

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALABAMA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	WATKINS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	WATKINS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
ALABAMA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	HOPKINS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	BLACKBURN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	BLACKBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	BLACKBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BLACKBURN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	COOGLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	COOGLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	COOGLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/17/2008	30	0	30
2009-2	BLACKBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/08/2009	30	1	60
ALASKA									
2010-1	SEDWICK	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SEDWICK	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	SEDWICK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SEDWICK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SEDWICK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30
2006-4	BEISTLINE	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	WC,WS	D,H	09/21/2005	30	11	360
2006-5	BEISTLINE	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	OM	O	01/19/2006	30	5	180
ARIZONA									
2010-1	WAKE	BIANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	12/04/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	MURGUIA	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	WAKE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	JORGENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	2	90
2010-6	BOLTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2010	30	3	120
2010-7	MURGUIA	BLANCO	FIREARMS	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	MARTONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2010	30	3	120
2010-9	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
ALABAMA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	26	111	35	2,876	313	82,905	2,700	13	-	-	-	1	-
2010-2	23	128	37	2,938	42	73,550	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					-
ALABAMA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	40	15	1,200	800	274,057	15,913	7	-	-	2	-	-
2010-2	30	129	161	3,870	503	83,215	2,030	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	40	15	2,400	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	60	33	15	2,000	850	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-5	28	36	14	1,000	420	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-6	4	31	1	123	1	79,295	10,211	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	409	10	12,259	727	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6		-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-1	30	73	128	2,180	500	87,250	1,110	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	60	88	229	5,268	617	67,984	14,229	4	-	-	-	-	4
ALASKA													
2010-1	29	19	117	548	20	319,302	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	23	20	688	42	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	20	33	11	669	225	65,113	-	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	20	43	34	851	227	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-5	8	4	6	30	11	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2006-4	351	52	639	18,277	2,673	996,280	33,635	3	3	-	-	-	3
2006-5	156	22	35	3,507	-	RELATED TO NO. 2006-4		RELATED TO NO. 2006-4					
ARIZONA													
2010-1	30	33	82	986	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	33	19	70	623	125	143,910	10,910	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	42	31	14	1,303	893	22,000	18,500	1	-	-	-	-	1
2010-4	58	28	114	1,614	419	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	81	-	114	1	-	88,300	16,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	110	4	87	432	108	148,809	4,180	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	15	1	8	18	11	209,820	25,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	107	132	97	14,103	6,304	142,513	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	10	41	4	412	396	6,500	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	1

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
2010-10	COLLINS	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	WAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	BOLTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	MURGUIA	KEENEY	FIREARMS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	BOLTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	MURGUIA	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	TEILBORG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	1	60
2010-22	TEILBORG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-23	CAMPBELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	COLLINS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-25	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	SILVA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-27	COLLINS	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WO	N	09/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-28	MARTONE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2010	30	1	60
2010-29	MARTONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	TEILBORG	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	SILVER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-32	SILVER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-33	TEILBORG	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2010	30	0	30
2009-12	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2008	30	0	30
2009-13	WAKE	BIANCO	MURDER	WC	D	12/04/2008	30	2	90
2009-14	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-15	WAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2009	30	2	90
2009-16	BURY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2009	30	1	60
2009-17	SILVER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2009	30	3	120

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)													
2010-10	28	82	20	2,290	877	23,040	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	60	33	137	1,972	593	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	15	-	1	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	27	32	22	857	102	41,543	4,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	23	16	113	379	113	32,718	2,900	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	30	6	103	176	4	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	20	32	14	636	96	29,900	1,400	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-17	7	30	20	207	47	143,910	10,910	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	30	8	20	232	45	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-19	30	15	6	450	22	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-20	30	35	55	1,044	304	28,367	11,250	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-21	46	25	16	1,134	223	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-22	21	52	26	1,089	239	18,680	10,880	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-23	7	-	2	1	1	10,000	4,000	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-24	6	3	4	20	6	22,100	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-25	6	167	28	1,003	233	60,000	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-26	30	136	82	4,088	674	57,680	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-27	7	1	2	4	-	22,100	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-28	54	54	56	2,893	583	41,037	30,537	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-29	14	13	11	183	3	10,570	7,570	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-30	13	51	17	660	481	16,897	7,397	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-31	60	133	55	7,977	3,255	712,600	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-32	15	34	1	504	16	13,960	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-33	15	39	26	587	181	30,194	9,404	3	-	-	-	-	
2009-12	30	6	42	172	28	85,000	10,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					
2009-13	90	56	186	5,003	1,788	315,000	15,000	10	-	-	-	9	
2009-14	17	11	17	189	40	80,000	5,000	6	-	1	-	1	
2009-15	90	41	376	3,721	835	215,000	15,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					
2009-16	59	37	59	2,197	923	166,370	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-17	120	70	17	8,434	5,323	154,272	149,772	15	-	-	-	3	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)									
2009-18	WILSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-19	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	BURY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	BURY	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2009	30	0	30
2008-12	ROLL	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2008	30	2	90
2008-13	ROLL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/2008	30	0	30
2007-14	ROLL	SABIN	FIREARMS	WC	D	08/24/2007	30	1	60
2007-15	ROLL	MANDELKER	FIREARMS	WC	D	10/11/2007	30	1	60
2007-16	ROLL	SABIN	FIREARMS	WC	D	11/15/2007	30	0	30
ARKANSAS, EASTERN									
2010-1	WRIGHT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	EISELE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	WRIGHT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	0	30
2009-4	MILLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2008	30	2	90
2009-5	WILSON	HENNESSY	FRAUD	WC	D	03/10/2009	30	2	90
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL									
2010-1	FAIRBANK	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	FISCHER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/04/2009	30	0	30
2010-4	FISCHER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/2009	30	1	60
2010-5	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2010	30	0	60
2010-7	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	FAIRBANK	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	KING	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	REAL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)													
2009-18	30	54	44	1,618	96	84,088	4,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-19	30	18	25	547	296	57,540	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-20	4	-	1	1	-	46,480	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-21	24	44	25	1,059	570	90,560	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-12	87	40	71	3,469	300	153,816	1,180	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-13	30	7	16	218	10	18,413	1,070	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-14	60	15	90	911	331	RELATED TO NO. 2007-16		RELATED TO NO. 2007-16					
2007-15	60	39	154	2,312	47	RELATED TO NO. 2007-16		-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-16	26	43	31	1,111	79	92,415	72,115	10	-	-	-	1	2
ARKANSAS, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	9	8	281	204	58,482	22,050	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	36	32	31	1,150	241	79,552	44,140	25	-	1	-	-	-
2010-3	30	102	8	3,058	504	53,729	17,306	3	-	-	-	-	-
2009-4	90	63	135	5,707	3,301	206,119	2,250	5	-	-	-	1	5
2009-5	90	52	250	4,693	219	179,305	1,856	2	-	2	1	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL													
2010-1	90	82	185	7,404	1,127	62,340	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	59	327	405	19,271	713	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	7	4	200	60	60,000	6,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	152	58	9,142	475	41,352	3,010	1	1	1	1	-	1
2010-5	60	91	224	5,441	389	41,494	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	45	56	42	2,513	1,973	31,320	1,700	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	54	205	259	11,075	488	80,000	35,000	43	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	60	364	190	21,853	1,155	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	12	126	60	1,509	219	9,700	1,700	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	10	8	300	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	60	67	11	4,008	1,276	42,694	3,200	24	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	60	67	11	4,008	1,276	42,694	3,200	24	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	30	42	112	1,255	41	20,747	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	30	91	34	2,743	296	20,589	1,500	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
2010-15	FAIRBANK	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	FAIRBANK	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	KING	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	ANDERSON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	REAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	WILSON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-23	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-25	SYNDER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	ENGLAND	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-27	SNYDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-28	WILSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-29	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	GUTIERREZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	PHILLIPS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-32	GUTIERREZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-33	CARTER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-34	SNYDER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-35	OTERO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-36	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-37	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-38	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-39	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-40	SNYDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-41	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-42	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2010	30	2	90
2010-43	WU	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-44	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	EE, TX	D	08/06/2010	30	3	120

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
2010-15	30	222	30	6,664	285	20,780	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	30	27	20	796	80	20,747	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	30	10	8	300	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	30	52	94	1,551	278	70,000	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	30	8	15	240	37	21,247	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-20	3	47	13	141	33	4,500	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-21	2	88	10	175	1	2,918	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-22	30	69	24	2,081	1,142	22,947	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-23	30	10	8	300	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-24	38	39	23	1,486	253	21,000	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-25	30	57	21	1,724	175	35,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-26	30	61	107	1,820	114	49,022	28,679	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-27	60	58	25	3,479	1,338	65,000	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-28	30	199	60	5,969	192	42,660	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-29	30	27	8	800	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-30	30	192	29	5,772	1,011	21,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-31	50	52	1,216	2,594	317	50,585	7,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-32	30	8	30	253	50	21,000	2,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-33	30	73	156	2,196	505	41,740	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-34	30	49	172	1,456	498	47,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-35	30	86	382	2,585	308	21,976	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-36	5	53	12	267	44	13,390	1,700	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-37	5	53	12	267	44	10,390	1,700	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-38	14	4	10	60	12	21,738	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-39	30	123	38	3,701	2,422	59,504	11,804	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-40	30	119	40	3,569	329	30,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-41	30	8	33	239	46	42,000	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-42	90	37	70	3,319	836	134,200	4,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-43	30	267	106	7,997	1,423	68,100	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-44	90	511	54	46,000	3,288	152,702	20,154	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)									
