10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

Docket No.: 71-9218
Package Model No.: TRUPACT-II

Report Submitted By: T. E. Sellmer, Packaging Manager, Washington TRU Solutions, LLC

Report Date: August 1, 2008

Review the incoming report to determine if additional Commission or staff action is warranted. The review should consider whether the report identifies a generic defect or problem with the package design and the safety significance of the issue. Note that a high safety significance represents a potential for significant radiation exposure, medium safety significance represents a potential for some moderate radiation exposure, and low safety significance represents little or no potential for radiation exposure.

1. The report identifies:

- □ Significant reduction in the effectiveness of a package during use;
- Defect with a safety significance;
- Shipment in which conditions of the approval were not observed.
- 2. What is the safety significance? □ High □ Medium ☒ Low

3. Summary of the report:

On June 15, 2008, Washington TRU Solutions discovered one of the TRUPACT-II Packaging drums was shipped with a certification document incorrectly completed. The certification document stated the drum contained free liquids less than 1% of the payload container volume. However, Washington TRU Solutions confirmed the drum had been tagged earlier with a report stating the drum contained free liquids greater than 1% of the payload container. No safety consequences resulted and no overexposure occurred due to the incorrectly completed certification document.

4. Corrective actions taken by the licensee:

- Implemented use of wire cable attachments for drum report tags to ensure tags will not fall off.
- Added an electronic check requirement by verifiers to check an electronic data base for drum status.

5	Staff	comm	ante:
ນ.	Stail	COIIIII	ents.

No comments.

10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

Docket No.: 71-9218

Package Model No.: TRUPACT-II

Report Submitted By: T. E. Sellmer, Packaging Manager, Washington TRU Solutions, LLC

Report Date: August 1, 2008

6. Staff conclusion:

The report does NOT identify generic design or license/certificate issues that warrant additional Commission or staff action. This report is considered closed.

□ There is a need to take additional action. Provide a summary of the bases and recommended actions:

10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

Docket No.: 71-9218

Package Model No.: TRUPACT-II

Report Submitted By: T. E. Sellmer, Packaging Manager, Washington TRU Solutions, LLC

Report Date: August 1, 2008

6. Staff conclusion:

The report does NOT identify generic design or license/certificate issues that warrant additional Commission or staff action. This report is considered closed.

□ There is a need to take additional action. Provide a summary of the bases and recommended actions:

DISTRIBUTION:

SFST 71.95 Report File

G:\SFST\Staab\71.95 Reports\71_95 Areva 8_1_08.doc

OFC	SFST	С	SFST	С	SFST			
NAME	CStaab		MDeBose		EBenner			
DATE	4/27/2009 4/27/200		4/27/2009		4/28/2009			