GRAND CANYON MONITORING AND RESEARCH CENTER'S

FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

MARCH 22, 2007

PREFACE

Following is the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center's (GCMRC) Fiscal Year 2006 Annual Accomplishment Report. This is a new product to provide the Technical Work Group (TWG) with a summary of accomplishments, shortcomings and recommendations related to projects included in GCMRC's FY 06 work plan for the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program. The report is intended to inform the TWG's decisions and recommendations related to the development of the FY 08 work plan. Since this is a new product that GCMRC intends to produce annually, comments on the usefulness of this report are welcome.

FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. PHYSICAL SCIENCE, MODELING, AND DASA PROGRAM

Project A.1 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Lake Powell Quality-of-Water (note: funded under agreement no. 05-AA-40-2385, Support of Integrated Water Quality Program Studies for Lake Powell)1
Project A.2 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Downstream Quality-of-Water for Physical, Biological and Chemical Sampling (includes peer review and follow-up R&D (flow and sediment-transport modeling development)) (Report 1 of 2)
Project A.2 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Downstream Quality-of-Water for Physical, Biological and Chemical Sampling (includes R&D (modeling)) (Report 2 of 2)
Project A.3 Completion of Research & Development in Support of Monitoring Changes in Fine- Sediment Storage along the Main Channel & Shorelines of the CRE
Project A.4 Ongoing Support of Provisional Monitoring Remote Sensing Data Acquisition18
Project A.5a Science Support of All Data Storage within Grand Canyon Integrated (Oracle) Database Management System (DASA)21
Project A.5b Ongoing Data Conversion & Library Operations (DASA)24
Project A.6 Ongoing Support GIS General Support for Integrated Analyses and Projects (DASA)
Project A.7 Completion of Channel-Mapping Project (DASA)
B. BIOSCIENCES
Project B.1 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Terrestrial Activities (KAS and SWWF) 32
Project B.2 Continued Research and Development – Aquatic Productivity, Organic Mass Balance, and Food Web Linkage Studies (Linking whole-system carbon cycling to quantitative food webs in the Colorado River) 1 of 2
Project B.2 Continued Research and Development – Aquatic Productivity, Organic Mass Balance, and Food Web Linkage Studies (Flucidating Aquatic and Terrestrial

Hydrogen Isotopes) 2 of 2
Project B.3 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Status And Trends Of Downstream Fish Community
Project B.4 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Status & Trends of Lees Ferry Trout 40
Project B.5 Completion of Habitat Map and Inventory in Support of Monitoring 42
Project B.6 Completion of Experimental Treatment - Spawning Redds and Suppression Mechanisms
Project B.7 Mechanical Removal of Nonnative Fish
Project B.8 Ongoing Humpback Chub Action – Translocation of Humpback Chub 48
Project B.9 Warm Water Fish Monitoring Workshop (Previously Completion of Humpback Chub Action – Dam Operations)
Project B.10 Completion of Humpback Chub Action - Monitoring Fish Disease and Parasites in the Colorado River Ecosystem
Project B.11 Completion of Humpback Chub Action – Temperature Control Device (Water Temperature Model Development (note: funded under agreement no. 02-aa-40-6750, enivronmental resources and compliance)
Project B.11 Completion of Humpback Chub Action – Temperature Control Device (Organic and nvertebrate Drift Exchange between Mainstem and Backwaters; funded under agreement no. 02-aa-40-6750, environmental resources and compliance) 57
Project B.11 Completion of Humpback Chub Action – Temperature Control Device (Compare Near-Shore Native Fish Habitats Under Steady/Fluctuating Flows; funded under agreement no. 02-aa-40-6750, enivronmental resources and compliance) 60
Project B.12 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Status and Trends of the Fish Community From Below Diamond Creek
C. CULTURAL RESOURCES
Project C.1 Ongoing Provisional Monitoring of Integrated Archaeological Sites 64
Project C.2 Synthesize Tribal Monitoring Programs Results (1995 – 2005)67
Project C.3 Integrated Campsite Monitoring and Research (Pilot Study) 69
D. LOGISTICS OR SUPPORT SERVICES PROGRAM

Project D.1	Ongoing Coordination and Support Program-Logistics Operations	71
Project D.2	Ongoing Survey Operations	74
Project D.3	Ongoing Development of Geodetic Control Network	77
E. INFORM	ATION TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	
Project E.1	Information Technology Support	80
F. ADMINI	STRATIVE AND MANAGEMENT	
Project F.1	Administrative Operations	82
Project F.2	Program Planning and Management	85
Project F.3	AMWG/TWG Participation	88
Project F.4	Independent Review Panels	90
Project F.5	Support for Strategic Science Implementation Planning	94
Project F.6	GCMRC's FY 2006 Biennial Science Symposium	97
Project F.8	AMWG, TWG Requests During FY 2006	99

PROJECT A.1 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING – LAKE POWELL QUALITY-OF-WATER (NOTE: FUNDED UNDER AGREEMENT NO. 05-AA-40-2385, SUPPORT OF INTEGRATED WATER QUALITY PROGRAM STUDIES FOR LAKE POWELL)

	FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM *02/20/2007							
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:	USGS -	SBSC - Grand Car	yon Monitoring	g & Researd	ch Station		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMR	C AWP		nyon Dam Adaptive		Program, F	iscal Year		
ID/OTHER NO.:		7	dget & Work Plan,		(GCMI	RC No. BNE0A)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE			well Quality-of-Wate	er				
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVE	STIGATOR INF	ORMATIC	ON:					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	M.Andersen/B USGS	.Vernieu,	Mailing Address:	2255 North	Gemini Driv	ve, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	mandersen@u	isgs.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-737	'9	Delivery Address:	Same as ab	ove			
FAX:	(928) 556-709)2	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF	community composition, quality, and interactions and represent a cornerstone resource upon which all other downstream aquatic and terrestrial resources depend. The water quality parameters are linked to upper basin inflows, reservoir dynamics, and operations of Glen Canyon Dam, and downstream tributary inputs. The relationship between operations of Glen Canyon Dam and water quality variables affecting downstream resources is a management concern. Of special concern is the current draw-down condition in Lake Powell, resulting from several years of drought. Total storage has been reduced 50%, resulting in warm releases, deltaic sediment resuspension, and reduced dissolved oxygen concentrations in reservoir releases.							
- [- - - - - - - - - - - - -			 Determine status and trends of physical, chemical, and biological components of water quality in the Lake Powell reservoir as a function of regional hydrologic conditions and their relation to downstream releases. These components include temperature, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, major ions, nutrients, trace elements, chlorophyll, plankton, and organic matter. Determine stratification, convective mixing patterns, and behavior of advective currents in Lake Powell and their relation to Glen Canyon Dam operations to predict seasonal patterns and trends in downstream releases. Determine status and trends of physical, chemical, and biological components of water quality in Glen Canyon Dam releases. Evaluate quality and collection methods of existing data and determine where monitoring activities should be implemented, augmented, revised, decreased, or discontinued. 					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP	TO MRP:	Related	to Goal 7.					
(8.) METHODOLOGY	:	quality of release f	itoring program for f water in the Lake from Glen Canyon [Dam tailwater, and	Powell reservo Dam, the qualit	ir potentially y of water in	y available for n the Glen		

water in its travel through Grand Canyon. The geographic scope of Lake Powell water-quality monitoring is from Glen Canyon Dam upstream to the inflow areas of its three major tributaries, the Colorado River, the San Juan River, and the Escalante River. The geographic scope of the tailwater is the reach below Glen Canyon Dam to Lees Ferry. Water quality is monitored in Grand Canyon to Spencer Creek, at River Mile 246.

Forebay monitoring in Lake Powell, in the area immediately upstream from Glen Canyon Dam, is conducted on a monthly basis. Monitoring of the entire Lake Powell reservoir is conducted on a quarterly basis. Continuous measurements of water-quality conditions are made within the Glen Canyon Dam power plant, in its tailrace, and downstream at Lees Ferry. Chemical and biological samples are collected from these sites on a monthly basis.

Instantaneous measurements of water temperature, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen concentration, pH, turbidity, and redox potential are collected below Glen Canyon Dam, at Lees Ferry, and throughout the water column at up to 30 sites on Lake Powell. At selected reservoir sites and at both tailwater locations, chemical samples are collected for the determination of major ionic constituents and nutrient (total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus, total nitrogen, nitrite-nitrate nitrogen, and ammonia nitrogen) concentrations. Biological samples for chlorophyll concentration and plankton identification and enumeration are collected at selected reservoir sites and both tailwater locations.

Continuous monitoring of water quality in the Glen Canyon Dam tailwater includes monthly download, calibration, and servicing of YSI 6902 multiparameter sondes at three locations and the maintenance of a real-time telemetry system in the Glen Canyon Dam tailrace.

Water-quality monitoring surveys of the Glen Canyon Dam forebay and tailwaters (2.4 river kilometers upstream of Glen Canyon Dam) are conducted monthly, and include measurements of physicochemical parameters throughout the water column and collection of chemical and biological samples.

Water-quality monitoring surveys of the entire Lake Powell reservoir are conducted quarterly and include measurements of physicochemical parameters throughout the water column at up to 30 locations on Lake Powell and collection of chemical and biological samples at selected locations.

Information from field surveys and laboratory analyses is processed and incorporated into the long-term relational database of Lake Powell water-quality conditions.

Information is disseminated by regular water-quality updates; presentations to TWG, AMWG, the Lake Powell Cooperators Group, and other interested parties; and periodic web page updates and publications.

(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT:

Recent drought conditions at Lake Powell have resulted in lower

(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK:

(Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)

AWP was/was not r	inal results. Include a ignificant deviation	decrea	reservoir elevations, increased release temperatures, and decreased dissolved concentrations in Glen Canyon Dam releases. The telemetry system below Glen Canyon Dam has provided valuable real-time information during this period.				
		USGS describ	The relational water quality database is complete and current. An JSGS data report is in development for publication in 2007, lescribing the 42-year history of Lake Powell water-quality nonitoring.				
(11.) REPORTS/F COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identific presentations, poste databases, worksho contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)	Draft USGS Data Report of Lake Powell historical water-quality information is in preparation. The relational water quality database is complete and current. Short-term patterns in Glen Canyon Dam releases are being tracked and reported through real-time telemetry system. Presentations on Lake Powell water-quality issues have been given to the TWG/AMWG and the Lake Powell Cooperators Group. Coordination with model development by Reclamation is ongoing.						
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	Final USGS Data Report of Lake Powell historical water-quality information is anticipated in 2007. A Biological Monitoring Plan is in development to provide a preliminary analysis of existing plankton data and a reduction in the current backlog of biological samples. Boat repair and maintenance of the Uniflite vessel is planned for 2007.						
(Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or act findings of this proje	(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)			onitoring plan will provide uset and appropriate levels of ton samples. The analysis sta will provide an important ture, on which to evaluate entering a device or possible except of the 42-year historical che existing sampling programs t-effectiveness.	analysis for of these san baseline of effects of a patic species in data set cou	the current mples and plankton potential nvasion. Id lead to a	
(14.) FY2006 BUI	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	I DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED GF	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	218,994	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	L BAL:	\$188,376	
COMMENTS:	Carryovar funda	l bo use	d to	FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$183,962	
(Discuss	Carryover funds will supplement the FY2			FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$00	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	where neither perso burden have been r their current rates.	onnel costs nor		nnel costs nor		\$4,414	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/28/2007	

PROJECT A.2 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING — DOWNSTREAM QUALITY-OF-WATER FOR PHYSICAL, BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL SAMPLING (INCLUDES PEER REVIEW AND FOLLOW-UP R&D (FLOW AND SEDIMENT-TRANSPORT MODELING DEVELOPMENT)) (REPORT 1 OF 2)

TRANSPORT MODELING I	DEVELOPMENT)) (REPOR	RT 1 OF 2)			
FISCAL YEAR 2006 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSIC DAT		*02/20/2007
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	S – SBSC – Grand Ca	ınyon Monitorin	g & Researcl	h Center
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP		Canyon Dam Adaptive	•	•	
ID/OTHER NO.:			Budget & Work Plan,			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			oing Provisional Monito ical, Biological and Ch eling))			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVEST	TIGATOR INFO	RMAT	ION:			
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Theodore S. M David J. Toppii Steve Wiele		Mailing Address:	2255 North Ge	emini Drive,	Flagstaff
E-mail:	tmelis@usgs.g	ov	State:		Zip Code:	86001
Telephone:			Delivery Address:	Same as abov		
FAX:	(928) 556-7092	2	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001
E-mail: tmelis@usgs.g			nent has been reduced nent deposits and turb rons. The thermal regional variations that follows the release temperature in the summer and veratures. These chan estream delivery of mirrosystem of the Color on Dam. The Lake Poty of the lake and down the releases affect the to assist decision-makes the releases affect the to assist decision-makes and quality of AMWG priority-setting fically to water discharge and quality of AMWG priority-setting fically to water discharge and provide the process of the AMP, and we need the outcomes of even Dam provide the process of the process of the process of the provide the provi	idity in Glen, Maime of the relea lowed air temper uses throughout varmer in the wiges, as well as nerals, nutrients ado River downstream release downstream release downstream exers in the management of cultural resour Canyon Dam and f water in the Cog workshop, querge and quality vater discharge every AMP goal rincipal control of een Glen Canyon red to as the Cos of large tributated on the discharger, using standarved and suspended.	arble, and Gises has charses has charses to not the year, whinter than prechanges in total and carbon aracterizes the search of the constant of the discharce of the constant of the constant of the constant of the discharce of the constant	rand nged from early ich are e-dam he have altered Glen ne water ect monitors d develops Glen Canyon esponse of olorado River d is through r. At the ng f the top five ssues from Glen arge of water Lake Mead. er ecosystem tributaries olorado River es, consists of nic and

dissolved salts, dissolved oxygen, suspended-sediment concentration and grain size, and suspended organic material therefore all contribute to water quality. Water quality in the CRE is driven in decreasing order of importance by dam operations. tributary activity, and mainstem biological activity (e.g., algal effects on turbidity). The downstream Integrated Quality of Water Program (IQWP) characterizes the water discharge and water quality of the Colorado River and key tributaries between Glen Canyon Dam and the upper reaches of Lake Mead (River Miles -15 to 274). This project has two major components. The first component is focused on monitoring and modeling the mass-balance of fine sediment in the CRE. The second component of the downstream IQWP is focused on characterizing other water quality components of the ecosystem, such as water temperature, oxygen, nutrients, and carbon. Each component has monitoring and research elements as described below. **Downstream Monitoring Components:** Fine sediment mass balance: Use of laser-acoustic system and conventional suspended-sediment samples to monitor transport at several locations along the mainstem Colorado River and on key tributaries. Monitoring data are used to provide a continuous accounting of the mass-balance (input minus export) of sand and fine sediment in Marble and Grand Canyons and to assess the impacts of experimental flows on the mass-balance. **Continuous water quality monitoring:** Temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and pH are monitored at several locations along (6.) OBJECTIVES: the mainstem Colorado River and key tributaries. Most sites are coincident with the mass-balance monitoring locations. Temperature monitoring in selected backwater habitat areas. Data are used to characterize the thermal regime and longitudinal gradient in mineral and oxygen content of the river, and to calibrate and validate numerical models. **Research Components:** Numerical model development and application: Fine sediment transport models are currently in use and under development. Research includes flume studies and detailed flow measurements of sediment transport dynamics. Water temperature model development is underway. Research includes detailed measurements of heat exchange between the river and the atmosphere; water temperature dynamics in backwater habitat areas. Real-time two-way telemetry: A system is being developed to provide two-way telemetry between the office and instrumentation in the Canyon. The two-way communication not only provides realtime access to data, but also allows the user full control of the instrument from the office. The system is being developed in a generic fashion to allow use with any instrument that uses serial communications.

Project A.2 in the 2006 annual work plan (7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP: Surface water measurements (i.e. stage and discharge) are made using standard USGS methods (described in Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the U.S. Geological Survey, Book 3, Section A) at the following sites: Colorado River at Lees Ferry. Paria River at Lees Ferry, Little Colorado River near Cameron, Little Colorado River near mouth, Colorado River at Grand Canyon, and Colorado River near Diamond Creek. At all sites, 15-minute data is available in approximate real-time (every 4 hours) through the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) database (http://waterdata.usgs.gov/az/nwis/rt). The surface water gages are maintained and operated by the USGS Water Resources Discipline Arizona Water Science Center. Discharge-release data from Glen Canyon Dam are also estimated by the Bureau of Reclamation (http://www.wapa.gov/crsp/operatns/gcSCADAdata.htm). In addition, stage and suspended-sediment concentration and grain size are monitored every 15 minutes using laser-acoustic technologies at the following sites: 30-mile, 61-mile, 87-mile, and 226-mile. Water temperature and conductivity in the mainstem and major tributaries are monitored using a combination of Onset Hobo Water Temp Pro Loggers and YSI 6920 Multi-Parameter Loggers. Water temperature is monitored at eight mainstem locations: below the dam. Lees Ferry. 30-mile. 61-mile. 87-mile. 166-mile. 226-mile. and 246-mile. The Lees Ferry, 87-mile, and 226-mile sites correspond (8.) METHODOLOGY: to existing surface water gages. Water temperature is also monitored on selected tributaries. The mainstem water temperature data is currently being used to calibrate and test a one-dimensional temperature model. Specific conductance is monitored below the dam, at Lees Ferry, 30-mile, 61-mile, 87-mile, and 226-mile. Spikes in specific conductance allow the downstream fate of more-saline water introduced by tributaries during floods (and therefore potentially the organic and washload components of the flux introduced by these tributaries) to be tracked in the Colorado River. Dissolved oxygen and pH are monitored continuously below the dam and at Lees Ferry. Other water-quality parameters (including full water chemistry) are measured four times annually at the Colorado River Lees Ferry gaging station by the Arizona Water Science Center as part of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (AZDEQ) program, and seven times annually at the Colorado River above Diamond Creek gaging station by the Arizona Water Science Center as part of the National Stream Quality Accounting Network program. The tributary supply of sediment to the Colorado River is computed using a combination of physically based models and measurements. On a near real time basis, the concentration and grain-size distribution of the sand and finer material supplied by the major tributaries (Paria and Little Colorado Rivers) are computed using a geomorphically coupled flow and sediment-transport model. Sediment-transport measurements are collected on these on two

major tributaries by conventional and pump methodologies by the USGS WRD-Arizona Water Science Center and provided to our laboratory. As sediment-transport data become available from our laboratory, the predictions from this model are verified (to within the error in the measurements). Inputs of suspended sand (with grain size) and suspended silt and clay from the lesser tributaries are computed based on stage and sediment data collected in a network we established beginning in 2000. This network now covers 55% of the formerly ungaged tributary area between Glen Canyon Dam and the Little Colorado River.

Sediment-transport data collected under the downstream integrated quality-of-water (IQW) project are used to compute flux-based "mass-balance" sediment budgets for the following reaches of the CRE: river-miles –15 to 0 (Glen Canyon), river-miles 0 to 30 (upper Marble Canyon), river-miles 30 to 62 (lower Marble Canyon), river-miles 62 to 88 (upper or eastern Grand Canyon), river-miles 87 to 226 (lower or western Grand Canyon).

(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK:

(Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)

Monitoring activities described above are ongoing and scheduled to continue. Analysis of the November 2004 high-flow test data is scheduled to continue into FY2006. Completion of the initial phase of suspended-sediment transport model development is scheduled to be completed in FY2005 with continued refinement and submission of a journal article in FY2006. Water quality model development to continue with scheduled completion of the water temperature component in FY2006. During FY2006, compilation and reporting on the 1990-1991 National Canyon suspended-sediment data will be completed. Several USGS data reports and several peer-reviewed interpretive reports are to be completed during FY2006.

(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT:

(Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)

During FY2006, all stage equipment and quality-of-water (QW) probes operated normally. Sediment-transport data collected during FY2006 on the tributaries to the CRE have been processed, finalized, and delivered to the GCMRC. Sediment-transport data collected during FY2006 on the mainstem Colorado River are now in the final stages of being processed and will be delivered to the GCMRC by March 2007. Other QW data collected during FY2006 are now in the final stages of being processed and will be delivered to the GCMRC by March 2007. Much of the National Canyon data were compiled and a draft report was written. The report was completed in early FY2007 and will be made available on-line. When available, the final report will be e-mailed to GCMRC. Substantial progress has been made on (1) posting the real-time sediment-transport data collected under this project to the World-Wide-Web, and (2) serving these data through Oracle; these two tasks will be completed during mid-2007. The two-way satellite telemetry system is now considered operational. Updates of the mass-balance sediment budgets have been computed and delivered to the GCMRC, TWG, and AMWG. The final reports from the sediment-transport modeling component of this project have been delivered to the GCMRC. During FY2006, results from the downstream IQW project were presented at (1) the 2005 Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, California, December 5-9, 2005; and (2) 8th Federal Inter-Agency Sedimentation Conference, Reno, Nevada, April 2-6, 2006. The

basic 1D Colorado River sand-transport model was completed, and a journal article submitted for publication in FY2006. Testing and calibration of the model has continued. The model we developed fits the model described in our proposal that was reviewed, selected, and funded by the GCMRC. The model is a reach-averaged, event-driven sand-transport model intended primarily to route sand inputs from the main tributaries over weeks to a few months. The root model in its uncalibrated state generally shows good agreement with data in an application that is long (7 months) and covers a wide range of flow and sand supply. Model predictions of cumulative sand volume at Phantom Ranch are close to or within measurement error estimated by the GCMRC. The uncalibrated model shows excessive sensitivity to sand inputs from the Paria, however, which affects transport rates and predicted grain sizes in transport. Calibration by Scott Wright has improved the model predictions.

Presentations were made to the TWG on May-24-25, 2006, on the results from the 2004 BHBF test and the results from the development and evaluation of "High-Resolution Monitoring of suspended-sediment concentration and grain size in the Colorado River using laser-diffraction instruments and a three-frequency acoustic system." A presentation was made to the TWG on August 2-3, 2006, on the results of studies of sand transport during steady and low-fluctuating flows. Topping gave an invited lecture on June 8, 2006, at the USGS-WRD Central Region Science Workshop, Lakewood, Colorado, with a presentation entitled "Collection of more accurate high-resolution sediment-transport data using laser diffraction and multi-frequency acoustic instruments"

The following dissertation, five papers and two abstracts were either published during FY2006 or are currently in press.

Fisk, G.G., Duet, N.R., McGuire, E.H., Roberts, N.K., Castillo, N.K., and Smith, C.F., 2006, Water resources data, Arizona, water year 2005: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report AZ-05-1, accessed January 8, 2007, at http://pubs.usgs.gov/wdr/2005/wdr-az-05-1/

Grams, P.E., 2006, Sand transport over a coarse and immobile bed: The Johns Hopkins University, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, 163 p.

Melis, T.S., Jain, S., Topping, D.J., Pulwarty, R.S., and Eischeid, J.K., 2005, Critical climate controls and information needs for the Glen Canyon Adaptive Management Program and environmental assessment in the Grand Canyon region: EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 86, n., 52, p. F627.

Topping, D.J., Rubin, D.M., Schmidt, J.C., Hazel, J.E., Wright, S.A., Melis, T.S., and Kaplinski, M., 2005, Comparison of sediment-transport and bar-response results from the 1996 and 2004 controlled-flood experiments on the Colorado River in Grand Canyon: EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 86, n., 52, p. F906.

Topping, D.J., Rubin, D.M., Schmidt, J.C., Hazel, J.E., Jr., Melis, T.S., Wright, S.A., Kaplinski, M., Draut, A.E., and Breedlove, M.J., 2006, Comparison of sediment-transport

(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED:

(Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)

and bar-response results from the 1996 and 2004 controlled-flood experiments on the Colorado River in Grand Canyon: CD-ROM Proceedings of the 8th Federal Inter-Agency Sedimentation Conference, Reno, Nevada, April 2-6, 2006, ISBN 0-9779007-1-1.