2010-45	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	EE	D	08/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-46	GUTIERREZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-47	OTERO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-48	CARTER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-49	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-50	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-51	ANDERSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-52	SNYDER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-53	PREGERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-54	PREGERSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-55	SNYDER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-56	PHILLIPS	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-57	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-58	SYNDER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-59	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-60	WU	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2010	30	0	30
2009-19	SELNA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/09/2008	30	0	30
2009-20	FISCHER	BIANCO	SMUGGLING	WC	D	10/22/2008	30	2	90
2009-21	FICHER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2008	30	7	240
2009-22	WU	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2008	30	6	210
2009-23	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/18/2009	30	0	30
2009-24	WILSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2009	30	1	60
2009-25	SNYDER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2009	30	1	60
2009-26	SELNA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/01/2009	30	3	120
2009-27	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2009	30	0	30
2009-28	WILSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2009	30	0	30
2007-46	CARTER	SABIN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	12/20/2006	30	1	60
2007-47	GUILFORD	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	05/16/2007	30	0	30
2007-48	GUILFORD	SABIN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/14/2007	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)													
2010-45	30	3	3	77	15	24,335	4,175	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-46	55	66	95	3,619	923	42,000	4,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-47	60	36	106	2,134	206	106,212	43,952	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-48	60	130	321	7,830	2,667	85,200	5,200	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-49	60	28	4	1,664	236	41,961	1,286	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-50	47	61	93	2,863	734	53,654	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-51	30	426	54	12,770	1,037	31,889	2,475	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-52	30	1	3	20	3	30,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-53	9	6	15	58	13	21,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-54	30	-	-	-	-	44,014	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-55	30	53	25	1,592	330	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-56	30	13	191	386	35	24,338	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-57	14	702	54	9,822	1,037	16,202	2,475	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-58	30	98	25	2,930	422	60,000	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-59	30	12	22	364	135	110,424	6,425	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-60	30	8	23	230	42	14,008	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-19	30	1,355	120	40,650	122	22,247	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-20	90	51	50	4,551	50	99,900	98,700	8	1	-	-	8	
2009-21	240	109	120	26,226	10,000	221,450	200,950	8	1	-	-	8	
2009-22	210	-	6,600	80	80	126,450	18,000	8	-	-	-	8	
2009-23	30	5	6	160	15	38,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-24	60	504	345	30,228	8,974	60,138	2,625	2	1	-	1	1	
2009-25	60	33	30	2,000	144	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-26	120	71	63	8,469	294	105,033	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-27	30	201	93	6,028	2,153	44,342	6,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2009-28	30	251	70	7,538	712	40,067	1,725	-	-	-	-	-	
2007-46	60	43	118	2,602	550	124,935	7,925	1	-	-	-	5	
2007-47	13	3	5	35	5	16,435	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					
2007-48	58	1	5	55	30	51,393	2,950	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)									
2007-49	GUILFORD	SWARTZ	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	08/14/2007	30	0	30
2006-39	CARTER	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/01/2006	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN									
2010-1	DAMRELL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/18/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	KARLTON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	ENGLAND, JR.	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	KARLTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	ISCHI	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	WANGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ENGLAND, JR.	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	WANGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	ISCHI	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	WANGER	RAMAN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	WANGER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	1	60
2009-10	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2009	30	0	30
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	ARMSTRONG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/19/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	JENSEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2009	30	0	30
2007-3	FOGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2007	30	0	30
2007-4	FOGEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2007	30	0	30
2007-5	FOGEL	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2007	30	0	30
2007-6	FOGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2007	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	WHELAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2009	30	6	210
2010-2	LORENZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	SABRAW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	LORENZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)													
2007-49	28	2	6	51	22	45,188	3,210	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					
2006-39	59	56	127	3,276	1,573	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46		RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN													
2010-1	54	38	51	2,079	73	88,900	3,200	16	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	223	501	6,677	543	15,736	6,480	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	21	25	33	532	93	17,367	1,400	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	245	165	7,359	348	18,916	9,660	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	45	173	35	7,800	935	321,058	43,983	34	-	-	-	-	10
2010-6	52	33	164	1,731	343	27,290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	12	110	28	1,317	1,235	35,830	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	88	89	2,648	316	40,854	20,511	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	9	13	19	119	48	28,699	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	18	67	35	1,200	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	6	47	34	280	207	21,639	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	16	12	38	186	83	56,815	8,397	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	9	128	35	1,150	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	61	125	3,632	880	71,524	5,500	8	-	-	-	-	-
2009-10	29	18	48	522	124	47,000	7,000	8	-	-	-	-	1
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	64	23	1,923	665	36,000	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-1	28	120	98	3,353	276	78,448	5,400	5	-	-	-	-	-
2007-3	9	19	4	168	168	32,935	720	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-4	10	86	11	859	552	10,009	720	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-5	30	41	15	1,242	672	28,615	720	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-6	60	54	23	3,210	1,864	64,373	2,880	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	210	356	2,379	74,715	14,321	190,588	825	21	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	29	122	58	3,530	1,725	20,171	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	73	182	2,201	782	33,989	6,690	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	143	116	4,297	694	31,756	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-5	LORENZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	SABRAW	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2010	30	0	30
2006-20	MOSKOWITZ	BIANCO	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	01/03/2006	30	0	30
2006-21	MOSKOWITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2006	30	0	30
COLORADO									
2010-1	UNDERHILL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/19/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	ARGUELLO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	ARGUELLO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ARGUELLO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ARGUELLO	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	MILLER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MILLER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	MILLER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	ARGUELLO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	ARGUELLO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-15	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2010	30	0	30
2009-14	MILLER	MENENDEZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2009	30	0	30
CONNECTICUT									
2010-1	KRAVITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	BRYANT	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	KRAVITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	BURNS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	BRYANT	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	BURNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-5	30	357	255	10,698	1,650	60,513	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	13	62	118	812	389	10,300	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2006-20	26	83	24	2,160	368	47,647	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2006-21	29	4	3	128	20	32,081	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO													
2010-1	86	42	40	3,606	595	87,300	2,300	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	29	196	174	5,675	827	27,144	5,200	8	1	1	7	-	7
2010-3	57	22	10	1,250	257	70,980	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	14	63	22	884	49	10,991	1,875	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	7	1	1	7	1	16,172	13,572	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-6	14	43	6	606	3	17,900	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	12	230	46	2,761	306	9,239	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	20	120	42	2,409	799	14,646	240	8	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	60	60	13	3,591	431	55,040	26,240	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	60	58	9	3,467	649	55,140	26,340	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	60	58	9	3,467	649	55,040	26,240	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	28	183	117	5,121	759	22,200	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	29	304	50	8,816	580	19,435	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	206	360	12,379	1,337	21,119	470	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	22	13	4	291	35	29,096	17,056	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	11	99	53	1,089	117	6,546	2,630	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	28	90	17	2,507	548	51,136	36,736	7	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	30	230	149	6,895	1,668	457,954	102,560	24	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT													
2010-1	56	344	60	19,246	4,016	101,070	12,800	20	-	-	-	-	16
2010-2	30	113	25	3,382	540	101,192	3,000	22	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	27	53	20	1,435	410	32,910	3,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	17	28	33	476	192	37,059	3,200	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	9	15	259	59	27,548	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-6	14	-	-	1	-	32,934	5,050	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CONNECTICUT (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	BURNS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ARTERTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	BURNS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	BURNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	DORSEY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2008	30	2	90
2009-8	BURNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2009	30	2	90
2009-9	KRAVITZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2009	30	1	60
2009-10	BURNS	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2009	30	1	60
DELAWARE									
2010-1	SLEET	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/27/2010	30	0	30
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA									
2010-1	BATES	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/20/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	URBINA	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/30/2009	30	2	90
2010-3	BATES	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	URBINA	BLANCO	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	01/11/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BATES	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	HUVELLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	HUVELLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	LAMBERTH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	HUVELLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2010	30	0	30
FLORIDA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	WHITTEMORE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/08/2009	30	3	120
2010-2	WHITTEMORE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	HODGES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	WHITTEMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	HODGES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ANTOON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	HODGES	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ANTOON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	HODGES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CONNECTICUT (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	19	19	20	363	189	39,692	1,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	60	143	26	8,602	114	162,157	3,700	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	23	81	51	1,868	826	26,104	3,200	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	36	26	1,065	606	61,250	1,500	3	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	85	165	82	14,048	5,861	503,260	15,376	14	-	-	-	-	-
2009-8	87	59	105	5,134	4,257	305,650	81,354	13	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	35	166	25	5,813	1,278	38,514	-	52	1	-	2	-	50
2009-10	57	27	23	1,532	870	113,615	23,821	6	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE													
2010-1	30	173	104	5,200	1,571	100,534	1,750	2	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA													
2010-1	80	19	73	1,490	257	129,900	630	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	90	66	64	5,925	2,325	51,623	4,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	26	56	58	1,444	106	68,240	700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	134	41	8,015	1,045	33,073	2,900	13	-	-	1	-	5
2010-5	30	115	97	3,458	229	68,230	690	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	4	-	-	-	-	7,528	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	78	84	2,348	194	38,398	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	12	40	358	9	35,798	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	30	94	68	2,833	138	38,398	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	118	188	387	22,189	235	503,930	3,930	12	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	57	22	62	1,278	96	252,500	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-3	60	148	35	8,866	7,980	406,520	36,960	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-4	30	33	40	993	127	161,430	1,430	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-5	25	39	11	985	900	30,563	18,475	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-6	11	51	21	561	106	29,212	3,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	26	11	16	285	171	154,277	16,898	17	-	-	-	-	17
