Local Public Agency Name: Johnson County

Request for Proposals No.: None Posting Date: August 19, 2010

Request for Proposals Notification

Title: Construction Engineering for the Rehabilitation of Bridge #503 in Johnson County

Project Location: Bridge #503 on Home Avenue over Young's Creek in the City of Franklin

Response Due Date and Time: September 20, 2010 and no later than 3:00 PM (Eastern time zone)

This Request for Proposals (RFP) is official notification of needed professional services. This RFP is being issued to solicit a Letter of Interest (LoI) and other documents from firms qualified to perform engineering work on federal aid projects. A submittal does not guarantee that the firm will be contracted to perform any services but only serves notice that that firm desires to be considered.

Contact for Questions: *Michael Pelham, P.E.*

Johnson County Highway Engineer

1051 Hospital Road Franklin, Indiana 46131 Telephone: (317) 346-4643

Email: mpelham@co.johnson.in.us

Submittal requirements:

- 1. Five (5) copies of the LoI (required content and instructions follow)
- 2. One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents for all items.

Submit To: *Michael Pelham, P.E.*

Johnson County Highway Engineer

1051 Hospital Road Franklin, Indiana 46131

Selection Procedures:

Consultants will be selected for the work items further described herein based on evaluation of the LoI and other required documents, and, possible interviews. The Consultant Selection Rating form that will be used to evaluate and score the submittals is included for your reference. This scoring may determine the selected consultant, or, if the LPA desires, this scoring may be prescreening for an interview process in which no less than the three (3) highest scored firms will be interviewed. After all the interviews are complete, all interviewed firms will be re-evaluated and rescored using the same Consultant Selection Rating form. For any scoring done selection ranking will be determined by the weighted score totals with the highest score being the top ranked firm.

To be eligible for consideration the prime consultant must be prequalified by the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT).

Requirements for LoI

- A. General instructions for preparing and submitting a LoI.
 - 1. Provide the information as set out in Item B below, in the same order listed, signed by an officer of the firm. Scanned signed documents or electronically applied signatures are both acceptable. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material unless otherwise noted in the item description.
 - 2. LoI's shall be limited to twelve (12) 8 ½" x 11" pages that include Identification, Qualifications and Key Staff and Project Approach.
 - 3. LoI's must be received not later than "Response Due Date and Time" as shown in the RFP header shown above. Responses received after this deadline will not be considered. Submittals must include all required attachments to be considered for selection.

B. LoI Content

- 1. Identification, Qualifications and Key Staff
 - a. Provide the firm name, address of the responsible office from which the work will be performed and the name and email address of the contact person authorized to negotiate for the associated work.
 - b. List all proposed sub consultants, their DBE status, and the percentage of work to be performed by the prime consultant and each sub consultant. (See Affirmative Action Certification requirements below.) A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or subconsultants for this RFP can be found at the "List of Prequalified Consultants" link on INDOT's Consultants Webpage. (http://www.in.gov/indot/6813.htm).
 - c. List the Project Manager and other key staff members, including key sub consultant staff and include the percent of time the project manager will be

committed for the contract, if selected. Include project engineers for important disciplines and staff members that will be responsible for the work. Address the experience of the key staff members on similar projects and the staff qualifications relative to the required item qualifications.

d. Describe the capacity of consultant staff and their ability to perform the work in a timely manner relative to present workload.

2. Project Approach

a. Provide a description of your project approach relative to the advertised services. For project specific items confirm that the firm has visited the project site. For all items address your firm's technical understanding of the project or services, cost containment practices, innovative ideas and any other relevant information concerning your firm's qualifications for the project.

Requirements for Affirmative Action Certification

A completed Affirmative Action Certification form is required for <u>all</u> items that identify a DBE goal. The consultant must identify the DBE firms with which it intends to subcontract, include the contract participation percentage of each DBE and list what the DBE will be subcontracted to perform on the Affirmative Action Certification Form. Copies of DBE certifications, as issued by INDOT, for each firm listed are to be included as additional pages after the form.

If the consultant does not meet the DBE goal, the consultant must provide documentation in additional pages that evidences that it made good faith efforts to achieve the DBE goal. Please review the DBE program based on any goals set and complete the DBE Affirmative Action Certification form as applicable. What constitutes good faith efforts is explained in detail within the DBE program information referred to above. If no goal is set then no Affirmative Action Certification form is required. INDOT's DBE Program Information is available at INDOT's website.

A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or subconsultants for this RFP can be found at the "List of Prequalified Consultants" link on INDOT's Consultants Webpage. (http://www.in.gov/indot/6813.htm).