Topping, D.J., Wright, S.A., Melis, T.S., and Rubin, D.M., 2006, High-resolution monitoring of suspended-sediment concentration and grain size in the Colorado River using laser-diffraction instruments and a three-frequency acoustic system: CD-ROM Proceedings of the 8th Federal Inter-Agency Sedimentation Conference, Reno, Nevada, April 2-6, 2006, ISBN 0-9779007-1-1.

Topping, D., Rubin, D., and Melis, T., in press, Coupled changes in sand grain size and sand transport driven by changes in the upstream supply of sand in the Colorado River: Relative importance of changes in bed-sand grain size and bed-sand area: Sedimentary Geology.

Topping, D.J., Wright, S.A., Melis, T.S., and Rubin, D.M., in press, High-resolution measurements of suspended-sediment concentration and grain size in the Colorado River in Grand Canyon using a multi-frequency acoustic system:

Proceedings of the Tenth International Symposium on River Sedimentation, August 1-4, 2007, Moscow, Russia.

Wiele, S.M., Wilcock, P.R., and Grams, P.E., in press, Reachaveraged sediment routing of a canyon river: Water Resources Research.

(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED:

(See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.)

A manuscript by Grams and Wilcock entitled "Equilibrium transport of fine sediment over a coarse immobile bed" was submitted to the AGU journal Water Resources Research during 2006 and is currently being revised. The annual water-year 2006 data report is being finalized by the USGS-WRD Arizona Water Science Center (AWSC) and will be available during spring 2007. A USGS Open-File Report describing the 1991 suspended-sediment datacollection program on the Colorado River at National Canyon has been authored by Hornewer and others. The citation for this report is: Hornewer, N.J. and Wiele, S.M., 2007, Flow Velocity and Sediment Data Collected During 1990 and 1991 at National Canyon, Colorado River, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series Report XXX, xx p. [expected to be available in March or April 2007]. A USGS Data-Series Report describing the watertemperature data collected in the CRE by GCES and GCMRC during the 1980s and 1990s has been authored by Voichick and Wright. Both of these two USGS reports have been reviewed and are to be published during spring 2007. A two-part article by Topping and others entitled "Evaluation of conventional sampling, laser diffraction, and acoustics for measuring suspended-sediment concentration and grain size 1. Errors associated with conventional depth-integrated sampling and Evaluation of conventional sampling, laser diffraction, and acoustics for measuring suspended-sediment concentration and grain size 2. Development and evaluation of a laser-acoustic system" is to be submitted to the Journal of Geophysical Research in summer 2007. Refinements have been made to the 1D Colorado River sand-transport model this FY, and testing and application is ongoing in collaboration with Scott Wright and Peter Wilcock. Funding through this agreement (two pay

		period	e) for modeling has been s	pent.			
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP			This project has been externally peer reviewed (SEDS-PEP, August 2006) and is being proposed as core monitoring in 2007 as part of the recommendation report on long-term sediment monitoring to the TWG. It will also be reviewed internally by the USGS Office of Surface Water during spring/summer 2007.				
(14.) FY2006 BUDG	SET REPORT	FINAN	FINANCIAL INFORMATION COLLECTION DATE: 09/30/200				
FY PLANNED GRO	SS BUDGET:	\$	817,947	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	AL BAL:	\$764,562	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPEND	ITURES:	\$698,660	
(Discuss anomalies	The fellessing renem	ماميط ما	at ia	FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	TIONS:	\$65,902	
in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	The following repor also contained in th					\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM / PL)	/S/ Theodore S. Me	felis TITLE:		Physical Sciences Program Manager	DATE:	02/03/2007	

PROJECT A.2 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING - DOWNSTREAM QUALITY-OF-WATER FOR PHYSICAL, BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL SAMPLING (INCLUDES R&D (MODELING)) (REPORT 2 OF 2)

BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL SAMPLING (INCLUDES R&D (MODELING)) (REPORT 2 OF 2)								
FISCAL YEAR 2000 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISS DA	ION TE:	*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	SS – SBSC – Grand C	anyon Monitor	ing & Rese	arch Center		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP ID/OTHER NO.:			Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year 2006 Budget & Work Plan, A.2 (Report 2 of 2) (GCMRC No. BNE2A)					
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Downstream Quality-of-Water for Physical, Biological and Chemical Sampling (includes R&D (modeling))					
(4.) PRINCIPLE INVES	TIGATOR IN	ORM	IATION:					
Prin. Investigator:	Theodore S. Melis / Steve Wiele/Nancy Hornewer-US		Mailing Address:	2255 North G	Gemini Drive	, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	tmelis@usgs		State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-72		Delivery Address:	Same as abo				
FAX:	(928) 556-70		State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF F				NZ.	Zip Code.	00001		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	RUBLEWI:	T	previous report.					
` '	O MDD:		previous report.	nual warde plan				
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO	O MRP:		ect A.2 in the 2006 an	nuai work pian				
(8.) METHODOLOGY:			previous report.					
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)			 Monitoring streamflow on the Colorado River at Lees Ferry and Grand Canyon, and on the Paria River and Little Colorado River. Monitoring water temperature and specific conductance at Lees Ferry. Collecting suspended-sediment samples on the Paria River and Little Colorado River. Compile National Canyon data from 1990-1991 and complete report. The 1D sand transport model was refined and a journal article submitted. 					
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)			 Stage equipment working fine on the mainstem and tributary gages. Water-quality probe at Lees Ferry is also working fine. Sediment samples were collected during storms and given to GCMRC for processing. Much of the National Canyon data were compiled and a draft report was written. The report was completed in early FY07 and will be made available on-line. When available, the final report will be e-mailed to GCMRC. The basic 1D sand transport model, funded under a separate agreement, was completed, and a journal article submitted for publication in FY06. Testing and calibration of the model has continued. The model we developed fits the model described in our proposal that was reviewed, selected, and funded by the GCMRC. The model is a reach-averaged, event-driven sand-transport model intended primarily to route sand inputs from the main tributaries over weeks to a few months. The root model in its uncalibrated state generally shows good agreement with data in an application that is long (7 months) and covers a wide range of flow and sand supply. Model 					

		G(to tra Ca	CMRC. The sand inpu ansport ra	within measurement error end uncalibrated model show its from the Paria, however tes and predicted grain size by Scott Wright has improver	vs excessiver, which affe	e sensitivity ects ort.	
AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identifi presentations, post databases, worksho	ED: ables identified in the en completed and	Annual Data Report WY05 was published: Fisk, G.G., Duet, N.R., McGuire, E.H., Roberts, N.K., Castillo, N.K., and Smith, C.F., 2006, Water resources data, Arizona, water year 2005: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report AZ-05-1, accessed January 8, 2007, at http://pubs.usgs.gov/wdr/2005/wdr-az-05-1/ Modeling - a paper titled: Reach-averaged sediment routing model of a canyon river by Wiele, S.M., P.R. Wilcock, and P.E. Grams that describes the model and its application was submitted to Water Resources Research in FY06. It has been published in FY07 by WRR. A pdf copy was sent to the GCMRC. *Annual Data Report WY06 – should be available by the end of					
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	oort those items that	*Annual Data Report WY06 – should be available by the end of April 2007. *National Canyon Report: Hornewer, N.J. and Wiele, S.M., 2007, Flow Velocity and Sediment Data Collected During 1990 and 1991 at National Canyon, Colorado River, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series Report XXX, xx p. [expected to be available in March or April 2007] *Modeling - Refinements have been made to the model this FY, and testing and application is ongoing in collaboration with Scott Wright and Peter Wilcock. Funding through this agreement (two pay periods for Wiele) for modeling has been spent.				city and t National Survey ailable in el this FY, tion with	
findings of this proj	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	 Streamflow/Sediment – continue operation of gages and collection of sediment samples. Modeling - Scott Wright, formerly the GCMRC Physical Resources modeling program manager, now with the CA WSC, and Peter Wilcock, Johns Hopkins University, have been running the model to further test and calibrate it. Wright and Wilcock have the necessary expertise and should continue to be supported in applying the model. 					
(14.) FY2006 BU		FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTIO		09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED GI	ROSS BUDGET:		NA	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		NA	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI		NA	
(Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Refer to previous re information.	port for	budget	END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	IONS:	NA NA	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Theodore S. Me	lis	TITLE:	Physical Sciences Program Manager	DATE:	02/28/2007	

PROJECT A.3 COMPLETION OF RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT IN SUPPORT OF MONITORING CHANGES IN FINE-SEDIMENT STORAGE ALONG THE MAIN CHANNEL & SHORELINES OF THE CRE

SEDIMENT STORAGE ALOI	NG THE MAIN C	HANN	EL & SHORELINES OF THE	IE CRE	
FISCAL YEAR 2000				SUBMISSION	
GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM	AM ADAPTI	VEN	MANAGEMENT	DATE:	*02/20/2007
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	SS – SBSC – Grand C	anyon Monitoring &	Research Center
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP			n Canyon Dam Adaptiv		gram, Fiscal Year
ID/OTHER NO.:			Budget & Work Plan		(GCMRC No. BNE2D)
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		Cha	npletion of Research & nges in Fine-Sedimen relines of the CRE		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR IN	FORM	IATION:		
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Theodore S. Melis / David Rubin	M.	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemin	i Drive, Flagstaff
E-mail:	tmelis@usgs	.gov	State:	AZ Zip (Code: 86001
Telephone:		_	Delivery Address:	Same as above	
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ Zip (Code: 86001
Manager / Principal Melis / David Rubin E-mail: tmelis@usgs Telephone: (928) 556-72			er in Grand Canyon Nation of the pre-dam riverso octing archeological site eroded substantially yon Dam that reduced andary of GCNP by above decreased in size by oults from the geomorph deeper portions of edd at 25% less sand, silt, y 1990s. Attionships between Glements from tributaries are the primary substantial system. Monitoring dates are the primary substantial resources and proceeding in the second of the Secretary is a silt, and the silt of the second of the Secretary is a silt of the second of the Secretary is a silt of the second of the Secretary is actions), a secretary's actions), a secretary's actions), a secretary's actions), a secretary's actions), a	ape, and are still im tes, and recreation. following the 1963 of the supply of sand out 94%; sandbars in about 25% during thic synthesis projecties and the channel and clay than they can canyon Dam operated below the dam, and cio-cultural resource is is true owing to the trate along many should be a supply supply the status of the status of near the status of linkages bet a physical habitats a three areas of informs of large-scale flow	portant for habitat, These deposits closure of Glen at the upstream n Marble Canyon he last 15 years. It have shown that pools also contain contained in the erations, fine- d interrelated es are of primary ne fact that sand oreline areas of the sand and finer) relationships to non- t on the of-Decision (ROD), ediment storage r-shore aquatic and ciated fauna, socio- n; (2) on the e periodically erve and sustain iment; (3) on ween dam nd related mation support experiments (e.g.,

	required for adaptive management to succeed.			
	Fine-grained deposits (sand and finer) of the main channel constitute a major storage component of the Colorado River ecosystem's sediment budget. Glen Canyon Dam operations influence fine deposits in ways that affect aquatic and terrestrial habitats over both short and long periods. The emphasis of this long-term monitoring project is to document system-wide changes in fine-grained deposits relative to dam operations and natural inputs, with emphasis on key storage settings within critical reaches. This project was initiated through release of a competitive solicitation in October 2000. The first phase of this project was scheduled for completion at the end of FY2005, but has been extended through FY2006 owing to the additional field data collection campaign around the November high-flow test, and will be externally reviewed through the PEP process. In addition, the project is also focused on researching the fate of campsite areas on an annual basis, as well as the fate of sand bars reworked by wind in the vicinity of archeological preservation sites.			
	Two other subcomponents of this project include monitoring sediment deposition in arroyos near archaeological sites and monitoring changes at campable beach areas owing to experimental high flows. These projects are described in the Tw Year Science Plan for Experimental Flow Treatments and Mechanical Removal Activities in WY'S 2002-2004.			
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	The goals of this work are: (1) to monitor, quantify, and interpret changes in sand storage in the Colorado River Ecosystem, including changes in sand bar morphology, volume, area, and grain-size in selected bars and reaches, (2) to relate observed changes to dam releases and tributary sediment input, (3) to relate changes in grain size of fine sediment on the bed to changes in suspended sediment observed by the mass balance project, (4) and to provide advice on timing, magnitude, duration, and ramping rates for artificial floods.			
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP:	Project A.3 in the 2006 annual work plan			
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	Overall Methodology—Determine the change in sediment storage in selected study reaches, each approximately 3 to 5 km long, in the Grand Canyon ecosystem using a wide range of traditional and new methods to make measurements of changes in the volume and characteristics of fine sediment stored on the bed, in eddies, and in channel margins. A combination of ground-based field surveys, hydrographic field surveys, photogrammetrically derived topographic data, and topographic data determined from LIDAR measurements will be used to detect topographic changes within the study reaches.			
	Topographic Surveys —Spatial geo-referencing of control for remotely sensed data and other instrumentation requires cm-scale accuracy. The data collected during this effort will have a point data accuracy on the order of \pm 0.1 m horizontally and \pm 0.05 m vertically. Survey accuracy in the field will be maintained by horizontal and vertical checks of positional error between known reference points in the GCMRC control catalog utilizing the Arizona State Plane Coordinate System.			

Hydrographic Surveying Using Multibeam—Previous investigations have demonstrated the utility of single beam hydrographic surveying to detect bed elevation changes at the pool scale (Andrews and others, 1999; Hazel and others, 1999). Recent advances in hydrographic survey have made it possible to implement a multibeam system in the relatively shallow-water, logistically difficult environment of the Colorado River.

Airborne Remotely Sensed Data—This project cannot be completed without accurate digital overflight imagery such as LIDAR to accurately depict the terrestrial topography of the subreaches.

Change Detection Analysis—The combination of field based surveys, multibeam data, and processed LIDAR derived topographic points will be combined into one data set to detect topographic changes within the selected sub-reaches.

Spatial Distribution of Fine Sediment—Project will evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of side-scan sonar, multibeam topography, multibeam backscattering, underwater video transects, and underwater microscope for mapping distribution of fine sediment on the riverbed.

Grain Size of Fine Sediment—This will be measured using thousands of digital images collected by underwater microscope (in the channel) and hand-held camera (on sand bars). Quality control will be assured by collecting a smaller number of physical samples for traditional lab analyses.

Eolian/archaeology work—final reports will be completed.

(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK:

(Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)

- Develop an integrated spatial data base time series for FIST analysis activities covering the time periods: August, 2000; September, 2000; May, 2002; May, 2004; November, 2004; December, 2004 and May, 2005.
- Acquire, develop, and test data-processing methodologies and software packages for processing and analyzing data acquired for this project. Develop data processing and analysis techniques for underwater imagery surveys, LIDAR, multibeam sonar, and aerial photography.
- Conduct spatial analyses.
- Write paper detailing the methodologies for creating the composite surfaces and the composition of those surfaces.
- Compare 1996 and 2004 flood results with respect to sediment transport and bar response to be submitted to JGR-Earth Surface or similar journal.
- Complete processing and interpretation of digital imagery grain-size analysis.
- Compile results of work in a memo to managers.
- Complete final report on eolian/archaeology work.

(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT:

(Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)

Topographic, bathymetric, and remote-sensing data from reach-based fieldwork in 2002, 2004, and before and after the November 2004 BHBF test were processed, finalized, and delivered to GCMRC-DASA. Aeolian sand-transport data collected during 2005 were delivered to the GCMRC; final report on this work was

completed. Sandbar topographic and campsite-area data from fieldwork in 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 were also delivered to the GCMRC. During FY2006 and early FY2007, results from the FIST project were presented at (1) the 2005 Annual Meeting of the Geological Society of America, Salt Lake City, Utah, October 16-19, 2005; (2) the 2005 Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, California, December 5-9, 2005; (3) 8th Federal Inter-Agency Sedimentation Conference, Reno, Nevada, April 2-6, 2006, and (4) the 2006 Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, California, December 11-15, 2006. The project is now in its final stages with articles being prepared for publication.

Presentations were made to the TWG on May 24-25, 2006, on the results from the 2004 BHBF test and the results of the aeolian sand-transport/archaeology component of this project.

The following four papers and five abstracts were published during FY2006:

- Breedlove, M.J., Hazel, J.E., Kaplinski, M.A., Schmidt, J.C., Topping, D.J., Rubin, D.M., Fuller, A.E., Tusso, R., and Gonzales, F.M., 2005, Using an integrated, remotesensing methodology to evaluate the effects of dam operations on fine-grained sediment storage and sand bar restoration in Marble Canyon: EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 86, n., 52, p. F614.
- Draut, A.E., and Rubin, D.M, 2006, Measurements of Wind, Aeolian Sand Transport, and Precipitation in the Colorado River Corridor, Grand Canyon, Arizona -- January 2005 to January 2006: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006-1188, 88p, http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2006/1188/
- Draut, A. E. and Rubin, D. M. 2007. The role of aeolian sediment in the preservation of archaeological sites in the Colorado River corridor, Grand Canyon, Arizona-Final report on research activities, 2003-2006: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2007-1001, 141 pages, http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2007/1001/
- Rubin, D.M., Chezar, H., Harney, J.N., Topping, D.J., Melis, T.S., and Sherwood, C.R., 2006, Underwater microscope for measuring spatial and temporal changes in bed-sediment grain size: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006-1360, http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2006/1360/
- Rubin, D.M., Topping, D.J., Wright, S.A., and Melis, T.S., 2006, Incorporating bed-sediment grain size in predictions of suspended-sediment concentration: Three approaches tested using 20,000 bed-sediment grain-size measurements from the Colorado River in Grand Canyon: EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 87, n., 52, CD-ROM Fall Meeting Supplement, Abstract OS31A-1631.

(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED:

(Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)

			System- in the Co Park: Go Program	opping, D.J., Grams, P.E., wide changes in the distribution olorado River corridor of Geological Society of Americals, v. 37, n. 7, p. 331.	oution of fine rand Canyo a Abstracts	e sediment in National s with	
		Schmi	J.E., Ka T.S., 20 short-ter significa	opping, D.J., Rubin, D.M., I plinski, M.A., Wright, S.A., 05, High releases from Gle rm eddy-bar aggradation if int input of sediment from to stions, American Geophysic	Fuller, A.E. n Canyon I timed to co ributaries: E	, and Melis, Dam cause incide with EOS,	
		Toppir	S.A., Me sedimer 1996 an Colorad	tubin, D.M., Schmidt, J.C., lelis, T.S., and Kaplinski, M. nt-transport and bar-respond 2004 controlled-flood exportion of River in Grand Canyon: Ean Geophysical Union, v. 86	, 2005, Cor se results fo periments o EOS, Trans	nparison of rom the n the actions,	
		Toppir	Topping, D.J., Rubin, D.M., Schmidt, J.C., Hazel, J.E., Jr., Melis, T.S., Wright, S.A., Kaplinski, M., Draut, A.E., and Breedlove, M.J., 2006, Comparison of sediment-transport and bar-response results from the 1996 and 2004 controlled-flood experiments on the Colorado River in Grand Canyon: CD-ROM Proceedings of the 8th Federal Inter-Agency Sedimentation Conference, Reno, Nevada, April 2-6, 2006, ISBN 0-9779007-1-1.				
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	oort those items that	is to be Breedl finalize	A multi-part USGS Open-File Report describing data and methods is to be finalized during early 2007 (authors Hazel, Kaplinksi, and Breedlove). Two to three interpretive journal articles will be finalized during the spring-summer of 2007 (authors Rubin, Schmidt, and Topping).				
(Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or actindings of this projectommendations of the commendations of the commendation of the commendations of the commendation of the comme	(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project:			Final presentations, with recommendations for future monitoring, will be made to the TWG and AMWG during summer 2007. Findings of the project will be included in the recommendations report to the TWG in 2007 on long-term sediment monitoring.			
(14.) FY2006 BU				ORMATION COLLECTION		09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED G	RUSS BUDGET:	\$	271,625	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$257,348	
COMMENTS: (Discuss				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT		\$27,951 \$229,397	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	10110.	\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM / PI.)	/S/ Theodore S. Me	lis	TITLE:	Physical Sciences Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT A.4 ONGOING SUPPORT OF PROVISIONAL MONITORING REMOTE SENSING DATA ACQUISITION FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE SUBMISSION GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT *02/20/2007 DATE: **PROGRAM** USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center (1.) SUBMITTING AGENCY: (2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year **ID/OTHER NO.:** 2006 Budget & Work Plan, A.4 (GCMRC No. BNE4A) Ongoing Support of Provisional Monitoring Remote Sensing Data (3.) PROJECT TITLE: Acquisition (4.) PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION: GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Glenn E. Bennett Mailing Address: 2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff Investigator: E-mail: gbennett@usgs.gov State: ΑZ Zip Code: 86001 Telephone: (928) 556-7378 Delivery Address: Same as above FAX: (928) 556-7092 AZ Zip Code: 86001 Sediment and vegetation data are important at various scales to numerous scientists and resource managers. Past monitoring efforts have focused on expensive, large-scale, manual data collection aimed at small areas of the CRE. These were supplemented by collecting hard-copy aerial photography to help in manual interpretation. In FY2004, further development was made in automated processing of multi-spectral digital imagery to accurately map the two-dimensional distribution of fine-grained sediment deposits (sand) above 8,000 cfs on a canyon-wide basis. These products were derived from the system-wide digital overflight collected in May 2002, which offered a spatial resolution of 44 cm for the red, green, and blue color bands, 22 cm for the (5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: panchromatic band, and a horizontal accuracy (RMSE) of 30 cm. Digital elevation data accompanying the imagery provided 1-meter resolution with a vertical accuracy (RMSE) of approximately 40 cm as measured against survey data. An FY 2005 airborne remotesensing mission to replicate these data on a system-wide scale collection provides the necessary inputs to determine changes to the resources in the CRE between May 2002 and May 2005. Efforts in FY 2006 will focus on management of these digital data and preparation for serving both the 2002 and 2005 imagery so that change detection analyses can be accomplished in 2007 through 2008. The next system-wide overflight is proposed for FY 2009. In accordance with the DASA program's long-term monitoring goals, an airborne mission to collect digital imagery for the entire CRE from Glen Canyon Dam down to Lake Mead was implemented in May 2005. Those data, along with the 2002 imagery fulfill the proposal currently offered by GCMRC to collect system-wide aerial imagery approximately every 4 years as outlined in the draft Core Monitoring Plan. The main objective is (6.) OBJECTIVES: to work with the providers of the digital overflight data to ensure that proper delivery requirements are upheld, and to check data delivered against GCMRC data standards. The May 2005 dataset is similar to that collected in May 2002, using digital sensors mounted in a fixed-wing aircraft to collect data for the red, green, and blue (RGB) bands in the visible spectrum as well as near-

