Margaret River Fires November 2011

Post Incident Analysis Process

noetic

Invitation to comment

The Department of Premier and Cabinet has contracted the services of an independent facilitator, Noetic Solutions, to conduct a Post Incident Analysis of the Margaret River wildfires in November 2011. The purpose of the analysis is to investigate the actions and decisions of the three key agencies involved with responding to the incident; the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), the Fire and Emergency Services Authority (FESA) and the local government authorities (Shire of Augusta-Margaret River, Shire of Nannup). You are invited to make a submission to contribute to the analysis process.

How to make a submission

To submit your comments, please complete this form and post to Noetic Solutions at the following address:

Locked Bag 3001 DEAKIN WEST ACT 2600 Australia

Or email an electronic version of the completed form to:

margaretriver.fires@noeticgroup.com

Your feedback is important and we appreciate you taking the time to prepare a submission. If you would like any further information, please contact Paula Hanasz from Noetic Solutions at <u>paula.hanasz@noeticgroup.com</u>.

The closing date for submissions is Monday 23 April 2012.

Your details

Required details are marked with an asterisk *. Anonymous submissions will not be considered. Personal information collected by Noetic Solutions is protected under the provisions of the *Privacy Act 1998*.

* Name:		
* Postal address:		
	* Postcode:	
Telephone:		
Email:		
Is this an official response of an organisatio	n? 🗌 Yes	No
Organisation name:		
Position (if applicable):		
Number of people represented by the subn	nission:	
What is your interest within the Margaret F	River area?	
Resident (property owner)	Business owner	
Resident (not a property owner)	Other (please specify below)	
Uisitor		

Preparedness					
On a scale of 1-5, how helpful was FESA, DEC and your local council in preparing you for the fire emergency you experienced? (1 = unhelpful, 3 = useful, 5= extremely helpful)					
□ □ 12	3	4	5		
What was the PRIMARY source emergency you experienced?	What was the PRIMARY source of information that helped you cope with the fire emergency you experienced?				
 Information from FESA Information from DEC Information from local counting Word of mouth/neighbours Previous personal experience Other (please specify below) 	ce				
Other:					
What else could FESA, DEC, or your local council do to help prepare you for future fire emergencies? (100 word limit)					

Evacuation
Were you evacuated from a property?
🗌 Yes 🗌 No
If yes, did you self-evacuate or were you directed / escorted from the property?
Self-evacuate Escorted
In no, did you stay on to defend your property?
Yes No
Did you have enough information about when to evacuate?
Yes No
Did you have enough information about how to evacuate?
Yes No
Did you have enough information about where to evacuate to?
Yes No
How could the evacuation process be improved? (100 word limit)

Fire informati	on					
How useful was the information you received from FESA, DEC and local government regarding fire (including prescribed burns) in the Margaret River area prior to the emergency? (1 = unhelpful/no information, 3 = useful, 5= extremely helpful)						
□ 1	 2	3	4	5		
Was this infor	mation accurate	and timely?				
Yes No	0					
			mproved? How coul			
content of the	information you	received better me	eet your needs? (100	word limit)		

Returning to a property					
Were you able to	o return to yo	ur property within a	n acceptable period	of time?	
🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
Did you receive	any support ir	n returning to your p	roperty?		
Yes No					
If yes, how usefu 5= extremely he		oport you received?	(1 = unhelpful, 3 = u	seful,	
□ 1	 2	3	4	5	
How could the p	rocess of retu	rning to a property i	be improved? (100 v	vord limit)	

Other comments

Please use this space for any other comments that you would like to make on your experiences during the Margaret River fires. (300 word limit)