2010-8	8	28	17	220	48	24,000	3,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	17	5	4	90	70	47,094	5,995	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, MIDDLE (CONTINUED)									
2010-10	HOWARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	CORRIGAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	ANTOON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	ANTOON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	HOWARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	ANTOON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	MERRYDAY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-6	STEELE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2009	30	2	90
2009-7	WHITTEMORE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2009	30	0	30
2008-5	LAZZARA	BLANCO	TRANSPORT	WC	D	08/22/2008	30	0	30
2008-6	LAZZARA	KEENEY	TRANSPORT	WC	D	09/25/2008	30	0	30
2008-7	LAZZARA	HENNESSY	TRANSPORT	WC	D	10/15/2008	30	0	30
2008-8	LAZZARA	BLANCO	TRANSPORT	WC	D	10/30/2008	30	0	30
FLORIDA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	RODGERS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	HINKLE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/12/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	HINKLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SMOAK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SMOAK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2010	30	0	30
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	BANDSTRA	BLANCO	FRAUD	EO	D	10/29/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	GRAHAM	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2009	30	0	30
2010-4	MOORE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ZIOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ZLOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	COHN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	GOLD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
FLORIDA, MIDDLE (CONTINUED)													
2010-10	30	33	92	984	210	23,310	3,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	17	49	13	827	35	6,160	720	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	30	75	41	2,248	442	53,000	3,000	10	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	51	79	190	4,033	264	188,000	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	14	18	31	252	11	10,733	1,325	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	20	125	157	2,495	96	35,200	1,600	9	-	-	-	9	
2010-16	10	388	10	3,876	12	14,500	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-6	71	67	24	4,767	770	235,363	7,603	16	5	1	1	13	
2009-7	13	20	32	265	53	302,000	2,000	12	-	-	-	-	
2008-5	18	21	51	374	176	242,667	3,700	15	-	-	-	13	
2008-6	22	1	7	16	12	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2008-7	30	54	43	1,614	526	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2008-8	16	7	13	106	10	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
FLORIDA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	54	10	10	544	82	7,440	440	13	1	-	-	13	
2010-2	75	79	40	5,962	3,277	267,500	7,500	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	26	8	5	200	75	20,200	1,500	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	11	20	20	217	77	99,900	5,392	7	-	-	-	2	
2010-5	10	42	20	415	150	69,661	5,392	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	34	1	2	34	34	2,000	2,000	2	-	-	-	2	
2010-2	30	3	14	90	55	74,000	4,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-3	28	127	28	3,562	1,957	72,238	29,678	15	7	-	-	7	
2010-4	30	122	124	3,675	111	21,415	925	6	-	-	-	2	
2010-5	14	154	61	2,162	314	12,800	1,600	71	-	-	-	35	
2010-6	24	33	62	792	286	27,000	2,000	24	1	-	-	-	
2010-7	60	94	40	5,619	1,261	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	30	771	14	23,121	114	RELATED TO NO. 2010-16		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	82	13	2,452	352	26,536	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	124	41	3,706	2,116	72,626	30,066	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-11	COHN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	COHN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	GRAHAM	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	MARRA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	ZLOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	MIDDLEBROOKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	3	120
2010-19	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	ZLOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	JORDAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-23	JORDAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	DIMITROULEAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2010	30	1	60
2010-25	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-8	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	EE	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-9	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WS	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-10	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-11	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	FIREARMS	WC, TX	D	08/13/2009	30	2	90
2009-12	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2009	30	0	30
2008-22	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2008	30	0	30
2008-23	MORENO	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/11/2008	30	0	30
2008-24	GRAHAM	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2008	30	0	30
2008-25	COHN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2008	30	0	30
2008-26	HURLEY	BLANCO	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/04/2009	30	0	30
2007-27	MOORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2007	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-11	60	24	50	1,411	182	464,500	114,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	30	29	10	875	220	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-13	30	48	43	1,426	273	85,740	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	30	77	62	2,297	391	19,825	625	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	10	112	49	1,123	49	55,148	24,963	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	30	103	13	3,086	122	60,288	3,000	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	16	58	10	930	97	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	120	169	483	20,312	275	137,760	4,280	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	16	58	10	930	97	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-20	6	73	38	437	134	27,000	2,000	24	1	-	-	-	-
2010-21	8	88	11	708	60	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-22	30	61	13	1,821	121	30,994	1,725	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-23	7	137	25	960	106	9,786	1,930	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-24	60	53	60	3,151	1,355	143,978	4,030	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-25	30	124	132	3,715	1,609	181,090	3,056	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-26	30	31	10	925	29	19,316	2,636	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	120	7	223	826	599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-8	120	10	175	1,236	422	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10		RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2009-9	120	3	175	325	251	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10		RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2009-10	120	8	681	913	709	492,488	9,950	18	-	-	-	-	2
2009-11	90	88	75	7,894	1,490	81,000	6,000	28	-	-	1	-	27
2009-12	30	6	24	175	130	27,000	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-11					
2008-22	30	350	20	10,499	1,870	165,150	5,010	21	3	-	-	-	18
2008-23	30	95	218	2,842	129	37,619	1,610	3	-	-	-	-	3
2008-24	30	17	10	521	35	55,245	2,847	RELATED TO NO. 2008-22					
2008-25	30	54	50	1,625	185	111,287	1,600	1	-	-	6	-	1
2008-26	10	154	2	1,542	293	49,908	3,000	6	-	-	-	-	4
2007-27	25	69	18	1,722	147	78,217	1,483	RELATED TO NO. 2008-22					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
GEORGIA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	0	30
2010-3	LAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	ROYAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ROYAL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	LAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	ROYAL	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	ROYAL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	0	30
2009-6	LAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2009	30	0	30
2008-4	LAWSON	SWARTZ	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/31/2008	30	2	90
2008-5	LAWSON	SABIN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	04/22/2008	30	0	30
GEORGIA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	THRASH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2010	30	4	150
2010-2	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BATTEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	2	90
2010-11	BATTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	DUFFEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	THRASH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
GEORGIA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	18	4	50	81	57	2,149	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2010-2	1	3	3	3	1	2,149	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2010-3	60	86	170	5,186	561	75,121	3,650	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	25	55	59	1,373	121	1,800	1,800	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2010-5	60	199	101	11,969	765	86,412	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	8	38	35	306	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	20	113	55	2,267	178	31,340	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	15	179	58	2,679	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	33	22	994	148	35,729	925	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	58	41	1,740	51	36,404	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-6	30	27	178	798	297	37,263	1,800	1	-	-	-	-	
2008-4	90	96	294	8,601	574	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2008-5	30	1	2	43	4	132,470	26,532	-	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	150	24	188	3,647	476	161,197	5,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	107	217	3,221	45	54,293	11,730	2	-	-	-	2	
2010-3	30	157	20	4,721	4,522	2,076,619	1,210,219	10	-	-	2	-	
2010-4	56	12	44	678	151	84,148	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	38	43	1,148	282	110,302	16,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	30	34	20	1,017	375	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	122	94	3,669	782	196,348	8,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	30	185	46	5,550	1,094	RELATED TO NO. 2010-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	29	63	47	1,834	391	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	90	44	127	3,954	1,131	149,012	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	30	12	8	366	349	1,400	-	5	-	-	-	2	
2010-12	30	171	15	5,130	3,471	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	45	35	58	1,553	275	70,944	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	99	20	2,981	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	10	8	15	82	26	11,684	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	30	45	111	1,340	323	29,685	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
GEORGIA, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-17	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	FORRESTER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2010	30	0	30
2009-14	CARNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2008	30	1	60
2009-15	COOPER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/18/2008	30	0	30
2009-16	COOPER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2008	30	1	60
2009-17	DUFFEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	COOPER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2009	30	3	120
2009-19	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2009	30	3	120
2009-20	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	MARTIN	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2009	30	0	30
2009-22	THRASH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2009	30	2	90
2009-23	BATTEN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-24	THRASH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2009	30	0	30
2009-25	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-26	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2009	30	0	30
2008-53	CARNES	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	R	02/27/2008	30	0	30
2008-54	COOPER	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2008	30	1	60
2008-55	CARNES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2008	30	1	60
2008-56	BATTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2008	30	0	30
2008-57	EVANS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2008	30	0	30
2008-58	EVANS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2008	30	0	30
2008-59	EVANS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2008	30	0	30
2008-60	CARNES	HENNESSY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2008	30	0	30
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	HALL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	HALL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	HALL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	BOWEN	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
GEORGIA, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-17	30	189	20	5,664	3,938	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	30	85	15	2,560	1,675	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	60	13	37	779	146	117,436	8,323	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-15	30	69	70	2,080	398	55,206	2,435	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-16	60	139	138	8,359	1,648	188,116	15,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-17	30	99	124	2,976	85	116,498	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-18	120	37	102	4,384	833	298,291	14,310	RELATED TO NO. 2009-20					
2009-19	118	5	45	535	80	158,560	12,181	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-20	30	2	9	51	10	57,892	2,850	22	-	-	-	-	2
2009-21	30	27	21	800	62	120,184	10,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-22	15	101	17	1,522	483	100,646	8,662	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-23	30	72	4	2,151	61	19,921	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-24	30	35	30	1,049	178	42,255	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-25	30	-	-	-	-	4,822	1,700	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-26	30	45	40	1,340	310	38,077	3,000	8	-	-	-	-	6
2008-53	30	131	59	3,933	794	35,314	13,380	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-54	60	54	159	3,228	232	106,347	4,493	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-55	38	64	56	2,434	497	49,130	2,904	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-56	20	107	41	2,145	448	36,382	3,528	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-57	5	13	1	63	5	7,517	1,443	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-58	30	82	18	2,445	2,373	38,407	1,963	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-59	30	79	73	2,380	651	56,285	3,163	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-60	30	25	30	738	152	55,085	1,963	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	60	79	32	4,711	644	98,480	977	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	152	43	9,105	613	73,738	2,046	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	30	15	903	56	41,766	625	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	44	50	45	2,193	267	59,600	2,000	15	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HAWAII									
2010-1	SEABRIGHT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/20/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	SEABRIGHT	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/22/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	SEABRIGHT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/07/2009	30	1	60
2009-2	SEABRIGHT	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/23/2009	30	2	90
2009-3	EZRA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	KING	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2009	30	0	30
