5,905,194			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1996:					
		OLDHAM COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$1,174,500	\$1,062,256	(c)	2-26-95	
	5/	HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$1,156,400	\$912, 9 70		12-4-96; 4-7-11	assumed from Oak Grove
		TOTALS	\$2,330,900	\$1,975,226			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1997:					
	58		\$202,004	\$219,856	(c)	6-19-97	
		TOTALS	\$202,004	\$219,856	_ (c)	0-19-9/	
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1998:			_		
		TOTALS	\$0	\$0	-		
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 1999:					
	59	LOUISA, CITY OF	\$387,500	\$442,857	(-)	7/10/00	
*	60	WEBSTER COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$6,165,911	\$4,000,000	(c) (c)	7/13/98 9/1/98	(AMD 9-1-99)
	61	MEADE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$4,204,225	\$407,608	(C)	12/18/98	
		TOTALS	\$10,757,636	\$4,850,465	• • • •		
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2001:					
	62	BARLOW, CITY OF	\$416,000	¢007 714	(-)	0/1/07	
		TOTALS	\$416,000	\$237,714 \$237,714	(c)	3/1/01	
				4201,114			
	60	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2002:					
	03	OLDHAM COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$7,043,642	\$5,560,339	(c)	7/12/01	
		TOTALS	\$7,043,642	\$5,560,339			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2003:					
*	64	MCCREARY COUNTY FISCAL COURT	7,996,000	1,725,714	(C)	09/05/2002	
*		NICHOLASVILLE, CITY OF	4,310,000	4,754,286	(c)	10/03/2002	
		SOUTHERN WATER & SEWER	6,874,900	0			Withdrawn - Replaced with Coal Sev
	07	MEADE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	919,760	0			Withdrawn - Replaced with Fd F loan
		TOTAL	\$7,996,000	\$6,480,000			
			\$7,555,000	\$0,480,000			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2004:					
	68 60	KNOTT CO WATER & SEWER DISTRICT	\$2,628,821	\$640,367		08/07/2003	
*	70	CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT BLACK MTN UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$2,020,000	\$500,000		12/04/2003	
	,0	TOTAL	\$2,295,000	\$250,000	(c)	01/08/2004	
		TOTAL	\$6,943,821	\$1,390,367			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2005:					
		NORTONVILLE, CITY OF	\$5,300,000	\$2,809,000		09/02/2004	
		JOHNSON CO FISCAL COURT	\$1,317,000	\$0			Withdrawn
	/3	PEAKS MILL WATER DISTRICT	\$1,626,900	\$971,179	(C)	05/05/2005	
		TOTAL	60 040 000	60 700 /			
		IOIAL	\$8,243,900	\$3,780,179			
		PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2007:					
*	74	MAYFIELD, CITY OF	\$393,250	\$389,255	(C)	05/10/2007	
		T OT				,	
		TOTAL	\$393,250	\$389,255			

			TOTAL PROJECT	KIA		DATE	COMMENTS
			AMOUNT	AMOUNT		Arritoria	
• •	75 76 77	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2008: SOUTHEAST DAVIESS CO WD WEST DAVIESS CO WD NORTHERN KY WATER DISTRICT	\$1,219,995 \$1,378,708 \$6,000,000	\$796,140 \$948,348 \$6,000,000	(c)	11/01/2007 11/01/2007 06/05/2008	water water water
		TOTAL	\$8,598,703	\$7,744,488			
•	78	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2009: UNION, CITY OF	\$1,147,500	\$517,500		10/02/2008	sewer
		TOTAL	\$1,147,500	\$517,500			
*	79 80	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2010: BARDSTOWN, CITY OF BARDSTOWN, CITY OF	\$1,800,000 \$5,050,000	\$1,800,000 \$3,500,000 \$5,300,000		10/01/2009 10/01/2009	industrial park transmission water
		TOTAL	\$6,850,000	\$5,300,000			
*	81	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2011: Bracken Co Water District	\$621,000	\$621,000		03/03/2011	water main replacement
		TOTAL	\$621,000	\$621,000	-		
		KIA TOTALS	\$142,615,050	\$86,142,261	-		
		GRAND TOT	ALS (including KPAA)	\$118,167,261	-		
			Funds committed; not issued:	\$23,661,422	-		

bold, italics - pending board approval

· Bonds have not been sold for these projects.

