1	
2	NEW YORK STATE
3	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
4	
5	
6	In the Matter of
7	
8	PUBLIC MEETING FOR COMMENTS ON THE REPORT:
9	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE NYSDEC
10	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE PROGRAM
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	PUBLIC MEETING in the above matter conducted at the Westcott Community Center,
16	826 Euclid Avenue, Syracuse, New York on February 15, 2002 at 4:00 p.m.
17	
18	PRESENT:
19	MONICA ABREU CONLEY, Environmental Justice Coordinator
20	
21	JEFFREY GREGG, Division of Environmental Permits
22	WINIFRED DELAOYZA, Facilitator
23	DARCY MORRIS, Assistant to Ms. Conley

1	Introduction	ons
2	INDEX TO	SUBJECT
3	SUBJECT	PAGE
4	Focus	7
5	Questions	11
6		
7	SECTION 1	16
8	Permits	
9	Education	
10	Information available	le
11	Enhance notice	
12	Alternative Resoluti	on
13	Questions	22
14		
15	SECTION 2	
16	Preliminary Screen	37
17	Determination of Sig	gnificance 52
18	Affect on Minority/I	Low Income 54
19	Traffic	65
20	Permit Renewals	66
21	Questions	67
22		
23	SECTION 3	75
24		
25	GENERAL COMM	ENTS 79

1 Introductions 2 MS. CONLEY: We're going to get 3 started. I'd like to thank everyone for 4 coming tonight. And your participation is 5 really critical to this program so I 6 appreciate it more than you know. 7 I would just like to introduce myself and 8 kind of go around the room and let everyone 9 introduce theirselves, give their name, and if 10 you're part of an organization give that name 11 and that organization, just kind of get an 12 idea who's in the room and what your interest 13 might be so I can kind of tailor my comments 14 to you tonight. 15 My name it is Monica Abreu Conley, I am 16 the Environmental Justice Coordinator for the 17 New York State Department of Environmental 18 Conservation. I've been in this position for 19 about two years. It's been an exciting two 20 years, learned a lot and I'm really looking 21 forward to putting in place some policies that 22 deal with environmental justice and actually 23 make some good change. With that I'll pass it 24 on.

MR. GREGG: My name is Jeff Gregg,

1	Introductions
2	I'm with the State Department of Environmental
3	Conservation as well, Division of Environ-
4	mental Permits. I'm one of the two staff
5	people who are in the Division of Environ-
6	mental Permits who will be implementing the EJ
7	program once it actually gets established, and
8	I'll be handling Upstate issues. And I have a
9	counterpart in New York City who will be
10	handling Long Island and New York City issues.
11	MS. RIZZO: Chrissie Rizzo, area
12	director of the American Friends Service
13	Committee. And we're involved in cooperative
14	project with the Mohawk Nation at Akwesasne,
15	and I'm here in support of their interests,
16	these issues.
17	MS. DOYLE: Peggy Doyle, and I'm
18	just an interested neighbor.
19	MS. LOEW: Martha Loew, Sierra
20	Club.
21	MS. BAKER: Vicki Baker, Jamesville
22	Preservation Committee.
23	MR. SAGE: Samuel Sage, Atlantic
24	States.
25	MS. THAURO: Mary Thauro (phonetic),

1	Introductions
2	Partnership for Onondaga Creek.
3	AGGIE LANE: Aggie Lane, Partnership
4	for Onondaga Creek.
5	MS. JONES: Evelyn Jones, south side
6	neighbor.
7	MR. MANNA: Ralph Manna, DEC
8	Regional Permit Administrator, and as Jeff has
9	indicated, I'll be one of the folks that is
10	involved with our permit seeking.
11	MR. ALEXANDER: My name is Lou
12	Alexander, I'm an environmental attorney and
13	served as one of the members of the EJ
14	Advisory Group.
15	MS. MAY: I'm Rachel May, I'm a
16	student at ESF and interested in environmental
17	justice issues.
18	MR. EIDT: Steve Eidt, regional
19	water engineer here in Syracuse with DEC, and
20	many of our projects are involved in EJ.
21	MS. HEMBROOK: Onondaga Nation,
22	director of the Akwesasne, HETF.
23	MR. PATTERSON: Neil Patterson,
24	member of Haudenosaunee Environmental Task
25	Force, Tuscarora.

1 Introductions 2 MS. MITCHELL: Joyce Mitchell, 3 Akwesasne Mohawk Territory, Haudenosaunee 4 Environmental Task Force. 5 MRS. HILL: Bernadette Hill, 6 Haudenosaunee, Cayuga Nation, Environmental 7 Task Force Technician. 8 MR. HILL: Norman Hill, chief for 9 Tonawanda, band of Senecas, and member of the 10 Haudenosaunee Environmental Task Force. 11 MS. CONLEY: Thank you. 12 MS. DELAOYZA: My name is Winnie 13 Delaoyza, I'm a facilitator and I'm here to 14 help if I'm needed. MS. CONLEY: My able assistant. 15 16 MS. MORRIS: Darcy Morris, I work 17 for Monica, New York State Department of 18 Environmental Conservation. 19 MS. CONLEY: Sorry, I don't want to 20 put you on the spot, we all introduced 21 ourselves, would you like to introduce 22 yourself? 23 MR. JONES: I'm Mr. Jones, basically 24 the south side of Syracuse resident for the 25 last 35 years.

1	Conley
2	MS. CONLEY: Thank you for coming.
3	Great. Well, we have a great crowd tonight,
4	and I guess without further adieu let's get
5	started.
6	The purpose of this meeting is to discuss
7	a report that was recently submitted to the
8	Department of Environmental Conservation by an
9	independent advisory group, the Environmental
10	Justice Advisory Group. And we're here to
11	discuss, and if I can answer any questions you
12	might have on it. Also get your comments on
13	the report. And if there is something you
14	really liked or if there is something you
15	didn't quite understand or really weren't in
16	favor of we would like to hear that. Or if
17	there was something that was omitted from the
18	report that you'd like included.
19	What the DEC is going to do is take that
20	report and take the comments that we receive
21	throughout this entire comment period and use
22	both of those in order to develop policy
23	related to environmental justice. The meeting
24	is really not to focus on individual projects
25	that may be in your communities. If you do

1	Conley
2	have individual concerns I encourage you to
3	call my office.
4	We recently installed a toll free 1-800
5	number that will ring my office and will
6	enable you to call, get information on, you
7	know, anything that's of concern to you. And
8	I would also be able to kind of hook you up
9	with anyone else in the department that would
10	really be able to help you in your specific
11	matters. But today we're really going to
12	focus on the report.
13	About two years ago the Department of
14	Environmental Conservation put together an
15	Advisory Group to formulate recommendations
16	and give them to the Commissioner so she could
17	make changes in the DEC policy. The recom-
18	mendations were to focus on permitting, but
19	also include any other strategic ideas that
20	the group might have. And on January 2nd the
21	Group, after two years of meeting, came up
22	with the recommendations report. And I think
23	it has a lot of really good recommendations,
24	suggestions, and we're hoping that all if not
25	most of the recommendations are turned into