	infrared data. A Digital Surface Model (DSM) with a 1 mater sixel
	infrared data. A Digital Surface Model (DSM) with a 1-meter pixel resolution will also be a part of the dataset from this mission.
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP:	Goal 12
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	Data delivered by contractors must follow GCMRC guidelines as outlined in the "Data Standards and Delivery Requirements" document given to contractors of airborne remote sensing data. Initially data checking involves basic components such as the presence/absence, image formatting, file naming convention and readability of delivered files. Then data are viewed in both GIS (ESRI ArcMap) and remote sensing (ERDAS Imagine) software packages and analyzed for adherence to spatial data requirements such as proper image registration, spatial projection, image resolution, data value ranges for each band, orthorectification issues, and sufficient image overlap presence. Discrepancies and errors are documented and forwarded back to the remote sensing contractor for reworking and correction. Finally, an accuracy assessment of the final data delivery is performed for both the horizontal and vertical positioning of the remote sensing data using the GCMRC Geodetic Network Control (see Project D.3 – Logistics).
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)	The system-wide remote sensing mission occurred in May 2005 (with another flight proposed for 2009) and products expected to be delivered by the end of September 2005. During the first half of FY 2006, a quality and accuracy period will immediately follow the receipt of all deliverables and should take approximately 1-4 months, after which time existing automated procedures will be adapted for the newly acquired data and used to perform a variety of spatial analyses designed to determine changes to the resource over time. During the remainder of FY2006, the DASA staff will upgrade the various servers associated with the Oracle data base, so as to prepare for management and serving of the 2005 imagery. Some limited analyses of the imagery, relating to the November 2004 Experimental High-Flow Test, will also occur during FY2006, within the context of final analysis and reporting by the Fine-Grained Storage research project.
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)	Due to unforeseeable and uncontrollable climatic events (Hurricanes Katrina and Rita), the New Orleans-based contractors (3001, Inc.) were severely disadvantaged to carry on normal company operations and were unable to meet delivery deadlines of the May 2005 remote sensing data sets. Every effort was given on the part of GCMRC to accommodate those affected while continuing to assist the contractor in seeing the project through to the data delivery phase. By the close of FY2006, 18 months after data collection, approximately 95% of data for the May 2005 overflight had been delivered to GCMRC. These data were expected by the close of FY2005, and so the full assessment of the remote sensing mission was impossible to complete prior to the end of FY2006. An initial accuracy assessment was performed in July 2006 for some of the available data; however, due to the late delivery of the full data set, a final accuracy assessment will now be completed in FY2007. Several servers, including the Oracle database server, were upgraded to allow for more data storage for GIS and remote sensing work, including more storage space for the May 2005

		imaga	ar and ala	votion data acts			
				vation data sets.	Un a fall '		
			Despite all the contractual delivery issues, the following datasets are now available on GCMRC servers:				
(11.) REPORTS/		1.	(18cm)	-wide, Color Infra-Red (CIR	, 0		
	ables identified in the	2.	Canyon (18cm)	-wide, Red-Green-Blue (RC	GB) digital ir	magery	
AWP that have been report on all product deliverables identified.		3.	Canyon- resolution	-wide, digital surface mode on.	I (DSM) at 1	1-meter	
presentations, post databases, worksh	ter sessions, exhibits, ops, maps, website sion support systems,	and ma Experi Addition 2005 of	ade availa mental Hi onally, an lata was p	within FIST reaches were a able for analyses relating to gh-Flow Test for the secon- initial accuracy assessmen presented at the 26 th Annua	the Novem d half of FY t poster for I ESRI Inte	ber 2004 2006. the May rnational	
			Conference iego, CA.	e, August, 2006, San Diego	Conventio	n Center,	
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	All final data from the May 2005 overflight and resultant metadata will be stored in TIF format on a GCMRC server as well as loaded into the Oracle database and made available via GCMRC's Internet Map Server (IMS). [See DASA Database and GIS projects in FY2007 for more information].						
delivery dates.)		A comprehensive accuracy assessment of the May 2005 remote sensing data will completed in FY2007.					
(Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or ac findings of this proj recommendations	(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project;			It is recommended that future core monitoring remote sensing activities include travel for GCMRC on-site visits of contractors both before and after the data collection process to ensure that data standards and delivery requirements are followed from the onset through to completion of the contract. Additionally, 1 -2 GCMRC personnel should attend appropriate training relating to the writing and handling of large remote sensing contracts. Post-processing of raw data into GIS usable formats by GCMRC staff			
future program guid	dance, etc.)	may po	otentially _l	provide products with higher ally returned by contractors	er accuracy	standards	
				d to process Remote Sensi		πι μυσιιίυπ	
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT			ORMATION COLLECTION		09/30/2006	
	ROSS BUDGET:		\$32,877	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$84,396	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$84,396	
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$00	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	GCMRC contributed portion of appropria toward this effort in	ated funding		END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM / Pl.)	/S/ Glenn E. Bennet	t	TITLE:	DASA Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT A.5A SCIENCE SUPPORT OF ALL DATA STORAGE WITHIN GRAND CANYON INTEGRATED (ORACLE)
DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (DASA)

DATABASE MANAGEMEN							
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSIO DAT		*02/20/2007	
(1.) SUBMITTING AGI	ENCY:	USGS	- SBSC - Grand Ca	nyon Monitorir	ng & Resear	ch Center	
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP	Glen C	Canyon Dam Adaptiv	e Managemen	t Program, F	iscal Year	
ID/OTHER NO.:		2006 E	Budget & Work Plan,	A.5a	(GCMF	RC No. BNE4C)	
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			e Support of All Data ated (Oracle) Databa				
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR IN	ORMA	TION:				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Glenn E. Ben	nett	Mailing Address:	2255 North (Gemini Drive	, Flagstaff	
E-mail:	gbennett@us	gs.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address:	Same as ab			
FAX:	(928) 556-70		State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001	
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:	The need for a comprehensive database for information was recognized by the National in their initial review of the GCES Program during a second review in 1990. Extensive currently exists in the GCMRC collections conditions, quality, and relationships to othe Potentially equal amounts of data and informated museums, universities, agencies, etc. How information has not been organized, manal an analysis of the interrelationship among dam operations. The purpose of the GCMRC Database Matabase (DBMS) is to store and deliver all tabular and information has not been organized.			y the National Academy of Sciences ES Program in 1987, and reinforced 0. Extensive data and information collections relating to resource aships to other resources. Itata and information exist within ites, etc. However, much of this nized, managed, or integrated into ship among various resources and obstabase Management System		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Spatial Data Engine (SDE), gathered as the result of GCMRC investigations and legacy data. Developing the DBMS requires inventorying, organizing, archiving, and developing delivery systems for many years worth of environmental data collection activities representing a vast array of disparate data including physical, biological, cultural, socio-economic, and climatic information.					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Goal 1					
(8.) METHODOLOGY: babbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbb			Management of the Oracle database for GCMRC follows industry- standard relational database methodologies for database design and schema development, data entry, data storage, database back-ups, and data access elements. This is achieved through both manual and automated procedures, with new data management routines and greater accessibility to the data being implemented each year.				
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe and helpful.)	nual SOW to is identifiable.	addition be included Microsofthe Dispersion of the dispersion of t	datasets have been in all datasets in work uded in our DBMS at off Access database BMS program is curror disparate historicated in databases acrostand then deliver it to the databases acrostand in the deliver it to the databases acrostand in the deliver it to the databases acrostand the databases ac	ing progress. re organized in s, SAS, or othe ently working of late, collectes the southwes	The additionand Microsoft Ear proprietary to bringing to by multiplest, in an orga	al data yet to xcel files, y formats. ogether entities nized	

	I					
	researchers for decision making and modeling purposes. Delivering data in an automated fashion is key to the succe the DBMS. Accommodating such a task will be done utilized database driven web pages and ArcIMS, a web accessible allow access to our spatial data. These technologies can be integrated to deliver tabular and spatial data referenced three theoretical database.					
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)	Access to the Oracle database has been greatly increased within GCMRC during FY2006, allowing staff scientists to work more closely with their data as it is stored in the database. A new Aquatic Food Base schema was developed and hands-on training was provided to GCMRC staff and associated contractors to streamline data entry and analysis. Existing database tables were updated in the sediment and water schemas, including a reload of legacy data from sediment and LCR gages appended to the database. The water access page was revamped in FY2006 and is once again providing water data from several gages throughout the Grand Canyon basin.					
	Additionally, a significant effort was made to eliminate errors from existing databases and new field submissions, especially the native and nonnative fish data, which in turn has improved upon the response time for generating the Humpback Chub Assessment. Historical tag linking was achieved that extended the time line beyond any previous efforts; this allows an unprecedented view into the life history of endangered fish.					
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)	Water Acc several huSedimentLCR gage	cood Base schema develope cess page repaired and once indred thousand new values database schema updated information added to existing pase errors resolved, historical	e again ope s added. ng water sc	hema		
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.)	Future products will include increased web access to GCMRC database and new schemas to accommodate new datasets not yet incorporated into the GCMRC Oracle database.					
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)	The Grand Canyon Integrated Databases is currently understaffed owing to the DBMS position being vacated in January 2007, This position is necessary for basic database maintenance as well as the development of new procedures that will allow increased access to the database for scientists, resources managers, and the public alike.					
(14.) FY2006 BUDGET REPORT	FINANCIAL IN	ORMATION COLLECTION		09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED GROSS BUDGET:	\$157,105	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAI		\$138,928		
COMMENTS: (Discuss anomalies in		FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI		\$128,457		
the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)		FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	IONS:	\$10,471 \$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or /S/ Glenn E. Benr	nett TITLE:	DASA Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007		

submitted by PM /			
PI.)			

PROJECT A.5B ONGOING	DATA CONVE	RSION &	LIBRARY OPERATIONS	S (DASA)		
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSIO DATE		*02/20/2007
(1.) SUBMITTING AGI	ENCY:	USGS	- Grand Canyon Mo	nitoring & Resea	arch Station	
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRO ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		Canyon Dam Adaptive Budget & Work Plan,		•	scal Year C No. BNE4F)
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			ng Data Conversion		,	,
(4.) PRINCIPLE INVES	STIGATOR INF			a Library Operat		,
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Glenn Benne Stephanie W		Mailing Address:	2255 North Ge	emini Drive,	Flagstaff
E-mail:	gbennett@us	gs.gov	State:	AZ Z	Zip Code:	86001
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address:	Same as abov		
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ Z	Zip Code:	86001
(5.) STATEMENT OF	(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: long-tern archival damage review properties information repositors.			t GCMRC in its esearch. Many of copy exists, and am also coordinate high quality of the GCMRC library at a generated but the Colorado Ri	of these mated are at risk ates GCMR0 f the scientifry acts as they GCMRC s	erials are of loss or C's peer ic e physical ccientists as
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	Library operations facilitate monitoring and research by providing a centralized repository for hard copy information such as books, reports, maps, photography, and videos. The library has undertaken a project to convert all materials in the library to digital format and make them accessible and searchable on the GCMRC website. Having materials available through the website will allow multiple users to access data concurrently from remote locations as well as protect unique items from damage or loss. Coordinate independent scientific peer review at all levels of GCMRC scientific activities proposals, ongoing programs, publications, and other products providing a mechanism for ensuring the quality, credibility, and objectivity of GCMRC's scientific activities.					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	the ada	orary provides suppor aptive management	program and add	dresses all l	MOs and
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		The library catalogs all new materials that come from staff scientists, contractors, and cooperators as well items related to Grand Canyon, the Colorado River, and Adaptive Management. Library staff provides support to cooperators, contractors, and staff scientists by researching and obtaining current and legacy articles and reports related to science projects. Staff coordinates the peer review process for proposals and reports submitted to the GCMRC, by soliciting reviewers, tracking materials and timelines and ensuring confidentiality and lack of conflicts of interest. The scanning conversion project involves: - Scanning and converting paper reports into digital pdf files, making the documents searchable by using Optical Character				

	Recognition (OCR) software, and then posting the files in the library database on the GCMRC website. - Scanning all analog aerial film and photos using the Vexcel Ultrascan 5000. Digital results can then be used for 2D and 3D change detection. - Digitizing flight line maps to provide a searchable mechanism to locate individual scanned aerial photos. - Converting VHS tapes to DVDs - Scanning all legacy slides to create digital images using the Nikon SuperCoolScan scanner The Library continues to maintain an on-line library catalog which			
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)	provides access to more than 8,000 publications, catalog records of all materials, and provides monthly updates of new reports received in the library. Additionally, assistance is made available to cooperators, stakeholders, media contacts and the public by providing access to reports, aerial photos, maps, slides and photos in hardcopy and digital form. Library staff also uses research skills in locating contemporary and legacy materials, while the physical library location offers a research facility for visiting scientists, GMCRC employees, cooperators and the public.			
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)	Numerous new holdings have been added to the library in FY2006, ranging from hard copy texts to digital versions of research reports and peer-reviewed journal articles produced from GCMRC science and the Adaptive Management process. The GCMRC librarian also coordinates review activities for Protocol Evaluation Panels (PEPs) and research proposals and reports funded by the Center. In FY2006 this included 1PEP (sediment), 4 research proposals and 26 research reports. In the second half of FY2006, DASA library staff responded to a BOR data call, producing an inventory of all information collected in conjunction with adaptive management process since 1995.			
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)	Access to 8,000 hardcopy reports, 8000 photos and slides, and 700 videos in broadcast and VHS format. In additional, once the library scanning project is complete, this information will be available in digital format from the library via digital media such as DVD and on-line via the World Wide Web.			
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.)	Continued effort towards providing entire holdings with web access.			
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)	In addition to coordinating GCMRC's peer review process and normal library operations, continue conversion of hardcopy reports for web access, conversion of aerial photography for scientific analysis and change detection.			
(14.) FY2006 BUDGET REPORT	FINANCIAL INFORMATION COLLECTION DATE: 09/30/2006			
FY06 PLANNED GROSS BUDGET:	\$168,005 FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL BAL : \$168,038			

COMMENTS:			FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$56,541
(Discuss				IONS:	\$111,497
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Additional appropriated funds contributed toward this effort.		END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Glenn E. Bennett	TITLE:	DASA Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007

PROJECT A.6 ONGOING SUPPORT GIS GENERAL SUPPORT FOR INTEGRATED ANALYSES AND PROJECTS (DASA)

(DASA)						
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSION DA		*02/20/2007
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	ENCY:	USGS	- SBSC - Grand Ca	nyon Monitorii	ng & Researd	ch Center
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP	Glen C	Canyon Dam Adaptive	e Managemen	t Program, Fi	scal Year
ID/OTHER NO.:		2006 E	Budget & Work Plan,	A.6	(GCMRC	No. BNE4E)
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			ng Support GIS Gene ojects (DASA)	eral Support fo	r Integrated A	Analyses
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR INF	ORMA	TION:			
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Glenn E Benr Thomas Gush		Mailing Address:		Gemini Drive	
E-mail:	gbennett@us	gs.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001
Telephone:	(928) 556-73	78	Delivery Address:	Same as ab	ove	
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001
(5.) STATEMENT OF I	PROBLEM:	oriented and and cooper continu design the lev suppor analys allow a public mission. A main staff, codata the objecti remoted analys General the Wolling are designed.	aditional role of the G ad, providing spatial of ad, providing spatial of allysis support to the rators on both a plant ue functioning in this ated blocks of time to el of GIS support. The tr for more specific G is of available spatial access for staff scient to spatial data collect to spatial data collect n. The objective of GIS generated assists other GCN ves. It includes the re es, and create useful all support also encor orld Wide Web in the veloped for both focular	latabase deve science progres and an as capacity, it is is maintain and here is also a last application data. Additionates, contractored and stored meral support is agers with reliaded and stored and stored and stored and stored applications. The projects which is the agers with reliaded and stored and stored and stored applications of the agers with reliaded and stored applications. The projects which is the agers with reliaded and stored applications are applications.	lopment, programs and their ams and their needed basis imperative to draw in some cash and for a high development nally, a need ors, managers I as part of Go able, accurate with their goal and support of elop data sets by other projectess of spatet mapping sets	gramming, r s. To factor in ses, improve gher level of and exists to s, and the CMRC's GCMRC e spatial s and f GIS and , perform ects. ial data via ervices that
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MPD:	alike. Goal 1	2			
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		The comethod collection mapping digitizing source method ESRI f ASCII analys answer	ollection of spatial dat ds that include, but a ion missions, tradition ng using hardcopy m ng using previously of information, and thre ds. Spatial data are ile types (shape file, format. Methods use is will vary depending	re not limited to all survey and ap or pen table collecting removagh other stagenerally store coverage, geoed for spatial dog on the questinal	to, remote ser I GPS operati et computers ote sensing da indard data er ed in one of the odatabase) as ata processir ions that need	nsing data ons, field , on-screen ata as ntry ne standard well as in ng and d to be

	industry-standard best practices for new GIS data development, management, and storage of existing data, quality control of spatial data, preparation of data for analysis, and exporting data in various outputs including hard copy maps, river atlases for field use, and digital cartographic products for inclusion into peer-reviewed publications and professional presentations. Work performed by GIS personnel for physical, biological, and cultural resource projects include but are not limited to the following: Data entry and GIS database development, analysis of
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)	new and existing spatial data, map and graphic generation for field collection, presentation and publication purposes. This project also manages GCMRC's Internet Map Server (IMS) site, provides support for operation of GIS and remote sensing software applications, serves as a technical lead in the realm of spatial data collection, analysis, preparation, and metadata development as these concepts apply to other projects, and works closely with other DASA projects to mold a cohesive data management team.
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)	Great strides in the realm of field map development were achieved in FY2006, allowing for the automation of customized river atlases to support specific projects in the field. Examples of projects benefiting from this improved support include the Aquatic Food Base project and the Campsite Mapping project. This new development is also applicable to any GCMRC project in need of field maps for river trips. Improvements in the IMS system were also made during FY2006, including the development of a new research-based internet map service for the Fine-grained Integrated Sediment Team (FIST). This site was made available to FIST members and added
	features previously not possible, such as the integration of text documents, spreadsheets, and charts with the spatial data served through the website. This allowed team members stationed at different locations to view and query spatial and tabular data related to the project simultaneously.
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those	Numerous digital map outputs were created for peer-reviewed publications (~15 maps), as well as several map products created for professional presentations by GCMRC technical staff, scientists, and managers.
deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)	New GIS data layers were created for several projects including Aquatic Food Base, LCR HBC Monitoring, and Campsite Monitoring. Also, a new customized application for creating river trip maps was deployed in the July / August 2006 to improve on the quality and efficiency of river atlas production.
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.)	Once all data and metadata from May 2005 remote sensing mission has been received and thoroughly checked, these data will then be included into the Oracle Spatial Database Engine and made available via GIS (for GCMRC staff) and IMS (for outside parties).
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or	In FY2007, the GIS general support will work on upgrading the GIS software to a new version (9.2) and begin testing the applicability of a newly available module called ArcGIS Server. This module is expected to greatly improve on GMCRC's ability to serve not only large spatial datasets, but also linked tabular data from Oracle, spatial analyses developed by GCMRC staff and

		outputs including maps, charts and graphs related fic data in the Oracle database.				
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2007
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	186,017	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$167,852
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$125,932
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$41,920
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM / Pl.)	/S/ Glenn E. Benne	tt	TITLE:	DASA Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007

PROJECT A.7 COMPLET	ON OF CHANNE	L-MAPPI	NG PROJECT (DASA)			
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM		_	SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGI	ENCY:	USGS	– SBSC – Grand Ca	anyon Monitoring &	Research Center	
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRO ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP	Glen C 2006 E	Sanyon Dam Adaptiv Budget & Work Plan,	e Management Prog A.7	gram, Fiscal Year (GCMRC No. BNE4G)	
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		Compl	etion of Channel-Ma	pping Project (DAS	A)	
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR INI	FORMA	TION:			
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Glenn E. Ben	nett	Mailing Address:		ini Drive, Flagstaff	
E-mail:	gbennett@us		State:		Code: 86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address:	Same as above		
FAX:	(928) 556-70		State:		Code: 86001	
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:	hydrog rotating prepar		d in 2001, including GCMRC's modern g to GIS / Oracle data	translating and leodetic control and lbase.	
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	This project is intended to provide full channel geometry map coverage of the portions of the main channel between Glen Canyon Dam and Phantom Ranch. The FY 2006 effort is intended to complete the channel mapping work that was initially started in FY 2001. The remaining work mostly consists of manipulating the previously processed hydrographic data to fit the modern geodetic control network and then using GIS procedures to combine the terrestrial portion of the topographic data derived system-wide from the May 2002 overflight with the multi-beam bathymetric (aquatic) topographic data collected by the Remote-Sensing Coordinator. Once completed, these combined topographic channel models are used to support flow and sediment model simulations aimed at prediction of physical habitat conditions that evolve under differing scenarios of dam releases and fine-sediment supply conditions. These topographic models can also be used to determine changes in sediment storage in the main channel when repeat mapping is conducted for similar areas in the future (related to					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Goal 1	2			
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		Methods for completing this project involve finalizing the processed hydrographic data and performing a standard translation and rotation into the modern geodetic control for all processed data. Additionally, metadata is to be developed for each HyPack project (or pool) and exported to X, Y, Z text files Completed and adjusted text files can than be imported into GI (ESRI format) and incorporated into automated procedures designed to combine topographic and hydrographic data sets i one channel map surface.				
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the an the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe	nual SOW to is identifiable.	hydrog the X, control	c tasks include any f graphic data using Hy Y, Z positional value into the modern geo C. Documentation o	Pack software, trans s from the older 200 odetic control currer	nslating and rotation 01 GCES survey ntly used by	

		_					
and helpful.)		time, and lead to the development of Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC)-compliant metadata that sufficiently captures the procedures involved in this portion of the project. The final adjusted data is to then be exported at defined resolutions (2-meter, 50 cm, 25 cm) into a GIS-friendly, ASCII text format. From there data will be incorporated into existing GIS automated procedures that combine the hydrographic data with existing topographic data (May 2002) to form channel map surfaces.					
(10.) PROGRESS (Describe how each AWP was/was not initial findings and to a description of any from the AWP Scope	FY200 the GIS	6. All dat	e been processed and this particle been processed and this project and Integrated Analysis.	ect will be h	andled by		
(11.) REPORTS/II COMPLETE (Include all deliveral AWP that have been report on all product deliverables identifications, post databases, workshot contributions, decisions newsletters, etc.)	No rep	No reports have been generated in association with this project.					
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	oort those items that	None planned.					
(13.) RECOMME (Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or ac findings of this proje	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	Single and Multi-beam sonar hydrographic data have proven useful for Sediment and Flow modeling, and have a potential to help Foodbase studies. If this project was funded and wrapped into the recommended internal Overflight processing position, a continuum of institutional processing knowledge could be created in one staff position.					
(14.) FY2006 BU		FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2007	
FY PLANNED GI			\$32,877	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$32,585	
COMMENTS:			. ,-	FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI		\$11,174	
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$21,411	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM / PI.)	/S/ Glenn E. Benne	tt	TITLE:	DASA Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.1 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING - TERRESTRIAL ACTIVITIES (KAS AND SWWF) FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE SUBMISSION GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT *02/20/2007 DATE: **PROGRAM** (1.) SUBMITTING AGENCY: USGS - SBSC - Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center (2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year **ID/OTHER NO.:** 2006 Budget & Work Plan, B.1 (GCMRC No. BNE1A) Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Terrestrial Activities (KAS and (3.) PROJECT TITLE: (4.) PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION: GCMRC Program Matthew Andersen / Manager / Principal Dan Cox. AGFD Mailing Address: 2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff Investigator: **Project Coordinator** E-mail: mandersen@usgs.gov State: ΑZ Zip Code: 86001 Telephone: (928) 556-7379 Delivery Address: Same as above Α7 FAX: (928) 556-7092 Zip Code: 86001 To address the AMWG needs associated with KAS requires site visits to Vasey's Paradise. Snails are associated with specific types of vegetation and particular locations at the spring. Knowing the extent of available habitat is necessary to determine snail densities and for the development of a biological opinion in the event of a high flow. Changes in snail numbers can be associated with changes in vegetation. By monitoring the vegetation at (5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: Vasey's Paradise, the snails are indirectly monitored, because if the preferred habitat is present then one might assume that snails are present. Total habitat can be measured using remote methods, but the composition of the habitat may still require onthe-ground sampling. Sampling at Vasey's Paradise can also provide spring data in support of Goal 6, which is related to the protection and improvement of riparian and spring communities. To determine extent and kind of vegetation that exists as habitat for (6.) OBJECTIVES: the Kanab ambersnail and to track the abundance and distribution of KAS at Vasey's Paradise. (7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP: Related to Goal 5 The standardized methods of Stevens and others (1997) will be used to conduct population and habitat surveys at the site during biannual surveys. In addition, the Autumn survey will sample the upper vegetation zone snail population above 100,000 cfs (2.833 (8.) METHODOLOGY: cms) stage discharge height. Less-invasive sampling techniques as proposed by Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center (GCMRC) and in Sorensen (2001) will be used as requested. Field data will be entered into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and/or Access database, and adhere to GCMRC data standards. Sample vegetation plots at Vasey's Paradise to determine patch composition and extent (Spring and Fall of each year). (9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF Sample for the presence of snails in plots. WORK: Survey vegetated area using traditional survey methods. (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to Document area of habitat and individual patches (Spring and Fall the extent that the project is identifiable. of each year). Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.) Enter data and conduct quality control on data entry. Provide data

(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT:

to GCMRC for vegetation analysis.