IDAHO									
2010-1	WINMILL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	WINMILL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	WINMILL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	28	0	28
2010-4	WINMILL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	WINMILL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2010	30	0	30
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL									
2010-1	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	MCCUSKEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2010	30	2	90
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN									
2010-1	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	YEGHIANYAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2010	30	2	90
2010-4	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	GUZMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	KENDALL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	DOW	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HAWAII													
2010-1	59	14	40	826	158	36,624	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	26	29	790	165	18,080	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-1	59	78	254	4,579	1,125	136,706	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	89	59	241	5,276	389	173,440	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-3	30	25	73	753	636	40,970	3,574	8	-	-	-	7	
2009-4	12	10	13	121	61	17,938	2,980	3	-	-	-	1	
IDAHO													
2010-1	30	32	962	962	470	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	23	68	1,562	1,562	617	127,238	6,950	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	27	58	1,562	1,562	617	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	30	27	824	824	285	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	26	102	35	2,647	1,751	47,291	1,900	13	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL													
2010-1	60	420	705	25,218	2,920	180,228	10,400	26	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	71	40	137	2,846	730	55,836	10,500	5	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN													
2010-1	16	16	7	250	65	28,207	6,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	37	107	39	3,946	1,133	32,785	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	65	198	25	12,882	2,093	71,125	4,175	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	13	97	27	1,259	194	14,092	1,400	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	60	50	25	3,000	500	173,280	12,000	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	49	311	44	15,222	1,502	108,963	5,010	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	7	10	17	70	52	13,588	6,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	28	70	15	1,954	625	20,362	835	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	43	58	1,297	55	22,200	2,600	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	43	58	1,297	55	22,200	2,600	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	21	15	32	308	197	18,028	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	8	288	7	2,304	296	6,414	835	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	23	27	22	617	156	23,855	1,400	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	14	51	434	230	RELATED TO NO. 2010-15		-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-15	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	LEFKOW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-20	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2010	30	2	90
2010-23	KAPALA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-25	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/10/2010	30	2	90
2010-26	KAPALA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-27	KAPALA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-28	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-29	HOLDERMAN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/22/2010	30	0	30
2009-19	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2009	30	0	30
2009-22	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/10/2009	30	0	30
2006-28	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/24/2006	30	0	30
2006-29	HOLDERMAN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/03/2006	30	2	90
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-15	17	19	35	328	157	39,843	3,050	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	12	11	16	133	45	25,255	2,800	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-17	30	42	25	1,263	484	32,143	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	6	35	23	211	57	9,367	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-19	42	73	226	3,046	756	47,056	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-20	13	42	29	545	223	16,775	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-21	14	11	21	153	60	15,887	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-22	86	80	32	6,897	382	23,880	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-23	30	61	9	1,821	1,365	76,192	23,200	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-24	60	53	78	3,169	420	87,206	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-25	80	45	137	3,570	1,318	85,840	8,400	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-26	43	40	7	1,728	1,296	97,555	21,600	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-27	14	57	7	794	397	34,810	10,080	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-28	20	11	34	214	115	15,685	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-29	12	-	2	4	-	5,789	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-30	4	-	1	1	-	4,193	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-31	7	18	20	123	79	6,522	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-19	28	14	19	396	180	95,492	34,580	9	-	-	-	-	
2009-20	30	32	77	955	198	34,240	4,560	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-21	17	-	3	2	1	20,081	10,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-22	25	37	20	936	134	43,422	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	
2006-28	10	11	12	113	27	9,567	1,135	3	1	-	-	3	
2006-29	86	44	80	3,808	197	94,095	-	RELATED TO NO. 2006-28					
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	30	234	67	7,034	385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	42	49	2,494	385	59,268	2,400	20	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	30	12	4	346	11	39,490	1,200	20	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	60	61	28	3,632	975	39,490	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-5	30	79	30	2,383	219	17,690	2,690	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	30	1	2	20	-	18,788	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2010	30	0	30
INDIANA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	LAWRENCE	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/19/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	HAMILTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2009	30	1	60
IOWA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	BENNETT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	BENNETT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	OBRIEN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MCMANUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	BENNETT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2010	30	0	30
IOWA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	PRATT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	JARVEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2010	30	0	30
KANSAS									
2010-1	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/09/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/27/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	30	187	21	5,622	114	19,490	1,200	20	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	46	128	25	5,868	512	22,290	4,290	10	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	29	76	20	2,217	262	20,000	5,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	27	57	4	1,538	32	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7		RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-11	30	223	15	6,679	385	19,489	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
INDIANA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	30	253	32	7,598	2,105	35,454	5,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	38	10	1,151	250	30,384	7,181	19	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	24	17	10	416	274	23,244	4,681	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-4	35	106	25	3,709	2,156	33,077	6,007	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-5	22	42	12	923	267	21,881	4,866	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2009-7	50	84	25	4,175	555	35,000	5,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	44	30	1,306	390	80,417	22,817	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	19	46	21	878	148	80,417	22,817	8	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	16	22	8	345	17	53,537	22,817	10	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	29	48	84	1,385	204	128,618	40,277	8	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	38	39	1,154	550	126,341	40,277	8	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	30	65	47	1,946	342	46,500	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	21	1	10	22	18	126,291	40,227	8	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS													
2010-1	44	2	25	104	69	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	75	13	121	975	466	660,148	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	13	50	390	206	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	26	27	58	711	443	28,253	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	37	84	1,118	163	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	13	45	18	588	211	43,475	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	5	31	140	63	23,337	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	64	75	1,935	127	26,000	4,400	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
KANSAS (CONTINUED)									
2010-9	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	BROWN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	BROWN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	MURGUIA	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/14/2010	30	2	90
2010-13	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-16	BROWN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	BROWN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-18	ROGERS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2010	30	0	30
2009-10	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-11	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2009	30	0	30
2009-12	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-13	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2009	30	0	30
2009-14	LUNGSTRUM	GRINDLER	OTHER	WC	D	10/07/2009	30	0	30
2008-19	ROGERS	MANDELKER	DRUGS	WC	D	08/11/2008	30	0	30
2008-20	ROGERS	NO INFO	DRUGS	WC	D	09/15/2008	30	0	30
2008-21	ROGERS	NO INFO	DRUGS	WC	D	09/30/2008	30	0	30
KENTUCKY, EASTERN									
2010-1	COFFMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	COFFMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	COFFMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	TATENHOVE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	VAN TATENHOVE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/12/2009	30	0	30
KENTUCKY, WESTERN									
2010-1	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/24/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/16/2009	30	0	30
2010-3	HEYBURN II	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/22/2009	30	1	60
2010-4	SIMPSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	2	90

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
KANSAS (CONTINUED)													
2010-9	30	30	13	899	233	43,427	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	60	38	94	2,281	202	49,883	6,845	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	10	3	-	26	-	7,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	89	43	150	3,837	643	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	60	22	65	1,292	456	41,750	8,800	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	11	10	9	110	30	38,620	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	59	13	40	765	259	96,106	7,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	29	90	81	2,601	228	27,017	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-17	32	15	11	467	264	49,438	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	22	77	66	1,693	178	57,224	7,434	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-10	29	77	148	2,226	372	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-11	30	33	20	984	646	43,103	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-12	18	40	67	716	170	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-13	30	64	165	1,917	635	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-14	16	46	19	731	168	12,659	1,800	3	-	-	-	2	
2008-19	10	177	76	1,767	186	16,935	11,000	10	-	-	-	10	
2008-20	26	63	38	1,633	64	56,764	32,430	RELATED TO NO. 2008-19					
2008-21	11	53	41	586	146	17,772	11,243	RELATED TO NO. 2008-19					
KENTUCKY, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	89	31	2,683	545	162,670	46,750	13	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	14	73	31	1,023	589	82,396	28,300	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	15	87	15	1,305	660	81,177	23,217	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2009-1	30	14	29	434	104	85,294	6,700	12	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	30	11	15	334	17	57,075	1,300	RELATED TO NO. 2009-1					
KENTUCKY, WESTERN													
2010-1	86	37	100	3,181	783	81,108	5,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	28	14	20	404	46	27,849	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	60	56	459	3,376	467	443,900	12,700	22	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	90	160	40	14,392	601	98,908	6,475	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
KENTUCKY, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-5	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	HEYBURN II	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2010	30	0	30
LOUISIANA, EASTERN									
2010-1	FELDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	LEMMON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	FELDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	VANCE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	VANCE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/12/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	LEMELLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	ENGLEHARDT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2009	30	0	30
2008-1	AFRICK	MANDELKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2008	30	0	30
2007-8	ZAINY	ROTH	TERRORISM	WC	D	09/19/2007	30	1	60
LOUISIANA, WESTERN									
2010-1	HICKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/12/2010	30	0	30
2009-3	HAIK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2009	30	1	60
2009-4	HICKS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	HICKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-6	HICKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2009	30	0	30
MAINE									
2010-1	SINGAL	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SINGAL	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	HORNBY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	HORNBY	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-4	HORNBY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	HORNBY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2009	30	2	90
MARYLAND									
2010-1	BLAKE	NO INFO	MURDER	WC	D	02/01/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	MOTZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	QUARLES	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC, WS, TX	D, B	02/19/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
KENTUCKY, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-5	60	58	20	3,471	377	58,019	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	60	15	20	900	60	53,268	449	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	154	20	4,618	303	27,340	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	
LOUISIANA, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	268	15	8,026	4,000	161,843	2,000	26	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	63	99	1,877	145	62,741	19,685	1	-	-	-	1	
2010-3	30	274	22	8,229	1,950	161,843	2,000	26	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	15	113	148	1,691	129	28,320	4,400	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	158	195	4,739	881	52,240	4,400	5	-	-	-	-	