1	Conley
2	DEC policy.
3	The framework - or the time frame rather,
4	for developing policy is, we're looking to
5	focussing on the permitting issues first. And
6	we're hoping that a permit policy will be
7	implemented this summer. Shooting for July.
8	There are a lot of complex issues and often
9	times these things take a little longer than
10	we anticipated but we're shooting for July and
11	the Commissioner is holding us to that. So
12	hopefully soon we'll have that out.
13	And then after that policy comes out then
14	we'll focus on other policies, unrelated to
15	permitting. And those will come out afterward.
16	I recognize a lot of faces, people that
17	came to our meeting in 2000. That was when we
18	had the entire Environmental Justice Advisory
19	Group come to listen to your concerns and
20	comments and find out what's going on in your
21	community so they could put this report
22	together.
23	This meeting is a little different. It's
24	not the Advisory Group listening to you, it's
25	really the DEC listening to you. And as I

1	Conley
2	mentioned, you know, we really take your
3	comments to heart and they'll help us in
4	developing policy.
5	The report is broken down into three
6	parts. The first two parts focus mostly on
7	permitting. Initially the first part really
8	talks about expanding DEC outreach and
9	information to the community so that
10	communities can participate in the permitting
11	process.
12	The second section really looks at the
13	environmental review process, when a permit
14	application is submitted, and suggest changes
15	in our current framework so that we really pay
16	attention to low income and minority
17	communities to make sure they're looked at,
18	and any special needs they have and any health
19	concerns really addressed.
20	And the last section deals with several
21	recommendations, some very overreaching. For
22	instance, the recommendation that the DEC
23	implements a translation policy recommenda-
24	tions related to Native Americans and
25	environmental justice issues. The recommenda-

2 tion that either the Governor issue an 3 executive order with the legislature or issue 4 some type of legislation which would require 5 not only the state DEC but other agencies and 6 local government to also look at EJ. 7 While the beginning focus is looking at 8 permitting, but we focus on a lots of areas 9 that should make some change. I'm going to 10 stop right there for a moment. Are there any 11 questions at this point? 12 MR. SAGE: Is the Advisory Group 13 still in operation? 14 MS. CONLEY: Yes, it is. In the 15 latter part of the report one of the 16 recommendations is to continue that Advisory 17 Group and make it permanent. 18 When everyone speaks if they can give 19 their name so the reporter can get everyone's 20 comment. 21 MS. LOEW: Martha Loew. The problem 22 I have with this report, I think the intent 23 was terrific, but if there are - if there were 24 18 members on the committee how did 10 of them 25 plan anything to write letters that complained

1	Questions
2	about the content of the report? I don't
3	think it was well thought out. They should
4	have had access to this first and then be able
5	to remedy what they saw as its flaws.
6	Especially the 5 that wrote in on the
7	second half of the writing part here. They
8	particularly identified a lot of areas where
9	that wasn't what the consensus of the group
10	had been. And so which do we believe, the
11	letters of this is the way it was supposed to
12	be or the body of the report which doesn't
13	agree with the letters? And the letters
14	represent 10 of the 18 members of the group.
15	MS. CONLEY: That's a great comment,
16	thank you for raising that.
17	MS. LOEW: And it seems to me this
18	should have been addressed maybe and needs to
19	be updated, I don't know.
20	MS. CONLEY: Just to give you a
21	little background on how the group worked.
22	There were 18 advisory group members all
23	representing different interests. We had
24	community activists, environmentalists,
25	business representatives, we had an academic

2	we had representatives from local, state and
3	federal government, representatives from the
4	Indian nations. And we all tried to work
5	together to come up with some recommendations
6	that could actually make some good change.
7	Now as you can imagine, when you get
8	people from so many different interests and
9	background it takes a while to get people to
10	work together. But after maybe a couple
11	months the group really started to work
12	together and I think came out with some very
13	good recommendations.
14	Your comment about the supporting
15	letters, the dissenting letters toward the
16	back of the report, I think both letters or
17	all three letters state in there that they
18	generally support the recommendations in the
19	report. They just had either either there
20	was an area that the group hadn't completely
21	flushed out, so they wanted to add some more
22	information or, you know, they agree with the
23	concept, but they didn't quite agree with
24	maybe how to fund something.
25	So it wasn't, it wasn't discounting, the

2	supporting letters don't discount the report,
3	they just kind of add and give the environment,
4	Department of Environmental Conservation a
5	little more information. So when we actually,
6	you know, put the meat of the policy in that
7	you know we have we know where everyone is
8	coming from.
9	But I hope I wouldn't see these
10	supplementing letters as, you know, disagree-
11	ing or diluting the report at all. I think
12	the report itself should still still holds
13	all the weight. And that's what was submitted
14	to the Commissioner.
15	MS. LOEW: I still say that the
16	second letter, particularly for 16 pages, goes
17	on to say that they disagree with almost
18	everything that's in there or that it wasn't
19	done in the way that it had been. It's not
20	essential.
21	I commend you for doing the job but I
22	thought it was worth mentioning.
23	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Any other
24	questions?
25	MR. JONES: Mr. Jones, Charles Jones.
	15

1 Questions

2 I think the report more or less confirmed that

3	us as a group down there on the south side
4	were completely neglected or ignored. But the
5	group report indicated that basically we were
6	right in our thinking and our feeling.
7	MS. CONLEY: Great, thank you for
8	that comment. At this point I will the way
9	we'll work the meeting is I'll just briefly go
10	over the different recommendations in the
11	report, the more major recommendations and
12	very roughly give some information and then
13	kind of give some time for people to ask
14	questions or make comments after I'm done with
15	each section.
16	And then once we're done with the entire
17	report there will be additional time if people
18	want to make comments after that or if anyone
19	has any prepared statements they want to
20	make.
21	As I mentioned, the report is broken down
22	into three sections, and we'll start with the
23	first section. Does everyone have a copy of
24	the report? If you don't there is an extra
25	copy at the front table. The first section of

1 Section 1 - Permit Process

2 the report -- of the report deals with, well

3	as I mentioned deals with permitting. So the
4	first thing we have to figure out is what
5	permits are these recommendations going to
6	apply to?
7	And as you see on page 3 of the report
8	there on the bottom starts a list of many DEC
9	permits. And what the Advisory Group has
10	recommended is that the recommendations in the
11	report apply to new permit applications that
12	are received under these programs and any
13	applications for major modifications. And
14	both of those terms - well, new application,
15	something brand new, but major modifications
16	is something that's defined in our regulations
17	under these programs here. Now, these are, as
18	I mentioned, recommended or a recommendation
19	that all of these be included.
20	The second recommendation focuses on
21	educating DEC staff. Before we can make
22	policy decisions or decisions related to
23	specific projects DEC staff really needs to be
24	educated on what environmental justice is and
25	how they recognize issues when they come

1 Section 1 - Permit Process

2

across their desks. So one of the first

3	courses of action is going to be to educate
4	the DEC staff.
5	When we came and did our public meetings
6	in 2000, one of the very large concerns across
7	the state was the availability of information,
8	and in making sure the DEC gets information to
9	communities in various different ways.
10	And so that one of the initial
11	recommendations is to make sure the DEC uses a
12	bunch of different tools to get information
13	out. Rather than the traditional little legal
14	notice that is put into newspapers and is not
15	readily seen, using things like mailing lists
16	to directly mail information to citizens that
17	are interested in a particular project. Using
18	our website and trying to get as much
19	information up on the website as possible so
20	people don't have to do formal Freedom of
21	Information requests which requires a letter
22	from you to the Department asking for specific
23	information, the information is already up
24	there, you can just kind of scan it at your
25	leisure and find things that are of interest
	18
1	Section 1 - Permit Process
2	and importance to you

and importance to you.