Surveys of habitat were completed in spring and fall of 2006.

AWP was/was not r	inal results. Include a ignificant deviation	Annua	Survey data are being reduced and mapping of area is in progress. Annual report pending completion of survey data. Attended Kanab Ambersnail Working Group meeting in Spring 06.					
(11.) REPORTS/F COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identific presentations, poste databases, worksho contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)		Annual report in preparation. Presentation to Grand Canyon River Guides annual meeting in March 2006						
PLANNED: (See above, but rep	(See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected			Final report expected to be completed March 30, 2007				
	ndations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; recommendations		Maintain biannual monitoring of Kanab ambersnail in Grand Canyon					
(14.) FY2006 BUI	OGET REPORT	FINAN	CIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED GF			\$88,832	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$79,163		
COMMENTS: (Discuss	The cooperative agr			FISCAL YEAR EXPENDIFISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT		\$60,472 \$11,885		
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)				END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$6,806		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM / PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. Ande	ersen TITLE:		Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/05/2007		

PROJECT B.2 CONTINUED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT – AQUATIC PRODUCTIVITY, ORGANIC MASS BALANCE, AND FOOD WEB LINKAGE STUDIES (LINKING WHOLE-SYSTEM CARBON CYCLING TO QUANTITATIVE FOOD WEBS IN THE COLORADO RIVER) 1 OF 2

FISCAL YEAR 200	FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT					*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCV.	LICCC (LISCS SPSC Grand Capyon Monitoring & Passarch Contor					
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC			USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year					
ID/OTHER NO.:	AVVE							
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:	Continued Organic M whole-sys	2006 Budget & Work Plan, B.2 (Report 1 of 2) (GCMRC No. BNE9A) Continued Research and Development – Aquatic Productivity, Organic Mass Balance, and Food Web Linkage Studies (Linking whole-system carbon cycling to quantitative food webs in the Colorado River)						
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR INF							
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator: Matthew E. A / Kennedy, G Hall, Univ of N Rosi-Marshal Univ., Baxter St.		CMRC WY II, Loyola	Mailing Address:	2255 North G	Gemini Drive	, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	mandersen@)usgs.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
Telephone:	(928)556-737	79	Delivery Address:	Same as abo	Same as above			
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	basal resources with invertebrates and fishes need to be resolved before GCMRC can develop an effective and efficient food base monitoring program. Determine carbon budget and quantitative food webs for the CRE. Quantitative food webs identify trophic linkages connecting basal resources with top predators and also flux along these trophic pathways.						
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Related to	o Goal 1.					
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	This project incorporates stable isotope and diet analysis of invertebrates and fish to identify trophic pathways. Flux along trophic pathways will be quantified by calculating invertebrate densities and estimating production and growth, and also estimating rates of food consumption by fish using bioenergetic approaches. Whole stream metabolism, terrestrial litter inputs from the riparian corridor, and allocthonous inputs from tributary flooding events will be measured to assess the carbon budget for the CRE. Lastly, these data will be incorporated into a bioenergetics model for the aquatic ecosystem.							
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe and helpful.)	Monthly sampling of algal and invertebrate biomass, benthic organic matter, whole system metabolism, and transported organic matter will be conducted at Glen Canyon and Diamond Creek. Transported organic matter will be sampled on the Paria River during episodic flooding events. Four times per year the above samples will be collected at sites within the CRE during river trips. Four times per year samples of basal resources, invertebrates and fishes will be collected from all sites (Glen Canyon, Diamond Creek, and sites in the Grand Canyon) and analyzed for stable isotopes and gut contents to determine trophic							

AWP was/was not initial findings and i	h task identified in the met and summarize final results. Include v significant deviation	Diamo inverte a bioer Site se 2006. in FY0 measu always downs domina inverte	nd Creek brate production and All of the Green read the caste biorate brate production and the caste the caste the caste brate biorate products and the caste brate products and the caste products are products and the caste products and the caste products are products and the caste products and the caste products are products and the caste products and the caste products are products and the caste pr	ebrate growth rates will be and Glen Canyon to facilit duction. All of these data with model for the CRE. Indicate the CRE and sampling methodology will be ampling tasks were findings are: 1) that opensare feasible in the CRE and Glen Canyon and considerations, 2) tributary inputs of the room of the control of the cont	ate calcula will be used was finalize completed system me d algae pro ably lower a organic man m reaches cremely hig	tion of d to develop ed in April as planned tabolism eduction is along atter , and 3)		
(11.) REPORTS/I COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identifi, presentations, post databases, worksh contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)		Canyon and extremely low in downstream reaches. None. Work on this project was started in February 2006.						
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but rep	(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected At			Draft annual report will be completed by Feb. 28, 2007. A presentation of results will be made at the winter meeting of the American Society of Limnology and Oceanography in February. At least five presentations of results will be made at the annual meeting of the North American Benthological Society.				
(13.) RECOMME (Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or ac findings of this proj	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	Contin a usefi # 04H0 project	Continue project. If hydrogen stable isotope analysis proves to be a useful tracer of trophic linkages (see report for Coop Agreement # 04HQAG0122), it is recommended that the budget for this project be increased by \$10,000 annually to cover the additional cost of analyzing samples for hydrogen stable isotopes.					
(14.) FY2006 BU		FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTIO	N DATE:	09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED G		\$	403,898	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	AL BAL:	\$373,670		
COMMENTS.				FISCAL YEAR EXPEND	ITURES:	\$159,293		
COMMENTS: (Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	and the following report for Proje B.2, Aquatic Food Base. Year end funds are a result of partially funding a cooperative agreement		Project ear end y ement	END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$69,625 \$144,752		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. Andersen TITLE:			Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007		

PROJECT B.2 CONTINUED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT – AQUATIC PRODUCTIVITY, ORGANIC MASS BALANCE, AND FOOD WEB LINKAGE STUDIES (ELUCIDATING AQUATIC AND TERRESTRIAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF ORGANIC CARBON TO THE COLORADO RIVER ECOSYSTEM USING STABLE HYDROGEN ISOTOPES) 2 OF 2

FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA	6 PROJECT	REPOR	T FOR THE	SUBMISSION	*02/20/2007				
PROGRAM	AW ADAF II	V L IVIAIN	AGLIVILIVI	DATE:	02/20/2007				
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	ENCY:	USGS -	USGS - SBSC - Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center						
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year							
ID/OTHER NO.:					2) (GCMRC No. BNE9A)				
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:	Organic I (Elucidati Carbon to Isotopes)	Continued Research and Development – Aquatic Productivity, Organic Mass Balance, and Food Web Linkage Studies (Elucidating Aquatic and Terrestrial Contributions of Organic Carbon to the Colorado River Ecosystem Using Stable Hydrogen Isotopes)							
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR INF	ORMATIC	ON:						
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. A / Kennedy, G Sabo, ASU		Mailing Address:	2255 North Gerr	nini Drive, Flagstaff				
E-mail:	mandersen@	usgs.gov	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001				
Telephone:	(928) 556-737	74	Delivery Address:	Same as above					
FAX:	(928) 556-709	92	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001				
(5.) STATEMENT OF I	PROBLEM:	in order to develop an effective and efficient food base monitoring program. Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen are a tool that is commonly used to resolve these trophic linkages, but if algae and terrestrial carbon sources have similar isotopic signatures this technique will not work. Stable isotopes of hydrogen might be a useful tracer of trophic linkages because algae and terrestrial carbon sources tend to have different hydrogen isotope signatures.							
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Validate utility of hydrogen stable isotopes as a tracer of trophic linkages							
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	If hydrogen stable isotopes prove to be a useful tracer of trophic linkages, the larger food base project (Dr. Robert Hall, PI) will incorporate use of this technique into their project.							
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	Collect samples of basal resources, invertebrate consumers, and top predators (fish) and process them for carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen stable isotope signatures and determine whether hydrogen stable isotopes can be used to resolve trophic linkages connecting carbon sources with invertebrate and fish consumers.								
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe	nual SOW to is identifiable.	Collect samples of basal resources, invertebrate consumers, and top predators from 10 sites throughout the canyon during clear water conditions and again during turbid water conditions. During clear water conditions algae is likely to be plentiful and may be an							

and helpful.)		important food resource for invertebrates and ultimately fishes. During turbid water conditions terrestrial carbon is likely to be plentiful and may be an important food resource for invertebrates and ultimately fishes.					
AWP was/was not initial findings and f	h task identified in the met and summarize inal results. Include v significant deviation	predate June (e were c minimi	ors were on the collear water of the collected of the col	al resources, invertebrate of collected from 10 sites throat er) and in September (turbing an already scheduled for al costs of this project. Sall and analyzed for stable is	oughout the d water). So od base rive amples are controlled the co	canyon in amples er trip to currently	
(11.) REPORTS/I COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identifi presentations, post databases, workshic contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)	None.	Work wa	s begun late in FY06.				
(12.) REPORTS/IF PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	A presentation of results will be made at the winter meeting of the American Society of Limnology and Oceanography in February. A draft final report is expected by September 30, 2007. At least two peer-reviewed publications are expected to be produced as a result of this research.						
findings of this proje	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	useful food ba additio isotope linkage	This is a 1-year project. If hydrogen stable isotopes prove to be a useful tracer of trophic linkages, it is recommended that the main food base project (Dr. Robert Hall, PI) use this technique, in addition to already planned use of carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes and gut content analysis, to aid their research on trophic linkages. Cost of adding hydrogen stable isotope analysis to main food base project would be approximately \$10,000 annually.				
(14.) FY2007 BUI	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTIO	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED GI	ROSS BUDGET:	See	e above.	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		NA	
COMMENTS: (Discuss				FISCAL YEAR ORLIGAT		NA NA	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Refer to budget in	previous report.		END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		NA NA	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.3 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING - STATUS AND TRENDS OF DOWNSTREAM FISH COMMUNITY FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE **SUBMISSION** GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT *02/20/2007 DATE: **PROGRAM** USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center (1.) SUBMITTING AGENCY: (Cooperating with USFWS, AGFD, and SWCA) Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year 2006 (2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP Budget & Work Plan, B.3 **ID/OTHER NO.:** (GCMRC No. BNE8A) (3.) PROJECT TITLE: Ongoing Provisional Monitoring – Status And Trends Of Downstream Fish Community (4.) PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION: Matthew E. Andersen / GCMRC Program Coagins, USGS Manager/ Principal Sponholtz, USFWS Mailing Address: 2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff Persons, AGFD Investigator: Lauretta, SWCA Α7 E-mail: mandersen@usgs.gov State: Zip Code: 86001 (928) 556-7379 Same as above Delivery Address: Telephone: FAX: (928) 556-7092 State: Zip Code: 86001 ΑZ The downstream fish community is an assemblage of native and nonnative fish that occur in the Colorado River ecosystem. This assemblage is exclusive of the trout fishery that is managed in Glen Canyon by the Arizona Game and Fish Department. The constituents include four native fish and introduced competitors/predators like rainbow trout, brown trout, channel catfish, carp, and other nonnative (5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: forms. The status and trends of the fishery are regulated by biotic and abiotic mechanisms that may in turn be affected by the operations of Glen Canyon Dam. Monitoring basic population statistics including recruitment, abundance, and distribution of native and nonnative fishes provide the fundamental information necessary to assess the status of these resources and the attainment of program goals and objectives. Provide a baseline of fish abundance indices that can be used for longterm, species, and community-based change detection. (6.) OBJECTIVES: Obtain mark-recapture information for humpback chub abundance estimation. (7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP: Related to Goal 2 Sampling in the mainstem Colorado River is conducted using electrofishing, trammel netting, hoopnetting, and seining. Sampling in the Little Colorado River is conducted using hoopnetting. Data are utilized to compute abundance indices and presence/absence by (8.) METHODOLOGY: species and major geomorphic reach. Mark-recapture data are used to compute humpback chub abundance estimates according to methods summarized in Coggins and others. 2006. (9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF Five sampling trips in the mainstem Colorado River and four sampling WORK: trips in the Little Colorado River to collect data on fish abundance (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the indices, species composition, length composition, and mark-recapture extent that the project is identifiable. Include

information.

specific tasks where appropriate and

helpful.)

findings and final resu	ask identified in the et and summarize initial ults. Include a nificant deviation from	incorpo Results trout ar	All sampling trips occurred as scheduled and data have been incorporated into the GCMRC long-term fish monitoring database. Results continue to suggest depressed relative abundance of rainbow trout and increased abundance of flannelmouth sucker and bluehead sucker relative to previous years.						
(11.) REPORTS/PF COMPLETED (Include all deliverabl AWP that have been on all products beyon identified. Include re, poster sessions, exhi workshops, maps, we decision support system	Trip reports have been submitted to GCMRC. Preliminary data from 2006 were incorporated into an update given by Rogers to the AMWG in 2006.								
PLANNED: (See above, but repo	(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery			Draft final report received from US Fish and Wildlife Service. Remaining annual reports will be submitted in early 2007.					
recommendations for modification of project activities resulting from	t, other studies, or m findings of this tions for MRP changes	Downstream monitoring will be modified during 2007 to allow for sampling in association with a concurrent abundance estimator of the LCR population of humpback chub.							
(14.) FY2006 BUD	GET REPORT	FINAN	CIAL INFO	ORMATION COLLECTION D	ATE:	09/30/2006			
FY PLANNED GRO			917,884	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL		\$827,436			
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITU	JRES:	\$125,008			
(Discuss anomalies in the budget:				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGATION	NS:	\$702,428			
expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00			
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. Ander	sen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	02/02/2007				

PROJECT B.4 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING - STATUS & TRENDS OF LEES FERRY TROUT								
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON D PROGRAM		SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007					
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:		USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center with cooperation from Arizona Game and Fish Department					
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRO ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		yon Dam Adaptive get & Work Plan,	Management Proo B.4	gram, Fiscal Year (GCMRC No. BNE8B)			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		Ongoing F Trout	Provisional Monito	ring – Status & Tre	nds of Lees Ferry			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVE	STIGATOR IN	FORMATIC	ON:					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. A W. Persons	andersen /	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gem	ini Drive, Flagstaff			
E-mail:	mandersen@)usgs.gov	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001			
Telephone:	(928) 556-78	79	Delivery Address:	Same as above				
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001			
(5.) STATEMENT OF	Department. This fishery re economic resource. Flanner rainbow trout. Ecology of re is strongly influenced by op Direct and derived metrics. Lees Ferry rainbow trout fish			managed by Arizona Game and Fish epresents an important recreational and elmouth suckers and carp coexist with nonnative rainbow trout in the tailwater perations of Glen Canyon Dam. for assessing status and trends of the shery are estimated for the purpose of se metrics include catch-rate, length ck density, and condition factor of fish.				
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Related to Goal 4						
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	(8.) METHODOLOGY:		Primary method uses electrofishing as the sampling method over multiple nights, which occurs tri-annually. Electrofishing equipment and trained operators are contracted personnel through the GCMRC logistical contract. As of FY01 this monitoring project has used a random stratified sampling approach based on shoreline habitat characteristics for site selection. Randomly selected sites (27) of this augmented, serially alternating sampling design are intended to afford representative estimates of fishery status, whereas fixed components (9) ensure continuity with trend data from previous years.					
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the an the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe and helpful.)	nual SOW to is identifiable.	Conduct tri-annual trips to collect information on the relative abundance, length frequency, proportional stock density, and condition factor, diet, growth, and fish health of rainbow trout in the Lees Ferry reach.						
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)		All sampling trips occurred as scheduled and data have been incorporated into the GCMRC long-term fish monitoring database. Results continue to show depressed relative abundance of rainbow trout and increased condition factor.						
(11.) REPORTS/PROD COMPLETED:	UCTS							

(Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identiff presentations, post databases, worksh contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)	Trip reports have been submitted to GCMRC. Data have been incorporated into the GCMRC long-term database.								
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED:				ill be submitted in early 200	7.				
(Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or ac findings of this proj	(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or			Continue long-term monitoring, conduct Protocol Evaluation Panel in 2007.					
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	09/30/2007					
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	156,492	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAI	L BAL:	\$142,712			
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$69,111			
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$73,601			
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00			
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007			

PROJECT B.5 COMPLET	ION OF HABITAT	Map and I	NVENTORY IN SUP	POR	T OF MONITO	RING			
	FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REP GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE M PROGRAM				UBMISSIC DAT		*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:	USGS -	USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center						
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMR(CAWP		nyon Dam Adapti			Program, F	iscal Year		
ID/OTHER NO.:			dget & Work Plan			,	C No. BNE8U)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			on of Habitat Ma	p an	d Inventory	in Support c	f Monitoring		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVE	STIGATOR INF	ORMATIC	ON:						
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. Ar Barbara Ralsto Biologist		Mailing Address	s:	2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff				
E-mail:	mandersen@u	usgs.gov	State:		AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-737	9	Delivery Addres	SS:	Same as a	bove			
FAX:	(928) 556-709	2	State:		AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:	and serve (e.g., lizal vegetation abundant dam oper like tama available	riparian vegetation of the seas a host to te to the seas a host to te to the seas as a host to the seas as a h	erres ving t in ch ripar nclud of v	trial inverteb the distributi nanges obse ian vegetation de the propa regetation al	orates and voon and cove erved in verto on are associ agation of ex so affects th	ertebrates er of riparian ebrate ciated with cotic species de area		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Provide a baseline of vegetated and open terrestrial habitat that can be used for long-term, community-based change detection. Provide a vegetation map of the river corridor that uses a uniform hierarchical vegetation classification system that is compatible with NPS park units and AMP program purposes. The vegetation data will be compared with historic aerial photographs to detect and study changes.							
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Related to Goal 6							
(8.) METHODOLOGY: (8.) METHODOLOGY: Vith (E) gri			nity identification vare used to reconire scale. Data at the dominant platfor each plot is don't ype. A minimity (12 types identity (12 types identity (12 types identity and many multivariation of classification variable in an impossible in an	rd re rant s are rant s eper rum contified te st imun rum re re se tamen re rant e e accies	elative cover recorded as pecies is also dent on the of 20 sample d in 2002). The atistics (ordinities along the se supervise processing will be select se map. Clanarisk, bacch acia, arrowwas will be deter	Cover sca categorical co recorded. abundance es will be tak These data v nation techr he river corr ed classifica software pa cted from pro- asses that wi naris/salix, weed and bat ermined and	les use a data, but Number of of the en for each will be siques) to idor. tion routines ckage evious ill likely be		

		Quantification of changes in riparian communities will be done using a Geographic Information Systems (GIS) platform (ArcMap ESRI, Inc. 2002).				
the extent that the	ATEMENT OF the annual SOW to project is identifiable. ks where appropriate	Complete digital map and corresponding report explaining methods and results				
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.) draft form wa identified six vegetated are wetland cove ha. Reach base previously, we area, at least loss of sedim				implete except for metadata g for co-author comments. getation classes for the river varies by reach. Tamarisk of 227 ha., and Baccharis/co d effects appear to override in showed distance from dar h respect to marsh commu- in the system may have re ream pattern.	Mapping er corridor. covered 49 yote willow patterns om affected nities. The	ffort Total 4 ha., covered 94 bbserved vegetated elong-term
COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identifi presentations, post databases, worksho	The complete of the colorado River. Allochthonous inputs to the Colorado River. Allochthonous results presented at International River Science Meeting in 2006. Allochthonous inputs results developed into manuscreport on all products beyond those reliverables identified. Include reports, resentations, poster sessions, exhibits, retabases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, ewsletters, etc.) Draft final report in prep. Map used to estimate annual allochthonous inputs to the Colorado River. Allochthonous results presented at International River Science Meeting in 2006. Allochthonous inputs results developed into manuscreport in prep. Map used to estimate annual allochthonous inputs to the Colorado River. Allochthonous results presented at International River Science Meeting in 2006. Allochthonous inputs results developed into manuscreport and parts submitted for per review journal. Vegetation database forms a basemap of review journal. Vegetation database forms a basemap of review journal. Vegetation database forms a basemap of review journal vegetation for river corridor. May be utilized by GRCA for vegetation mapping program.					nous inputs ng in August nuscript for I report r peer o of riparian
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	oort those items that	Final report anticipated as Open File report in 2007. Portions of report to be submitted for peer review journal. Vegetation database forms a basemap of riparian vegetation for river corridor. May be utilized by GRCA for part park vegetation mapping program.				
findings of this proje	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	Use 2005 imagery to determine feasibility of change detection as a monitoring tool for woody riparian vegetation.				
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	CIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:		\$16,632	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAI		\$15,801
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI		\$15,800
(Discuss anomalies in the				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$00
budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	\$ 01	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager DATE:		02/02/2007

PROJECT B.6 COMPLETION OF EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENT - SPAWNING REDDS AND SUPPRESSION MECHANISMS