** Withdrew from bond sale.

(s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement.

(c) - Final Amount. Includes capitalized interest and Note Program costs.
 (n) - Participating in BAN's

FUND-C 07/05/2011 Page3

AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 1997:	THINKING WATER STATE REV	DLVING FUND CFDA #66.468 (FUND F)	
THEADED I ONDOT 1997.		AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2004:	
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$12,558,800	FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	** **
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$2,511,760	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$10,11
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$502,352)	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	\$2,02
OTHER SET ASIDES (As Amended)	(\$1,928,773)	OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$40
NET 97 SRF FUNDS	\$12,639,435	NET 04 SRF FUNDS	(\$1,66
			\$10,05
AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 1998:		AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2005:	
FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$10,851,600	FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$10,08
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$2,170,320	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$2,01
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$434,064)	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$40
OTHER SET ASIDES	(.))	OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$1,71
NET 98 SRF FUNDS	(\$1,085,160) \$11,502,696	NET 05 SRF FUNDS	\$9,98
AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 1999:	· , · · · · · · ·	AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2006:	
EDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$8,630
BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$11,373,500	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	
	\$2,274,700	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	\$1,726
ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$454,940)	OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$345
OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$808,335)	NET 06 SRF FUNDS	(\$1,855
AND ACQUISITION SET ASIDE NET 98 SRF FUNDS	\$357,500	NET 00 SHE FUNDS	\$8,155
NET 98 SHE FUNDS	\$12,742,425	AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2007:	
VAILABLE FUNDS FY 2000:		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$8,630
EDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS		BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$1,726
OND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$11,820,400	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$345
DMINISTRATION (4%)	\$2,364,080	OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$345)
THER SET ASIDES	(\$472,816)	NET 07 SRF FUNDS	
AND ACQUISITION SET ASIDE	(\$1,296,086)		\$8,414
	\$0	AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2008:	
NET 00 SRF FUNDS	\$12,415,578	FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	AA B C
		BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$8,543,
VAILABLE FUNDS FY 2001:		ADMINISTRATION (4%)	\$1,708,
EDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$11,869,300	OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$341,
OND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$2,373,860	NET 08 SRF FUNDS	(\$1,836,
DMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$474,772)	NET 08 SHE FUNDS	\$8,073,
THER SET ASIDES	(\$1,913,832)	AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2009:	
ND ACQUISITION SET ASIDE	\$252,130	FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	
NET 01 SRF FUNDS	,	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$8,543,6
	\$12,106,686		\$1,708,0
	+ =, + = =, = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	ADMINISTRATION (4%) OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$341,1
AILABLE FUNDS FY 2002:			(\$1,173,1
DERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$9,805,100	NET 09 SRF FUNDS	\$8,736,*
ND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$1,961,020		
MINISTRATION (4%)	(\$392,204)	AVAILABLE FUNDS FY 2010:	
HER SET ASIDES		FEDERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	\$19,592,0
NET 02 SRF FUNDS	(\$1,372,714)	BOND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$3,918,4
	\$10,001,202	ADMINISTRATION (4%)	(\$783,6
AILABLE FUNDS FY 2003:		OTHER SET ASIDES	(\$4,564,9
DERAL TITLE VI GRANT FUNDS	A0 7 40 7	NET 10 SRF FUNDS	\$18,161,7
ND PROCEEDS (20%)	\$9,746,200	· · ·	φιο, ιστ,/
MINISTRATION (4%)	\$1,949,240		
HER SET ASIDES	(\$389,848)		
	(\$1,383,960)	NET FY 97-10 SRF FUNDS	¢150.040.1
NET 03 SRF FUNDS	\$9,921,632		\$152,918,1
		CURRENT REVOLVING/SURPLUS FUND BALANCE	36,555,1
		2010A - Leverage	9
		BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR LOAN	14,571,12

