

## U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

# FINAL REPORT:

ADMINISTRATION OF PAYMENTS RECEIVED UNDER THE HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT BY THE STATE OF WASHINGTON SECRETARY OF STATE

APRIL 30, 2003 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2007

Report No. E-HP-WA-05-08 NOVEMBER 2008



U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL 1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

November 17, 2008

Memorandum

To: Thomas Wilkey Executive Director

Curtis W. Crider Curtia W. Cuilen From:

Subject: Final Audit Report - Administration of Payments Received Under the Help America Vote Act by the State of Washington Secretary of State (Assignment Number E-HP-WA-05-08)

We contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm of Clifton Gunderson LLP (Clifton Gunderson) to audit the administration of payments received under the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) by the State of Washington Secretary of State (SOS). The contract required that the audit be done in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards. Clifton Gunderson is responsible for the attached auditor's report and the conclusions expressed therein.

In its audit of the SOS, Clifton Gunderson concluded that the SOS accounted for and expended HAVA funds in accordance with the HAVA requirements and complied with the financial management requirements established by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. The SOS also complied with section 251 requirements. However, we did not include a determination of whether the SOS and its subgrantees met the requirements for maintenance of a base level of state outlays because the Commission is reviewing its guidance on the applicability of the maintenance of a base level of state outlays to the SOS's subgrantees

Since the report does not contain any recommendations, we are not requesting a response.

The legislation, as amended, creating the Office of Inspector General (5 U.S.C. § App.3) requires semiannual reporting to Congress on all audit reports issued, actions taken to implement audit recommendations, and recommendations that have not been implemented. Therefore, this report will be included in our next semiannual report to Congress.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please call me at (202) 566-3125.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

ADMINISTRATION OF PAYMENTS RECEIVED UNDER THE HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT BY THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

April 30, 2003 Through December 31, 2007

UNITED STATES ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### PAGE

	1
BACKGROUND	2
AUDIT OBJECTIVES	2
SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	3
AUDIT RESULTS	3
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: Audit Methodology	5



#### U.S. Election Assistance Commission Performance Audit of the Administration of Payments Received Under the Help America Vote Act by the State of Washington

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Clifton Gunderson LLP was engaged by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC or the Commission) Office of Inspector General to conduct a performance audit of the Washington Office of the Secretary of State (SOS) for the period April 30, 2003 through December 31, 2007 to determine whether the SOS used payments authorized by Sections 101, 102, and 251 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA or the Act) in accordance with HAVA and applicable requirements; accurately and properly accounted for property purchased with HAVA payments and for program income, and met HAVA requirements for Section 251 funds for an election fund and for a matching contribution. We did not include a determination of whether the SOS and its subgrantees met the requirements for maintenance of a base level of state outlays because the Commission is reviewing its guidance on the applicability of the maintenance of a base level of state outlays to the SOS's subgrantees.

In addition, the Commission requires states to comply with certain financial management requirements, specifically:

- Comply with the Uniform Administrative Requirements For Grants And Cooperative Agreements With State And Local Governments (also known as the "Common Rule") as published in the Code of Federal Regulations 41 CFR 105-71.
- Expend payments in accordance with cost principles for establishing the allowance or disallowance of certain items of cost for federal participation issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in Circular A-87.
- Submit detailed annual financial reports on the use of Title I and Title II payments.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. Because of inherent limitations, a study and evaluation made for the limited purposes of our review would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in administering HAVA payments.

Our audit concluded that the SOS accounted for and expended HAVA funds in accordance with the requirements mentioned above for the period from April 30, 2003 through December 31, 2007, except for the determination of whether the SOS and its subgrantees met the requirements for maintenance of a base level of state outlays which were specifically omitted from our scope of audit work as explained above.



#### **BACKGROUND**

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 created the Commission to assist states and insular areas with the improvement of the administration of Federal elections and to provide funds to states to help implement these improvements. HAVA authorizes payments to states under Titles I and II, as follows:

- Title I, Section 101 payments are for activities such as complying with Title III of HAVA for uniform and nondiscriminatory election technology and administration requirements, improving the administration of elections for Federal office, educating voters, training election officials and poll workers, and developing a state plan for requirements payments.
- Title I, Section 102 payments are available only for the replacement of punch card and lever action voting systems.
- Title II, Section 251 requirements payments are for complying with Title III requirements for voting system equipment; and for addressing provisional voting, voting information, statewide voter registration lists, and voters who register by mail.

Title II also requires that states must:

- Have appropriated funds "equal to 5 percent of the total amount to be spent for such activities [activities for which requirements payments are made]." [Section 253(b)(5)].
- "Maintain the expenditures of the State for activities funded by the [requirements] payment at a level that is not less than the level of such expenditures maintained by the State for the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000." [Section 254 (a)(7)].
- Establish an election fund for amounts appropriated by the state "for carrying out the activities for which the requirements payment is made," for the Federal requirements payments received, for "such other amounts as may be appropriated under law," and for "interest earned on deposits of the fund." [Section 254 )(b)(1)].

#### AUDIT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our audit were to determine whether the State of Washington, Office of the Secretary of State:

- 1. Used payments authorized by Sections 101, 102, and 251 of the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) in accordance with HAVA and applicable requirements;
- 2. Accurately and properly accounted for property purchased with HAVA payments and for program income;
- Met HAVA requirements for Section 251 funds for an election fund and for a matching contribution. We did not determine whether the SOS met the requirement for maintenance of a base level of state outlays because the Commission is reviewing its guidance on the applicability of the maintenance of a base level of state outlays to subgrantees of the SOS.