MECHANISMS									
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON D PROGRAM		SUBMISS DA	ION TE:	*02/20/2007					
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:	USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center in cooperation with Ecometric Research							
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRO ID/OTHER NO.:	CAWP		nyon Dam Adaptive dget & Work Plan,		-	am, Fiscal Year (GCMRC No. BNE8V)			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		on of Experimental sion Mechanisms	Treatment - S	Spawnin	ng Redds and				
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVE	STIGATOR INF	ORMATIC	ON:						
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. Ar Josh Korman	ndersen /	Mailing Address:	2255 North	n Gemin	ni Drive, Flagstaff			
E-mail:	mandersen@u	usgs.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode: 86001			
Telephone:	(928) 556-737		Delivery Address:	Same as a	above				
FAX:	(928) 556-709	2	State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode: 86001			
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:	from January through March. A study was conducted during this period to measure the impact of the flows on the early life stages of rainbow trout below Glen Canyon Dam. The study measured timing and distribution of redd excavation across elevations, quantified spawning habitat preferences with depth, velocity, and substrate relative to changes in discharge, estimated trends in trout fry recruitment and survival in Glen Canyon, and lastly surveyed for redd and fry in the mainstem below Lees Ferry to the Little Colorado River confluence to evaluate natural reproduction in the mainstem. The results and conclusions provide hypotheses about the influence of fluctuating flows that will be tested during a return to MLFF operations in 2006.							
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	 There are three main objectives of this project: To estimate the additional incubation mortality that resulted from the higher daily fluctuations of the 2003-2005 JanMar. experimental flows relative to normal fluctuations over those months under ROD; and To document the growth, habitat use, movement, and survival rates of age-0 rainbow trout in the Lees Ferry reach, and to relate these dynamics to flow regulation from Glen Canyon Dam; To provide annual estimates of the number of egg deposition and the size of the age-0 population in the Lees Ferry reach. Over time, this time series will be very helpful 								
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP: Related to Goals 4 and 2					uit popu	ialiUII.			
(8.) METHODOLOGY	Related to Goals 4 and 2 Methods detailed in Korman and others, 2005. Eleven redd surveys were conducted in 2006 between Jan. 19 th and June 8 th . Intergravel temperature measurements were collected at two sites over the entire spawning and incubation season. Five age-0								

		estima		nducted between July 9 th a eing determined from a sam tructure.			
				veys and five fry surveys we s are currently being condu		cted in 2006.	
AWP was/was not r	n task identified in the met and summarize inal results. Include a ignificant deviation	were d	lelayed be stimates w	s were conducted as planne ecause we investigated usin vill be completed by Mar. 20 underway.	ig another	ageing lab.	
AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identifi presentations, poste databases, worksho	D: bles identified in the n completed and ts beyond those ed. Include reports, er sessions, exhibits,					to the TWG SCMRC staff	
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED:	oort those items that	A total of four manuscripts will be delivered to GCMRC by Dec. 31, 2007					
	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; recommendations	Recom	Recommend continuing this work in 2007.				
(14.) FY2006 BUI	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED GF	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	5157,732	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$144,214	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI		\$62,870	
(Discuss anomalies in the				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$81,344	
budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.7 MECHANIC	CAL REMOVAL O	f N onnati	VE FISH				
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON D PROGRAM				SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:		SGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center th cooperation from AZGFD				
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMR(ID/OTHER NO.:	CAWP		nyon Dam Adaptive dget & Work Plan,	e Management Prog B.7	gram, Fiscal Year (GCMRC No. BNE8D)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			cal Removal of No		,		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVE							
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. Ar L. Coggins	ndersen /	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gen	nini Drive, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	mandersen@u	usgs.gov	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-787	79	Delivery Address	: Same as above			
FAX:	(928) 556-709	92	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF	Trends in the abundance and recruit River population of humpback chub's been in decline for over a decade. F decline are unknown but may include competition) with nonnative fishes, in sub-optimal mainstem water tempera in the mainstem Colorado and Little of near-shore rearing habitat in the n Though it is unknown which factor(s) humpback chub mortality, it is likely the fish are a contributing element.			ick chub suggest the ecade. Factors cor ay include: interactifishes, infection by the temperatures, hydrod Little Colorado I at in the mainstem (and factor(s) are most is likely that interacent.	is population has a stributing to this on (predation and/or nonnative parasites, drological conditions Rivers, and decline Colorado River. responsible for etions with nonnative		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	In conjunction with a blocked experimental design with treatments including GCD operations, release temperatures, and nonnative removal, evaluate the effect of nonnative fish on humpback chub population dynamics Evaluate the efficacy of nonnative control in the Colorado River Evaluate the diet and piscivory of nonnative fishes in the removal reaches of the Colorado River.						
(7.) RELATIONSHIP 1	O MRP:		to Goal 2				
(8.) METHODOLOGY: San a de frequencies fr			Sample near-shore habitats with standard electrofishing methods in a depletion framework to estimate species composition, length frequency, abundance, and capture probability. Euthanize all captured nonnative fishes. Sample near-shore habitat using hoop nets to estimate species composition, length-frequency, and relative abundance.				
(9.) ANNUAL STATEN WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks who and helpful.)	nual SOW to is identifiable. ere appropriate	specific reaches of the Colorado River. Estimate species					
(10.) PROGRESS STA (Describe how each task AWP was/was not met ar initial findings and final re description of any signific	identified in the od summarize sults. Include a	schedule River abo	ed for implementation ove and below the	mouth of the Little	mental effort e mainstem Colorado Colorado River. The project was initiated		

from the AWP Scop	ne of Work.)	because rainbow trout in this reach were thought to pose a significant threat to native fishes, especially humpback chub. While all nonnative fishes captured during this project were removed, the majority of fish captured and removed were rainbow trout. Hoop nets were also deployed in the reach in order to monitor small bodied fishes not usually captured by electrofishing, the primary method employed. In 2006, the numbers of rainbow trout captured dropped dramatically as compared to previous years. For example, by August 2005, 2,171 rainbow trout had been captured and removed by this project. A total of 2,422 rainbow trout were removed in 2005. By comparison, by August 2006, only 945 rainbow trout had been captured and removed. Therefore, the decision was made to not conduct electrofishing on the final trip of the year in September 2006, although hoop nets were deployed to continue the small bodied fish monitoring. The total number of rainbow trout removed over the 4 years of the project was 20,636.					
(11.) REPORTS/F COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identific presentations, poste databases, worksho contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)	Preser	All data have been incorporated into the GCMRC data base. Presentation of preliminary results made at the Upper Colorado River Researchers Meeting.					
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED:	oort those items that	Preser Septer	ntation of raber.	I 4 years to be prepared in results to be made at nation be included in Coggins' diss	nal AFS m		
	ndations for lification of project, tivities resulting from ect; recommendations	Contin	Continuation of project only as dictated by long-term experimental planning.				
(14.) FY2006 BUI	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	795,192	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$669,963	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI		\$369,922	
(Discuss anomalies in the				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$273,372	
budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	budget; expected None at this time. changes; anticipated			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$26,669	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	dersen TITLE: Biology Program Manager DATE: 02/				02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.8 ONGOING	Нимрваск Сн	UB ACTION	-TRANSLOCATION	OF HUMPBACK	Снив			
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON D PROGRAM				SUBMISSION DA		*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:	USGS - 0	Grand Canyon Mo	nitoring & Res	earch Statio	n		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMR(ID/OTHER NO.:	CAWP	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year 2006 Budget & Work Plan, B.8 (GCMRC No. BNE8F)						
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			Humpback Chub		location of H	lumpback		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVE	STIGATOR IN)N·					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal	Matthew E. A. U.S. Fish and	ndersen /	Mailing Address		h Gemini Dr	ive,		
Investigator:	Service			Flaystall				
E-mail:	mandersen@		State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address					
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:	As identified by the AMWG ad hoc committee on humph (HBC) and the December 2002 US Fish and Wildlife Se (FWS) Biological Opinion, the first phase of this project i increasing the survival and expanding the geographic rathumpback chub in the Little Colorado River (LCR) by trasmall fish above a natural barrier in the LCR. Following successful translocations of humpback chub, a mark recexperiment was initiated in FY2006 to estimate the populand incorporate these estimates into those for the lower LCR.				Service ct is aimed at range of translocating a years of recapture opulation size		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		The primary objective of this study was to collect data to conduct mark-recapture population estimates of humpback chub residing above Lower Atomizer Falls; however, this study also offered an opportunity to examine migration patterns, growth rates, and spawning activity of humpback chub residing within this upper LCR corridor.						
(7.) RELATIONSHIP 1	O MRP:	Related to	o Goal 2					
(8.) METHODOLOGY		Hoop nets (50-60 cm in diameter, 100 cm long, a single 10 c throat, and covered with 6 mm nylon mesh netting) were the fishing gear used in this study, and were all baited near their ends by attaching nylon mesh bags (30 x 30 cm, 6 mm mes filled with ~160 g AquaMax TM Grower 600 for Carnivorous S (Purina Mills Inc., Brentwood, MO) to maximize fish captures						
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks when and helpful.)	nual SOW to	(HBC, 50 River con Chute Fa	2003, 2004, and a -100 mm TL) were fluence and trans lls, near river kilor tions, a total of 1,	e captured nea located to the l neter 16.2. As	or the Little C river corrido s a result of t	colorado above hese		

Chute Falls. It was hoped that these translocations would increase HBC recruitment to adulthood by allowing them an opportunity to exploit the abundant food resources, warm water temperatures. and reduced competition/predation by fewer large-bodied fishes associated with this area. This project was a voluntary conservation measure as a result of the mechanical "exotic fish" removal activities and modified mainstem flows occurring in the Colorado River. During subsequent monitoring of these translocated HBC, we found that many of the 2003 translocated individuals had grown to adult sizes (Stone and Sponholtz, 2004). Hence, in addition to continuing yearly augmentations of this population, a stock assessment of translocated adults is proposed. If accomplished in 2006, a stock assessment of the HBC above Chute Falls would result in valuable baseline data of this population for long term monitoring purposes. In addition, the resulting population estimates could be incorporated into ongoing stock assessments occurring below Chute Falls to provide an overall stock assessment of the entire LCR population.

The U.S. Geological Survey's Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center (GCMRC) contracted the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to conduct a May (May 23-26, 2006) and a June (June 28–July 3, 2006) monitoring trip from above Lower Atomizer Falls (13.57 river kilometers) to 18.1 rkm within the Little Colorado River.

The two Chute Falls trips were primarily used to conduct mark-recapture efforts to estimate the abundance of HBC ≥ 125 mm between the top of Lower Atomizer Falls and the base of Chute Falls (13.57 to 14.1 rkm), and from the top of Chute Falls to 18.1 rkm in the LCR, where sampling activities ended. The results of the effort from Lower Atomizer Falls to Chute Falls (lower reach) indicated that there were 707 (SE = 42) HBC ≥ 125 mm during the late May to early July of 2006. Of these fish, it is estimated that there were 328 (SE = 25) HBC ≥ 150 mm, and 206 (SE= 18) HBC ≥ 200 mm. The results of the effort from above Chute Falls (14.1 rkm) to 18.1 rkm (upper reach) indicated that there were 440 (SE = 35) HBC ≥ 125 mm during the late May to early July of 2006. Of these fish, it is estimated that there were 255 (SE = 11) HBC ≥ 150 mm, and 125 (SE= 15) HBC ≥ 200 mm.

During both trips combined, a total of 299 hoop net sets were deployed, yielding 6,993 hours of fishing effort. A total of 13,954 fish were captured, of which 1,430 were HBC, and 12,263 were speckled dace (*Rhinichthys osculus*). Catch per unit effort (CPE) for HBC was 0.179 fish/net-hour. Nonnative fishes comprised 1.9% of the catch. Sixty-two ripe male HBC and one ripe female HBC were captured. Three black bullhead had fish remains in their stomachs (speckled dace or unidentifiable fish). Percent occurrence of the external anchorworm (*Lernaea cyprinacea*) on HBC was 0.5%.

The management plan for this area is now being drafted by Dexter National Fish Hatchery and will be included in their genetics management plan for HBC. This plan will help determine when additional translocations are necessary.

(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT:

(Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)

AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identifi presentations, post databases, worksh	ED: ables identified in the an completed and	A report titled "Monitoring of Humpback Chub (<i>Gila cypha</i>) and other Fishes above Lower Atomizer Falls of the Little Colorado River, Arizona" and data entered into an access database was submitted to GCMRC in December 2006. A final report titled " Stock Assessment and Fisheries Monitoring Activities in the Little Colorado River within Grand Canyon during 2006" that includes the population estimates for this project was submitted to GCMRC in January 2007.					
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.) N.A., all deliverables submitted for delivery dates.)				ables submitted for GCMRC	Creview		
findings of this proj	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	popula translo manag	Continue with mark recapture efforts in spring to estimate population size above Chute Falls. Perform additional translocations when deemed necessary via the genetics management planning effort underway by Dexter National Fish Hatchery.				
(14.) FY2006 BU		FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:		\$53,550	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$49,496	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$36,977	
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$12,519	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.9 WARM WATER FISH MONITORING WORKSHOP (PREVIOUSLY COMPLETION OF HUMPBACK CHUB ACTION – DAM OPERATIONS)

ACTION - DAM OPERATIO	NS)						_		
FISCAL YEAR 2000 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM		_	_	SUBMISS DA	SION ATE:		*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USGS – SBSC - Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Station							
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year							
ID/OTHER NO.:		2006 Bud	dget & Work Plan,	B.9		(GCMR	C No. BNE8C)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:	ATICATOR INC	Operatio	Warm Water Fish Monitoring Workshop (Previously Dam Operations)						
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	HIGATUR INF	ORMATIC	JN:	_					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. A. Lew Coggins	ndersen/	Mailing Address:	2255 Nort	h Gem	ini Driv	e, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	mandersen@us	sgs.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-737	' 9	Delivery Address	Same as					
FAX:	(928) 556-709		State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	released temperat selective water ten water ten increase	Due to regional drought, warmer than average water was being released from Glen Canyon Dam 2000-2004, some of the warmest temperatures observed since the closing of the dam in 1963. A selective withdrawal structure is proposed for GCD to increase water temperatures to benefit native fishes. In light of increased water temperatures, the potential for risk from nonnative fishes has increased, increasing the need to control nonnatives. Develop recommended management actions and research						
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		program	for warm water no	nnative fishes		10 1030	aron		
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO	O MRP:	Associated with AMP Goal 2.							
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		Conduct a workshop convening fisheries professionals from within and from outside of the AMP to address existing and potential issues associated with warm water nonnative fish species.							
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMI WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual the extent that the project is lnclude specific tasks when and helpful.) (10.) PROGRESS STATE (Describe how each task is	nual SOW to is identifiable. re appropriate	Convene fisheries professionals from within and outside of the AMP to gather recommendations for management actions and an associated research program.							
AWP was/was not met and initial findings and final res description of any significa from the AWP Scope of W	d summarize sults. Include a ent deviation	The work	shop was conduct	ed in Decemb	oer 200)5 in Fla	agstaff, AZ.		
(11.) REPORTS/PRODU COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables ide AWP that have been compreport on all products beyondeliverables identified. Incorpresentations, poster sessing databases, workshops, macontributions, decision suppressentations, etc.)	Management actions and a draft research program were developed following the recommendations of the workshop participants.								
(12.) REPORTS/PRODU	0615	kepoπs/	products complete.						

PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	port those items that I include expected					
	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; recommendations	to address threats from warm water nonnative aquatic species.				
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	DATE:	9/30/2007
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:		\$27,600	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAI	L BAL:	\$28,049
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITURES:		\$10,590
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$17,459
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Additional appropriation		ds were	END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007

PROJECT B.10 COMPLETION OF HUMPBACK CHUB ACTION - MONITORING FISH DISEASE AND PARASITES IN THE COLORADO RIVER ECOSYSTEM

COLORADO RIVER ECOS	_	DEDAG	T FOR THE			
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA				SUBMIS	SION	*02/20/2007
PROGRAM	AWI ADAP III	VE WAN	AGEWIEN	D	ATE:	02/20/2007
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	ENCV:	LISCS	SBSC - Grand Car	avon Monito	ring & Da	esearch Station
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC			nyon Dam Adaptive			
ID/OTHER NO.:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		dget & Work Plan,		oner rogi	(GCMRC No. BNE8I)
						,
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		on of Humpback C sites in the Colora			ring Fish Disease	
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION:						
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. A Cole, USGS Persons, AZG		Mailing Address:	2255 No	orth Gemi	ini Drive, Flagstaff
E-mail:	mandersen@u		State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode: 86001
Telephone:	(928) 556-737		Delivery Address	: Same as		
FAX:	(928) 556-709	92	State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode: 86001
(5.) STATEMENT OF I	With the advent of increased river warming as reservoir wate levels fall, and particularly with the operation of a temperature control device, increased fish disease and parasitism may of As disease could represent a significant mortality threat to fis within the Colorado River ecosystem (CRE), it is important not to monitor the future incidence of parasitism and disease, but document a baseline condition before initiation of a temperat control device (TCD).				a temperature sitism may occur. by threat to fishes is important not only didisease, but to	
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	 Document distribution and prevalence of parasites of fish in the Colorado River and selected tributaries. Document distribution and prevalence of selected aquatic invertebrates, which are an intermediate host of fish parasites, in the Colorado River and selected tributaries. Develop a plan for monitoring changes in parasite communities as water temperatures increase. 					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Related t	to Goal 2			
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		A survey of the parasites of fish of the Colorado River and selected tributaries was conducted in June-July 2006. Fish were collected by AZGFD fish biologists using a combination of electroshocking (boat and backpack), seining, minnow trapping, trammel netting and hoop netting. Specimens were necropsied by parasitologists from the National Wildlife Health Center (NWHC), Madison, WI, on site. Parasite samples collected were preserved and transported back to the NWHC for identification and enumeration. Aquatic invertebrate samples were collected at each sample site to assess the ability of intermediate hosts to spread into the mainstem as water temperature increases.				

		collect	ed. Labor	p occurred in 2006. All speratory analysis, monitoring parations are in progress.					
AWP was/was not r	n task identified in the met and summarize inal results. Include a ignificant deviation	June-J		cted and field necropsies co ip. Laboratory work is unde ng.					
AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identifi presentations, poste databases, worksho	D: bles identified in the n completed and ts beyond those ed. Include reports, er sessions, exhibits,	No products have been received by GCMRC at this time.				me.			
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED: (See above, but rep	(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected			A draft final report is due to GCMRC September 30, 2007.					
(13.) RECOMMEI (Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or act	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; recommendations	There	There are no recommendations at this time.						
(14.) FY2006 BUI	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	DATE:	9/30/2007			
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:		\$23,400	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAI		\$18,650			
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDIT		\$15,858			
(Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$2,792 \$ 00			
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	ersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007			

PROJECT B.11 COMPLETION OF HUMPBACK CHUB ACTION – TEMPERATURE CONTROL DEVICE (WATER TEMPERATURE MODEL DEVELOPMENT (NOTE: FUNDED UNDER AGREEMENT NO. 02-AA-40-6750, ENIVRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND COMPLIANCE)

ENIVRONMENTAL RESOUR	RCES AND COMPL	IANCE)						
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM			_	SUBMIS	SION ATE:		*02/20/2007	
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	ENCY:	USGS – SBSC - Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Station						
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP	Glen Car	nyon Dam Adaptiv dget & Work Plan,	e Managem	ent Prog	gram, F	iscal Year	
IB/OTHER NO.:			ion of Humpback					
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			Water Temperatur				Control	
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR INF	•		C MOGGI DC	velopine	1111)		
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal	Matthew E. Ar / S.A. Wright,	ndersen	Mailing Address:	2255 No	rth Gem	nini Driv	e, Flagstaff	
Investigator:								
E-mail:	mandersen@		State:	AZ	Zip C	ode:	86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-737		Delivery Address					
FAX:	(928) 556-709	2	State:	AZ	Zip C	ode:	86001	
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	Glen Canyon Dam has altered the thermal regime of the River downstream in Grand Canyon. Because water this an important variable in many biological processes, thermal regime has affected the aquatic ecosystem in Canyon. The ability to predict downstream temperature in order to evaluate the effects of various dam operation dam modifications (e.g. temperature control device) in the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program				temperature the altered Grand res is needed ons and/or support of		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Develop and calibrate a one-dimensional model (hourly time step) of water temperature for the Colorado downstream from Glen Canyon dam (to Diamond Creek).						
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Related	to Goal 7					
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		(UNSTE	existing one-dime ADY) in combinati rt Model (BLTM) fo on with existing ma	on with the lor water tem	JSĞS B perature	ranche dynam	d-Lagrangian nics;	
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe and helpful.)	Tasks: - Write routines to reformat UNSTEADY output for BLTM - Develop BLTM input files - Calibrate and validate model using years 2000 and 2005 - Document the model calibration/validation in a publication - Apply model to evaluate LTEP option (not in original work plan) - Develop a model of monthly average mainstem temperatures below the dam (not in original work plan)					2005 cation work plan)		
(10.) PROGRESS STA (Describe how each task i AWP was/was not met and	dentified in the	The first three tasks listed above were completed in FY06. Documentation of the model was delayed by the experimental						

initial findings and fi description of any s from the AWP Scop		documented in the experimental options report. More extensive documentation of the model is currently underway as a conference paper for this years' American Institute of Hydrology meeting. The final task above was made possible by publication of the long-term mainstem water temperature dataset as part of the Integrated Quality-of-Water project.					
AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identifi presentations, poste databases, worksho	D: bles identified in the n completed and ts beyond those ed. Include reports, er sessions, exhibits,	- 1D model documentation nearly complete - LTEP analyses, contributions to LTEP report - Multiple presentations at August TWG meeting - Monthly average temperature model completed; related					
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	 1D hourly model conference paper – April 2007 Monthly average model journal article – Summer 2007 						
	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; recommendations		 Continue work on development of a nearshore water temperature model (ongoing in FY07) 				
(14.) FY2006 BUI	OGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED GF	ROSS BUDGET:		NA	CURRENT GROSS BAL		NA	
COMMENTS: (Discuss	Refer to Task 3 of 3 B.11, Completion of		,	FISCAL YEAR EXPENDIFISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT		NA NA	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Chub Action – Temp Device for Budget (I Agrmt #02-AA-40-67	perature Control Funded under		END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		NA	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. Ande	ersen	Riology Program			02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.11 COMPLETION OF HUMPBACK CHUB ACTION – TEMPERATURE CONTROL DEVICE (ORGANIC AND NVERTEBRATE DRIFT EXCHANGE BETWEEN MAINSTEM AND BACKWATERS; FUNDED UNDER AGREEMENT NO. 02-AA-40-6750, ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND COMPLIANCE)

AA-40-6750, ENVIRONME	ENTAL RESOUR	CES AND CO	MPLIANCE)			
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON D PROGRAM		_	_	SUBMISSI DA		*02/20/2007
(1.) SUBMITTING AG	ENCY:	USGS - S	BSC - Grand Cany	on Monitoring	& Research	n Center
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMR	CAWP		on Dam Adaptive N			
ID/OTHER NO.:			get & Work Plan, B.			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			n of Humpback Chu rganic and Inverteb vaters)			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR IN					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. A Kennedy, GO Wright, GCM	CMRC	Mailing Address:	2255 North	Gemini Driv	/e, Flagstaff
E-mail:	mandersen@		State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001
Telephone:	(928)556-737	79	Delivery Address:	Same as ab	oove	
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:	simplifying invertebratexample, a one-way traddress the by invertel opposed to the export. If movemes significant matter in beackwater concentrate make enough characterizes and the concentrate make enough concentrates and the concentrates and the concentrates and the concentrates are possible the concentrates and the concentrates are concentrates are concentrates are concentrates and the concentrates are con	invertebrate product assumptions about tes between the mala recent model deveransport of invertebrates. Further, the continue testions for the mainstem of invertebrates from the cackwaters. Estimates requires accurate the sackwaters accurate the sackwaters accurate the sackwaters accurate the sackwaters accurated the same technological for the mainstem of suspended that the same technological for	the exchange in channel and cloped for the backward mainstem and might be a lating the supply estimates of cloped for collections oncentrations is developed a sediment using logy could be	e of organic d backwater Green Rive lockwaters, a ich may be anktonic inveed in order ter. Id into backwaters organic mattically challe (i.e., nets) to the mains a method for g hydroacou used to est	s and rs. For r assumed nd did not used as food ertebrates, as o compute waters is of organic matter to ter nging to to accurately stem. The r estimating ustics; it is
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		m. ur • De bid flo dr flo	etermine whether ra atter, etc.) between der steady flows re etermine whether be omass differs under ows etermine whether th ifting organic matter ows relative to low fl est the feasibility of	backwaters and ative to low fluenthic invertebesteady flows the concentration in the mainstructuating flows	nd the main uctuating floorate and orgenerative to loo on and total em differs us	stem differ lows ganic matter low fluctuating load of inder steady

	monitor concentrations of drifting organic matter
(7) DELATIONSUID TO MED	Cool 1
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP: (8.) METHODOLOGY:	Goal 1 During each month, comprising two 2-week blocks of fluctuating and steady flows, we investigated a single channel/backwater complex through the use of multiple acoustic instruments for monitoring drift. These instruments allowed for monitoring drift in the mainstem as well as water and organic/invertebrate exchange with the backwater. We calibrated the acoustic signal to organics and invertebrate drift by collecting periodic physical samples in the main channel and backwater inlet. After the first month, the instrumentation was moved to a second backwater with significant contrast in size and geometry. We quantified invertebrate biomass in three backwaters before and after each operational change. Temperature monitors were also deployed to provide calibration data for the water temperature modeling effort.
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)	During each month, comprising two 2-week blocks of fluctuating and steady flows, we investigated a single channel/backwater complex through the use of multiple acoustic instruments for monitoring drift. These instruments allowed for monitoring drift in the mainstem as well as water and organic/invertebrate exchange with the backwater. We calibrated the acoustic signal to organics and invertebrate drift by collecting periodic physical samples in the main channel and backwater inlet. After the first month, the instrumentation was moved to a second backwater with significant contrast in size and geometry. We quantified invertebrate biomass in three backwaters before and after each operational change. Temperature monitors were also deployed to provide calibration data for the water temperature modeling effort.
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)	Most samples/data were collected and analyzed as planned. Deviations from the proposed sampling include: • A single backwater was instrumented with hydroacoustics for the entire 2-month study. We had proposed instrumenting a backwater for the 1 st treatment block and then moving the instruments to a different backwater for the 2 nd treatment block. We elected not to do this because we could only find one backwater in Glen Canyon with geometry that was suitable for an acoustic instrument. • Only three backwaters were sampled, not the five we had planned. There are only three backwaters in Glen Canyon. All samples have been processed for organic matter and invertebrate density and biomass, as planned. Mainstem drift data have been analyzed and we have found that there is a relationship between acoustic backscatter and organic matter concentrations. Analysis of benthic organic matter and invertebrate data from backwaters is ongoing.
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the	Kennedy gave a presentation of research results to the TWG on August 2, 2006.

presentations, post exhibits, databases	ets beyond those ed. Include reports, er sessions, workshops, maps, ns, decision support						
(12.) REPORTS/I	PRODUCTS						
	PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected		A report will be delivered to BOR by July 31, 2007.				
(13.) RECOMME (Describe recomme continuation or modother studies, or acfindings of this projectommendations of the program guides)	Lees Ferr	Using hydroacoustics to continuously monitor organic drift in the Lees Ferry reach looks very promising. We recommend future studies focus solely on calibrating the acoustic-organic relationship.					
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINANCI	FINANCIAL INFORMATION COLLECTION DATE:			09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED GI	ROSS BUDGET:	NA FISC		FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL BAL:		NA	
COMMENTS:	Defende Teels 0 of	0		FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITURES:		NA	
(Discuss	Refer to Task 3 of B.11, Completion (FISCAL YEAR OBLIGATION	TIONS:	NA	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Action – Temperat for Budget (Funde #02-AA-40-6750)	ure Contro	I Device	END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE		NA	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	dersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT B.11 COMPLETION OF HUMPBACK CHUB ACTION – TEMPERATURE CONTROL DEVICE (COMPARE NEAR-SHORE NATIVE FISH HABITATS UNDER STEADY/FLUCTUATING FLOWS; FUNDED UNDER AGREEMENT NO.