		TOTAL PROJECT KIA LOAN			DATE		
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT		APPROVED	COMMENTS	
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2000					- 4	
1	HICKMAN, CITY OF	\$52,500	\$31,500 (F1	
2	BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF	\$3,441,785	\$3,049,314 (1.1	04/04/00 04/04/00	F1	
3	PROVIDENCE, CITY OF	\$130,880	\$58,440 ((C)	04/04/00	F1	
4	GRAYSON, CITY OF	\$170,000	\$88,000		04/04/00		
	TOTALS	\$3,795,165	\$3,227,254				
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2001	*** **** ***	\$1,500,000	(c)	07/18/2000		
5	PRINCETON, CITY OF	\$3,858,000	\$500,000		07/18/2000		
6	HARDINSBURG, CITY OF	\$2,096,910 \$3,442,422	\$2,383,982		9/29/00		
7	PROVIDENCE, CITY OF	\$5,791,000	\$610,000	(0)	8/29/00		
8	SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF MOREHEAD, CITY OF	\$13,325,000	\$5,500,000		9/29/00; Amd 5/16/01; Amd 5/1/03	Combined from 2 proj.	
9	HICKMAN, CITY OF	\$1,642,623	\$869,023		9/29/00		
	GREATER FLEMING CO REG WAT COM	\$357,500	\$357,500		12/21/00; 2/1/05	Land Acq Set Aside	
11 12	ASHLAND, CITY OF	\$2,512,626	\$2,190,384	(c)	3/1/01		
13	GRAYSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$4,400,000	\$3,930,850		4/5/01		
14	PINEVILLE, CITY OF	\$173,222	\$173,222	(c)	5/3/01		
	TOTALS	\$37,599,303	\$18,014,961				
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2002		AO 110 100	(-)	07/12/01		
15	LOGAN/TODD REG WAT COM	\$7,773,200	\$6,442,400 \$1,451,881		07/12/01		
16	CRITTENDEN/LIVINGSTON CO WD	\$1,500,000	\$350,367		10/4/01		
17	BULLOCK PEN WATER DISTRICT	\$408,088 \$5,369,590	\$1,197,072	(0)	10/4/01		
18	MOUNTAIN WATER DISTRICT	\$5,896,855	\$2,808,855		11/1/01; 12/12/02 Exec Com		
19	GRAYSON, CITY OF	\$27,369,858	\$4,000,000		2/7/02		
	LOGAN/TODD REG WAT COM NORTH LOGAN WATER DIST	\$800,000	\$447,000	(c)	3/7/02		
21	TAYLORSVILLE, CITY OF	\$2,800,000	\$1,241,766		5/2/02		
22 23	HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$1,513,593	\$1,513,593	(c)	6/6/02		
23	LOUISA, CITY OF	\$6,736,238	\$3,645,811		6/6/02		
25	BOONEVILLE, CITY OF	\$687,000	\$554,103	(c)	6/6/02		
20	TOTALS	\$60,854,422	\$23,652,848				
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2003				7/11/02; 1/1/05		
26	MADISON CO UTILITY DISTRICT	\$3,560,000	\$3,299,999		9/25/02		
27	BOWLING GREEN MUNICIPAL UTILITIES	\$12,244,000	\$3,980,000	(C)	10/3/02	Land Acq Set Aside	
28	GREATER FLEMING REG WAT COMM	\$252,130	\$252,130 \$928,000		10/3/02		
29	BRACKEN CO WATER DISTRICT	\$928,000 \$1,229,000	\$1,210,604	(c)	12/12/02 Exec Com		
30	BULLOCK PEN WATER DISTRICT	\$14,459,550	\$4,000,000	(-)	6/5/03		
31	CITY OF ASHLAND	ψι ,, ισο,σου		_			
	TOTALS	\$32,672,680	\$13,670,733	-			
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2004	\$1,956,156	\$1,908,662	(c)	9/4/03		
32	CARROLL CO WATER DISTRICT	\$1,056,500	\$500,000	(0)	9/4/03	F1	
	PAINTSVILLE, CITY OF EDDYVILLE, CITY OF	\$3,768,000	\$1,563,625	(c)	11/6/03		
	HARLAN, CITY OF	\$5,020,000	\$1,597,552	(c)	11/6/03		
35 36	GREATER FLEMING REG WAT COM	\$13,059,330	\$4,000,000		12/4/03; 1/8/04		
37	MEADE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	\$919,760	\$394,760		12/4/03	with drows of	
38	HENDERSON WATER UTILITY	\$1,541,362	\$0		2/12/04	withdrawn	
	TOTALS	\$27,321,108	\$9,964,599				
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY2005			(.)	10/7/04		
39	MCCREARY CO WATER DISTRICT	\$4,206,815	\$1,455,400		3/3/05	F1	
40		\$359,500	\$359,500		5/5/05		
41	RICHMOND, CITY OF	\$14,690,222	\$8,000,000				
	TOTALS	\$19,256,537	\$9,814,900				
	PROJECTS APPROVED IN FY 2006	\$14,498,150	\$4,400,000		12/1/05; amd 8/1/0	7	
	HARDINSBURG, CITY OF COLUMBIA-ADAIR CO WAT COM	\$14,000,000	\$4,520,000		6/1/06		
43	HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	\$6,844,310	\$4,000,000		6/1/06		
44	HURNINGVILLE, UITT UP	+510.11010					
	TOTALS	\$35,342,460	\$12,920,000				
		••• ¹					