2009-1	18	207	17	3,728	339	48,136	2,000	6	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	29	94	140	2,721	259	14,099	1,735	14	-	-	-	-	
2008-1	30	162	48	4,860	241	20,428	1,500	21	-	-	-	13	
2007-8	60	52	258	3,122	359	87,576	6,000	1	-	-	-	1	
LOUISIANA, WESTERN													
2010-1	26	667	30	17,330	447	63,985	625	7	-	-	-	7	
2009-3	60	145	1,320	8,698	225	47,804	1,500	13	-	-	-	2	
2009-4	13	-	-	-	-	70,756	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
2009-5	30	24	17	734	163	183,818	1,500	4	-	-	-	3	
2009-6	30	9	7	266	200	148,825	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
MAINE													
2010-1	22	34	29	756	524	35,640	2,500	23	-	-	2	22	
2010-2	2	112	14	225	91	3,240	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	28	154	174	4,307	610	72,791	3,200	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	30	127	229	3,819	720	83,233	7,980	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-4	11	40	25	436	141	57,734	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-5	70	35	73	2,429	591	259,488	3,345	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND													
2010-1	52	91	32	4,742	1,389	236,000	-	7	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	27	420	1,591	711	39,320	4,520	12	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	29	250	36	7,251	1,875	202,026	10,521	17	-	-	-	12	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MARYLAND (CONTINUED)									
2010-4	MOTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	MOTZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	MOTZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	MOTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BENNETT	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	MOTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	BENNETT	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	BENNETT	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2010	30	0	30
2008-4	TITUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2007	30	2	90
2008-5	TITUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2007	30	2	90
2008-6	TITUS	NO INFO	FIREARMS	WC	D	01/04/2008	30	0	30
2007-9	TITUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2007	30	0	30
MASSACHUSETTS									
2010-1	YOUNG	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	WOODLOCK	KEENEY	BRIBERY	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	WOODLOCK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	GORTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	3	120
2010-5	GORTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2010	30	3	120
2010-6	GORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2010	30	2	90
2009-5	ZOBEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-6	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2009	30	0	30
2009-7	YOUNG	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2009	30	0	30
2009-8	WOLF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2009	30	0	30
MICHIGAN, EASTERN									
2010-1	FRIEDMAN	SWARTZ	COUNTERFEITING	WC	D	12/07/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	TARNOW	BLANCO	DRUGS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	COX	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	3	120
2010-6	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MARYLAND (CONTINUED)													
2010-4	18	24	39	440	91	50,403	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	28	161	235	4,509	595	187,065	9,225	12	1	-	-	-	-
2010-6	12	31	48	372	98	59,270	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-5					
2010-7	30	67	158	2,019	139	17,916	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	47	175	45	8,209	510	274,545	8,000	16	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	7	4	-	28	-	1,825	625	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	44	77	35	3,407	313	RELATED TO NO. 2010-8		RELATED TO NO. 2010-8					
2010-11	26	21	20	547	58	RELATED TO NO. 2010-8		RELATED TO NO. 2010-8					
2008-4	79	32	236	2,535	1,439	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-5					
2008-5	80	57	311	4,563	532	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		6	1	-	-	-	6
2008-6	23	18	41	406	111	181,050	4,960	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5					
2007-9	30	2	30	68	27	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-5					
MASSACHUSETTS													
2010-1	14	44	20	619	147	31,955	25,123	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	25	192	1,499	156	88,819	3,120	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	23	32	20	732	133	52,352	41,128	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	115	9	11	1,069	92	117,335	2,520	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	108	8	11	830	188	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	78	82	45	6,361	698	117,335	2,520	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	60	106	27	6,347	869	125,261	3,213	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-6	1	50	10	50	5	RELATED TO NO. 2009-7		8	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	28	71	22	2,000	239	24,500	4,500	6	-	-	-	-	-
2009-8	30	137	97	4,102	1,951	102,238	2,870	5	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN, EASTERN													
2010-1	60	51	163	3,056	406	137,255	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	145	25	8,697	617	69,940	4,600	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	47	37	1,422	22	55,600	2,600	6	-	-	-	-	5
2010-4	30	47	189	1,423	172	20,300	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	101	127	153	12,856	1,305	203,787	10,544	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	37	16	13	575	269	77,300	55,100	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MICHIGAN, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	EDMUNDS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2010	30	3	120
2010-8	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	BORMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	ZATKOFF	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	CLELAND	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	08/05/2010	30	1	60
2009-5	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	01/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-6	EDMUNDS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2009	30	0	30
2009-7	FRIEDMAN	WEINSTEIN	OTHER	WC	D	07/24/2009	30	1	60
2009-8	LUDINGTON	BLANCO	OTHER	WC	D	09/11/2009	30	1	60
2009-9	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	09/29/2009	30	1	60
2009-10	LUDINGTON	WININGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2009	30	0	30
2009-11	TARNOW	KEENEY	DRUGS	WC	D	11/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-12	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	12/03/2009	30	0	30
2008-26	HOOD	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/31/2008	30	0	30
2008-27	HOOD	ROTH	OTHER	WC	D	03/11/2008	30	0	30
2007-17	COX	SABIN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/09/2007	30	0	30
2006-19	HOOD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2005	30	2	90
2006-20	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/04/2006	30	1	60
MINNESOTA									
2010-1	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SCHLITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	04/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MONTGOMERY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	2	90
2010-5	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	07/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	10/18/2010	30	0	30
2009-4	DAVIS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2009	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MICHIGAN, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	107	67	35	7,136	3,458	230,700	148,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	16	113	15	1,802	50	55,200	3,200	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	58	84	75	4,891	315	73,051	3,200	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	19	37	18	706	240	39,300	27,900	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	23	55	32	1,260	139	34,556	20,403	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	60	151	349	9,057	262	38,720	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	29	22	12	633	5	14,399	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-6	30	23	4	682	89	105,725	2,600	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	58	41	80	2,368	5	23,443	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-8	58	172	196	9,985	1,629	117,424	4,060	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	60	82	50	4,944	700	90,417	11,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-10	13	122	174	1,585	501	33,983	3,440	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-11	9	3	2	31	2	18,500	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2009-12	18	-	-	-	-	985	180	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-26	30	24	51	722	70	39,077	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-27	9	106	52	955	290	8,784	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-17	30	80	53	2,408	694	36,957	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	1
2006-19	90	68	126	6,126	408	38,139	2,200	14	-	-	3	-	-
2006-20	60	8	50	495	68	70,898	3,400	9	-	-	16	-	-
MINNESOTA													
2010-1	16	8	16	132	93	24,700	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	15	14	441	253	29,920	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
2010-3	5	11	13	54	31	7,750	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	90	28	20	2,510	529	62,800	6,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	6	37	31	220	76	10,300	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	2	-	1	1	-	4,700	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	7	28	31	197	55	11,500	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-4	27	52	40	1,391	779	20,994	2,000	16	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	30	64	82	1,911	896	48,218	700	20	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MINNESOTA (CONTINUED)									
2008-8	DAVIS	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2008	30	0	30
2007-15	ROSENBAUM	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2007	30	0	30
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	WINGATE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2010	30	2	90
2010-2	WINGATE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	WINGATE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	WINGATE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	WINGATE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2009	30	1	60
2009-2	WINGATE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/06/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	WINGATE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2009	30	0	30
MISSOURI, EASTERN									
2010-1	WEBBER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/24/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	JACKSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	SIPPEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SIPPEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	SIPPEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	AUTREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	LIMBAUGH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	WEBBER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	LIMBAUGH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	AUTREY	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	JACKSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	SIPPEL	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/19/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	PERRY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	AUTREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2010	30	1	60
2010-15	HAMILTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	WEBBER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-17	FLEISSIG	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-18	WEBBER	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-19	JACKSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/02/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MINNESOTA (CONTINUED)													
2008-8	30	30	47	885	119	7,215	1,000	2	-	-	-	-	2
2007-15	30	442	29	13,254	995	14,742	2,000	8	-	-	6	-	8
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	90	274	542	24,640	359	204,520	91,057	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	90	30	135	2,666	292	132,533	41,363	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	5	56	14	281	3	16,966	3,566	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	11	13	7	145	14	37,692	7,254	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2009-1	60	48	214	2,893	674	130,140	4,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	30	75	93	2,247	606	68,957	750	9	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	30	138	215	4,151	314	57,444	680	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN													
2010-1	57	74	28	4,219	1,223	41,501	6,845	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	8	76	26	608	171	14,970	2,200	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	127	1	3,809	255	13,679	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	32	18	1,890	1,623	54,720	33,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	171	1	5,139	331	13,678	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	175	42	5,251	653	24,320	3,200	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-7	13	39	18	505	244	22,675	11,715	9	-	-	-	-	6
2010-8	40	91	27	3,651	96	24,320	3,200	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-9	30	97	19	2,900	779	37,896	22,090	9	-	-	-	-	6
2010-10	20	25	41	500	400	40,640	33,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	28	56	1	1,575	463	65,195	1,725	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	58	57	29	3,300	403	160,909	120,815	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	22	643	4	14,140	54	13,805	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	11	1	672	230	17,605	4,400	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	8	86	16	684	53	17,305	2,816	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	60	217	25	13,022	2,137	24,320	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	60	17	2	1,046	351	20,574	7,369	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	60	13	29	761	212	91,120	70,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	21	159	35	3,341	534	18,191	2,345	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MISSOURI, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-20	SIPPEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/17/2010	30	0	30
2009-9	PERRY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2009	30	0	30
2009-10	PERRY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2009	30	0	30
2009-11	AUTREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2009	30	0	30
2007-24	LIMBAUGH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2007	30	0	30
2007-25	PERRY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2009	30	0	30
2006-17	AUTREY	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2006	30	0	30
2006-18	HAMILTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2006	30	0	30
MISSOURI, WESTERN									
2010-1	FENNER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/02/2010	30	3	120
2010-2	FENNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	FENNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	FENNER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	FENNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2010	30	2	90
2010-7	FENNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX, EO	D	04/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	FENNER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	2	90
2010-10	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	KAYS	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WC, WO, OM, EO	D, A	12/11/2008	30	1	60
2009-8	KAYS	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WS	B	01/22/2009	30	1	60
2009-9	WHIPPLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-10	WHIPPLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2009	30	2	90
2009-11	KAYS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2009	30	1	60
2009-12	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/28/2009	30	1	60
