Start of Co-op STATEMENT OF PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

CTUDENT AND EMPLOYED	
STUDENT AND EMPLOYER	institute de la
Please use this space to develop three job-related performance obj	ectives that you both would like to see achieved by the end of this
co-op period:	
1	
2.	
3.	
End of Co-op REVIEW OF PERFO	RMANCE OBJECTIVES
EMPLOYER'S REVIEW	
Employer please comment on the Performance Objectives listed at	the top of this page Ware these objectives met? Explain:
Employer please comment on the Terjormance Objectives tisted at	the top of this page. Were these objectives met: Explain.
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE:
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE STUDENT'S REVIEW	DATE:
STUDENT'S REVIEW	
STUDENT'S REVIEW	
STUDENT'S REVIEW Student please comment on the Performance Objectives listed at th	ne top of this page. Were these objectives met? Explain:
STUDENT'S REVIEW	

Northeastern University

Comments:

Employer Assessment of Cooperative Education Student

Statement of Purpose: Cooperative Education at Northeastern University is an educational process. This assessment is filled out at the end of the co-op experience for each student that you have hosted. Responses will be use to enhance student learning-reflection with co-op faculty, as well as the student's academic and career planning with other advisors. These and other employer-responses may also be aggregated to support university-wide improvements to the co-op program.

STUDENT NAME	:	STUDENT ID#:	EMPLOYER:	
JOB TITLE:			DEPARTMENT:	
START DATE:	END DATE:	RATE OF PAY:	CITY/STATE/ZIP: YOUR NAME:	
YEAR OF	MAJOR:	COOP:	TITLE:	
GRADUATION:		$ \begin{array}{c c} $		
TELEPHONE:	E-MAIL:		TELEPHONE:	E-MAIL:
CO-OP FACULTY	COORDINATOR NA	AME:		
WERE YOU THE	STUDENT'S IMMI	EDIATE SUPERVISOF	3?	
□Yes	□No			
DUTIES OF STUI	DENT lent's duties during the	e co-on experience:		
INTERPERSONA The student's ability diversity issues		with other professional:	s/clients/consumers and deal effe	ctively with cultural and ethnic
4		3	2	1
Exceeded standard Always worked we people of various le backgrounds within organization and in appropriately with of constituencies.	Il with Worke evels and others. I the teracted	andard: d cooperatively with	Approached standard: Sometimes demonstrated difficulty working with and/o interacting with others	Did not meet standard: Often had difficulty interacting and/or working with others
Comments:				
WRITTEN COM	MUNICATION			
The way a student of		· <u> </u>	(e-mail, reports, correspondenc	_
Exceeded standard Exceptionally clear error-free and well	, concise Clear a	3☐ andard: und organized.	2 ☐ Approached standard: Contained error or inaccuracies.	1☐ Did not meet standard: Was often unclear and/or unorganized.

VERBAL COMMUNICATION The way in which the student org		and information appropriate to the	listener and situation
4☐ Exceeded standard: Clear, concise, appropriate language and tone.	3☐ Met standard: Clear and understandable.	2☐ Approached standard: Sometimes struggled to be clear and concise.	1 ☐ Did not meet standard: Often had difficulty being clear and concise.
Comments:			
TEAMWORK / INTERDISCION The way in which the student wo	rked in groups toward common g	goals and needs	_
4☐ Exceeded standard: Facilitated/coordinated the contribution of others in group settings to achieve common goals and needs.	3☐ Met standard: Cooperated effectively in group settings to achieve common goals and needs.	Approached standard: Sometimes failed to recognize opportunities to leverage the support of group members.	1 ☐ Did not meet standard: Does not engage well in group settings.
Comments:			
PROBLEM SOLVING The way a student recognized an 4 ☐	d defined problems, analyzed da	ta, developed and implemented solu 2∏	tions, and evaluated outcomes 1□
Exceeded standard: Demonstrated an exceptional ability to identify, evaluate and recommend solutions.	Met standard: Identified, evaluated, and recommend solutions to problems as needed.	Approached standard: Sometimes struggled to identify, evaluate and recommend solutions to problems.	Did not meet standard: Often had difficulty with problem solving.
Comments:			☐ Not Applicable
, ,		evaluated the elements of a logical is, and distinguished the relevant fro	
Exceeded standard: Always relevant and often thought-provoking.	Met standard: Consistently logical, clear and relevant.	Approached standard: Sometimes struggled to be clear when justifying	Did not meet standard: Often had difficulty being logical, clear and relevant.
Comments:		reasoning.	regions, occur una 1000 una
PROFESSIONALISM The way in which a student exhib (dress, reliability, time managem	1 0	ne workplace and represented profes	ssionals and employers effectively
4☐ Exceeded standard: Exhibited professional behavior at all times, in and out of the workplace, in accordance with both written and unwritten guidelines, without specific instruction.	3 ☐ Met standard: Adhered to professional behavior guidelines and expectations within the workplace.	2☐ Approached standard: Did not always exhibit professional behavior within the workplace.	1☐ Did not meet standard: Often failed to act professionally.