3 Our 1-800 number was one of the

4	recommendations I recommended and implemented,
5	so I encourage you to give us a call.
6	MS. BAKER: Is that in the report?
7	MS. CONLEY: I think it's on page
8	6. The phone number isn't but there is a blue
9	document up on the table that has the 1-800
10	number on it. I don't know if my card does
11	but that piece of paper does.
12	MS. BAKER: I thought she would give
13	it to us.
14	MS. CONLEY: Darcy, can you read it?
15	MS. MORRIS: 1-866-229-0497.
16	MS. CONLEY: Did you hear that on
17	this side? Thank you. Well, in using those
18	methods, you know, that was just giving it to
19	DEC, some ideas on how they can get
20	information out to the communities; using
21	repositories is another method.
22	The next was, in general, DEC should look
23	at their procedures for getting information
24	out to the public and their procedures for
25	public participation. And make sure that
	19

Section 1 - Permit Process
 they're working, making sure that they reach
 the people they're supposed to reach. Public

4	notice reaches the people they're supposed to
5	reach and include those people in any
6	environmental decision-making that's going on
7	in their communities.
8	With that idea is the idea also that the
9	permit applicant should reach out to the
10	communities and do some kind of public
11	outreach from the very beginning, very early
12	in the permit application stage to involve the
13	communities and make sure that concerns are
14	heard early on in the permit process. So that
15	those can be worked out before a permit is
16	issued.
17	Two, very, I'd say very key recommen-
18	dations in this section include a change to
19	how or a change to when we public notice a
20	permit. The way things work now is we'll
21	receive a permit application and our staff
22	will review it. And occasionally there is
23	information that's missing or information that
24	the DEC doesn't understand or it could be
25	anything. So we ask the permit applicant to

Section 1 - Permit Process
 supplement the information they've given us.
 Sometimes that takes a week, sometimes it

4	takes a month, sometimes it takes, you know,
5	many more months. And the DEC would wait
6	until we got all that extra information and
7	give an analysis ourselves. And we would, the
8	term is, we would deem the application
9	complete. At that point is when we notice it
10	to the public. Often times as I mentioned
11	that can be quite a while after DEC first
12	learns of the permit application.
13	So the change the recommendation suggests
14	is that the DEC notice applications as soon as
15	they come in the door, as soon as they receive
16	them, whether they're complete or not. And
17	that would be for all permit applications. So
18	you know, hopefully that would give
19	communities a lot more time to, you know, read
20	the information and, you know, participate in
21	the permit process.
22	Technical Assistance Grants. That's the
23	other recommendation that I think is pretty
24	large in this area. The Advisory Group in its
25	public meetings in 2000, heard often times
	21

Section 1 - Permit Process

that communities just don't have the resources

to review a lot of the permit information and

participate in the, either, you know, comment

5	on the permit application or, you know, do
6	technical reviews.
7	And there was requests for, you know,
8	funding, providing some kind of mechanism
9	where communities, community groups could hire
10	consultants to assist them in reviewing the
11	application.
12	So one of the recommendations is to
13	provide the grants, technical assistants
14	grants to community groups.
15	And the last recommendation in this
16	section is to encourage the use of alternative
17	dispute resolutions. For instance like
18	mediation, arbitration, getting all interested
19	parties together to try and work out any
20	concerns that there might be. And you know
21	there are a couple ways this could happen.
22	It could be a situation where there is a
23	community, a concern in the community that
24	they want to address by the permit applicant.
25	Both of those parties can go off and have a
	22

Section 1 - Permit Process

private mediated session on their own, come up

with resolutions, do that and put together an

agreement that each of those parties can

5	enforce, be enforced by the community or the
6	permit applicant.
7	Or there could be a situation where there
8	is an agreement reached and the terms of the
9	agreement are things the DEC can actually
10	enforce under our authority. In which case
11	that goes, terms would be put into the permit
12	itself, the DEC could enforce them. But it's
13	just encouraging communities, encouraging
14	everyone to work together early in the process
15	so that, you know, so a permit application
16	isn't held up in the end trying to resolve
17	issues last minute when they could have been
18	dealt with and dealt with properly very early
19	on in the process.
20	So I'm just going to stop there for a
21	moment and see if there are any questions.
22	MS. BAKER: My name is Vicki Baker
23	in this report you talked about notifying the
24	community and you said, it says in here that
25	you will publish it in the ENB, but how else
	23
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	are you going to notify the community?
3	I would also like to point out that in
4	the decision of what is environmental justice,

it's the fair treatment and meaningful

6	involvement of all people regardless of race,
7	color or income. So I'd like to speak for
8	people regardless of race or income. Moderate
9	income people need information. Not SEQRA.
10	SEQRA reports and permit applications are just
11	as Greek to those people as written in Latin
12	or whatever foreign language you want to use,
13	as anybody else.
14	That all of these issues should be
15	applied to everyone equally whether it's
16	elderly or the young. And I think this is way
17	overdue. This is a step in the right
18	direction. But I hope that you don't exclude
19	others on behalf of what you're picking out of
20	this report. Because everybody needs this
21	information. And most neighborhood
22	organizations, regardless of where they live,
23	don't have a clue as to where to begin.
24	And once the application notice is
25	complete, and we know the DEC works with the
	24
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	applicants to make sure that it is complete
3	and it's done, by the time it gets to the
4	public it's a done deal.

5 MS. CONLEY: Thank you for that

6	comment. I think our hope is that while a lot
7	of these recommendations focus on low income
8	and minority communities initially, they're
9	just good practice. And once implemented they
10	will be implemented statewide.
11	For instance, you know, how we get
12	information out to interested parties. You
13	know the focus of this report is on low income
14	minority communities. But I agree with you,
15	hopefully in the near future we'll apply to
16	other parties as well.
17	MS. BAKER: So do you plan to reach
18	out to neighborhood groups or adjoining
19	properties or certain geographical distance
20	within this application?
21	MS. CONLEY: We have, actually,
22	later in the report there is a mechanism that
23	the Advisory Group recommends for the DEC to
24	identify where low income, minority areas are.
25	MS. BAKER: But you're saying low
	25
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	income and minorities and I say include
3	everyone; include moderate incomes, because
4	they need that information as well.
5	MS. CONLEY: Great, thank you. You

started off saying about the ENB.