02-AA-40-6750, ENIVRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND COMPLIANCE)

FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM	6 PROJECT	REPOR	T FOR THE	SUBMIS	SION ATE:		*02/20/2007	
(1.) SUBMITTING AGI		USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center in cooperation with SWCA Environmental Consultants Inc.						
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRO ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP	Glen Can	yon Dam Adaptiv get & Work Plan,	e Manageme	ent Prog	gram, F	iscal Year	
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:	Device (C Steady/Fl	Completion of Humpback Chub Action – Temperature Control Device (Compare Near-Shore Native Fish Habitats Under Steady/Fluctuating Flows)						
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES			N:					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. Ar Barbara Ralsto USGS		Mailing Address	2255 N Flagsta	orth Ge ff	emini Dı	rive,	
E-mail:	mandersen@u	ısgs.gov	State:	Arizona	a Zip (Code:	86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-737	9	Delivery Addres	ss: Same a	as abov			
FAX:	(928) 556-709	2	State:	AZ	Zip (Code:	86001	
(5.) STATEMENT OF	PROBLEM:		e how near shore g flow discharges		nge und	der stea	ady vs. low	
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Collect water quality, macroinvertebrate and fish data in backwaters and near shorelines to determine if habitat parameters change under different discharge patterns.						
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Related to Goal 1 & 2						
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	Using appropriate equipment for each element, collect data in backwaters and along shorelines during fall steady flow and fluctuating flow releases. Density and diversity were measured for phytoplankton and macroinvertebrates, CPUE, and diversity were measured for fishes. Data were compared using t-tests assuming unequal variances.					w and neasured for versity were		
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the an the extent that the project Include specific tasks whe and helpful.)	nual SOW to is identifiable.	able. different discharge natterns. Collection to occur in Fall 2005					s and near under	
(10.) PROGRESS STA (Describe how each task in AWP was/was not met and initial findings and final redescription of any significations)	identified in the d summarize sults. Include a	Data were collected between September 3 and October 22, 2005. Data and report were analyzed and written in 2006. Draft report submitted to GCMRC for internal review in September 2006. Results indicate that of the variables measured, there were no						

from the AWP Scop	e of Work.)	significant differences between the flows. But antecedent conditions and inherent variability of organisms sampled and system as whole results in recommendation that results are viewed cautiously.						
(11.) REPORTS/F COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identific presentations, poste databases, worksho contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)	Revised final report in review. Results presented to Technical Work Group meeting in September 2006.							
(12.) REPORTS/F PLANNED: (See above, but rep are in progress and delivery dates.)	Final re	Final report anticipated as Open File report in 2007.						
(13.) RECOMMENT (Describe recomment continuation or mode other studies, or act findings of this project	(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program			Recommend that laboratory studies be used to address these types of questions in the future.				
(14.) FY2006 BUI	OGET REPORT	FINAN	CIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED GF	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	119,271	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$121,778		
COMMENTS: (Discuss anomalies in the	(Discuss Carry forward balan			FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITURES: FISCAL YEAR OBLIGATIONS:		\$57,400 \$00		
budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	expended toward th the research under in FY2007.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$64,378		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Matthew E. Andersen		TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007		

PROJECT B.12 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING - STATUS AND TRENDS OF THE FISH COMMUNITY FROM BELOW DIAMOND CREEK

EISCAL VEAD 20		r DE	DODT EOD THE	FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE									
GLEN CANYON I PROGRAM		SUBMISS DA	ION ATE:		*02/20/2007								
(1.) SUBMITTING AC	anyon Monitor	ing & R	esearc	h Station									
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMR	RC AWP		Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year										
ID/OTHER NO.:			6 Budget & Work Plan			,	C No. BNE8H)						
(3.) PROJECT TITLE	<u>:</u>	Ong Con	oing Provisional Monit nmunity From Below D	toring – Status riamond Creek	and Tr	rends o	f the Fish						
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVI	ESTIGATOR INI	FORI	MATION:										
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Matthew E. Andersen / Lew Coggins, USGS Sponholtz, USF Persons, AZGF Lauretta, SWCA	Mailing Address:		2255 North G	2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff		Flagstaff						
E-mail:	lcoggins@usgs	.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode:	86001						
Telephone:	(928) 556-7379		Delivery Address:	Same as abo									
FAX:	(928) 556-7092		State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode:	86001						
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:			nonnative fish that occur in the Colorado River ecosystem. This assemblage is exclusive of the trout fishery that is managed in Glen Canyon by the Arizona Game and Fish Department. The constituents include four native fish and introduced competitors/predators like rainbow trout, brown trout, channel catfish, carp, and other nonnative forms. The status and trends of the fishery are regulated by biotic and abiotic mechanisms that may in turn be affected by the operations of Glen Canyon Dam. Monitoring basic population statistics including abundance and distribution of native and nonnative fishes provide the fundamental information necessary to assess the status of these resources and the attainment of program goals and objectives.										
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		long	vide a baseline of fish a -term, species and co										
(7.) RELATIONSHIP	TO MRP:		ated to Goal 2	0-1- 1-5'			1						
(8.) METHODOLOGY:			Sampling in the mainstem Colorado River is conducted using electrofishing, trammel netting, hoopnetting, and seining. Data are utilized to compute abundance indices and presence/absence by species and geomorphic reach.										
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)		One sampling trip occurred in 2006. Data were collected on fish species, length, and abundance in the mainstem Colorado River below Diamond Creek and selected tributaries.											
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)			All sampling trips occurred as scheduled and data have been incorporated into the GCMRC long-term fish monitoring database. Preliminary results suggest an increased abundance for flannelmouth sucker, common carp, channel catfish, and striped bass relative to previous years.										
(11.) REPORTS/PRO COMPLETED:	DUCTS	The 2006 trip report was submitted to GCMRC.											

AWP that have been report on all product deliverables identified presentations, post databases, worksh							
(12.) REPORTS// PLANNED: (See above, but re, are in progress and delivery dates.)			06 annual report has been MRC staff in early 2007.	submitted a	and will be		
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)		occur change	In 2007, fish sampling from Diamond Creek to Lake Mead will occur in late summer as opposed to spring in previous years. This change in sampling protocol is being enacted to improve ability to detect warm-water adapted nonnative fish.				
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTIO	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:		\$81,000	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$64,570	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$61,363	
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$3,207	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by Pl.)	/S/ Matthew E. And	dersen	TITLE:	Biology Program Manager	DATE:	02/02/2007	

PROJECT C.1 ONGOING PROVISIONAL MONITORING OF INTEGRATED ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES									
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM			~	SUBMISSI DA	ON TE:	*02/20/2007			
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USGS	USGS – SBSC- Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center						
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		Canyon Dam Adaptiv Budget & Work Plan		•	iscal Year C No. BNE3K)			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			ing Provisional Monit						
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR INI			. <u>g </u>					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator: Helen Fairley			Mailing Address: 2255 N. Gemini Drive, Flagst						
E-mail:	hfairley@usg	s.gov	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001			
Telephone:	928-556-728	5	Delivery Address:	Same as ab	ove				
FAX:	928-556-7092	2	State:	AZ	Zip Code:	86001			
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	Glen Canyon Dam and the manner in which it is operated har altered the hydrological regime, sediment budget, and sediment flux of the Colorado River downstream in Grand Canyon. Because these factors directly and indirectly influence terrest geomorphological processes, including rates of landscape erosion, there are ongoing concerns about the effects of thes processes on the physical condition of archaeological sites embedded within the river corridor landscape. To effectively evaluate and address these concerns requires a robust, ecosystem-based monitoring program to track and evaluate t potential effects of dam operations and other factors, such as climate and visitor use, that in combination have the potential affect the long-term integrity of cultural resources in the river corridor. The ability to quantify various impacts and use thes monitoring data in future models to make well-founded predict about which sites are most likely to be impacted by ongoing modification of the riverine landscape under different dam operating scenarios, as well as effects of changing human us levels and varying climatic conditions, will assist the Glen Cal Dam Adaptive Management Program and the National Park Service to objectively evaluate and manage the effects of dar operations on cultural sites in the Colorado River corridor.							
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	Develop, test and evaluate methods for objectively monitori quantifying factors contributing to archaeological site stabilir and/or degradation and establish a suite of formal monitoring protocols that can be implemented through a future pilot monitoring project.								
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	See MRP discussion under Goal 11							
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		Over a 2-year period, conduct research on appropriate approaches and techniques for monitoring and quantifying impacts to cultural sites using a variety of methods, including archival research, direct observation, and documentation of							

relevant site attributes, and field testing of various methods for tracking and quantifying physical changes at archaeological sites. Tasks: Assess archaeological site values and geomorphic attributes that are relevant to determining and quantifying changes in site condition Test a variety of methods for tracking and quantifying rates (9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF of erosion, weather parameters, and human use impacts at WORK: archaeological sites in the river corridor (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to Evaluate past methods used to monitor archaeological sites the extent that the project is identifiable. in Grand Canyon and elsewhere in the Southwestern US Include specific tasks where appropriate and evaluate utility of existing monitoring data for quantifying and helpful.) rates of impacts. Establish and apply criteria for including or excluding sites within the monitoring population and devise sampling strategies, as appropriate, to select appropriate sites for future monitoring. FY06 was the first year of a multi-year research and development project for core monitoring of archaeological resources. Implementation of the first year's work was delayed several months due to disagreement among a subset of AMP stakeholders about how to proceed with project implementation. The project finally got under way in March, 2006 with the first of three geo-archaeological assessment research river trips in FY06. This work was conducted concurrently with treatment planning efforts sponsored by BOR. In addition to conducting geoarchaeological assessments (as the first step towards grouping (10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: sites for future monitoring), testing and evaluating total station vs. (Describe how each task identified in the LiDAR surveys to quantify rates of erosion was conducted at a AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include sample of sites during these trips. A draft report on the first a description of any significant deviation phase of archaeological assessment work (151 sites) was from the AWP Scope of Work.) completed by NPS in January, 2007; a separate report on the geomorphic characterization of these same sites is due to be completed by USU cooperators in spring, 2007. Both reports will undergo review in spring, 2007. Processing of the total station and LiDAR survey data has been completed, and an interim report on the first year of work is in preparation. Preliminary analysis of the existing site data in relation to modeled river stage (flow lines) has been completed and was presented to the CRAHG in July, 2006; additional analyses of existing monitoring data are planned for FY07. (11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS Draft report on archaeological assessment of 151 sites COMPLETED: (prepared by NPS; currently in review) (Include all deliverables identified in the GIS analysis of site data in relation to projected flows AWP that have been completed and (PowerPoint presented to CRAHG, July 2006) report on all products beyond those Progress report on comparison of survey techniques deliverables identified. Include reports, USGS fact sheet summarizing utility of LiDAR for ecological presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, and archaeological monitoring in Grand Canyon and

elsewhere in the western US

databases, workshops, maps, website

contributions, decision support systems,

newsletters, etc.)							
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	port those items that	- F	 Report on geomorphic assessment of 151 sites (draft report to be completed in spring, 2007) Final report on comparison of total station vs. LiDAR for monitoring archaeological site erosion 				
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)			ue R&D p	roject as planned (ongoing	g in FY07)		
(14.) FY2007 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTIO	N DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	374,201	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$321,463	
COMMENTS:	Trip logistics for this			FISCAL YEAR EXPEND	ITURES:	\$54,712	
(Discuss	combined with the t			FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	TIONS:	\$266,751	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	planning project; resulting savings were applied to a new coop agreement with USU to complete geomorphic site assessment work in FY06 and FY07.		END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Helen Fairley TITLE		TITLE:	Sociocultural Program Manager	DATE:	02/06/2007	

PROJECT C.2 SYNTHESIZE TRIBAL MONITORING PROGRAMS RESULTS (1995 – 2005)

PROJECT C.2 SYNTHESIZE TRIBAL MONITORING PROGRAMS RESULTS (1995 – 2005)								
FISCAL YEAR 2000 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM			_	SUBMISSI DA	ION TE:	*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	S – SBSC – Grand C	anyon Monitoi	ring &	Research Center		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP		Canyon Dam Adaptiv		nt Pro	,		
ID/OTHER NO.:			Budget & Work Plan		D 16	(GCMRC No. BNE3L)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:	TIO A TOD INI		nesize Tribal Monitori	ng Programs i	Result	s (1995 – 2005)		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR INF	ORM	ATION:					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Helen Fairley		Mailing Address:	2255 N. Ger	mini Dı	rive, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	hfairley@usg	s.gov	State:	AZ	Zip (Code: 86001		
Telephone:	928-556-7285	5	Delivery Address:	Same as ab	ove			
FAX:	928-556-7092	2	State:	AZ	Zip (Code: 86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:			tation patterns and as ado River downstrea erns about the effects arces important to the ling traditional cultural tively evaluate and actopment of a robust mages in aspects of the s. This project was desipating in the GCDAN ly-appropriate resourced funding for a part RC with managing the ct, they requested that the tribes in FY06; fur ins with GCMRC. Thunds originally program by GCMRC to suppondefined) tribal monitorical resources and the suppondefined of the suppon	m in Grand Case of these ecose. Native Americal ties to the Grand dress these continuing progressival economical economic	anyon. system can tril rand C concerr gram to system ovide t ementa protoc employ en AN e the in d for th cribed projec m goal	There are ongoing a changes on bes who have long-tanyon. To as requires to track and evaluate a important to the the tribes ary funds to design tols; the budget also yee to assist IWG approved the dividual contracts are program assistant below explains how tracks are assistant were		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Supp	ort goals of future trib	al monitoring	progra	ams.		
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Relat	ed to Goals 11 and 1	2				
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		N/A						
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.) (10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT:			The GCMRC portion of the funding originally allocated for this project was used to fund a part of the salary for one USU cooperator to develop GIS layers that will be useful for future tribal monitoring programs, specifically vegetation coverage, archaeological site polygons, and projected river flow lines.					

AWP was/was not initial findings and i	h task identified in the met and summarize final results. Include v significant deviation pe of Work.)	distribithe CF	Preliminary results of GIS analysis of archaeological site distributions in relation to projected flow lines were presented to the CRAHG in July, 2006; processing of recently acquired vegetation data (from 2005 overflight mission) is underway and ongoing.					
AWP that have been report on all product deliverables identifications, post databases, workships.	ED: ables identified in the en completed and	distrib	Preliminary results of GIS analysis of archaeological site distributions in relation to projected flow lines was completed and presented in a PowerPoint format to the CRAHG in July, 2006.					
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	port those items that	was us	The funding covered only a portion of the cooperator's salary and was used to support the work described above. No additional products or reports are planned at this time.					
findings of this proj	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	consection future for future for future for foreign foreign for foreign foreign for foreign for foreign for foreign for foreign for foreign foreign for foreign foreign for foreign foreign for foreign foreign for foreign for foreign for foreign foreign for foreign foreign for foreign foreign foreign for foreign foreign for foreign foreign foreign foreign for foreign fo	The tribes did not receive FY06 funding until late in FY06; consequently, they are still in the process of developing proposal for future monitoring approaches. It is anticipated that these projects will entail additional support requirements from GCMRC in the form of GIS data requests, as well as data archiving and peer review. Additional funding may be required to support these programs, but until such time as the projects have been fully defined and accepted by TWG, accurate predictions about additional costs can not be accurately projected.					
(14.) FY2007 BU				ORMATION COLLECTIO		09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED GI	KUSS BUDGET:		\$29,250	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$25,133 \$3,437		
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT		\$3,43 <i>1</i> \$21,696		
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Helen Fairley		TITLE:	Sociocultural Program Manager	DATE:	02/06/2007		

PROJECT C.3 INTEGRATED CAMPSITE MONITORING AND RESEARCH (PILOT STUDY)									
FISCAL YEAR 200 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM			SUBMISSI DA	ION TE:	*02/20/2007				
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	USGS – SBSC – Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center						
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC	AWP	Glen	Canyon Dam Adaptiv	e Manageme	nt Prog	gram, Fiscal Year			
ID/OTHER NO.:		2006	Budget & Work Plan,	C.3		(GCMRC No. BNE3M)			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			rated Campsite Monit	oring and Res	search	(Pilot Study)			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR INF	ORM	ATION:						
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Helen Fairley Rod Parnell, I		Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff					
E-mail:	hfairley@usg	s.gov	State:	AZ	Zip C	Code: 86001			
Telephone:	928-556-7285	5	Delivery Address:	Same as ab					
FAX:	928-556-7092	2	State:	AZ	Zip (Code: 86001			
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	flux of Beca and a sandla about size of corridation completed monition and of integrand many	of the Colorado River use these factors directly use these factors directly use these factors directly use these factors directly use the effects of these for sand bars and associated or. The size and distinctly evaluate and activities and crowding tively evaluate and activities of two monitoring program to tractly ampable area. The crates of two monitoring years: surveying sar	egime, sediment budget, and sediment downstream in Grand Canyon. ectly and indirectly influence terrestrial gical processes, including rates of rosion, there are ongoing concerns processes on the physical condition and ociated camping areas within the river stribution of campable sand bars in turn at issues that are important to the chas visitor carrying capacity, camp site galong the Colorado River. To ddress these concerns requires a robust ck and evaluate changes in sand bars a project described below continues and ang projects that have been in place for and bar volume and area (since 1991) in campable area (since 1998.)					
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		Quantify changes in sand bar area and volume and in associated campable area through repeat total station surveys at a sample of long-term sand bar sites in the Colorado River corridor.							
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Repeat intensive total station surveys at a sample of sand bar sites. See Kaplinski and others 2005 for details.							
(8.) METHODOLOGY:			at intensive total stati	on surveys at	a sam	ple of sand bar			
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)			Tasks: - Conduct total station surveys to quantify area and volume changes at 45 sand bars between Lees Ferry and Diamond Creek - Complete total station surveys of campable area at 37 of these same sand bar sites (not all surveyed sand bars are campsites)						

		- Proce	ess surve	y data and compare with re	sults from	previous			
		years							
		- Prepa	are annua	i report					
(10) DDOCDES	C CTATEMENT.								
(10.) PROGRESS	h task identified in the	FY06 i	monitoring	field work was conducted	and comp	leted in			
•	met and summarize			at 45 sand bar sites; most of					
	final results. Include			preparation of a report is cu					
	significant deviation	underv			, ,	,			
from the AWP Scor	pe of Work.)		- ,						
(11.) REPORTS/									
COMPLETE	 -								
	ables identified in the								
AWP that have bee									
report on all produc		Dan	ort prepar	ation is In progress.					
	ied. Include reports, er sessions, exhibits,	l - Izebi	ort prepar	ation is in progress.					
	ops, maps, website								
	sion support systems,								
newsletters, etc.)									
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS									
PLANNED:		A				10mmh 0007			
	port those items that	Annual report on monitoring results is anticipated in March, 2007.							
are in progress and delivery dates.)	і іпсіиае ехрестеа								
delivery dates.)		No cha	anges in n	nonitoring protocols are red	rommende	d at this			
			time. We recommend continued tracking of changes in sand bar						
		area, volume and campable area using established protocols in							
		FY07 and FY08, or until such time as alternative sediment storage							
(13.) RECOMME	NDATIONS:	monitoring protocols are developed. Note: the FY06 budget							
(Describe recomme		included costs for collecting sand bar survey data in addition to							
continuation or mod		campable area measurements, but not for processing or analysis							
	tivities resulting from	of the sand bar survey data, pending final outcome and							
findings of this proj	ect;	recommendations of the FY06 sediment PEP. Subsequently, at							
	for MRP changes or	the request of the Physical Science program manager, these data							
future program guid	dance, etc.)	were processed and analyzed for inclusion in the 2004							
				mmary and final Fine-grair					
				dditional funding to cover t					
				d and actual FY06 data an	alysis cost	s is therefore			
		neede							
(14.) FY2006 BU				ORMATION COLLECTIO		09/30/2006			
FY PLANNED G	RUSS BUDGET:		\$86,287	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$77,657			
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPEND		\$2,288			
(Discuss anomalies in the				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	TIONS:	\$75,369			
budget; expected	None at this time.								
changes;				END OF FISCAL YEAR		\$ 00			
anticipated				AVAILABLE BALANCE:		ΨΟΟ			
carryover; etc.)									
SIGNATURE:				O a alla a alla mala D					
(Must be signed	/S/ Helen Fairley		TITLE:	Sociocultural Program	DATE:	02/06/2007			
or submitted by PI.)	ĺ			Manager					
I [].)									