2009-13	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, WS, WO	D, H	10/20/2009	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MISSOURI, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-20	13	3	4	38	10	6,972	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-9	30	256	42	7,676	101	28,040	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2009-10	21	37	33	778	260	21,680	3,200	15	-	-	-	15	
2009-11	11	652	46	7,176	77	11,928	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2007-24	30	211	70	6,341	746	41,962	30	RELATED TO NO. 2006-17					
2007-25	30	3	10	79	2	28,040	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2006-17	30	136	73	4,069	683	26,901	10	3	-	-	-	-	
2006-18	18	249	8	4,476	215	32,227	10	RELATED TO NO. 2006-17					
MISSOURI, WESTERN													
2010-1	118	628	1,656	74,144	17,247	416,755	10,536	23	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	8	18	25	141	8	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	29	34	50	992	211	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	58	7	15	414	73	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-5	15	23	30	347	30	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-6	90	52	13	4,718	874	78,645	3,125	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	55	35	29	1,909	512	81,149	2,600	18	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	14	2	1	30	1	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-9	90	28	300	2,558	1,057	220,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	21	50	12	1,043	27	23,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	13	64	9	836	81	20,235	235	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	56	33	170	1,869	884	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	30	59	130	1,772	674	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	34	115	1,016	339	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-7	57	31	170	1,753	619	132,546	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-8	56	6	19	308	236	88,348	8,500	4	-	-	-	8	
2009-9	90	159	80	14,308	2,546	156,239	14,500	34	-	1	-	17	
2009-10	90	159	52	14,308	2,546	RELATED TO NO. 2009-9		RELATED TO NO. 2009-9					
2009-11	60	83	278	4,974	533	78,000	8,000	12	-	-	-	-	
2009-12	60	80	48	4,802	499	44,704	29,704	7	-	-	-	7	
2009-13	16	97	44	1,557	106	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MISSOURI, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2008-31	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WS	B	05/09/2008	30	0	30
2008-32	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/09/2008	30	1	60
2008-33	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/09/2008	30	0	30
NEVADA									
2010-1	JONES	KEENEY	TRANSPORT	WC	D	07/27/2009	30	4	150
2010-2	MAHAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	PRO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	MAHAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	PRO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	DAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	MCKIBBEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MAHAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	MAHAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/16/2010	30	0	30
2009-6	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-7	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-8	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/18/2009	30	2	90
2009-9	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/18/2009	30	2	90
2009-10	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2009	30	1	60
2009-11	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2009	30	1	60
NEW JERSEY									
2010-1	HOCHBERG	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	MARTINI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	MARTINI	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	CHESLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	WIGENTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	CHESLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	CAVANAUGH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MISSOURI, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2008-31	30	2	-	58	-	RELATED TO NO. 2008-32		RELATED TO NO. 2008-32					
2008-32	60	34	1,014	2,017	265	377,000	7,000	11	-	-	-	-	9
2008-33	30	103	1,014	3,085	132	RELATED TO NO. 2008-32		RELATED TO NO. 2008-32					
NEVADA													
2010-1	150	25	201	3,681	1,575	145,535	14,250	3	-	1	-	-	-
2010-2	18	36	91	640	202	27,440	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	60	187	3,588	176	89,982	1,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	135	358	8,109	2,066	91,232	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	17	14	86	242	196	46,106	20,071	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	55	119	1,643	169	42,968	2,600	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	-	2	4	-	4,076	775	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	9	139	97	1,252	243	35,723	24,333	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	15	-	1	1	-	20,046	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	44	6	19	253	108	55,818	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	6	309	73	1,854	803	6,690	910	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-6	90	69	170	6,168	2,052	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-7	90	69	331	6,201	5,888	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-8	90	51	311	4,624	921	724,021	19,872	2	-	-	-	-	2
2009-9	90	18	220	1,626	330	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-10	60	51	168	3,088	704	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-11	60	28	108	1,695	344	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
NEW JERSEY													
2010-1	29	52	132	1,518	30	21,860	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	55	6	1,657	144	26,550	650	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	57	18	102	1,013	106	31,716	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	21	118	10	2,470	258	18,334	600	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	47	118	15	5,532	967	35,148	600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
2010-6	25	67	10	1,681	241	18,125	625	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
2010-7	30	232	386	6,963	299	21,860	1,700	6	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW MEXICO									
2010-1	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2010	30	4	150
2010-2	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	3	120
2010-3	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2010	30	2	90
2010-4	ARMIJO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BRACK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ARMIJO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	07/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	BRACK	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2010	30	3	120
2010-8	BRACK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2010	30	2	90
2010-9	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2010	30	0	30
2009-3	ARMIJO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2009	30	1	60
2007-6	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/14/2007	30	0	30
2007-7	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2007	30	1	60
2007-8	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	H	11/30/2007	30	0	30
NEW YORK, EASTERN									
2010-1	SCHEINDLIN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	GARAUFIS	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	VITALIANO	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	GARAUFIS	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	VITALIANO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	PAULEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2009-9	FEUERSTEIN	BLANCO	OTHER	EE	N	08/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-10	FEUERSTEIN	BLANCO	OTHER	EE	N	08/25/2009	30	2	90
2009-11	FEUERSTEIN	BLANCO	OTHER	EE	N	08/25/2009	30	2	90
NEW YORK, NORTHERN									
2010-1	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	3	120
2010-2	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	03/30/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SUDDABY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	SUDDABY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	05/14/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
NEW MEXICO													
2010-1	136	142	57	19,380	363	628,759	352,533	35	-	1	-	-	1
2010-2	78	28	5	2,200	85	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	77	8	4	620	60	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	58	40	168	2,319	581	108,862	1,100	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	6	2	184	26	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-6	30	114	251	3,420	400	60,000	20,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	120	228	85	27,368	1,886	130,750	53,447	21	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	90	53	62	4,729	434	150,743	73,440	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-9	25	76	47	1,892	324	105,320	53,785	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2009-3	59	90	178	5,324	2,219	85,761	3,100	12	-	-	-	-	-
2007-6	30	20	38	585	95	RELATED TO NO. 2007-8		RELATED TO NO. 2007-8					
2007-7	37	4	3	140	119	RELATED TO NO. 2007-8		RELATED TO NO. 2007-8					
2007-8	8	8	3	63	44	108,457	1,150	4	-	-	-	-	4
NEW YORK, EASTERN													
2010-1	52	19	89	1,011	206	18,519	1,600	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	90	85	176	7,675	1,471	203,491	7,800	6	-	-	-	-	6
2010-3	27	153	73	4,139	1,440	20,546	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	60	58	3,606	573	51,800	7,800	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	24	263	89	6,309	1,502	16,168	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	20	22	28	434	34	7,398	1,300	6	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	30	-	2	2	-	RELATED TO NO. 2009-11		-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-10	90	127	64	11,416	195	RELATED TO NO. 2009-11		RELATED TO NO. 2009-11					
2009-11	90	43	274	3,889	940	2,480	2,480	3	-	-	-	-	2
NEW YORK, NORTHERN													
2010-1	120	52	10	6,200	1,060	49,789	2,200	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	59	94	55	5,537	1,129	82,793	4,630	24	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	15	10	3	150	12	11,442	1,200	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	1	10	44	1	15,578	2,330	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-5	56	349	50	19,547	960	77,189	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-6	46	221	65	10,164	1,233	63,941	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	SUDDABY	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	SUDDABY	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2010	30	0	30
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	MARRERO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	SCHEINDLIN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	KOELTL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MCKENNA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	SULLIVAN	BREUER	FRAUD	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	3	120
2010-6	BERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	WOOD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	HOLWELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	KARAS	ANDRES	FRAUD	EE	H	06/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	PRESKA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	GARDEPHE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	GARDEPHE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	MCMAHON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	BUCHWALD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	MARRERO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/23/2010	30	0	30
2009-13	HELLERSTEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2009	30	1	60
2009-14	SAND	MORTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2009	30	1	60
2009-15	LYNCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2009	30	1	60
NEW YORK, WESTERN									
2010-1	SIRAGUSA	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	SIRAGUSA	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	SIRAGUSA	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	60	169	10	10,142	1,683	45,825	2,900	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9					
2010-8	23	107	40	2,455	327	44,027	3,400	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-9	42	79	5	3,320	560	18,431	2,600	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	278	20	8,344	3,567	34,620	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	60	100	129	6,024	1,103	32,578	1,980	2	-	-	-	-	1
2010-2	30	13	5	382	85	17,798	675	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	22	33	23	722	102	5,471	2,040	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	77	157	4,641	755	24,035	1,450	2	-	-	-	-	1
2010-5	27	41	19	1,097	274	40,288	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	17	13	5	218	55	18,200	5,200	2	-	-	-	-	2
2010-7	20	1	4	20	4	8,068	1,200	6	-	-	-	-	3
2010-8	30	17	26	509	57	16,504	1,600	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	30	378	10	11,337	347	2,150	2,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	37	10	1,100	111	20,541	1,725	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	60	29	12	1,712	218	34,189	3,200	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	29	3	10	99	15	26,700	6,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	58	35	51	2,016	160	52,873	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	28	63	25	1,760	503	15,976	1,360	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	9	107	17	963	-	6,404	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-13	41	100	15	4,100	3,900	63,669	2,420	41	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	60	79	440	4,739	389	165,740	7,500	5	-	-	-	1	1
2009-15	37	29	60	1,062	251	19,302	1,520	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN													
2010-1	60	164	90	9,841	189	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-2	60	116	125	6,989	238	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-3	42	41	150	1,739	265	38,480	8,480	17	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	121	200	3,640	462	60,427	2,300	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	60	139	100	8,338	187	118,555	2,300	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	24	100	730	42	58,377	250	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	LARIMER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2010	30	2	90
2009-14	SKRETNY	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/2009	30	1	60
2009-15	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/01/2009	30	0	30
2009-16	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2009	30	2	90
2009-17	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2009	30	0	30
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	BEATTY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	TILLEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	BEATTY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2008-5	BEATTY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2008	30	0	30
2008-6	TILLEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2008	30	0	30
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN									
2010-1	REIDINGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	REIDINGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	CONRAD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	CONRAD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	CONRAD	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2010	30	1	60
2009-13	CONRAD	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-14	VOORHEES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2009	30	0	30
OHIO, NORTHERN									
2010-1	ZOUHARY	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	GAUGHAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	OLIVER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	79	38	189	3,008	873	86,868	3,250	5	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	60	81	87	4,885	538	57,301	4,700	27	-	-	-	-	5
2009-15	23	61	24	1,403	374	17,168	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					
2009-16	79	48	56	3,775	887	25,106	2,200	20	-	-	-	-	2
2009-17	30	41	18	1,236	281	19,401	2,200	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					