/	MS. BAKER: This report says that
8	the notice would be put in - the Advisory
9	Group recommends that DEC publish a notice of
10	proposed action in ENB. Furthermore, the
11	Advisory Group recommends that all appropriate
12	material be made available to the public.
13	Well, how? Because nobody reads the
14	ENB. Not a lot of people have access to
15	computer.
16	MS. CONLEY: That's where I thought
17	you were going with that. The access to a
18	computer thing was something that the group
19	did discuss. And there were recommendations
20	made by the Environmental Justice Advocates
21	that computer access exists in local
22	libraries. And no, everyone won't have access
23	to it, but it's one way and it's a good way,
24	and we shouldn't be discouraged from putting
25	information on the Internet so it can be
	26
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	accessed that way.
3	But you're right, there are other ways we
4	need to be addressing it as well. Right now
5	the ENB is completely computerized, and I'll

take your suggestion that we need to use some

7	other mechanism to get the word out as well
8	for those that don't have any Internet access.
9	MS. BAKER: I would just like to add
10	that, you know, the old saying all politics is
11	local, I would extend that to say, all local
12	decisions are political. And most most DEC
13	offices or I would include the majority,
14	they're aware of the lay of the land and
15	they're aware of neighborhood organizations,
16	and I think that they need to do the outreach
17	through the neighborhoods in any way shape or
18	form that they can. Rather than just
19	discussing with local governments I think they
20	need to go to the grass roots people.
21	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. We did talk
22	also about using community centers and
23	churches as well. Winnie was bringing my
24	attention to the fact we were thinking of
25	using, one of the recommendations is to use
	27
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	mailing lists. And we're hoping that those
3	types of organizations will in fact be on
4	there.
5	MS. BAKER: Whose mailing list?
6	MS. CONLEY: It would be the DEC

mailing list, which would be available to

- 8 applicants as well.
- 9 MS. LANE: My name is Aggie Lane. I
- would like to sort of second her idea that
- locally the DEC knows who the grass roots
- activists are around a particular issue. And
- that they should reach out, because you
- really, you really have to know the lay of the
- land to get the report.
- Like for example, they put on one ACJ
- project locally in the Southwest Community
- 18 Center in the little local library. I did
- walk there, I did read it. Let me tell you, I
- don't know how many people did, but I don't
- 21 think that's a good way to do that. So I
- think they should reach out, if you really
- want the people to know.
- MS. CONLEY: Great, thank you for
- 25 that.

- 1 Section 1 Questions
- 2 MR. SAGE: I have a couple of
- 3 comments. One is that you need to go and
- 4 revise the permit process and the permit
- 5 application. I would imagine that the
- 6 majority of people applying to do something in
- 7 this state have absolutely no idea of the

8	demographics of the community.
9	In fact in the permit applications there
10	is nothing that requires them to obtain that
11	information. I mean so at some point we're
12	going to need revisions of the permit
13	application process, revisions in the
14	Administrative Procedures Act.
15	I don't know whether the law needs to be
16	changed or just the regulations, not having
17	studied these things sufficiently. And
18	probably I guess we'll talk about later in
19	SEQRA, regulations to require that these
20	things even be considered by the applicant. I
21	mean some applicants may in fact try and be
22	devious about it, but most of them haven't any
23	idea what is required; so that's one point.
24	A second point, the Environmental Notice
25	Bulletin, which is a sore point specifically
	29

1	Section 1 - Questions
2	with me because I was one of the ones that
3	helped write the laws that helped create the
4	Environmental Notice Bulletin to begin with.
5	The fact that that Bulletin no longer comes
6	out in hard copy is a real detriment to public
7	involvement.

8 Despite the fact that the mailing list, 9 the subscription list was very small, but 10 there were those of us in cells around the 11 state who got it, notified community groups, 12 notified people that permits were available. 13 Being on, just available on the Internet is 14 really not making that material available. 15 And another point is that there is no 16 mention, as far as I know, in any of this for 17 people who don't speak English, which is an 18 increasing problem in the state with a lot of 19 environmental problems -- implications. 20 Certainly fish advisory materials, pesticide 21 use, lead paint regulations are some of the 22 major ones, but there are other issues as well 23 that require translations. And we're talking 24 not so much translations in Spanish, which is 25 relatively available, but into Asian 30

1 Section 1 - Questions 2 languages, in various places even here in 3 Syracuse I think now we have 60 languages 4 commonly spoken just in Syracuse. So that's 5 an issue that has to be addressed in how to 6 reach those people. 7 It's not just a matter of language. For 8 instance the Mung community, even if you have

9	material in Mung they're very much afraid of
10	government. Government means the enemy to
11	them. So getting any official publications
12	they don't pay much attention to.
13	And finally, and this is not meant to be
14	criticism of DEC, but DEC doesn't really know
15	who are the community activists, because very
16	often these issues, there aren't any community
17	activists when a facility is announced or
18	permit is announced in their neighborhood or
19	especially in rural areas. I know this
20	because almost on a daily basis I get calls
21	from people around the state asking for help
22	because they have no idea what's going on,
23	never heard of any of this, have no idea what
24	the government agency is that's involved.
25	So I think it would be very nice for DEC
	31

1	Section 1 - Questions
2	to have, you know, master mailing lists. But
3	the problem comes up is that much of the time
4	it's de novo, people who have never been
5	involved in anything, in places that have
6	never had to be involved in anything. So I
7	don't know what is the answer to that, but.
8	MS. CONLEY: Do you think we would

9	get those people if, for instance when a
10	project came up we used maybe that zip code
11	and sent out, you know, mailings to specific
12	individual houses letting them know and then
13	asking them, you know, to I guess maybe sign
14	up for a mailing list so they can continually
15	receive information on that project?
16	MR. SAGE: That certainly would be
17	an advance over the current system. Again,
18	you have to do this on somewhat of a case by
19	case basis. You have a project let's say in
20	the Adirondacks that the majority of people
21	would be affected are summer residents.
22	They're not in that, you know, zip code to get
23	a mailing. So you know with some modifica-
24	tions I think that's correct.
25	MS. CONLEY: Your comments, just
	32
1	Section 1 - Questions
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	quickly to address some of them, the thing
3	about demographics and applicants, you know

quickly to address some of them, the thing
about demographics and applicants, you know,
not being sure, the method that we, that the
Advisory Group recommends in here would be
available to the DEC and to the permit
applicants. And we'll talk more in depth
about how that process works in locating these
areas.

10	The ENB, your comment is received and
11	it's something we definitely encourage, so
12	we'll have to look into that. Translation,
13	one of the recommendations toward the end of
14	the report is in fact to develop a translation
15	policy and use translators when necessary.
16	That was something that we heard in our 2000
17	meetings, and the Informational Flyer that
18	went out was in fact translated in Spanish and
19	one side English. So we are definitely
20	looking in that direction.
21	I agree with you, that's definitely a
22	concern in order to reach out to the people we
23	need to reach out to.
24	MR. JONES: Sorry to say there are
25	many people that don't or doesn't have the
	33
1	Section 1 Overtions
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	education or the knowledge to read. And
3	public education, the system, public TV should
4	take more of a forefront in verbally
5	expressing these opinions.
6	MS. CONLEY: That's a very good
7	idea. TV and radio I guess too would work.
8	MR. JONES: Public education, we're
9	paying for it.

10	MS. CONLEY: Right. Great
11	thinking.
12	MR. JONES: Not public education,
13	public TV. They're able or their availability
14	to take an bigger part I think in this type of
15	ready knowledge.
16	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Any other
17	comments at this point?
18	MS. DOYLE: I just had a question, I
19	didn't know, I see the Department spoke of
20	these places on the permitting process. For
21	example, I always thought enforcement was kind
22	of a key process of environmental permitting.
23	I was wondering if the Department had made any
24	plans either in the policy or other places to
25	increase public participation and involvement
	34
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	in this process?
3	MS. CONLEY: We do mention enforce-
4	ment briefly in that last section of the
5	report. Talks about the DEC needing to look
6	at its enforcement to make sure it's occurring
7	equitably throughout New York State, make sure
8	it's working especially in low income,
9	minority communities.

