Warren Wilson College Te	acher and Course H	Evaluation Form
Purpose: Student evaluations are utilized by teachers for improving courses and	nstruction, and by the	
Dean of the College for teacher evaluation and contract renewal. Instructions: I	Please complete this	Course:
form thoughtfully and honestly, using one form for <i>each instructor</i> . Procedures	One student is asked	Feacher:
to distribute and collect these forms, seal them in an envelope, and deliver them to College. The teacher should not be present.	the Dean of the	Гегт/Year:
1. To what extent did you come to classes prepared to engage actively	in looming?	
1. To what extent did you come to classes prepared to engage actively	in learning:	
Almost Always		
Usually		
Seldom		
Almost Never		
2. Did you complete all course requirements, on time, as indicated in		
Yes		
No		
3. To what extent did you take advantage of opportunities to improv		
Almost Always Usually		
Saldom		
Almost Never		
4. How would you rate this course? What about this course led you to	evaluate it this way?	
Excellent	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Good		
Fair		
Poor		
5. How would you rate this teacher? What is it about the teacher tha ExcellentGoodFair	t led you to evaluate hi	m/her this way?
Poor		
6. Overall, how much do you think you benefited from this course?		
A Great Deal		
Quite a Bit Somewhat		
Very Little		
7. The teacher communicated the subject matter effectively and prov	-	
Almost Always		
Usually Seldom		
Almost Never		
8. Classroom time was used effectively so that it was worthwhile to at Almost Always		
Usually Seldom		
Almost Never		
9. What grade do you expect to earn in the course?		
ABC	D	F

Mark only particular strengths and weaknesses. Leave blank all others. Select as many or as few as you think apply.

ose that apply to this COURSE	Check those that apply to this TEACHER	
too difficult	high standards	
_involving, interesting	insensitive	
_assignments not worthwhile	open minded	
_related theory to practice	not available outside of class	
_challenging	returned work promptly	
_uninteresting labs	confusing/unclear	
_well organized	confusing/unclear well-prepared closed minded	
_unclear requirements	closed minded _	
_fair, appropriate exams	slow to return assignments	
unfair, inappropriate exams	gave constructive criticism	
worthwhile assignments	good listener	
disorganized	graded unfairly	
meaningful	encouraged students	
practical	bad lecturer	
didn't involve me	stimulating	
good text	belittled students	
clear requirements	knowledgeable	
irrelevant	sensitive	
frustrating	monotonous	
bad text	articulate	
helpful, interesting labs	poor listener	
a waste of time	condescending	
boring	low standards	
too easy	grades fairly	
	good discussion facilitator	
	poorly prepared	
	open to questions	
	caring	
encouraged critical thinking	good lecturer	
encouraged aesthetic sense	lacked relevant knowledge	
encouraged speaking skills	closed to questions_	
encouraged value exploration	poor discussion facilitator	
encouraged writing skills	available outside of class	

1. How did you grow intellectually in this course? Please give specific examples.

2. What additional comments would you like to make about course and teacher strengths or suggested improvements?

Check here if you need comments typed _____