2009-18	30	70	37	2,110	461	10,618	1,160	10	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	5	25	4	127	21	11,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	3	19	2	58	8	5,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	19	-	-	-	-	31,427	26,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	52	88	74	4,564	3,554	144,979	53,426	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	10	18	303	56	25,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	-	-	-	-	35,704	21,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	25	109	93	2,731	449	101,754	63,653	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	13	54	26	706	112	37,693	21,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	13	195	83	2,541	365	148,293	64,800	21	-	-	-	-	-
2008-5	30	27	76	796	34	47,671	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6					
2008-6	30	139	124	4,157	195	50,675	6,875	14	1	-	-	-	13
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN													
2010-1	30	77	35	2,308	348	78,145	3,625	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	7	22	9	156	11	2,140	2,140	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	39	89	3,460	3,460	3,460	10,000	5,200	11	-	-	-	-	11
2010-4	8	224	84	1,791	564	7,715	2,600	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	42	40	154	1,679	684	14,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-13	7	-	2	3	-	5,800	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	30	158	57	4,735	675	13,844	2,600	15	2	-	-	-	14
OHIO, NORTHERN													
2010-1	11	2	5	19	2	7,179	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	75	163	4,474	1,425	32,656	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	42	37	2,537	359	32,656	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-4	ADAMS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ADAMS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ADAMS	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-3	BOYKO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2009	30	3	120
2009-4	POLSTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2009	30	1	60
OHIO, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	SARGUS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2010	30	1	60
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN									
2009-4	DUKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2009	30	1	60
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN									
2009-1	CAUTHRON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	FRIOT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	FRIOT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2009	30	0	30
OREGON									
2009-3	PANNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2009	30	2	90
2009-4	KING	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2009	30	1	60
2009-5	PANNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2009	30	1	60
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN									
2010-1	DALZELL	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	2	90
2009-2	DAVIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	SANCHEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/29/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	GOLDEN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	10/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	GOLDEN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	10/16/2009	30	1	60
2009-6	GOLDEN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	10/16/2009	30	1	60
2009-7	GOLDEN	BLANCO	OTHER	WC	D	11/23/2009	30	0	30
2009-8	GOLDEN	BLANCO	OTHER	WC	D	11/23/2009	30	0	30
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	CONNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	MCCLURE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-4	29	122	1,166	3,535	253	19,915	6,645	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	168	1,856	5,031	1,178	16,141	2,413	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	43	7	1,288	39	16,141	2,413	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	105	156	13,209	16,380	3,752	344,068	14,170	33	-	-	-	-	-
2009-4	33	87	40	2,858	312	78,291	2,890	11	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	58	97	4,399	5,623	86	135,481	4,484	1	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN													
2009-4	60	32	60	1,914	267	202,540	1,790	6	-	-	-	-	2
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN													
2009-1	30	27	14	810	92	272,515	42,115	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	27	62	38	1,665	699	6,872	575	12	4	-	-	-	9
2009-3	30	76	46	2,267	872	7,916	1,630	12	3	-	-	-	8
OREGON													
2009-3	89	68	167	6,027	649	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5		RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
2009-4	51	89	257	4,557	902	115,697	10,537	8	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	43	75	149	3,231	533	325,875	34,224	22	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN													
2010-1	90	36	167	3,241	618	32,762	240	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	20	95	68	1,893	124	95,930	13,130	2	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	30	124	32	3,720	700	26,949	80	5	1	3	-	-	2
2009-4	30	67	170	2,023	293	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		3	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	60	67	409	4,015	1,145	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2009-6	60	70	463	4,212	1,122	432,010	36,200	3	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	30	52	204	1,555	881	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2009-8	30	55	150	1,638	457	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	30	34	52	1,011	412	37,953	2,625	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	120	60	7,184	519	21,276	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN									
2010-1	FISCHER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	FISCHER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	CERCONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	EE	H	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2008-1	LANCASTER	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2008	30	1	60
2008-2	CONTI	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2008	30	1	60
2008-3	LANCASTER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2008	30	0	30
PUERTO RICO									
2010-1	DELGADO-COLON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2009	30	4	150
2010-2	GIMENEZ	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2010	30	1	60
2008-6	DOMINGUEZ	NO INFO	KIDNAPPING	WC	D	08/07/2008	2	0	2
RHODE ISLAND									
2010-1	LISI	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	2	90
2010-2	LAGUEUX	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	LISI	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	LISI	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/19/2009	30	1	60
SOUTH CAROLINA									
2010-1	FLOYD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/21/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	FLOYD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	FLOYD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	NORTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	NORTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	CHILDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	DUFFY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2009	30	0	30
2007-2	ANDERSON	MANDELKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2006	30	2	90
2006-8	CURRIE	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2006	30	0	30
2006-9	CURRIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2006	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN													
2010-1	20	138	65	2,757	134	35,973	3,230	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	17	41	30	690	152	44,053	1,295	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	79	90	2,365	2,365	19,498	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
2008-1	47	12	21	572	261	108,171	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-2	40	11	19	441	177	RELATED TO NO. 2008-1		-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-3	23	6	19	145	49	RELATED TO NO. 2008-1		-	-	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO													
2010-1	150	17	65	2,517	462	152,218	108,712	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	99	115	5,932	988	89,186	700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2008-6	2	51	9	102	5	5,943	4,825	5	-	2	-	1	-
RHODE ISLAND													
2010-1	36	114	252	4,120	135	23,593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	6	131	236	788	4	6,741	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	60	15	1,809	801	51,102	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	46	24	23	1,081	398	90,818	2,400	1	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA													
2010-1	60	49	10	2,960	587	17,875	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	15	-	-	-	-	71,600	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	20	4	6	70	19	14,675	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	19	18	37	350	27	30,362	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	60	201	20	12,079	568	24,710	4,710	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	50	71	25	3,551	747	25,200	5,200	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	20	19	7	385	139	22,600	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6					
2010-8	30	65	22	1,955	394	22,600	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6					
2010-9	13	10	4	133	18	22,110	2,110	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6					
2010-10	30	92	97	2,753	768	14,400	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	29	72	52	2,089	607	22,100	2,600	14	-	-	-	-	-
2007-2	90	58	130	5,186	2,434	208,692	3,656	40	3	-	-	-	28
2006-8	30	90	46	2,714	666	RELATED TO NO. 2006-9		RELATED TO NO. 2006-9					
2006-9	30	90	40	2,713	256	117,740	8,000	20	1	-	-	-	20

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TENNESSEE, EASTERN									
2010-1	VARLAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/23/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	GREER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/19/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	VARLAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	VARLAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	VARLAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	GREER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	GREER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	GREER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2009	30	0	30
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE									
2009-1	CAMPBELL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/07/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	CAMPBELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/12/2009	30	3	120
TEXAS, EASTERN									
2010-1	SCHELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SCHELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/25/2010	30	0	30
TEXAS, NORTHERN									
2010-1	SCHNEIDER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	O'CONNOR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	O'CONNOR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MCBRYDE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/31/2010	30	3	120
2010-5	O'CONNOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	MCBRYDE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	SOLIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	MCBRYDE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MCBRYDE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	SOLIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	MCBRYDE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	LINDSAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	LINDSAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	GODBEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	BOYLE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/12/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TENNESSEE, EASTERN													
2010-1	53	105	60	5,585	667	23,300	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	83	47	44	3,860	285	34,065	13,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	60	75	65	4,500	3,650	41,400	3,000	15	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	30	50	40	1,500	1,200	21,200	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-5	30	50	40	1,500	1,200	21,200	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-6	60	61	30	3,684	514	4,500	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	44	20	1,314	141	34,030	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	15	44	55	666	26	12,116	7,400	11	-	-	-	1	
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE													
2009-1	22	100	10	2,211	919	14,770	2,600	19	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	93	47	371	4,362	439	183,471	4,400	10	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	163	30	4,887	341	19,898	4,200	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	74	13	2,222	410	22,730	4,200	2	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	55	88	1,640	148	57,140	10,466	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	9	13	9	120	8	14,096	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	2	3	3	6	5	11,408	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	115	62	20	7,086	2,468	115,400	5,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	155	63	4,660	831	82,640	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	60	25	15	1,513	741	30,400	3,400	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	40	64	29	2,552	823	55,760	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	22	1	3	22	2	11,600	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	58	44	30	2,562	1,390	42,750	3,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	171	41	5,126	944	44,320	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	30	3	5	96	31	19,150	3,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	30	63	24	1,892	491	46,320	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	17	256	45	4,360	930	28,848	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	22	91	36	2,012	1,040	33,568	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	30	1	17	36	8	45,320	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-16	GODBEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	BOYLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	BOYLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2010	30	1	60
2009-23	LINDSAY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2009	30	0	30
2009-24	O'CONNOR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2009	30	0	30
TEXAS, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2009	30	3	120
2010-2	KAZEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/12/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	HITTNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/20/2009	30	1	60
2010-4	LAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2009	30	1	60
2010-5	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	HOYT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	MILLER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/22/2010	30	4	150
2010-10	LAKE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	TAGLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	2	90
2010-14	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-15	HITTNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	HITTNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	HITTNER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	TAGLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/11/2010	30	2	90
2010-23	MILLER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	ALVAREZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-16	30	41	17	1,242	712	43,320	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	27	41	19	1,097	274	40,288	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	55	57	21	3,145	877	78,920	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-23	6	13	9	78	43	18,128	2,000	19	-	-	-	-	19