MS. DOYLE: Do you anticipate any

11	changes in the policy to suggest ways to
12	increase citizen accessibility to that
13	enforcement process? People don't know the
14	half of it. And don't really have a way to
15	even have their voices heard.
16	MS. CONLEY: I guess with respect to
17	the report, the idea, the 1-800 number, one of
18	the recommendations in here as well, and this
19	might help with education levels and to do
20	workshops in communities, so that communities
21	are aware of things that are going on in their
22	community, enforcement issues, those types of
23	issues.
24	So we really haven't gotten too in depth
25	into enforcement except to say we do mention
	35
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	it in here and also it is something that the
3	DEC should be looking at.
4	MS. LOEW: The issue of communica-
5	tion seems to be actually the big ones. And
6	there is a paragraph here on page 6 that says
7	DEC should develop a list of local newspapers,
8	community organizations, local libraries,
9	churches, and other entities identified by the

public.

11	If they truly went out and sought those
12	things then Samuel and myself, I get calls,
13	Central New York Sierra Club, we get calls
14	from all over our area, Watertown, Utica,
15	saying what do I do? They're doing such and
16	such. And believe it or not, I know you all
17	live in DEC, they don't know that you exist.
18	They're kind of aware of us, so we try to let
19	them say, hey, call DEC and get going.
20	MS. CONLEY: That's great.
21	MS. LOEW: But that's the kind of
22	communication that's in this paragraph here
23	that should help. But it's got to be not just
24	here, it's got to be all over the state. It's
25	got to be in lots of communities where there
	36
1	Section 1 - Questions
2	are the little newspapers. So that they
3	didn't have to look around and try to find out
4	how to get ahold of us or Samuel or somebody
5	else to find out what they should do because
6	their lake is getting polluted.
7	MS. BAKER: I just wanted to dove-
8	tail on the enforcement issue that fines or
9	fees paid by the violators, that money should

not fall into DEC General Fund, that money

should be used back in the community for

10

12	projects that improve the environment in the
13	affected community where the fine is applied.
14	MS. CONLEY: Thank you.
15	MR. EIDT: Just to dovetail on that,
16	it doesn't go to DEC, the fine money actually
17	goes to New York State General Fund.
18	MS. BAKER: I'm sorry, that's what I
19	meant.
20	MS. LOEW: Either way.
21	MS. BAKER: Into that general black
22	hole, it shouldn't go there.
23	MR. SAGE: Just dovetailing still on
24	that same point, supplemental environmental
25	projects or I guess the state calls them
	37
1	Section 2 - Prelim Screen
2	environmental benefit projects should also, it
3	should be a legitimate use of some of that
4	money for community education and support. I
5	mean it shouldn't - I think there should be
6	somewhat broader universal projects within a
7	community that are considered.
8	MS. CONLEY: Okay, let's move on to
9	the next section of the report. This section
10	gets a little more technical and I will try to
11	explain things, but please feel free to stop

12	me, ask additional questions or clarifications
13	if I tend to skip. I've been with this report
14	so long I tend to assume people know something,
15	and I just want to make sure, you know,
16	everyone gets a good explanation of how this
17	will work.
18	Before the DEC can actually address
19	environmental justice issues in communities it
20	needs to find out where those communities are.
21	And the recommendation that the Advisory Group
22	gave was that the DEC use some kind of tool to
23	find low income minority communities. And we
24	looked at various tools and what the group
25	decided on was to recommend DEC use US EPA
	38
1	Section 2 - Prelim Screen
2	Region 2 new software.
3	They came up with a geographic informa-
4	tion system software that allows the user to
5	identify a particular area of concern. And
6	that area of concern would be based on impact
7	from a potential applicant - permit application.
8	For instance, if it was, you know, a
9	facility that was looking for a permit that
10	had air pollutants, we would look with models,
11	where those air pollutants would go and kind

of trace that area on a map and that would be

13	our area of concern.
14	What the software does is using US Census
15	data, it pinpoints within that area where
16	there are low income minority communities.
17	The software, EPA actually hired statisticians
18	to come up with the cut-offs as to what would
19	be considered a low income community, what
20	would be considered a minority community.
21	And using a complex statistical formula,
22	they came up with for urban areas, the
23	community must be 48.5 percent or greater
24	minority in order to be considered a minority
25	community. Independent rural areas that
	39
1	
1	Section 2 - Prelim Screen
2	number is 33.5 percent.
3	The difference in those numbers when they
4	did their statistical analysis, they found
5	that if they just used one number for both
6	rural and urban the rural areas would kind of
7	get lost and they wouldn't really get picked
8	up. So they decided to use two separate
9	numbers

And then for low income the number is, the community must be 24.8 percent or greater low income in order for it to qualify as a low

10

11

13	income community.
14	Now using these numbers, you know, there
15	was a concern from many advisory groups, do
16	these numbers accurately reflect our communi-
17	ties? Are they really going to pick up the
18	areas that need help? So what the Advisory
19	Group did was go down to EPA, sat with the
20	software and played with it themselves, put in
21	their own community, the communities that they
22	knew had environmental justice issues.
23	And overall they found that the
24	communities that they were concerned about did
25	actually pop up and were focused on with this
	40
1	40 (2) Prelim Screen - Questions
1 2	
	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions
2	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software.
2	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when
2 3 4	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when I read this page I was just infuriated. Page
2 3 4 5	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when I read this page I was just infuriated. Page 10, where you're going to, you talk about the
2 3 4 5 6	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when I read this page I was just infuriated. Page 10, where you're going to, you talk about the goal of the DEC, their goal of SEQR to avoid
2 3 4 5 6 7	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when I read this page I was just infuriated. Page 10, where you're going to, you talk about the goal of the DEC, their goal of SEQR to avoid or minimize possible negative impacts on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when I read this page I was just infuriated. Page 10, where you're going to, you talk about the goal of the DEC, their goal of SEQR to avoid or minimize possible negative impacts on the environment. I think there should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(2) Prelim Screen - Questions computer software. MS. BAKER: I just have to say when I read this page I was just infuriated. Page 10, where you're going to, you talk about the goal of the DEC, their goal of SEQR to avoid or minimize possible negative impacts on the environment. I think there should be legislation to change that but it should

determine whether the community minorities or

14 low income, if you consider under the health 15 aspect everybody is impacted by a bad project 16 or a project that hurts health. 17 And more importantly when you're looking 18 at those GIS maps you should be looking at 19 existing pollution, whether it's from diesel 20 or radon belts or from asphalt plants or 21 incinerators. Those kinds of things. 22 And you do touch on cumulative in this 23 report, those kinds of things interact with 24 health. And everybody's health is affected by 25 projects that DEC permits. And it doesn't 41 1 Section 2 - Question 2 matter whether you're low income or moderate 3 income. And that really, that really 4 concerned me that you would pick and choose 5 and look for those. 6 And I think the statement says, if the 7 community of concern is not a minority or low 8 income, the review process may continue, end 9 of the recommendations in this section. Well, 10 what does that mean, you're going to stay with 11 the bad process you have that nobody knows

MS. CONLEY: I don't know how to

what's going on?