			T KIA			
PROJECTS APPROVED		AMOUNT	AMOUNT		DATE	
45 NORTHERN KY WATER I	N FY 2007				APPROVED	COMMENT
46 ADAIR CO WATER DISTR	DISTRICT	\$6,865,00	90 \$4,000,000	n		
47 JESSAMINE SOUTH ELV	IICT	\$3,302,00	0 \$1,000,000	-	10/5/06	
47 JESSAMINE SOUTH ELKH	IORN WD	\$1,750,00			6/21/07	
			11100,000	,	6/21/07	
	TOTAL	S \$11,917,000	0 \$6,750,000)		
PROJECTS APPROVED F	Y 2008					
48 LETCHER CO WAT & SEW	/ DISTRICT	A				
49 RICHMOND, CITY OF	DISTRICT	\$1,193,000	w/01.000	(c)	10/4/07	
50 MADISON CO UTILITY DIS	т	\$7,108,951	\$4,000,000		10/4/07	
52 NICHOLASVILLE, CITY OF	1	\$1,284,510			10/4/07	
53 BULLOCK PEN WATER DI	STRICT	\$13,090,400		(c)	11/1/07; 10/9/08	
54 HARDINSBURG, CITY OF	SINCI	\$2,320,700	\$2,192,700	(C)	11/1/07; 4/1/09	
55 BEREA, CITY OF		\$4,165,000	\$1,999,250	(c)	12/6/07	
56 FRANKFORT, CITY OF		\$9,739,630	\$5,000,000	(c)	12/6/07	
57 MANCHESTER, CITY OF		\$6,841,000	\$6,841,000	(-)	2/7/08; inc 11/6/08	
58 MEADE CO WATER DISTRI	CT.	\$7,849,078	\$1,989,578		2/7/08	
59 WESTERN FLEMING WATE		\$2,480,949	\$753,447	(c)	2/7/08; 2/5/09	
60 HOPKINSVILLE, CITY OF	RDISTRICT	\$2,568,000	\$2,518,000	(•)	2/7/08	
61 NORTHERN KY WATER DIS		\$14,000,000	\$8,000,000		2/7/08	
62 OHIO CO WATER DISTRICT		\$6,565,000	\$4,000,000		3/6/08; 5/7/09	
63 CENTERTOWN, CITY OF		\$19,698,500	\$5,000,000		3/6/08	
CONTRACTION OF		\$2,866,652	\$1,097,430		3/6/08; 6/4/09	
			¢ 1,007,400		3/6/08; 6/25/09	
	TOTALS	\$101,771,370	\$54,077,421			
PROJECTS APPROVED FY2	009					
64 PAINTSVILLE CITY OF						
64 PAINTSVILLE, CITY OF		\$29,135,364	\$6,519,566		10/1/04	
	TOTALS	\$20.105.004	,		12/4/08	
		\$29,135,364	\$6,519,566			
PROJECTS APPROVED FY20	010					
65 PADUCAH, CITY OF		\$7 E10 000	•			
66 HARDINBURG, CITY OF		\$7,510,000 \$4,700,500	\$7,500,000		9/3/09	
67 NORTHERN KY WATER DIST	RICT	\$80,300,000	\$3,251,590		11/12/09	
68 Columbia Adair Water Commis	sion		\$16,000,000		12/3/09; 12/9/10	
		\$1,694,000	\$1,694,000		6/3/10	
	TOTALS	\$94,204,500	\$28,445,590			
PROJECTS APPROVED FY20	11					
69 Adair Co Water District						
70 Louisa, City of		\$4,133,000	\$4,000,000		7/4/40	
71 Madison County Utilities District		\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000		7/1/10	
72 Hardinsburg, City of		\$940,225	\$940,225		7/1/10	
73 Williamstown, City of		\$4,198,000	\$4,000,000		12/9/10	
74 Bullock Pen Water District		\$2,250,000	\$2,250,000		2/3/11	
75 Brandenburg, City of		\$1,663,000	\$1,663,000		3/3/11	
76 Barbourville, City of		\$3,080,000	\$2,080,000		4/7/11	
77 Barlow, City of		\$6,000,000	\$4,000,000		4/7/11	
78 West Liberty, City of		\$230,000	\$230,000		5/5/11	
e theore Elberty, City of		\$3,050,300	\$3,050,300		5/5/11	
	TOTALS				5/5/11	
		\$27,244,525	\$23,913,525			
PROJECTS APPROVED FY2012						
9 Breathitt Co Water District	-	\$2,500,000	\$2 500 000			
		+=,000,000	\$2,500,000		7/7/11	
	TOTALS	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000			
GRAND TOTALS - COMMITMEN	rs	\$483,614,434	\$213,471,397			
4 ··· ··			the second s			
d, italics - pending hoard annual						
<i>d, Italics - pending board approval</i> - Final amounts; Loan has been close	.4					