2009-24	17	34	8	581	107	28,048	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	120	33	40	4,016	3,539	89,740	11,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	25	16	1,485	395	80,668	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	457	225	27,411	1,276	43,920	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	40	55	17	2,206	726	36,496	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	125	56	3,742	542	36,196	4,900	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	123	40	3,694	320	53,298	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	107	36	3,211	139	17,948	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	18	38	546	397	18,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	129	71	151	9,208	1,398	83,129	13,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	92	19	2,772	188	4,148	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	30	68	60	2,032	509	95,839	25,625	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	43	28	21	1,200	257	18,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	47	491	67	23,065	3,738	91,240	13,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	284	105	17,014	2,240	37,325	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	5	28	1	140	25	26,905	1,700	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-16	30	11	10	333	117	18,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	30	161	48	4,841	1,045	18,562	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	1	-	-	-	-	1,700	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	19	117	59	2,220	286	25,509	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	2
2010-20	30	66	145	1,973	157	11,476	4,700	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-2	28	72	15	2,004	323	18,148	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-22	90	62	71	5,561	2,003	66,339	27,479	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-23	13	293	45	3,804	549	9,447	2,400	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-24	30	136	12	4,081	503	64,540	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-25	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	ALVAREZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-27	ATLAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-28	ATLAS	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-29	CRANE	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	ELLISON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-32	HITTNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-33	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-34	HOYT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/10/2010	30	0	30
2009-13	ELLISON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/21/2008	30	2	90
2009-14	HARMON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2009	30	2	90
2009-15	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2009	30	2	90
2009-16	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2009	30	0	30
2009-17	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2009	30	1	60
2009-19	ROSENTHAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	ATLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2009	30	3	120
2009-22	ALVAREZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2009	30	0	30
2007-57	HUGHES	SWARTZ	FIREARMS	WC	D	04/13/2007	30	0	30
2007-58	HUGHES	MANDELKER	FIREARMS	WC	D	07/19/2007	30	3	120
TEXAS, WESTERN									
2010-1	CARDONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/09/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/10/2009	30	0	30
2010-3	BRIONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SPARKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	CARDONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	BRIONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	2	90
2010-7	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	2	90

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-25	19	35	1	661	138	28,936	2,300	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-26	30	272	15	8,171	577	52,990	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-27	45	28	61	1,253	471	55,310	4,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-28	11	44	52	484	82	21,574	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-29	21	77	12	1,608	574	42,911	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-30	30	102	160	3,046	336	18,662	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-31	30	44	41	1,330	295	27,805	2,600	12	-	-	-	-	-
2010-32	30	100	47	3,012	1,509	160,000	50,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-33	20	5	6	101	10	34,067	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-34	27	76	61	2,061	420	42,001	1,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-13	90	194	334	17,480	524	406,477	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	89	74	10	6,600	6,000	56,700	7,800	26	-	-	-	-	-
2009-15	90	173	46	15,562	3,196	48,819	1,875	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-16	30	2	5	60	40	18,248	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-17	30	15	3	450	400	18,248	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-18	60	141	45	8,433	1,367	1,250	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-19	30	78	173	2,330	467	17,948	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-20	30	50	7	1,500	800	18,248	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-21	116	100	20	11,600	9,000	70,905	10,400	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-22	30	43	17	1,296	114	49,733	16,969	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-57	17	29	59	500	331	RELATED TO NO. 2007-58		-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-58	120	67	79	8,094	1,649	165,146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, WESTERN													
2010-1	58	33	78	1,903	463	680,320	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	118	110	3,527	545	74,667	36,496	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	29	74	45	2,157	324	19,700	3,200	10	1	-	-	-	1
2010-4	15	21	60	318	165	37,333	18,248	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	39	51	36	1,972	220	678,320	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	72	4	20	318	90	53,770	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	70	16	30	1,117	102	56,872	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-8	BRIONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MARTINEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	RODRIGUEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	MARTINEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	BRIONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	YEAHEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	GARCIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	NOWLIN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-18	BIERY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/17/2010	30	3	120
2010-19	MOSES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-20	BIERY	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/10/2010	30	0	30
2009-15	CARDONE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/02/2009	30	3	120
2009-16	CARDONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2009	30	0	30
2009-17	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/14/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	SPARKS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-19	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	MARTINEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	SPARKS	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2009	30	0	30
2009-22	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-23	MARTINEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2009	30	0	30
2007-48	YEAHEL	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2007	30	0	30
UTAH									
2010-1	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	BENSON	MANDELKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2008	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-8	29	1	2	26	1	19,700	3,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-9	16	14	24	222	42	22,582	3,200	17	-	-	-	-	4
2010-10	58	63	209	3,630	883	38,385	5,860	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	52	296	141	15,378	1,057	79,098	6,400	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	30	49	37	1,480	122	20,000	3,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	19	23	5	432	97	18,862	2,600	17	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	6	212	5	1,271	88	49,296	5,130	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	10	344	5	3,441	210	21,350	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	29	32	181	933	199	90,786	2,125	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	40	106	5	4,242	3,000	68,600	10,260	RELATED TO NO. 2010-14					
2010-18	120	99	23	11,913	2,978	66,788	940	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	48	121	226	5,820	1,144	68,428	18,732	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-20	19	6	11	118	-	6,947	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-15	120	30	126	3,569	297	1,187,040	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-16	13	25	10	323	36	19,700	11,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-17	30	30	12	897	134	303,360	9,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-18	30	112	139	3,353	187	41,998	18,248	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-19	20	98	119	1,968	28	227,320	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-20	18	75	11	1,350	385	30,245	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-21	20	54	32	1,086	197	37,628	18,248	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-22	8	20	5	163	44	43,500	2,500	20	-	-	-	-	19
2009-23	22	110	9	2,431	281	14,573	1,800	12	-	-	-	-	10
2007-48	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH													
2010-1	30	83	45	2,503	422	52,410	35,330	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	43	2	1,279	274	45,872	19,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	13	2	394	81	47,872	19,200	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	20	11	2	223	57	26,980	1,700	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	10	20	2	205	7	16,480	6,240	2	-	-	-	-	-
2009-1	49	44	62	2,169	583	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
UTAH (CONTINUED)									
2009-2	BENSON	HENNESSY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2009	30	0	30
2008-1	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2008	30	1	60
2008-2	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2008	30	0	30
2007-2	BENSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2007	30	0	30
2007-3	BENSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2007	30	0	30
2007-4	BENSON	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2007	30	2	90
2007-5	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2007	30	1	60
VERMONT									
2010-1	SESSIONS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	03/22/2010	30	1	60
VIRGINIA, EASTERN									
2010-1	BRINKEMA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	BRINKEMA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	DOUMAR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	DOUMAR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	DOUMAR	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	HUDSON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BRINKEMA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	10/08/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/01/2009	30	0	30
2008-8	CACHERIS	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2008	30	1	60
2008-9	OLGRADY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/16/2008	30	1	60
2007-7	ELLIS	WARREN	FRAUD	EE	H	11/06/2006	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
UTAH (CONTINUED)													
2009-2	30	122	94	3,671	341	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	30	30	8	899	152	149,866	18,310	16	-	-	-	1	9
2009-4	30	149	80	4,481	795	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2009-5	14	41	1	578	9	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2008-1	60	119	128	7,134	936	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2008-2	30	103	36	3,093	699	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2007-2	30	24	66	712	-	RELATED TO NO. 2007-5		-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-3	30	6	16	168	-	RELATED TO NO. 2007-5		-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-4	83	6	28	487	-	RELATED TO NO. 2007-5		-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-5	53	18	50	972	-	238,013	15,920	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT													
2010-1	57	806	112	45,969	16,926	316,644	15,575	31	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA, EASTERN													
2010-1	21	53	24	1,109	356	47,922	11,362	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-2	22	66	29	1,458	393	49,663	11,362	16	2	-	-	-	14
2010-3	6	15	8	92	20	2,000	2,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	6	10	8	61	31	7,302	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	764	72	22,913	13,435	75,460	2,500	17	-	-	-	-	17
2010-6	17	167	164	2,836	210	11,362	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	27	248	17	6,684	665	68,164	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-5					
2010-8	30	87	43	2,615	830	182,544	90,600	3	-	-	1	-	1
2009-2	30	303	220	9,101	3,116	65,783	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	30	151	93	4,528	2,088	56,011	4,644	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-4	20	80	28	1,597	695	66,150	2,593	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
2009-5	11	172	40	1,895	594	39,795	1,875	26	-	-	-	-	24
2008-8	59	59	48	3,462	350	RELATED TO NO. 2008-9		RELATED TO NO. 2008-9					
2008-9	59	23	46	1,347	183	86,232	7,000	7	-	-	-	1	6
2007-7	60	8,612	268	516,690	10,333	47,811	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
WASHINGTON, WESTERN									
2010-1	LEIGHTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2010	30	0	30
2009-9	MARTINEZ	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2009	30	0	30
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	STAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	STAMP	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2010	30	0	30
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	COPENHAVER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2010	30	1	60
WISCONSIN, EASTERN									
2010-1	CLEVERT	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	11/03/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	CLEVERT	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	12/17/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	CLEVERT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ADELMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	CLEVERT	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	08/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	STROTMUELLER	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	09/29/2009	30	0	30
2008-4	RANDA	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2007	30	2	90
2008-5	RANDA	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2007	30	1	60
2008-6	RANDA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2008	30	0	30
WYOMING									
2010-1	JOHNSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	DOWNES	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/06/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
WASHINGTON, WESTERN													
2010-1	59	910	19,545	53,710	13,506	773,833	188,242	35	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	57	32	163	1,818	142	87,617	8,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	14	13	417	132	87,617	8,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	20	64	60	1,288	663	226,000	21,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	36	36	1,095	123	15,764	3,157	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	25	112	40	2,805	206	15,763	3,156	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	57	94	23	5,357	1,143	16,286	7,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN, EASTERN													
2010-1	60	94	113	5,633	524	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-2	60	167	118	10,039	764	87,112	21,360	35	-	-	-	-	2
2010-3	30	40	10	1,189	159	87,200	15,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	44	172	1,322	310	33,352	1,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	22	285	25	6,280	569	94,268	14,125	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	21	289	21	6,068	313	67,500	20,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-7	17	34	4	581	138	72,000	15,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-8	2	350	3	699	69	42,400	12,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2009-1	27	29	68	776	387	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2009-2	30	67	71	2,020	728	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2008-4	87	130	245	11,286	-	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-6					
2008-5	40	174	221	6,954	-	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-6					
2008-6	23	136	77	3,131	3,904	77,480	-	45	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING													
2010-1	60	101	84	6,078	2,062	115,638	10,000	9	-	-	-	-	9
2010-2	36	10	41	369	79	88,612	12,500	8	-	-	-	-	5
2010-3	55	83	122	4,550	402	114,835	18,000	5	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.