12

14	answer that.
15	MS. BAKER: I think that's very
16	discriminatory toward all people. And if you
17	look at it from a health perspective,
18	children, especially again, I go back to the
19	issue of age, the elderly and children when
20	you're siting facilities near the elderly, the
21	local incinerator was sited within 45 percent
22	of all the elderly in the county.
23	When someone wanted to put a childcare
24	center next to an asphalt plant, DEC didn't
25	seem to have a problem with that, and said
	42
1	Section 2 - Question
1	Section 2 - Question
2	we'll talk with the Health Department. And
	•
2	we'll talk with the Health Department. And
2	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC.
2 3 4	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should
2 3 4 5	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should be in the review process and should not be
2 3 4 5 6	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should be in the review process and should not be discriminated against if you're not a minority
2 3 4 5 6 7	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should be in the review process and should not be discriminated against if you're not a minority or low income.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should be in the review process and should not be discriminated against if you're not a minority or low income. MS. CONLEY: So your recommendation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should be in the review process and should not be discriminated against if you're not a minority or low income. MS. CONLEY: So your recommendation is to have this apply across the board to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we'll talk with the Health Department. And then the Health Department contacted DEC. But usually those kinds of things should be in the review process and should not be discriminated against if you're not a minority or low income. MS. CONLEY: So your recommendation is to have this apply across the board to anyone?

health perspective, then all segments of the

15	population should be aware and protected.
16	MS. CONLEY: Well, I think the goal
17	of the recommendations is to initially focus
18	on communities that have been traditionally
19	under-served, meaning the low income/minority
20	communities. But as I mentioned earlier, I'm
21	hoping that if we change our procedures that
22	good practice will carry across the board and
23	will impact in a positive way the health of
24	citizens in the other neighborhoods.
25	MS. BAKER: So if you're looking at
	43
1	Section 2 - Question
2	including health as a rationale for your
3	review, good.
4	MS. CONLEY: Well, health, for
5	environmental review? I mean health is
6	already considered when an environmental
7	review is done. A lot of times when you're
8	talking about siting an area, a facility in a
9	particular area, you're also looking at local
10	zoning issues, which is not something that's
11	under the authority of the DEC. DEC doesn't
12	decide where to site something.
13	We look at our regulations, find out if

an application or a facility is going to meet

15	those regulations and, you know, then we're
16	under the requirement to issue a permit. But
17	we don't really play a role in the siting of
18	that.
19	MS. BAKER: I think you should is
20	what I'm saying. That should be part of the
21	review process. You can't just assume that
22	the state DOH is looking at it or the local
23	DOH is looking at it. Especially, as I said,
24	a childcare center that would have gone
25	through next to an asphalt plant with 1,100 or
	44
1	Section 2 Operation
	Section 2 - Question
2	1,500 diesel trucks going by on a regular
3	basis.
4	I mean you have to look at the health
5	perspective. I go back to the point when I
6	first called DEC several, many moons ago, and
7	found - asked about a project going on in my
8	neighborhood. And they said don't ask me
9	lady, I'm just Fish and Wildlife. And I said,
10	what am I, chopped liver? I mean we have to
11	protect human health as well as birds and
12	plants and grass.
13	MS. CONLEY: Thank you.
14	MR. JUDSON: It seems like the issue
15	that is relating to what Vicki is raising,

16	this program seems to be mitigating pollution
17	disparity, not necessarily mitigating
18	pollution. And that seems to be the
19	limitation in what's being raised here.
20	MS. CONLEY: Thank you.
21	MR. JUDSON: Not who gets it but
22	whether it's pollution at all.
23	MS. RACHEL MAY: Following up on
24	this, I also wonder, I know urban ecologists
25	think of cities as whole ecosystems and look
	45
1	Section 2 - Question
2	where there is green space and how environ-
3	mental energies move around in the city. And
4	I wonder if in these siting questions you
5	consider areas of concern that have very
6	little green space?
7	For example, if that is taken into
8	account or if it's just a matter of quantity
9	of pollution or number of other facilities in
10	the area?
11	MS. CONLEY: That's a great comment.
12	And actually toward the end of the - in the
13	last section of the report the Environmental
14	Justice Advisory Group recommends that the DEG

look at where the green areas are going, where

16 the environmentally positive projects are going, and make sure they're going into low 17 18 income or minority communities or areas of 19 concern. Not only to keep the pollution out 20 but we also enhance those communities. Great 21 comments, thank you. 22 MR. SAGE: The definitions on page 23 10, I mean I'm not going to argue with the 24 computer program and with the percentage 25 numbers, I mean whether or not they make any 46 Section 2 - Question 2 sense or not I have no opinion, but a greater 3 concern to me is how the community would be defined. 4 5 And it would seem to me that the way to 6 get rid of environmental justice is you make 7 the community large enough so that you'll 8 never meet the 48.5 percent or 33.5 percent. 9 MS. CONLEY: That's a very good comment, and I should explain a little bit 10 11 more about how the software works. There are 12 three ways to -- three ways that the EPA uses 13 to define the Community of Concern. One, as

you mentioned is modeling and seeing where the

impacts are actually going in the communities

and mapping the Community of Concern that

1

14

15

1 /	way. But once that area is mapped it's not i
18	guess written in stone.
19	The next step, as the EPA says, is to
20	look to the communities, to ask them, you
21	know, say this is how we defined it, what are
22	your comments on this, you know, should we
23	have drawn it a little larger, should we have
24	drawn it a little smaller?
25	And you know, in a situation where, you
	47
1	Section 2 - Question
2	know, the impacts are not defined yet, it
3	won't be defined until later on in the
4	project, the software allows you to kind of
5	just draw a radius, just draw a circle around
6	a particular area. And start with that and
7	with the demographic population in those
8	areas. But then it can be modified over time
9	as we learn more about the project and more
10	about the environmental pollution that's going
11	to come from that project.
12	So it's, you know, your concern you
13	raised is a real one and we're hoping that the
14	way this software is used it can't be
15	manipulated to I guess dilute the demographics

of a particular area.

MR. SAGE: Because you could still have a very core population that mostly fits the criteria but the impacted community or area, whatever you want to call it, is larger than that. So that you could legitimately manipulate the numbers. So I think it's more dangerous than just the applicant who goes out of their way to get out from under the regulation.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

48

Section 2 - Question 2 MS. CONLEY: I'll take one more 3 comment then we'll move on with the report. 4 PERRY HAWKINS: Question would be 5 more or less toward the boundaries and how 6 specific the GIS system is. Is it as specific 7 to detail a geographic coordinate and draw a 8 parameter, say 20 miles, 30 miles or 15 miles 9 around the area, the demographics in that area 10 or does it choose to say zip code the areas 11 and take the demographics from that area? 12 MS. CONLEY: It uses, the software 13 uses US Census data at the block group level, 14 which is I guess the second smallest, I think 15 that represents something like 250 housing 16 units. And the software, as you said, can be 17 used to draw, you know, a 20 mile circle or a

