## PENSACOLA STATE COLLEGE

## CAREER SERVICE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

Employee			Job Title	
DepartmentlOffice			Evaluation Period: From	to
Type of Evaluation: annual	probationary	special		

PURPOSE: To assist in accurately appraising Career Service employees, to improve performance, to enhance the supervisor/employee relationship and communication, to improve the motivational climate, and to serve as a useful tool in the growth and development of employees.

INSTRUCTIONS:

- Check one of the five boxes above the factor description that best describes the employee's performance; complete all categories. Make ratings based strictly on personal knowledge. Evaluators are encouraged to use the "Comments" section below each evaluation factor to record specific examples of behaviors and events, and to indicate necessary actions FOR EACH EVALUATION FACTOR. For each evaluation factor where a box at the extreme left or right has been checked, a supporting comment MUST be given. The "Evaluators Comments" section at the end of this form should be used to indicate overall comments or improvements.
- 2. Evaluate employee's work performance for the entire evaluation period under your supervision. Refrain from placing extreme weight
- on recent events or isolated incidents. Do not allow personal feeling or personalities to govern your evaluation. 3. The Evaluator should list goals and specify job-related details, examples, and/or expected improvements, as required, in the "Comments" section FOR EACH EVALUATION FACTOR. The "Evaluator's Comments" section at the end of this form should be used to bring together all the specific comments into a centralized summary that states major action for improved performance.
- 4. Both the immediate supervisor and the next-level supervisor must agree on the factor evaluations and must sign the form before the evaluation is communicated to the employee. After the employee signs this evaluation, no changes may be made without the employee's knowledge.

QUALITY OF WORK: Consider the accuracy, thoroughness, neatness, and general efficiency of work completed. Appraise employee's standards of quality.

Below standards; makes frequent errors; needs much improvement	Below minimum stan- dards; makes some recurrent errors; needs overall improvement	Meets standards and expectations; acceptable quality of work	Above standards; makes few errors; accurate and thorough to a higher degree	Exceptional performance; produces highest quality work; very accurate and thorough

Comments:

QUANTITY OF WORK: Consider amount/volume of acceptable work produced, use of time, promptness, ability to meet schedules, and productivity levels expected of this position.

Below production stan- dards; wastes time; no initiative; does not meet schedules	Below minimum pro- duction standards; does just enough to get by; requires close super- vision and occasional prodding	Meets standards for amount and volume of work; acceptable productivity	Above minimum pro- duction standards; uses time well; meets sche- dules and takes the initiative to a higher degree	Exceptional production and very Industrious; produces more than is expected; superior promptness and pro- ductivity

Comments:

JOB KNOWLEDGE: Consider employee's understanding of all phases of his/her work, demonstration of specific knowledge, technical skills, and related functions.

Has very minimal know- ledge and understanding of job; lacks work experi- ence and/or displays no interest in developing job knowedge/skills	Has limited knowledge of job and related functions; occasionally takes initiative in developing job knowledge skills	Meets standards and is informed about job and related functions	Has good working knowledge and un- derstanding of most aspects of job; takes initiative in developing job knowledge and/or skills to a higher degree	Has thorough knowledge and understanding of all aspects of job; regularly demonstrates specific knowledge and skills

Comments:

ATTENDANCE AND PUNCTUALITY: Consider employee's record of being on the job, the time devoted to actual work, and being on time and punctual.

Excessive absences	Somewhat lax in atten-	Meets standards for	Very regular in atten-	Always prompt; excep-
and/or very frequent	dance and/or reporting	attendance and punc-	dance and promptness;	tional In attendance;
tardiness/lateness; needs	for work on time; needs	tuality. Usually present	seldom absent and time	devotes much time to
much improvement	some Improvement	and on time	is usually well spent	actual work

Comments:

Often tactless, quarrel- some; consistently causes friction and is uncooperative; not effec- live in dealing with others; insubordinate and needs much improvement	Usually cooperates in an agreeable manner, but has some difficulties in interaction with others; needs some improvement in working with others	Meets standards. Cooperates with others and has acceptable interpersonal skills	Cooperates well with others to a higher degree; has very few problems interacting with others; congenial and helpful	Very cooperative and tactful; exceptionally effective in personal relations at all levels; excellent use of interpersonal problem solving skills

Comments:

DEPENDABILITY: Consider reliability and willingness of employee to follow instructions and to carry out assigned tasks with a minimum of direct supervision. Do you have confidence the job responsibilities were willingly accepted and conscientiously implemented?

Requires close, constant	Below minimum	Meets standards; is	Reliable to a higher	Very reliable and
supervision; refuses to	reliability standards;	attentive to work	degree; accepts	requires no supervision;
accept responsibility; not	usually dependable but	assigned; accepts	responsibility beyond	conscientious and
reliable; needs much	requires some follow-up	responsibility as	routine; little supervision	dependable in
follow-up	reminders; has slight difficulty in accepting responsibility and needs some improvement	designated by supervisor	needed. Dependable and attentive in completing many tasks	completing all work

Comments:

## SUPERVISORY PERFORMANCE: (If applicable) Consider the roles and responsibilities of the job (performance evaluation, counseling, communication, discipline, equal opportunity, etc.) requiring effective application of supervisory skills to create a positive, organizational climate.

Lacks supervisory skills-in planning, organ- izing, communicating, counseling, directing, controlling, etc.; does not understand nor demon- strate scope of responsi- bilities	Has limited knowledge and understanding of supervisory roles and skills; usually demon- strates basic supervisory skills, but needs im- provement in super- visory skills and roles	Meets standards of basic .supervision. Implements policies and procedures to get tasks accomplished	Has above standard ~ supervisory skills, know- ledge and understanding of supervisory roles; demonstrates superior supervisory skills and understanding of roles to a higher degree; moti- vates and leads subor- dinates	Has thorough knowledge and understanding of all aspects of supervisory roles and skills; regularly demonstrates specific supervisory knowledge and skills at the highest level

Comments:

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS:

EMPLOYEE'S COMMENTS: (OPTIONAL)			
Evaluator's Signature	Date		
-			
Evaluators Supervisor's Signature	Date		
Employee's Signature	Date		
NOTE: The employee's signature indicates the necessarily imply agreement with the set to Indicate the employee did received to Indicate the employee the Indicate the Indicate the employee the Indicate th	upervisor's evaluation. Should er	nployee refuse to sign, a witne	ess signature should be add.