In addition, to account for HAVA payments, the Act requires states to maintain records that are consistent with sound accounting principles that fully disclose the amount and disposition of the payments, that identify the project costs financed with the payments and other sources, and that will facilitate an effective audit. The Commission requires states receiving HAVA funds to comply with certain financial management requirements, specifically:

- 1. Comply with the *Uniform Administrative Requirements For Grants And Cooperative Agreements With State and Local Governments* (also known as the "Common Rule") as published in the Code of Federal Regulations at 41 CFR 105-71.
- 2. Expend payments in accordance with cost principles for establishing the allowance or disallowance of certain items of cost for federal participation issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in Circular A-87.
- 3. Submit detailed annual financial reports on the use of Title I and Title II payments.<sup>1</sup>

#### SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

We audited the HAVA funds received and disbursed by the SOS from April 30, 2003 through December 31, 2007.

Funds received and disbursed from April 30, 2003 (program initiation date) to December 31, 2007 (56-month period) are shown below:

FUNDS RECEIVED						
TYPE OF PAYMENT	EAC PAYMENT	STATE MATCH	INTEREST EARNED	TOTAL AVAILABLE	FUNDS DISBURSED	DATA AS OF
101	\$ 6,098,449	\$0	\$ 259,047	\$ 6,357,496	\$ 6,357,496	12/31/2007
102	6,799,430	0	453,187	7,252,617	6,321,236	12/31/2007
251	47,195,971	2,485,877	4,231,120	53,912,968	29,795,455	12/31/2007
	<u>\$60,093,850</u>	<u>\$2,485,877</u>	<u>\$4,943,354</u>	<u>\$67,523,081</u>	<u>\$42,474,187</u>	12/31/2007

Our audit methodology is set forth in the Appendix.

#### AUDIT RESULTS

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EAC requires states to submit annual reports on the expenditure of HAVA Sections 101, 102, and 251 funds. For Sections 101 and 102, reports are due on February 28 for the activities of the previous calendar year. For Section 251, reports are due by March 30 for the activities of the previous fiscal year ending on September 30.

basis for our findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. Because of inherent limitations, a study and evaluation made for the limited purposes of our review would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in administering HAVA payments.

Except for the determination of whether the SOS and its subgrantees met the requirements for maintenance of a base level of state outlays which were specifically omitted from our scope of audit work as explained above, we concluded that the SOS accounted for and expended HAVA funds in accordance with the requirements mentioned above. This includes compliance with section 251 requirements for an election fund.

#### \*\*\*\*\*

We provided a draft of our report to the appropriate individuals of the State of Washington Office of the Secretary of State, and the United States Election Assistance Commission. We considered any comments received prior to finalizing this report.

CG performed its work between April 14 and May 13, 2008.

Clifton Gunderson LLP

Calverton, Maryland November 6, 2008

#### Appendix A

#### AUDIT METHODOLOGY

Our audit methodology included:

- Assessing audit risk and significance within the context of the audit objectives.
- Obtaining an understanding of internal control that is significant to the administration of the HAVA funds.
- Understanding relevant information systems controls as applicable.
- Identifying sources of evidence and the amount and type of evidence required.
- Determining whether other auditors have conducted, or are conducting, audits of the program that could be relevant to the audit objectives.

To implement our audit methodology, below are some of the audit procedures we performed:

- Interviewed appropriate SOS employees about the organization and operations of the HAVA program.
- Reviewed prior single audit report and other reviews related to the state's financial management systems and the HAVA program for the last 2 years.
- Reviewed policies, procedures and regulations for the SOS's management and accounting systems as they relate to the administration of HAVA programs.
- Analyzed the inventory lists of equipment purchased with HAVA funds.
- Tested major purchases and supporting documentation.
- Tested randomly sampled payments made with the HAVA funds.
- Verified support for reimbursements to local governments (counties, cities, and municipalities).
- Reviewed certain state laws that impacted the election fund.
- Examined appropriations and expenditure reports for state funds used to maintain the level of expenses for elections at least equal to the amount expended in fiscal year 2000 and to meet the five percent matching requirement for section 251 requirements payments.
- Evaluated compliance with the requirements for accumulating financial information reported to the Commission on the Financial Status Reports, Form SF-269, accounting for property, purchasing HAVA related goods and services, and accounting for salaries.

- Verified the establishment and maintenance of an election fund.
- Conducted site visits of selected counties to perform the following:
  - Observe equipment purchased with HAVA funds for proper accounting and safeguarding
  - Test disbursement of HAVA funds for allowability and compliance
  - Test cash receipts from SOS to ensure proper cash management
  - Test procurement of voting equipment for competitive bid process
  - Ensure compliance with HAVA Act.

OIG's Mission	The OIG audit mission is to provide timely, high-quality professional products and services that are useful to OIG's clients. OIG seeks to provide value through its work, which is designed to enhance the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in EAC operations so they work better and cost less in the context of today's declining resources. OIG also seeks to detect and prevent fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement in these programs and operations. Products and services include traditional financial and performance audits, contract and grant audits, information systems audits, and evaluations.			
Obtaining Copies of OIG Reports	Copies of OIG reports can be requested by e-mail. (eacoig@eac.gov). Mail orders should be sent to: U.S. Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General 1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 To order by phone: Voice: (202) 566-3100 Fax: (202) 566-0957			
To Report Fraud, Waste and Abuse Involving the U.S. Election Assistance Commission or Help America Vote Act Funds	<ul> <li>By Mail: U.S. Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General 1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005</li> <li>E-mail: <u>eacoig@eac.gov</u></li> <li>OIG Hotline: 866-552-0004 (toll free)</li> <li>FAX: 202-566-0957</li> </ul>			