18	10 mile. But it can also be used, you know,
19	to map I guess you can change that boundary
20	according to where the impacts are.
21	MR. HAWKINS: My concern in asking
22	that question was more or less typical siting
23	with facilities will be on the border of a
24	community, where it might be border of a
25	county, and it goes from one county to the
	49
1	Section 2 - Question
2	next.
3	Lot of census data is more county driven
4	and less town driven, even though there is
5	data for it. If you're located actually on
6	the border of one community and your data that
7	you're actually accumulating actually focuses
8	on that community. For instance, your address
9	is Syracuse and you're actually, the facility
10	is closer to Liverpool than to Syracuse. What
11	you're going to do is you're going to get a
12	representation of those people that are
13	actually in Syracuse and not the representa-
14	tion of those people closer to that facility
15	in Liverpool.
16	And similar to the county's address alone
17	you can end up going into the system and

18	saying, okay, give me the representation of
19	people out of Syracuse, and you won't get the
20	same number of those people who are directly
21	impacted by the siting.
22	MS. CONLEY: It's specifically
23	directed toward that particular application
24	and drawing the area around that application.
25	And as I mentioned, the information is, that
	50
1	Section 2 - Question
2	the census block group uses, it's not the
3	county level, so we should get an accurate
4	depiction of that whole area, we shouldn't
5	have to carve anything out because it's a
6	different county and we don't have information
7	for that, we should get it all.
8	MR. JUDSON: In terms of doing that,
9	what's the area going to be what's affected,
10	is that done in simply a radius or do they
11	actually do that at the pathways of the
12	contaminants? It's usually critical.
13	MS. CONLEY: Modeling would be the
14	primary way of defining these areas of
15	concern. Actually seeing which where the
16	impact will be what will be affected by the
17	impact rather. And then as I mentioned, it
18	could be modified if the community thinks that

19	they in fact are getting, you know, impacted,
20	we could maybe make it a little larger.
21	MR. JUDSON: Couldn't it be
22	contested in the process. Could a minority
23	community or low income community actually
24	intervene on the basis they believe their
25	community has been omitted wrongfully based on
	51
1	Section 2 - Question
2	that kind of concern, remedy of wrong pathway?
3	MS. CONLEY: Could you say that
4	again?
5	MR. JUDSON: If for instance, you
6	know, this, you know, sort of screening
7	process omits a community, that they should be
8	taken into account, has an environmental
9	justice concern, can they intervene and
10	challenge that determination?
11	MS. CONLEY: The recommendations are
12	written the recommendations themselves
13	don't give any legal right or at this point to
14	any, to bring a matter up in litigation or
15	such. But we're hoping to have an open
16	process where the community would just have to
17	come to the DEC and say, you know, give its
18	concerns.

19 The DEC would consider what was being told to them within the whole picture of how 20 21 they, you know, framed the particular area. 22 And it's going to be policy, it's going to be 23 guidance. So you know, whether that will enable the community to have a right of 24 25 litigation I can't answer right now. 52 1 (2) Determination of Significance 2 MR. JONES: That would seem like a 3 tickling, if it's not liveable, it seems like whoever is asking for the permit could expend 4 5 a lot of money and resources in terms of fighting, preventing the community from being 6 7 able to be considered under that. 8 MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Let's move 9 on. The determination of significance. There 10 are several - the Determination of Signifi-11 cance. It's a determination made by the DEC 12 as to whether a project has significant 13 adverse or has a potential for significant 14 adverse impact. 15 If the determination is made that a 16 project could in fact have significant adverse impact then an Environmental Impact Statement 17 18 must be completed. And an in depth environmental review of the project if significant 19

20 adverse impacts not found then the
21 Environmental Impact Statement is not
22 necessary.
23 In formulating that determination the DEC
24 considers a lot of information. And the next
25 recommendations suggest that DEC should
26

(2) Determination of Significance 1 2 consider even more information before they 3 make that determination of significance. 4 There are several categories of permit 5 application types. Type 1, which carries with 6 it the presumption that it will have signifi-7 cant adverse impacts and will most likely need 8 an environmental impact statement. 9 Then there are Type 2 actions which are 10 the smaller activities which don't require an 11 environmental impact statement. 12 And there is a middle ground, a middle 13 type of project, it's called Unlisted. And 14 those projects can be, you know, just below a 15 Type 1, they can span the whole range. 16 And Type 1, the more serious applica-17 tions, the ones with the potential for adverse 18 impact require that the DEC collect a lot of 19 information. For instance when the permit --

when the permittee submits the application they have to fill out a Full environmental assessment form. This asks for a lot of information about the project, where it's being located, any health impact that it might have.

20

21

22

23

24

25

54

1 (2) Determination of Significance 2 For Unlisted Action there is the 3 discretion, the Department can ask the permit 4 applicant to fill out a Full environmental 5 assessment form or they can use a Short form, 6 which is really just a two page form that gets some information on the project but it's not 7 8 as in depth as the larger form. 9 And the Advisory Group recommended that 10 for those Unlisted Actions, where they occur 11 in or affect low income or minority 12 communities, that the DEC require that the 13 applicant fill out the Full environmental assessment form so that DEC is getting as much 14 15 information on a project before they make any 16 significant determination. 17 Along with that suggestion is the 18 suggestion that the Full environmental 19 assessment form be revised, to include 20 examples of environmental justice, or

21 disproportionate impacts so that when an 22 applicant is filling that out they know the 23 type of information that should be including 24 in that environmental assessment form with 25 respect to environmental justice. 55 1 (2) Determination of Significance 2 That it asks additional questions on 3 health - or traffic impact that it get -- I 4 guess, it asks for just a little more 5 information than what's already given, so the 6 DEC can use that if they determine there is 7 going to be significant impact. 8 Posting of forms on the web site, on our 9 DEC web site, along with the suggestion we get 10 as much information out to the community as 11 possible, a suggestion that we post these 12 environmental assessment forms on the web site

so that people can access them freely.

making the significant determination.

before they can make their significant

determination.

Suggestion from the Advisory Group is that

there be some required time DEC has to wait

Currently the way it works we get our

Requiring the minimum time frame prior to

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21	information, verify it, and then make our
22	determination of significance. This
23	recommendation suggests that DEC have to wait
24	has to wait some period, whether it be 30 or
25	45 days, from the date that they notify the
	56
1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	public that the application is out there.
3	After that delay they make their significance
4	determination.
5	The idea behind that, it allows the
6	community a specific time that they can get
7	additional information to the DEC, review
8	information on their own, thinking that the
9	DEC will be able to then gather as much
10	information as they can from the community
11	before they make their determination of
12	significance.
13	AGGIE LANE: I don't know if you
14	have already just passed it or where I should
15	jump in so I think you passed, so can I make a
16	comment?
17	MS. CONLEY: Please, go right
18	ahead.
19	AGGIE LANE: You talk about looking
20	at significant adverse environmental impacts.
21	And I think that there needs to be included in