DBE subcontracting goals apply to \underline{all} prime submitting consultants, regardless of the prime's status of DBE.

Work item details:

Johnson County

Project Location: Bridge #503 on Home Avenue over Young's Creek in the City of Franklin

INDOT District covering project: Seymour

INDOT Des#: 0810332

Project Phases Included: Construction Engineering

Project Description: Rehabilitation of Bridge #503 including removal and

replacement of the existing bridge beams, placing a new reinforced concrete deck, removal and replacement of the bridge rail and the removal and replacement of the asphalt

roadway approaches.

Estimated Construction Amount: \$865,000.00

Funding: Federal funding invloved

Term of Contract: January 2011 through project completion

DBE goal: 3 %

Required Prequalification Categories:

13.1 Construction Inspection (The assigned Project Manager shall be either an Engineer or Project Supervisor with INDOT Certified Technical Training and be certified to perform required testing and sampling. Completion of the INDOT Site Manager Course is required prior to being assigned as Project Manager.)

LPA Consultant Selection Rating Sheet

Sample:

Consultant Name: Services Description:	RFP Se		Des. N	o		
Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Scoring Circina Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Qualty score for similar work from performance database. Selecture Schedule score from performance database. Responsesses score from performance database. Responses score to respect to perform the project of time. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses score from performance database. Responses and responses score score and responses score and responses score and responses score and responses score and responses. Response to response score score score score and responses score		(City, County, Town, etc.) - or - (Local Public Agency)				
Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Scoring Circina Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Qualty score for similar work from performance database. Selecture Schedule score from performance database. Responsesses score from performance database. Responses score to respect to perform the project of time. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses to response score from performance database. Responses and responses score from performance database. Responses and responses score score and responses score and responses score and responses score and responses score and responses. Response to response score score score score and responses score	Consultant	Name: Services Description:	l			
Past Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.	Consultant					
Past Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.						
Past Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.	Evaluation Cri	teria to be Rated by Scorers				<u> </u>
Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database Games Capacity of Team	Category		Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted
Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 6						Score
Schedule score from performance database. 3	Past Performance					
Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value Adequate capacity to meet the schedule Iroufficard available capacity to the schedule Iroufficard available capacit						
Capacity of Team Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that reads is added value 1					_	
Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value 1	Capacity of Team	^ ^		_	1	
Adequate capacity to meet the sebedule 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to de diverable. Demonstrated Observates of the deliverable. Demonstrated Uniterations Demonstrated Uniterations Demonstrated Uniterations Demonstrated Uniteration (a required services for value added benefit of required services for value added benefit of Expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit of Expertise and resources adaptive services for value added benefit of Expertise and resources at appropriate level of Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Insufficient expertise and/or resources at appropriate level of Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Insufficient expertise and/or resources at appropriate level of Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Insufficient expertise of insufficient experiments of insufficient expertise of insufficient experiments. Insufficient experiments of insufficient expertise of insufficient expertise of insuffici	10 40 110111			-	20	
Demonstrated positions Demonstrated positions Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit Demonstrated play hevel of expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit Demonstrated play hevel of expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit Demonstrated play hevel of expertise and resources at appropriate level Demonstrated play hevel of expertine propriate level Demonstrated play hevel of expertine in sizue. Demonstrated play hevel of expertine in sizue. Demonstrated outstanding expertine in sizue Demonstrated outstanding expertine in sizue Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated outstanding expertine in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated play hevel of experience in similar type and complexity D		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit. Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for required services at appropriate level. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Demonstrated new receives for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Demonstrated outstanding expertince in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Project Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. Demonstrated outstanding expertence in similar type and complexity. Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient expertise. Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding of the project. Basic understanding of the project. I Basic understanding of the project. Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 m. 1 Sit to 150 mi. 0 Signature Weighted Sub-Total: this the responsibility of scores to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without negard to personal preference. Location of average of the project with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. thave thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. Print Name: Print Name: Title: Date:	Team's					
Some required services for value added benefit 2 15	Demonstrated					
Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources3 Project Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. Demonstrated bustanding experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Experience in similar type and complexity1 Experience in similar type and complexity1 Experience in similar type and complexity1 Froject Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of funderstanding of the project3 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding of the project1 Each of project understanding of the project1 Each of project understanding of the project3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi1 Greater than 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Location that a preference of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. Linave thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities.	Qualifications	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified				
Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources dentified for required services for value added benefit 1 Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources 3 September 2 September 3 Septembe		for required services for value added benefit.	2		15	
Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources -3					13	
Project Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity 3 Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume 0 Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity 1 Insufficient experience 3 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding of the project 1 Basic understanding of the project 1 Greater than 500 mi 1 Greater than 500 mi -1 Greater than 500 mi -2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Lectify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. Lake thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities.						
Project Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity 1 20		* ** *				
complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in similar type and complexity. Insufficient experience. Supprised to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. Each of project. Basic understanding of the project. Basic understanding of the project. Each of project understanding. Location assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. Store 15 to 150 mi. 0. Greater than 500 mi. 1. Greater than 500 mi. 2. Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: List the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Lectrify that 1d on to have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. List we thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Find: Date:			-3			
Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity. Insufficient experience. Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the project. Basic understanding of the project. Lack of project understanding. 3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi. Greater than 500 mi. Greater than 500 mi. Greater than 500 mi. The staff office relative to project in the	Project Manager	1		1		
Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity, shown in resume 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience3 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding of the project. High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi 1 S1 to 150 mi 0 5 Greater than 500 mi -1 Greater than 500 mi -2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Lectrify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. Lave thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Print Name: Print Name: Date:			_			
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity1 Insufficient experience3 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding of the project 1 Basic understanding of the project 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi 1 S1 to 150 mi 0 T51 to 500 mi -1 Greater than 500 mi -2 Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Lectify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Print Name: Print Name: Date:				-	20	
Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience3 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding of the project. High level of understanding of the project. Basic understanding of the project. Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 Si 1 to 150 mi. 0 5 1 to 150 mi. 0 Greater than 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Locatify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. If have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Print Name: Print Name: Print Name: Date:				-	20	
Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 S1 to 150 mi. 0 SGreater than 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It evertify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Print Name: Date:				_		
Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.		1 1		-		
High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi	A L 4 . D	^	-3			
High level of understanding of the project. Basic understanding of the project. Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 Greater than 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Lecrify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Date:	Approach to Project	Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.				
High level of understanding of the project. Basic understanding of the project. Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 Greater than 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. Lecrify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Date:		High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed	2	4		
Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 51 to 150 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Date:		· · ·		-	15	
Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Title: Date:		- · · · ·		-		
Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project. Within 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total:				-		
Within 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Title: Date:	Location		3			
S1 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2 Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature: Print Name: Title: Date:	Location		1	1		
It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:					5	
Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. It have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:				1		
It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:		Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		
It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:			v	/eighted	Sub-Total:	
regard to personal preference. I certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:				reignica	Jub-i otai.	
I certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36. I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:	It is the responsibility of	scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest quality deliverables in a time	ly and cost	effective m	anner without	
I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:	regard to personal prefer	ence.				
I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities. Signature:	I certify that I do not hav	e any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant as defined in 49CFR18.36.				
Signature: Print Name: Title: Date:	-					
Print Name: Title: Date:	I have thoroughly reviev	ved the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm	i's abilities.			
Print Name: Title: Date:						
Print Name: Title: Date:		Cit				
Title:		Signature:				
Date:		Print Name:				
		Title:				
		Date				
	(Form Rev. 3-30-10)	Buc				