KENTUCKY INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY SAFE DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND CFDA #66.468 ---- (FUND F2) AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009

AVAILABLE FUNDS FFY 2009: FEDERAL ARRA GRANT FUNDS ADMINISTRATION (4%) OTHER SET ASIDES NET ARRA FUNDS

\$20,450,000
(\$818,000)
(\$715,750)
\$18,916,250

	TOTAL PROJECT	KIA AMOUNT	FIRST USE FUNDS	DATE APPROVED	
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2009: 1 CENTERTOWN, CITY OF 2 SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF TOTALS	\$2,866,652 \$4,000,000 \$6,866,652	\$222,606 \$950,000 \$1,172,606	\$222,606 \$950,000 \$1,172,606	6/25/09 6/25/09	
PROJECTS APPROVED IN SFY 2010: 3 BREATHITT CO WATER DISTRICT 4 WHITESBURG, CITY OF 5 MCKEE, CITY OF 6 BLACK MTN UTILITY DISTRICT 7 ELKTON, CITY OF 8 BLUEGRASS STATION 9 DAWSON SPRINGS, CITY OF 10 BURKESVILLE, CITY OF 11 LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY 12 JACKSON, CITY OF 13 PRESTONBURG, CITY OF 14 WINCHESTER, CITY OF 15 CAMPTON, CITY OF 16 WESTERN MASON WATER DISTRICT 17 GREENUP, CITY OF 16 WESTERN MASON WATER DISTRICT 17 GREENUP, CITY OF	\$1,322,000 \$171,840 \$1,100,000 \$2,650,000 \$174,800 \$3,498,452 \$2,120,000 \$1,153,000 \$18,508,832 \$1,500,000 \$805,000 \$1,100,000 \$6,966,088 \$578,000 \$2,915,099 \$44,563,111	\$572,000 (c) \$171,794 (c) \$1,099,923 (c) \$500,000 \$174,374 (c) \$1,900,000 \$2,120,000 \$1,153,000 \$4,173,200 \$1,500,000 \$805,000 (c) \$741,450 (c) \$683,200 \$500,000 \$1,590,604 \$17,684,546	\$572,000 \$171,794 \$1,099,923 \$500,000 \$174,374 \$1,900,000 \$2,120,000 \$1,153,000 \$4,173,200 \$1,500,000 \$1,500,000 \$741,450 \$683,200 \$500,000 \$1,590,604 \$17,684,546	7/9/09; 11/24/09 7/9/09 8/6/09 8/20/09 8/20/09 8/20/09 9/3/09 9/3/09 9/3/09 10/1/09 10/1/09 10/1/09 10/1/09 11/12/09	
GRAND TOTALS - COMMITMENTS	\$51,429,763	\$18,857,152	\$18,857,152		
BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR LO	AN		\$59,099		

bold, italics - pending board approval (c) - Final amounts; Loan has been closed (s) - Amount represented in the assistance agreement