PROJECT D.1 ONGOING COORDINATION AND SUPPORT PROGRAM-LOGISTICS OPERATIONS								
FISCAL YEAR 2006 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISS DA	ION ATE:		*02/20/2007	
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	US	GS – SBSC – Grand C	anyon Monitor	ring & R	Researc	h Center	
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		en Canyon Dam Adapti 06 Budget & Work Plar		nt Prog		scal Year C No. BNE6A)	
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			going Coordination and	d Support Prog	ram-Lo	gistics	Operations	
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR II	IFOR	MATION:					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Carol Fritzi	nger	Mailing Address:	2255 North G	2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff			
E-mail:	cfritz@usg		State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode:	86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-		Delivery Address:	Same as abo				
FAX:	(928) 556-		State: Diementation of the GC	AZ	Zip Co		86001	
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:			ective scientific informatective coordination of a earch activities. The Regram staff functions as Integrated Science and the Technical Su	Il technical and esearch Coords a team to fact d'uniteral Program managers, pport Services	d logistic dination dilitate co grams to princip	cal sup and Su ollabora hrough oal inves	port of upport ation with effective stigators	
(6.) OBJECTIVES:			provide comprehensive earch and monitoring a					
			al 12		Crana	curryor		
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		app trip 7 to of a cor rea we GC res loc we of I	e GCMRC provided concommately 30 research is through the Grand Concommately 30 research is through the Grand Concommately of motor and contracted boat operators in the Colorado River exported by a variety of the Colorado River exported by a variety of the Grand in the Colorado River exported by a variety of the Grand in the Colorado Reclamation. Ground by the Colorado of the river corridor in the Colorado of the Reclamation. Ground by the Colorado of the Reclamation. Ground by the Colorado of the Reclamation.	h, monitoring, anyon. These anyon. These anyon. These are powered by ar powered by a few motor powers of motor powers and Canyon Noter services coased support f	and adr trips rar size. To pats oper ating in on Dam wered b at opera Dational ontracter for other	ministra nge in le rips are erated to the Gle to Lee coats op ators. A and at co I Park b ed with r resean	ength from comprised by en Canyon s Ferry) perated by additionally, other coundaries the Bureau rch activities	
		This logistical approach has evolved since the GCES phase to allow a detailed overview of trip particulars that most influence cost, efficiency, and safety ultimately giving the GCMRC control over trip costs and productivity. Effective communication with PIs and sensitivity to and awareness of the challenges they face in implementing their studies enable the GCMRC to offer more customized (and therefore more cost-effective and productive) logistical support than other support strategies utilized previously. Retaining control over the process of supporting trips also facilitates compliance with NPS regulations and allows greater control over issues sensitive to the general public and the						

		"recreational rive	er community.			
the extent that the	the annual SOW to project is identifiable.	See above				
(10.) PROGRESS (Describe how each AWP was/was not initial findings and i	h task identified in the met and summarize final results. Include y significant deviation	The GCMRC provided complete logistical support for approximately 30 research and monitoring river trips through the Grand Canyon in FY06. These trips range in length from 7 to 21 days and from 4 to 36 people in size. Trips were comprised of a variety of motor and oar powered boats operated by contracted boat operators. Projects operating in the Glen Canyon reach of the Colorado River (Glen Canyon Dam to Lees Ferry) were supported by a variety of motor powered boats operated by GCMRC researchers and contracted boat operators. Additionally, research activities on the Little Colorado River and at other locations outside of the Grand Canyon National Park boundaries were supported by helicopter services contracted with the Bureau of Reclamation. Ground based support for other research activities outside of the river corridor were also coordinated with the use of GCMRC leased vehicles.				
AWP that have bee report on all produc deliverables identifi presentations, post databases, worksh	ED: ables identified in the en completed and	N/A				
(12.) REPORTS/I	port those items that	N/A				
(13.) RECOMME (Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or ac findings of this proj	endations for dification of project, ctivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	Continue providing logistics support for field activities.				
(14.) FY2006 BU		FINANCIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION DATE:	09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED G		\$ 122,616	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL BAL:	\$ 197,946		
COMMENTS:			FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITURES:	\$ 156,281		
(Discuss	This portion represe		FISCAL YEAR OBLIGATIONS:	\$ 41,665		
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	Logistics base costs following budget rep breakout of the proj costs.	oort for the	END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	\$ 00		
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:	\$ 983,970 (est. need gross)	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL BAL:	\$ 845,179		

COMMENTS: (Discuss	This portion includes only		FISCAL YEAR EXPENDED		\$ 833,719 \$ 11,460
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	GCDAMP support projects were dispersed throughout budget and not included in Logistics base costs.	the	END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PM/PI.)	/S/ Carol Fritzinger	TITLE:	Logistics Operations Specialist	DATE:	01/31/2007

PROJECT D.2 ONGOING SURVEY OPERATIONS

PROJECT D.2 ONGOING SURVEY OPERATIONS								
FISCAL YEAR 2006 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM		SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007					
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	US	GS – SBSC - Grand C	anyon Monitoring &	Research Station			
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year 2006 Budget & Work Plan, D.2 (GCMRC No. BNE6B)						
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			going Survey Operatio		(GCWRC NO. BNLOB)			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR INI			113				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Carol Fritzino / Kristin Brov	ger	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemini	Drive, Flagstaff			
E-mail:	cfritz@usgs.		State:	AZ Zip (Code: 86001			
Telephone:	(928) 556-7	207	Delivery Address:	Same as above	_			
FAX:	(928) 556-7	092	State:	AZ Zip (Code: 86001			
(5.) STATEMENT OF P	ROBLEM:	GC con	sure accurate positioni MRC programs that al nputations including vo I facilitate direct integra	low accurate change lumetric and surface	e detection			
(6.) OBJECTIVES:			GCMRC's survey department supports the research needs of the scientists and includes acquiring topographic data, positioning remotely sensed data, evaluating innovative mapping techniques towards achieving research goals, validating accuracy of topographic and spatial data, compiling historical data, as well as, updating positions for historical topographic and spatial data for inclusion into the GIS database.					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO	O MRP:	The trained GCMRC survey staff supports monitoring and research activities by collecting survey data following standard protocols and by delivering data in the formats consistent with data standards.						
(8.) METHODOLOGY:			The survey department advises researchers on the appropriate methods of collecting topographic or spatial data to meet the requirements of a scientific study as well as evaluates advanced mapping techniques to accomplish project objectives. The survey department is also responsible for obtaining, maintaining, and upgrading all survey equipment required to meet project goals. Applies survey knowledge to address complexities of integration of historical survey data into a GIS.					
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)			 Supply GCMRC principal investigators (PI) with the necessary equipment, supplies, and survey knowledge to perform the spatial data collection required by their research. Publish updated control point coordinates, superseded coordinates, and associated error estimates for all network control. This will be done through the development of the 					

GCMRC control point database and made available to Grand Canyon National Park and all CRE researchers. Publish control point maps and make them available for all CRE field survey activities • Publish and populate the descriptions in the Control Point Database. Continue translating and rotating historical survey data sets to updated network control coordinates • Integrate the prioritized historical survey datasets into the CRE database • Educate principal investigators and researchers regarding the limits of various mapping techniques. • Evaluate innovative mapping techniques supporting research goals • Completed – Equipment, survey support, or establishing control were provided to the following projects: IASM, KAS, Campsite, NAU/FIST, Sediment Modeling, Control Network. Fish (rangefinder), and foodbase (rod and level). • Completed – Provide control point information or establish control in support of data collection for cultural project (Pederson, NPS/MNA Arch Excavation) and for the sediment modeling project (Bright Angel Creek). • Completed – Survey Department houses two control point atlases available for check out. Additional control point maps were mad for IASM/NPS Archaeology, KAS, Control Point Database (GCY), foodbase, and Sediment Modeling. • Collection of photos and descriptions to populate the control point database is nearly complete. From a total of (10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: approximately 850 control points, 299 points concentrated (Describe how each task identified in the between Lees Ferry and Phantom Ranch need site AWP was/was not met and summarize descriptions (less than 10 points need site descriptions initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation between Phantom and Diamond Creek). from the AWP Scope of Work.) Progress toward updating historical data for inclusion into a GIS database continues. Updated control point coordinates supplied to NPS facilitated the NPS-funded project to update most of the legacy archaeology survey data. NPS shared a copy of the dataset with GCMRC. The updated data requires verification. • The procedure for updating FIST historical data was established. Several historical datasets are ready for analysis in a GIS platform, but a formal structure for a survey database has yet to be defined. Task is ongoing - survey expertise is provided to PIs for georeferencing collected project data, as well as best/appropriate technique for collecting survey data to best

		m	eet reseal	rch goals and project objec	tives.			
		as sit su as	 Complete and Ongoing – Evaluation of ground-based LiDAR as a less intrusive mapping technology for monitoring cultural sites is in progress; this includes a comparison between survey techniques and ground-base LiDAR techniques as well as, evaluation of ground based LiDAR as a monitoring tool. Evaluation of Oblique Photogrammetry is in the preliminary stages. 					
			_					
(11.) REPORTS/I COMPLETE (Include all delivera AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identifications, post databases, workshot contributions, decis newsletters, etc.)	 Products Completed: Report by Brian Collins evaluating ground-based LiDAR during the 2004 HFT Datasets from above field work (10.1) have been delivered to researchers for analysis. Maps and Data provided to NPS Archeology survey data from NPS Completed Training RTK Surveying GIS Fundamentals I GIS Lab GIS Principles: Exploring Spatial Data 							
				otorboat Operator Certificat contractors.	ion Course	to GCMRC		
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED: (See above, but repare in progress and delivery dates.)	oort those items that	Planned Products: - Comparison of Survey and Ground-base LiDAR report - Oblique photogrammetry/ground-based LiDAR/survey comparison (2004 HFT)						
findings of this proje	endations for dification of project, tivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	Continue providing survey support as described above. Explore the use, effectiveness, costliness of the following mapping techniques: ground-based LiDAR, oblique photogrammetry, and improved GPS systems. Continue historical data integration to updated coordinates. Define structure of a survey database.						
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED GI			118,572	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA		\$106,326		
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$65,377		
(Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			FISCAL YEAR OBLIGATIONS: END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$40,949 \$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Carol Fritzinger		TITLE:	Logistics Operations Specialist	DATE:	01/31/2007		

PROJECT D.3 ONGOING	PROJECT D.3 ONGOING DEVELOPMENT OF GEODETIC CONTROL NETWORK								
FISCAL YEAR 2006 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISS	SION ATE:	*02/20/2007			
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USC	GS – SBSC – Grand C	Canyon Monito	ring & F	Researc	ch Center		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP	Gle	n Canyon Dam Adapti 6 Budget & Work Plar	ve Manageme	nt Prog	ram, Fi			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		Ong	joing Development of	Geodetic Cont	rol Net	work			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR IN	FORI	MATION:						
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	Carol Fritzin / Keith Kohl	ger	Mailing Address:	2255 North G	emini D	Orive, Fl	agstaff		
E-mail:	cfritz@usgs.	gov	State:	Arizona	Zip Co	ode:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-72	207	Delivery Address:	Same as abo	ve		_		
FAX:	(928) 556-70)92	State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:			erencing of spatial data urate change detection well defined locally (at ch) and regionally (through the data must be National Spatial Referographical Information archy is particularly cremine positions, (e.g. togrammetry, convent bal Positioning System bining the results of the sistent set of coordinates are derivation. It is important required by the Feder	n. As such, the a specific site oughout the lere correctly and ence System (Systems (GIS itical since divergence), and hese various news requires a ived, as well a to note that the	e position or along the officensist (NSRS). Definerse med LiDeraged of the officense the action of the officense the action of the officense efforts	onal acc g a sho the CRI ently re ofor reli- ing all I ethods a AR and ptical maphic su aphic su a to deri d knowl ccuracy s descri	curacy must rit river E). In referenced to able use in evels of this are used to rethods, the curveys). rive a redge of how of the libed here		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:			The objectives of this project are to implement recommendations made by the GCMRC Survey Protocol Evaluation Program (PEP), to create and fully document a survey control network, and to ensure spatial data products are compliant with FGDC regulations. The PEP recommendations include rigorously tying the control network to the NSRS (both horizontally and vertically) and meeting FGDC requirements for data validation, accuracy assessment, and documentation. The overarching goal is to develop a sound process for establishing, maintaining, and verifying survey control in support of long-term monitoring within the CRE. Toward this end, GCMRC requires a control network and survey procedures that will yield reliable and consistent results now, while allowing for advances in theory and technology in the future. Importantly, the procedures must withstand changes in personnel that will inevitably occur over the life of the CRE monitoring programs.						

Goals 6, 7, 8, 11, and 12, MOs 6.4, 7.3, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 9.3, (7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP: 11.1, 12.2, 12.3, and 12.9. The primary control surveys are performed using GPS equipment and adhere to guidelines in NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NGS-58, (1997) and NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NGS-59 (2005, currently in draft form) and are tied to at least three NGS Continuously Operating Reference Stations. Within the primary network, survey marks are occupied with conventional survey equipment or GPS receivers and antennas, set on collapsible. stable survey tripods. All measurements, GPS data, field records, and documentation are examined to verify compliance with the specifications for the intended accuracy of the survey. Results of the minimally constrained, least squares adjustment of the survey measurements are examined to ensure correct weighting of the (8.) METHODOLOGY: observations and freedom from blunders. Local and network accuracy measures computed by random error propagation determine the provisional accuracy. In contrast to a constrained adjustment where coordinates are obtained by holding fixed the datum values of the existing control network, accuracy measures are computed by weighting datum values in accordance with the network accuracies of the existing network control. The survey accuracy is checked by comparing minimally constrained adjustment results against established control. The result must be at the 95% confidence interval. We continue to focus on adding vectors to the tertiary level of the network. These optical measurements (totaling over 1,000 records (9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF including occupied point, back sight point, foresight point, slope WORK: distance, height of instrument, height of target, horizontal angle, (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to and zenith angle) are compiled in field notes collected in survey the extent that the project is identifiable. books from 1990 to present. These notes will be digitally recorded. Include specific tasks where appropriate adjusted for curvature and refraction, fixed to GPS results and and helpful.) entered into a least-squares adjustment for coordinate calculations and accuracy assessments. As of December, 2006, the GPS network within Grand Canyon has been expanded to include 159 traverse points referencing 39 (10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: GPS stations. Additionally, 217 Photo-identifiable hard points have (Describe how each task identified in the been referenced throughout 18 sites from RM0 to RM225. These AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include stations, combined with the 20 rim control stations, 25 primary a description of any significant deviation river stations, and 170 secondary river stations now total 374 from the AWP Scope of Work.) survey monuments and 217 photo-identifiable stations that are referenced to the National Spatial Reference System. (11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS The results continue to be very promising. We have shown that COMPLETED: accurate geodetic positions can be achieved in the hostile GPS (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and environment within the steep confines of Grand Canyon. Primary report on all products beyond those rim, primary river and secondary river network adjustment are

presentations, post databases, worksh	ied. Include reports, ter sessions, exhibits, ops, maps, website sion support systems,	indepe adjust adjust optical	endent ver ment of 22 ment inclu I measure	ne primary rim network includes 153 completely vectors. The primary river network includes the of 224 independent vectors. The secondary network includes 1,633 vectors. Adjustment of more than 1,000 urements taken from 1990 to present are currently to the tertiary level of the network.				
(12.) REPORTS/ PLANNED: (See above, but re, are in progress and delivery dates.)	port those items that	 A network of survey control points established in specific research areas and throughout the CRE, referenced to the primary control network established by the GCMRC and th National Geodetic Survey. Coordinates and realistic positional and height accuracy estimates for all network control stations will be available to NPS, the GCMRC, and all cooperating agencies. Creation of a database for georeferencing of past datasets assessing accuracy of remotely sensed data. A peer reviewed publication reporting collection and processing methodologies, analysis and discussion of resuaccuracy validation per FGDC requirements, and recommendations for future positioning needs. 						
other studies, or ac findings of this proj	endations for dification of project, ctivities resulting from ect; for MRP changes or	contro analys 2006 t capab detern	The final deliverable (2008) will be a comprehensive geodetic control network report for the CRE. The report will include use and analysis of many costly data sets collected between 1990 and 2006 that directly aid modeling and ecosystem change detection capabilities. One major outcome of the report will be the determination of realistic and achievable accuracies for supporting GCDAMP scientific investigations.					
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINΔN	ICIAI INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	I DATE:	09/30/2006		
FY PLANNED G			138,270			\$117,528		
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDIT	TURES:	\$77,528		
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGATI	ONS:	\$40,000		
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ Carol Fritzinger		TITLE:	Logistics Operations Specialist	DATE:	01/31/2007		

PROJECT E.1 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT

PROJECT E.1 INFORMATION	PROJECT E.1 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT								
FISCAL YEAR 2000 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSION DATE:		*02/20/2007			
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USGS – SBSC - Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Station							
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Fiscal Year 2006 Budget & Work Plan, E.1 (GCMRC No. BNE5A)							
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			IRC Component of SE		Admin	istration	Support		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR IN						•		
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ham Mike Liszews		Mailing Address:	2255 North (Gemini	Drive, I	Flagstaff		
E-mail:	jhamill@usgs	s.gov	State:	AZ	Zip C	ode:	86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-70)94	Delivery Address:	Same as abo	ove				
FAX:	(928) 556-70)92	State:	AZ	Zip C	ode:	86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	GCM	ide computer, network IRC staff relative to its s of the AMP.						
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		 Provide necessary computer hardware and software required by the GCMRC Provide computer networking infrastructure Meet DOI/USGS security requirements Maintain and troubleshoot computer systems as necessary Provide website support 							
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	All g	oals						
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		Utiliz	ing IT helpdesk mode	l					
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the and the extent that the project Include specific tasks when and helpful.)	nual SOW to is identifiable.	The annual state of work is a continuation of the statement of problem above.							
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)			There were no significant deviations from the AWP. Support was provided in the following areas: • Provided necessary computer hardware and software required by the GCMRC • Provide computer networking infrastructure • Met DOI/USGS security requirements • Maintained computer systems as necessary • Provide website support						
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)			Required computer hardware and software, networking infrastructure, security, and website support was provided and all computer maintenance and troubleshooting was completed.						

PLANNED: (See above, but rep	above, but report those items that progress and include expected Intrastructure, security, and website support will be provided as necessary. Maintenance and troubleshooting will be provided as needed					rovided as		
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)								
(14.) FY2006 BU		FINAN	FINANCIAL INFORMATION COLLECTION DATE: 09/30/2006					
FY PLANNED G		\$	354,510	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$309,606		
COMMENTS: (Discuss			·	FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITURES:		\$258,256		
anomalies in the	None at this time.			FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$51,350		
budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	none at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00		
SIGNATURE:								
(Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F. Hamill		TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/02/2007		

PROJECT F.1 ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS

PROJECT F.1 ADMINISTR	ATIVE OPERATI	ONS			_		
FISCAL YEAR 2000 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	S – SBSC - Grand Ca	nyon Monitoring & I	Research Station		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		Canyon Dam Adaptiv Budget & Work Plan,		gram, Fiscal Year (GCMRC No. BNE7A)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		Adm	inistrative Operations				
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR IN	ORM	ATION:				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ham	ill	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemin	, ,		
E-mail:	jhamill@usgs		State:		Code: 86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address:	Same as above			
FAX:	(928) 556-70	92	State:	AZ Zip (Code: 86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	its so oblig their	ctive management of the cientists and technicial ations rely on their abiduties.	ns to successfully fu ility to effectively an	Ilfill their research d efficiently perform		
(6.) OBJECTIVES:			It is necessary to have smooth running, transparent administrative operations that ensure the scientist's focus can remain on their research rather than on the administrative details involved with the payment of rent and utilities, timekeeping concerns, filing, and various other administrative topics.				
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	All goals					
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		regul Fede USG proce acco Adm GCM	eral methods include s latory and legal standa eral agencies with legal S guidelines as assign esses. Administrative p mplish requests within inistrative Officer for S IRC will report annuall ections and on the actu	ards as required by all oversight. The GC ned for personnel, to personnel will focus a Federal laws and reback and the Budgely to the AMWG/TW	the USGS and other CMRC will follow ravel, and other on how to regulations. The let Analyst for IG on year-end		
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)			ctive management of the cientists and technician ations rely on their about it is necessary inistrative operations the cientist ain on their research raved with the payment erns, filing, and various inistrative operations agement of facilities, benditure tracking; processerative and interagence accepting; bank card travoucher processing; a inistrative groups (Westices and Contracting Cological Headquarter and with the USGS na	ns to successfully fullity to effectively and to have smooth rurhat ensure the scienather than on the ad of rent and utilities, as other administrative activities provide the burden, and overheads and finance agreements; producking and reconciliand liaison activities stern Region Budge Offices, Headquarters). In addition, this provided to the succession of the successio	alfill their research defficiently perform nning, transparent ntist's focus can ministrative details timekeeping ve topics. e oversight and ad; personnel issues; ial management of cessing of contracts; ation; travel plans between the USGS at and Fiscal rs in Reston, and project is innately		

AWP was/was not initial findings and f	n task identified in the met and summarize inal results. Include v significant deviation	system known as BASIS+, that is used by the USGS Headquarters and Regional offices to make their annual reports to Congress as well as to respond to Congressional inquiries with turnaround times as short as 12 hours. (As part of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, GCMRC administrators have been called upon to provide information of this type from the system on many occasions.) There were no significant deviations from the AWP. Administrative activities involved oversight and management of facilities, burden and overhead; addressing personnel needs/issues; expenditure tracking; processing of and financial management of cooperative and interagency agreements; processing of contracts; timekeeping; bank card tracking and reconciliation; travel plan and voucher processing; and liaison activities between the USGS administrative groups.				iries with Glen C nation of this ement of financial ents; ng and
(11.) REPORTS/I COMPLETE (Include all deliveral AWP that have bee report on all product deliverables identifications, post databases, workshot contributions, decisal	Manag admin	gerś upon	other financial information p request. SCORE Report w inds; refer to AMWG/TWG t.	vas publishe	ed using	
(12.) REPORTS/I PLANNED:	oort those items that	GCMF previo	RC will pre us fiscal y	ive Officer for SBSC and the sent a report in actual expenser that will normally be preacted. AMWG meeting.	enditures fo	r the
(13.) RECOMME (Describe recomme continuation or mod other studies, or ac findings of this proje recommendations to	(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)			strative personnel have me will be providing detailed bi addition, GCMRC and BOF ceived discrepancy of \$1.7 ement (No. 01-AA-40-4640), the difference in the books 400 over the course of the CMRC and BOR administrasistent basis to maintain a discuss and resolve issues	Illing information worked to million dollar million dollar million dollar million when the scame to 5-year agreative person open worked.	ation for gether to ars under e books ement and nnel agreed king
(14.) FY2006 BU	DGET REPORT	FINAN	ICIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006
FY PLANNED GI	ROSS BUDGET:	\$	772,545	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$743,899
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$737,899
(Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	See Recommendati	ions.		END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	IONS:	\$6,000 \$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F. Hamill			Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007

PROJECT F.2 PROGRAM PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

PROJECT F.2 PROGRAM F							
FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE DATE: MANAGEMENT PROGRAM *02/20/20							
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	Y: USGS – SBSC - Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Station					
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP	Glen Cany	on Dam Adaptiv Vork Plan, F.2		agement Progi		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			lanning and Ma	nagem	ent	,	
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVEST	TIGATO	R INFORM	ATION:				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F	. Hamill	Mailing Addres	ss:	2255 North G	Gemini Drive,	Flagstaff
E-mail:	jhamill	@usgs.gov	State:		AZ	Zip Code:	86001
Telephone:	(928)	556-7364	Delivery Addre	ess:	Same as abo	ve	
FAX:	(928)	556-7092	State:		AZ	Zip Code:	86001
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:	effect man man GCM Man that they	Successful scientific research and reporting can be enhanced by strong and effective leadership that provides close working relationships between managers and employees. Good managers can apply knowledge as management actions that can enhance scientific research and imagination. In GCMRC, in addition to their program management responsibilities, the Program Managers are also subject area experts in their respective fields. It is important that GCMRC Program Managers and scientific staff maintain this expertise so they can provide high quality technical assistance in the form of expert analysis, opinion, and advice to the Chief, TWG, and AMWG, as requested.					
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	that GCD	is effective ir	oal is to deliver n responding to y DOI. Productiv al.	manag	ement needs a	articulated thi	ough the
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO MRP:	All g	oals.					
(8.) METHODOLOGY:	that by a Cent Esta acco Secr scier GCE Prog Resp prog ecos conti	is responsive core programeter Chief blishes Cent buntability for retary's GCD ance is provide DAMP leader gram Manage consible for the ram area; in system appropriact/agreements	·	f the G staff the ies and udget. I and G anner tion of her pro- control it; man	d strategic dired interfaces with GCDAMP mana on priority issues the science program areas to of products an agement of bu	RC will be a e following ke ction and pro USGS mana agers to assu es identified cogram within ensure integ d contractors dget within th	dministered y positions: vides gement, re that quality by the their trated / cooperators; eir program