Comments:

Describe the student's ATTENDANCE		Describe the student's PUNCTUALITY	
Regular Irregula Comments:	ar	Regular Irregula	ur
USE OF TIME / RESOURCES The student's ability to be organ minimum investment of time/reso 4 Exceeded standard: Routinely sought out and proposed the use of new resources that were useful to assigned tasks and projects Comments:	ized, set priorities well, be product	ive, and obtain the maximum time/. 2 Approached standard: Occasionally missed opportunities to be better organized and productive but learned from these experiences.	Did not meet standard: Missed opportunities to be better organized and productive and rarely reflected on whether there was a better way to have optimized organization or productivity.
JUDGMENT The way a student formed an opin 4 Exceeded standard: Consistently put thoughtful judgment into beneficial action. Comments:	ion or evaluated by discerning and 3	d comparing available information. 2	. 1 □ Did not meet standard: Often did not demonstrate good judgment.
LEADERSHIP The way the student demonstrated 4 Exceeded standard: Consistently and effectively inspired others to deliver successfully. Comments:	the ability to give direction/guida. 3 Met standard: Successfully led initiatives when called upon.	nce/training to motivate others and 2	Did not meet standard: Did not demonstrate leadership qualities. Not Applicable
WORK CONTENT / SKILL A The student's ability to apply pri 4 Exceeded standard: Regularly demonstrated knowledge and skills of the organization's particular field of discipline that were new and helpful to associates in the organization.		re specific to the disciplines of your 2 Approached standard: Sometimes demonstrated a lack of understanding of some fundamental principles and practices of our field of discipline.	Did not meet standard: Demonstrated little understanding of fundamental principles and practices of our field of discipline.

Comments:

The way a student learned and used technology utilized in your industry. A	4□ Exceeded standard:			
INITIATIVE The student's ability to self-assess and self-correct, to identify need and sources of learning, and to continually seek new sources knowledge and understanding; the ability to take on new work challenges Lexceeded standard: Approached tasks with equal enthusiasm, sets high standards and works to achieve them. Comments: DESE OF CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM The way the student identified feedback sources, sought out and responded to feedback from supervisors, and incorporated it into or her daily performance. Lexceeded standard: Responded exceptionally well copositive/negative feedback and successfully incorporated it in a satisfactory and all performance. Into student's ability to self-assess and self-correct, to identify need and sources of learning, and to continually seek new sources have sources feathers and sources of learning, and to continually seek new sources feathers. Approached standard: Was reluctant to, or did no always complete some tasks more than others. Was reluctant to, or did no always complete some tasks more than others. Was reluctant to, or did no always complete some tasks more than others. Logical Point of the standard: Approached standard: Responded to positive Responded to positive In Did not meet standard: Responded to positive In Did not necept positive/negative feedback and attempted to incorporate into daily performance.	technology beyond the scope	Met standard: Utilized the technology	Approached standard: Did not always demonstrate proficiency with technology	Did not meet standard: Often had difficulty utiliz technology needed to per
The student's ability to self-assess and self-correct, to identify need and sources of learning, and to continually seek new sources knowledge and understanding; the ability to take on new work challenges 4	Comments:			☐ Not Applicable
Accepted standard: Wet standard: Always approached tasks with equal enthusiasm, sets high standards and works to achieve them. Comments: USE OF CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM The way the student identified feedback sources, sought out and responded to feedback from supervisors, and incorporated it into or her daily performance. 4 3 2 1 1 Was reluctant to, or did n always complete some tasks more than others. USE OF CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM The way the student identified feedback sources, sought out and responded to feedback from supervisors, and incorporated it into or her daily performance. 4 3 2 1 1 Did not meet standard: Approached standard: Approached standard: Responded exceptionally well to positive/negative feedback and usually incorporated it in a satisfactory in tinto daily performance. wether standard: Approached standard: Responded to positive feedback and usually incorporated it in a satisfactory incorporated it in a satisfactory attempted to incorporate into daily performance.	The student's ability to self-asses			ontinually seek new sources
USE OF CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM The way the student identified feedback sources, sought out and responded to feedback from supervisors, and incorporated it into or her daily performance 4 3 2 1 1	4☐ Exceeded standard: Always approached tasks with equal enthusiasm, sets high standards and works to	3☐ Met standard: Approached tasks with equal	2 Approached standard: Demonstrated interest in some	Did not meet standard: Was reluctant to, or did n
and successfully incorporated incorporated it in a satisfactory it into daily performance. incorporated it in a satisfactory daily performance. from supervisors.	or her daily performance	3□	2□	
	Exceeded standard: Responded exceptionally well	Met standard: Accepted positive/negative	Approached standard: Responded to positive	Did not meet standard: Did not accept
the contributions of others for assigned tasks and is able to difficulty in acknowledging responsibility for the fa	Exceeded standard: Responded exceptionally well to positive/negative feedback and successfully incorporated it into daily performance. Comments: RESPONSIBILITY The way the student fulfilled con 4 Exceeded standard: Consistently acknowledged	Met standard: Accepted positive/negative feedback and usually incorporated it in a satisfactory manner. mmitments and accepted accountabil 3 Met standard: Took appropriate ownership of	Approached standard: Responded to positive /negative feedback and attempted to incorporate into daily performance. lity for actions and outcomes 2 Approached standard: Sometimes demonstrated	Did not meet standard: Did not accept positive/negative feedbac from supervisors. 1 Did not meet standard Usually deflected

PROFESSIONAL ETHICS The way a student adhered to e	ethical standards of the profession	and the employer	
Exceeded standard: Adhered to ethical standards and followed appropriate guidelines for addressing ethical concerns.	3☐ Met standard: Adhered to ethical standards.	2 Approached standard: Unknowingly violated ethical standards.	1☐ Did not meet standard: Ignored ethical standards.
Comments:			
STRENGTHS / DEVELO Please summarize what you	DPMENTAL NEEDS a believe are the student's strength.	s /developmental needs:	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
SUMMARY OF PERFORMAN	ICE FACTORS:		

Interpersonal	ProblemSolving	WorkContentSkillAcq	Initiative	ProfEthics	Exceeded	
WrittenCom	CriticalThinking	TechnologyLiteracy	UseCriticism		Met	
VerbalCom	Professionalism		Responsibility		Approached	
Teamwk/Interdisc	UseTimeResourc				Did Not Meet	
	Judgment					
	Leadership					
TOTALS						