Request for Proposals Bulletin_	
Project	

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION CERTIFICATION FOR DBE

I hereby certify that my company intends to affirmatively seek out and consider Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) certified in the State of Indiana to participate as part of this proposal. I acknowledge that this certification is to be made an integral part of this proposal. I understand and agree that the submission of a blank certification may cause the proposal to be rejected. I certify that I have consulted the following DBE website to confirm that the firms listed below are currently certified DBEs: https://financial.gmis.in.gov/psc/guest/EMPLOYEE/ERP/c/SOI_APPS_MWBE.SOI_DBE_CERT.GBL?& I certify that I have contacted the certified DBEs listed below, and if my company becomes the CONSULTANT, these DBEs have tentatively agreed to perform the services as indicated. I understand that neither my company nor I will be penalized for DBE utilization that exceeds the goal. After contract award, any change to the firms listed in this Affirmative Action Certification to be applied toward the DBE goal must have prior approval by INDOT's Economic Opportunity Division.

SUBCONSULTANTS

DBE SUBCONSULTANTS TO BE APPLIED TOWARD GOAL

Certified DBE Name	Service Planned	Estimated percentage to be paid to DBE*
DBE SUBCONSULTANTS TO	BE USED BEYOND GOAL	
Certified DBE Name	Service Planned	Estimated percentage to be paid to DBE*
	edited toward DBE Goal: tary DBE Work Anticipated over DI	
_		
By:	Date:	

^{*}It is understood that these individual firm percentages and dollar amounts are estimates only and that amounts paid may be greater or less as a result of negotiation of the contract scope of work. My firm will use good faith efforts to meet the overall DBE goal through the use of these or other certified and approved DBE firms.