GCMRC activities were organized into four major program areas: 1. The Physical Science and Modeling Program conducts research and monitoring activities on physical elements of the Colorado River ecosystem including studies of sediment storage and transport in the regulated river, integrated downstream water quality monitoring and research. The program has been responsible for conducting several experimental high flow releases from Glen Canyon Dam (GCD) to conserve sediment resources for building beaches and improving habitat for native aguatic species in the Colorado River. 2. The Biological Program provides scientific information that supports the conservation of native species in the Grand Canvon and the Lees Ferry trout fishery. Elements of the program include the assessing the effects of GCD on fishery resources. characterizing the aquatic food base, evaluating terrestrial contributions to the aquatic food base, improving fish community monitoring, developing and testing of techniques to control nonnative fishes, evaluating terrestrial vegetation changes as a result of dam operations, and water quality monitoring and modeling in Lake Powell and the Colorado River below GCD. 3. The Cultural and Socioeconomic Program focuses on culturally significant sites and artifacts and recreation activities based in the Grand Canvon. The current focus is on development of comprehensive monitoring programs to assess the condition of the culturally significant sites affected by the operation of GCD. The Logistics Program supports up to 40 river trips per year and coordinates research permit management for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center. The Logistics Program also provides survey support to various program and activities. Beginning in FY06, in an effort to simplify distribution of program planning and (9.) ANNUAL management salaries and travel, the Program Manager salaries were assigned STATEMENT OF to this category exclusively. In addition to the five program managers, 50% of WORK: the salary for the Southwest Biological Science Center's Information (Briefly summarize the Technologies Director is also included in this line item to support the GCMRC's annual SOW to the extent ongoing information and technology needs. Travel expenses in support of the that the project is identifiable. Include specific program, but separate from TWG and AMWG participation, are also included. tasks where appropriate Salaries and travel costs for Program Managers, the Chief, and Deputy Chief and helpful.) are included in program planning and management budget. (10.) PROGRESS GCMRC program managers actively participated in and provided staff **STATEMENT:** support to AMWG, TWG, SPG, and CRAHG activities. Program (Describe how each task managers also coordinated/facilitated implementation of FY06 projects identified in the AWP was/was not met and and supervised GCMRC staff. All key GCMRC program manager positions summarize initial findings were filled in FY06 including a Chief and Biology Program Manager. A Deputy and final results. Include a Chief position was established to oversee internal operations and facilitate description of any integrated multidisciplinary science. significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.) (11.)REPORTS/PRODUCTS Refer to individual projects. COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that

have been completed report on all productions beyond those delified identified. Included presentations, possessions, exhibits, databases, workstown was websited contributions, decisions support systems, newsletters, etc.)	ucts verables reports, ster , hops,					
(12.) REPORTS/PRO PLANNED: (See above, but re those items that a progress and inclu expected delivery	: eport re in ude	Refer to the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program Fiscal Year 2006 Budget & Work Plan as Recommended by the Adaptive Management Work Group. http://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/mtgs/05aug30/documents/Attach_012.pdf				
(13.) RECOMMENDA (Describe recomment for continuation of modification of produced of the studies, or a resulting from find this project; recommendations changes or future guidance, etc.)	nendations oject, octivities lings of	Fill the recently vacated Physical Program Manager position.				
(14.) FY2006 BU REPORT	JDGET	FINANC	CIAL INFOR	RMATION COLLECTION DATE:		09/30/2006
FY PLANNED G BUDGET:	ROSS		\$566,444	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL BA	AL:	\$486,175
COMMENTS: (Discuss anomalies in the budget;	COMMENTS: (Discuss anomalies in					\$486,175 \$00
expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)				END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAIL BALANCE:	ABLE	\$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F	. Hamill	TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007

PROJECT F.3 AMWG/TWG PARTICIPATION

PROJECT F.3 AMWG/TV	PROJECT F.3 AMWG/TWG PARTICIPATION							
FISCAL YEAR 2000 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM	AM ADAPTI			SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007			
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USG	S - SBSC - Grand Ca	nyon Monitoring &	Research Station			
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		Canyon Dam Adaptiv Budget & Work Plan,		ogram, Fiscal Year (GCMRC No. BNE7C)			
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		AMV	/G/TWG Participation					
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR IN	ORM	ATION:					
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ham	ill	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemir				
E-mail:	jhamill@usgs		State:		Code: 86001			
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address:	Same as above				
FAX:	(928) 556-70)92	State:	AZ Zip	Code: 86001			
(5.) STATEMENT OF F	PROBLEM:	USG	project is an account of S employees who are smeetings.					
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		To fu	and travel costs for AM	IWG/TWG meeting	S.			
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Goal 12						
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		Methods used are standard USGS travel authorizations and vouchers.						
(9.) ANNUAL STATEM WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual the extent that the project Include specific tasks when and helpful.)	nual SOW to is identifiable.	Fund all travel costs for GCMRC employees to travel to and from AMWG and TWG meetings while project related travel is budgeted within projects.						
(10.) PROGRESS STAT (Describe how each task in AWP was/was not met and initial findings and final res a description of any signific from the AWP Scope of W	dentified in the d summarize sults. Include cant deviation	GCMRC managers and appropriate staff attended all AMWG and TWG meetings in FY06.						
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)		Project progress report.						
(12.) REPORTS/PRODI PLANNED: (See above, but report tho are in progress and include delivery dates.)		al expenditure report f ally be presented at th						

(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS:						
(Describe recommendations for						
continuation or modification of project,						

other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.) Recommend renaming this project to represent its actual purpose which is to fund travel costs only to/from TWG/AMWG meetings for USGS employees.

ruture program guit	darice, cic.)					
(14.) FY2006 BUDGET REPORT FINANCIAL INF			CIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	N DATE:	09/30/2006
FY PLANNED G	ROSS BUDGET:	9	\$17,550	FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$14,543
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$14,543
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$00
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F. Hamill		TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007

PROJECT F.4 INDEPENDENT REVIEW PANELS

PROJECT F.4 INDEPENDENT REVIEW PANELS							
FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT REPORT FOR THE GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT DATE: *02/20/20							
(1.) SUBMITTING AGE	NCY:	USGS -	- SBSC - Grand Cany	on Monitoring & Re	esearch Station		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRO ID/OTHER NO.:	AWP		anyon Dam Adaptive & Work Plan, F.4	Management Progr	am, Fiscal Year 2006 (GCMRC No. BNE7D)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:		Indeper	ndent Review Panels				
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	STIGATOR	INFORM	ATION:				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ha		Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemi			
E-mail:	jhamill@u		State:		Code: 86001		
Telephone:	(928) 556		Delivery Address:	Same as above			
FAX:	(928) 556		State:		Code: 86001		
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: (6.) OBJECTIVES:		Independent external review is at the heart of GCMRC's approace program management and implementation. Together with the competitive process, independent external peer review ensures the quality and objectivity of GCMRC's programs. Independent review panels are utilized to evaluate GCMRC's plans and activities. All proposals, reports, programs, etc., are subject to independent pereview according to GCMRC's peer-review protocols. To increase the efficiency and quality of the science being develops to GCMRC and used by the AMWG and the Secretary, GCMRC establish a peer-review process to ensure that all unsolicited, solicited, or in-house proposals and all draft reports received by GCMRC undergo independent, external peer review. Additionally					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP T	O MRP:	Science Advisors Board will provide independent scientific oversight and technical advice to ensure that GCMRC science programs are efficient, unbiased, objective, and scientifically sound. All goals					
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		peer rev Similarly external GCMRC of the Ir Peer rev RFP is ounsolicit conduct anonymare provaddition projects remote cultural	CMRC's scientific act view including all unsey, all draft reports recomment or exceed the atterior for the Department of	olicited, solicited, or eived by GCMRC user-review protocols standards articulated nent of the Interior. Decived by GCMRC is panel process, while posals, as well as pland panel reviews, with comments from PEPs to review and To date, PEPs have revey control, terresticater quality program is handled by a represented to the process of t	in-house proposals. Indergo independent, developed by ed by the Secretary In response to an e peer review for roject reports is iewers are offered where applicable, in GCMRC. In assess GCMRC's e been held for rial and aquatic, ort review		

step removed from the GCMRC Program Managers to guard against any conflicts of interest – real or perceived. Strict conflict-of-interest guidelines are adhered to. GCMRC annually recruits new individuals to join the ranks of its peer reviewers and maintains a database of almost 500 potential reviewers, organized by area of expertise. GCMRC peer reviewers come from academia, Federal, State and Tribal government, non-governmental organizations, and the private sector. Reviewers are selected on the basis of their record of scientific accomplishment and expertise.

Science Advisors

The GCMRC works with a group of Science Advisors (SAs) as one of its independent review panels. The SAs are advisory and not a decision making body. It is an interdisciplinary group composed of scientists who are qualified, based on their record of publication in the peer-reviewed literature, or other demonstrable scientific achievements. An Executive Secretary leads the SAs and serves as the liaison officer to the AMWG and the GCMRC.

The SAs, together and individually, will be expected in FY06 to review and comment to the AMWG and GCMRC on: (1) GCMRC's annual work plan and budget proposal, (2) GCMRC's long-term monitoring and research plan (MRP), (3) the results of GCMRC's completed monitoring and research activities, (4) the results of any synthesis and assessment activities initiated by the GCMRC, and (5) any other activities (i.e., developing a monitoring plan, enhancing opportunities for integrated science, and other program specific scientific advice) it is asked to address by the GCMRC Chief or the AMWG.

The GCMRC librarian coordinates review activities for Protocol Evaluation Panels (PEPs) and research proposals and reports funded by the Center. In FY2006 this included 1 PEP, 4 research proposals, and 26 research reports.

The Scientific Advisors individually will be expected upon request, among other things, to review and comment on:

(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK:

(Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)

- Results of ongoing and completed monitoring and research program activities, as well as any synthesis and assessment activities initiated by GCMRC
- 2. The appropriateness of GCMRC's RFPs, especially their responsiveness to management objectives
- 3. The protocols used in GCMRC sponsored scientific activities, including a 5-year review of GCMRC monitoring and research protocols
- 4. GCMRC's long-term monitoring plan
- 5. GCMRC's annual monitoring and research plans
- 6. GCMRC's annual budget proposals, to ensure that the science program is efficiently and effectively responding

to AMWG goals (i.e., management objectives) 7. Any other program specific scientific and technical advice it is asked to address by the AMWG, the GCMRC, or the Secretary Two Science Advisors positions were open from 2005, and three SAs resigned in 2006 at the end of their appointment period. A proposal by GCMRC to AMWG to reduce the Science Advisor group from 10 to 8 was accepted, and three of the five open positions were refilled. Dr. Harold Tyus, fish/aquatic ecologist from UC Boulder; Dr. Don Fowler, anthropologist from UN Reno, and Dr. Ellen Wohl, geomorphologist from CSU, were appointed by the GCMRC Chief as Science Advisor replacements. All three specialists are currently working with existing SAs on review projects. Continuing SA Appointments are: Jill Baron, Plant Ecologist, USGS/CSU Virginia Dale, Systems Specialist, TVA Lance Gunderson, Adaptive Management Specialist, Emory College Jim Kitchell, Fish Ecologist, Univ of Wisconsin Dale Robertson, Limnologist, USGS (10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: The SAs produced the following reviews of documents in 2006: (Describe how each task identified in Knowledge Assessment Report (KAR) the AWP was/was not met and Section of SCORE Report summarize initial findings and final Draft HBCC results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Strategic Science Plan (2) Scope of Work.) Monitoring and Research Plan (2) FY 2007 Annual Work Plan and Budget Hydropower Economic Statement of Work Review Biophysical/Socio-Cultural Statement of Work Review Science Advisory Services of SAs: The SAs, and specifically the SA Executive Secretary, agreed to significantly increased contributions of advisory services to the AMP in 2006 to ensure support to planning process needs in science and management. This has involved contributions in the following areas. A Science Planning Group to develop AMP science plans: i.e., SSP, MRP, AWP and Budget SPG to develop experimental options Review and advisory service to TWG and GCMRC Advisory service to Task Team for Experimental Options Assessment Resource Requirements (11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS Refer to the Annual Report: FY 2006 Science Advisor COMPLETED: Accomplishments and Proposed FY 2007/2008 Science Advisor (Include all deliverables identified in Review and Advisory Service Program prepared by L.D. Garrett, SA the AWP that have been completed Executive Secretary, for Dr. Kurt Dongoske, TWG Chair, on October and report on all products beyond 24, 2006 those deliverables identified. Include http://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/mtgs/06dec05/index.html reports, presentations, poster

http://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/mtgs/06dec05/AIF SAsRpt.pdf

sessions, exhibits, databases,

workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support

systems, newslet	ters, etc.)						
(12.) REPORTS PLANNED (See above, but r that are in progre expected delivery	eport those items ss and include	Refer t	o the repo	ort links, above.			
(13.) RECOMM (Describe recommendation or measulting from fine recommendations or future programs)	mendations for odification of dies, or activities dings of this project; s for MRP changes	Selected recommendations of the Science Advisors from vareviews are as follows: Incorporate ecosystem paradigm into more element research, monitoring, and management actions Focus on most critical management resource concerns and management actions Redirect aquatic food base program Focus new food base program toward key higher the resources Direct science program with strategic and operation science questions Determine how to integrate management actions in ongoing science/monitoring programs The FY 2007 and FY 2008 budget for Science Advisor programs should be reduced to previous levels of between \$170,000 at \$190,000.				nents of ncerns n, monitoring, r trophic tional s into	
	UDGET REPORT	FINAN	CIAL INF	ORMATION COLLECTION	DATE:	09/30/2006	
FY PLANNED (BUDGET:	GROSS	9	380,250	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAI	L BAL:	\$326,810	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDIT		\$181,284	
(Discuss anomalies in				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$145,526	
the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$ 00	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F. Hamill		TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007	

PROJECT F.5 SUPPORT FOR STRATEGIC SCIENCE IMPLEMENTATION PLANNING							
FISCAL YEAR 2006 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMIS D	SION ATE:	*02/20/2007	
(1.) SUBMITTING AGENCY:	USGS -	- SBSC -	- Grand Canyon Mor	nitoring & Res	search S	tation	
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP ID/OTHER NO.:	Budget	& Work	am Adaptive Manage Plan, F.5		(G	al Year 2006 SCMRC No. BNE7E)	
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			tegic Science Impler	nentation Pla	nning		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR II	NFORM	ATION:				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ha	mill	Mailing Address:	2255 North	Gemini l	Drive, Flagstaff	
E-mail:	jhamill@us		State:	AZ	Zip Co	ode: 86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-		Delivery Address:	Same as ab			
FAX:	(928) 556-		State:	AZ	Zip Co		
(5.) STATEMENT OF P	ROBLEM:	Secreta GCMR and sc	cience Planning Grou ary's Designee and A C and TWG. A 12-n ientists was approve	AMWG in 200 nonth focused d.	05 at the d effort o	request of f AMP managers	
(6.) OBJECTIVES:			elop the AMP 5-year e programs plan.	experimenta	al plans a	and associated	
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO	O MRP:	All goals.					
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		The Science Advisors group was appointed to direct and facilitate the process. The SPG, led by GCMRC and TWG members, was an experimental adaptive management task group developed specifically to assure involvement of all AMP programs and groups in the planning process.					
(9.) ANNUAL STATEME WORK: (Briefly summarize the ann the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific where appropriate and help	ual SOW to s ic tasks	No spe	ecific Statement of W	ork develope	ed.		
The SPG has documented their process and performance in Report on Activities and on Accomplishments of the GCD AN Science Planning Group: 2005-2006." In brief, the SPG: Developed and followed a 12-month plan of specific objectives, and proposed schedules, costs, and out objectives, and proposed schedules, cost				the GCD AMP he SPG: n of specific sts, and outcomes. ies' involvement in all plans. nd within budget: rnatives SP) Plan (MRP) get (AWP) ck of full P structure and ce planning gement and science			

			f " 0D0				
(44) PEROPE	O/DDODUCTO	recommendation	ons from the SPG.				
the AWP that ha and report on all	red: erables identified in ve been completed products beyond es identified. Include etions, poster s, databases, s, website cision support	Report on Activ	The SPG has documented their process and performance in "A Report on Activities and Accomplishments of the GCD AMP Science Planning Group: 2005 – 2006."				
(12.) REPORTS PLANNEI (See above, but	S/PRODUCTS	Management I Review, Octobe Prepared by the Developed in community Management F http://www.usb http://www.usb Plans.pdf	e Grand Canyon Monitori ooperation with the Glen Program r.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/m r.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/m	07–11, Draft for ing and Reseau Canyon Dam Antgs/06dec05/in	or AMWG The Center Adaptive Index.html IF_Science_		
other studies, or from findings of t	mendations for nodification of project, activities resulting his project; s for MRP changes or	s size, composition, and the performance. However, it and the performance of fut the difference of the	also determined ure task groups and in several confithe AMP. The in FY 2007 and SPG be followorded in the 12-critical issues. Procedures for specific 3-5 years and scients and scients and scients and scients and scients and scients. In agers collaboration of the additions (DF)	ed that its is could be critical in SPG id 2008. To ed in 2007 imonth period imanagers to ear time intists that in rative aptive			
(14.) FY2006 B	UDGET REPORT	FINANCIAL IN	FORMATION COLLECT	ION DATE:	09/30/2006		
	GROSS BUDGET:	\$29,250	FISCAL YEAR NET AV		\$24,596		
COMMENTS: (Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.		FISCAL YEAR EXPENI FISCAL YEAR OBLIGA END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE	ATIONS:	\$24,596 \$00 \$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by	/S/ John F. Hamill	TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007		

PI)			
1 1./			

PROJECT F.6 GCMRC'S	FY 2006 BIE	NNIAL S	CIENCE SYMPOSIUM			
FISCAL YEAR 2006 GLEN CANYON DA PROGRAM				SUBMISSION DATE:	*02/20/2007	
(1.) SUBMITTING AGENCY:	– SBSC	- Grand Canyon Mo	nitoring & Research S	tation		
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP ID/OTHER NO.:		Dam Adaptive Manag Plan, F.6	ement Program, Fisca (GCM	al Year 2006 RC No. BNE7I)		
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:	GCMF	C's FY 2	2006 Biennial Scienc	e Symposium		
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR II	NFORM	ATION:			
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ha	ımill	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff		
E-mail:	jhamill@us	gs.gov	State:	AZ Zip Cod	de: 86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-	7364	Delivery Address:	Same as above		
FAX:	(928) 556		State:	AZ Zip Coo an exciting opportunit		
(5.) STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:		share recent activities. The symposium also coincides both with the tenth anniversary of the environmental impact statement (EIS) that set the stage for the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management summary on the impacts of the operation of Glen Canyon Dam on downstream natural, cultural, and recreational resources within Glen Canyon National Recreation Area and Grand Canyon National Park.				
(6.) OBJECTIVES:	To facilitate critical information transfer and promote science and management discussions as new information is derived from the science and GCD-AMP efforts. In addition, the symposium should engender discussion on how best to use scientific results from research conducted in the Colorado River ecosystem to advance the future monitoring and research efforts.					
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO	O MRP:	All goa	ls.			
(8.) METHODOLOGY:		d a symposium in a c are their findings with	entrally located city who others.	here researchers		
(9.) ANNUAL STATEMENT OF WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.)		The report, The State of Colorado River Ecosystem in Grand Canyon, SCORE, serves as a focal point for the first day of the symposium. It is a significant milestone in the use of adaptive ecosystem management (AEM) to support the Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (GCPA). Importantly, its analysis and results can be a catalyst for education and interaction among the scientific community. The second day offers a preliminary update of the results of the November 2004 Experimental High Flow and efforts to mechanically remove nonnative fishes in the Colorado River within Grand Canyon. During the afternoon of the second day, monitoring and research activities in the realms of aquatic biology, economics, planning and experimentation are highlighted. The third day explores other important components of the monitoring and research program, including spatial and remotely sensed data, water quality, physical science, and primary productivity. The symposium concludes with recent findings related to the endangered humpback chub (Gila cypha) population in				

		Grar	nd Canyon					
(10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)		Symposium completed; SCORE Report written and published; see the following link for abstracts, etc. http://www.gcmrc.gov/news_info/outreach/symposiums/2005/sym_2005.htm						
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)			Symposium completed; see the following link for abstracts, etc. http://www.gcmrc.gov/news_info/outreach/symposiums/2005/sym_2005.htm and the following link for the complete SCORE report: http://www.gcmrc.gov/products/score/2005/score.htm					
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.)		See links, above.						
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)		Conduct the next science symposium in FY08 in coordination with the Upper Basin Recovery Implementation Program and the Lower Basin Multi-species Conservation Plan.						
(14.) FY2006 BU	JDGET REPORT	FINA	ANCIAL IN	FORMATION COLLECTION	DATE:	09/30/2006		
	ROSS BUDGET:		\$29,250	FISCAL YEAR NET AVAIL		\$24,596		
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDITU		\$24,596		
(Discuss anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:	NS:	\$00 \$ 00		
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F. Hamill		TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007		

PROJECT F.8 AMWG, TWG REQUESTS DURING FY 2006							
		T REPORT FOR THE SUBMISSION DATE:			02/20/2007		
(1.) SUBMITTING AGENCY:			S - SBSC - Grand Ca	nyon Monitoring	& Research	Station	
(2.) GCDAMP/GCMRC AWP ID/OTHER NO.:			Canyon Dam Adaptiv Budget & Work Plan,			cal Year No. BNE7F)	
(3.) PROJECT TITLE:			VG, TWG Requests D	uring FY 2006			
(4.) PRINCIPAL INVES	TIGATOR INI	ORM	ATION:				
GCMRC Program Manager / Principal Investigator:	John F. Ham	ill	Mailing Address:	2255 North Gemini Drive, Flagstaff			
E-mail:	jhamill@usgs			AZ Zi	p Code:	86001	
Telephone:	(928) 556-73		Delivery Address:	Same as above			
FAX:	(928) 556-70		State:			86001	
(5.) STATEMENT OF P	ROBLEM:	addit	funding is recommend ional needs are identi	fied during the co	urse of FY20	006.	
(6.) OBJECTIVES:		the c	and unexpected project course of the fiscal year		s that may a	rise during	
(7.) RELATIONSHIP TO	O MRP:	Dependent on need.					
(8.) METHODOLOGY: (9.) ANNUAL STATEMI		Depe	endent on need.				
WORK: (Briefly summarize the annual SOW to the extent that the project is identifiable. Include specific tasks where appropriate and helpful.) (10.) PROGRESS STATEMENT: (Describe how each task identified in the AWP was/was not met and summarize		Dependent on need. Minimal funds were used to help with the costs of publishing the SCORE report.					
initial findings and final results. Include a description of any significant deviation from the AWP Scope of Work.)		,					
(11.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS COMPLETED: (Include all deliverables identified in the AWP that have been completed and report on all products beyond those		The State of the Colorado River Ecosystem (SCORE) Report publishing costs were partially funded from this account.					
deliverables identified. Include reports, presentations, poster sessions, exhibits, databases, workshops, maps, website contributions, decision support systems, newsletters, etc.)		See the link, below, for the full report. http://www.gcmrc.gov/products/score/score_reports.htm					
(12.) REPORTS/PRODUCTS PLANNED: (See above, but report those items that are in progress and include expected delivery dates.)		None.					
(13.) RECOMMENDATIONS: (Describe recommendations for continuation or modification of project, other studies, or activities resulting from findings of this project; recommendations for MRP changes or future program guidance, etc.)		None	e at this time.				

(14.) FY2006 BUDGET REPORT		FINAN	FINANCIAL INFORMATION COLLECTION DATE:				
FY PLANNED GROSS BUDGET:		\$87,750		FISCAL YEAR NET AVA	IL BAL:	\$80,408	
COMMENTS:				FISCAL YEAR EXPENDI	TURES:	\$23,103	
(Discuss				FISCAL YEAR OBLIGAT	IONS:	\$00	
anomalies in the budget; expected changes; anticipated carryover; etc.)	None at this time.			END OF FISCAL YEAR AVAILABLE BALANCE:		\$57,305	
SIGNATURE: (Must be signed or submitted by PI.)	/S/ John F. Hamill		TITLE:	Chief, GCMRC	DATE:	02/13/2007	

END	OF REPORT	
	OI ILLI OILI	