22 that is the perception of a community on what 23 the project is going to do to its image. And 24 so, you know, on paper it may say, well, you 25 know, nobody is really going to get sick and 57 1 (2) Significance - Questions 2 da ta da ta da, but the community feels that 3 this, you know, we can talk about it in terms of property value. But in terms of with 4 5 respect to the community that it feels like it 6 has not been respected. And I don't know, is 7 that in there somehow? 8 MS. CONLEY: In the Environmental 9 Assessment Form and in the, I guess in the 10 Determination of Significance, one of the 11 criteria is Community Character. So that is 12 considered, but perhaps it needs to be more 13 defined. Perhaps we need to ask different 14 questions to make sure that we get the 15 information you're talking about. It's a good 16 comment. 17 AGGIE LANE: I think because there 18 is this whole feeling about how government or 19 how the rest of the community perceives them, 20 that we're just a place to put this kind of

thing. And you know, people say, well, it's

23	community see it as a big deal. So I don't
24	know how you get to that but I think it's
25	essential to get to that because that's not
	58
1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	just any that's not trivial, I guess is
3	what I'm saying.
4	And people can see it on paper, and on
5	paper, don't worry, your health is okay and
6	all of this, but no one else wanted it in
7	their community. And so when that - if that's
8	what is happening then somehow there needs to
9	be a way to get at that.
10	MS. CONLEY: Thanks.
11	MR. JONES: Until it happens to you
12	it has no affect on you. You can have a
13	relative die and it just affects you, it
14	doesn't affect your neighbor; and this is what
15	happened to our community. Liverpool, North
16	Syracuse, they don't even know we exist or our
17	problem exists, but it does for us.
18	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Again,
19	along with the idea of having the DEC use as
20	much information as is available before they
21	determine whether an environmental impact
22	statement needs to be done, the Advisory Group

no big deal. Well, the people in that

24	Navigator.
25	I'm not sure if many of you know this,
	59
1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	this is something that is relatively new,
3	something put on the website, a GIS mapping
4	system, an Environmental Navigator, allows you
5	to pinpoint a particular area and find out
6	what is in the area, that particular area,
7	what other facilities might be there. Is
8	there solid waste, power generating
9	facilities, you know, quite a bit.
10	So, you know, that's something that not
11	only the public can use but DEC permit
12	reviewer should be able to use to see what
13	also is in that community before they make
14	their determination.
15	MR. JUDSON: Actually, thanks for
16	bringing this up, something occurred to me is
17	whether an applicant for a permit can tell the
18	DEC community that it is having an
19	environmental justice concern under this?
20	MS. CONLEY: I'm sorry?
21	MR. JUDSON: Can an applicant for a
22	nermit challenge the DEC designation or

recommends DEC use their Environmental

23	screening of the community having environ-
24	mental justice issues?
25	MS. CONLEY: The DEC determination
	60
1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	of it?
3	MR. JUDSON: Yes, can the applicant
4	for a permit challenge that screening?
5	Because this seems like it could open up a
6	whole area of litigation which would be
7	potentially very damaging.
8	MS. CONLEY: I would say the
9	potential exists when a determination is made
10	that the environmental impact statement has to
11	be done for a project there are several things
12	that follow with that. Scoping is a process
13	by which the applicants, DEC and the public,
14	decide what an environmental impact statement
15	is going to address, what the review is going
16	to look at. And the option whether you
17	have scoping or not is discretionary.
18	The DEC has traditionally used scoping,
19	and find it very useful in figuring out what
20	an EIS is going to look like. The recommen-
21	dations that it be mandatory where there is a
22	project that could affect the minority or low
23	income community. And it goes further to

24	state that the applicant and the DEC should
----	---

25	ensure 1	that	there	ic	nublic	nartici	nation	in
43	ensure	mai	mere	15	public	partici	pauon	Ш

1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	defining what goes into the environmental
3	impact review. So rather than it being
4	discretionary it should always be done.
5	In preparing the Environmental Impact
6	Statement the Advisory Group recommends that
7	the DEC look at its guidance for how
8	environmental impact statements are done and
9	revise the guidance, enhance the guidance,
10	give some new descriptions or new examples of
11	what should be looked at when an impact
12	statement is done.
13	And we heard from, you know, many
14	community residents that the impact statement
15	didn't look at a particular item they thought
16	should have been considered. So the idea is
17	that any guidance that would just I guess
18	better detail the whole environmental impact
19	statement process would assist in really
20	identifying the impact.
21	Public comments on the environmental
22	impact statement. When the impact statement
23	is done there is a requirement that there be a

1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	should be no less than thirty days. It can be
3	more than that at the discretion of the lead
4	agency but it can be no less than thirty
5	days.
6	The recommendations suggest that that
7	thirty days be changed to sixty days, but it
8	should be no less than sixty days, giving the
9	public opportunity to review all the informa-
10	tion that comes along with an application and
11	participate in the process. Be able to offer,
12	you know, good comments, be able to hire
13	consultants to assist them in reviewing the
14	permits.
15	And public hearings. That's another area
16	that's discretionary. DEC Environmental
17	Justice suggests if there is a low income
18	community that might be affected and there is
19	interest in the community that a hearing be
20	held, that it not be discretionary.
21	MR. HILL: You say you go from
22	thirty days to sixty days on a person's
23	property. If there is something that doesn't
24	agree with the organization, that's not to

1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	up to sixty days and try to get a resolution
3	to the problem and they won't reach they
4	won't reach a settlement, solution. What
5	happens after sixty days?
6	Do they - you've got a low income
7	community which may be impacted, does that
8	do they relinquish their properties then if
9	it's not passed by this organization?
10	MS. CONLEY: Oh, no. The public
11	comment period, the public comment period just
12	gives the public additional time to give
13	comments to the Department on a permit
14	application. So I'm not sure I'm under-
15	standing your question. Are you saying if
16	it's a situation where there is an
17	individual's property that may be affected?
18	MR. HILL: Yes, you're talking about
19	impact, that's a great impact if you lose your
20	property.
21	MS. CONLEY: No decision is being
22	made at this point. What's happening is the
23	recommendations suggest that we lengthen the
24	process so we get more input from the

1	(2) Significance - Questions
2	it's longer, once that time ends then DEC
3	takes whatever information they've gotten and
4	makes a decision as to whether they should
5	grant a permit. So I don't know if that
6	answers your question.
7	MR. HILL: Well, it seems to me that
8	the result, the determination of the property
9	that we're talking about, is deemed what would
10	you say, not fit for living, not fit for
11	anything.
12	MS. CONLEY: Well, this process
13	deals with permitting. It doesn't deal with
14	property laws. It wouldn't be used in a
15	situation like the one you're describing.
16	This is really, this is in a situation where
17	somebody wants to construct a facility or do
18	something that needs a permit. And so I don't
19	think it would apply to the situation that
20	you're describing.
21	Why don't we take a five minute break and
22	kind of stretch a little, give me a second to
23	get my voice back.
24	(Brief recess then meeting resumed).
25	MS. CONLEY: Well, hopefully every-

1	(2) Traffic
2	one is rejuvenated now after you had a few
3	minutes to kind of relax. There are just a
4	few more things to mention in this section of
5	the report and then we'll move into the last
6	section. Traffic Generating Facilities.
7	Mobile sources and the pollution generated by
8	mobile sources, vehicles, trucks, was a
9	concern that the Advisory Group looked into.
10	The Advisory Group recommended that the
11	DEC take a look at its threshold for traffic
12	generating facilities. Currently the thresh-
13	old for requiring an in depth environmental
14	review is a project that will affect a
15	thousand vehicles or more.
16	And there was a concern that that number
17	was too high, that there are often facilities
18	with much less much fewer vehicles that
19	still produce a significant amount of
20	pollution, in a particular community,
21	especially in densely populated area.
22	You know, a project might bring, you
23	know, a hundred, maybe 200 vehicles into a
24	community. If they're releasing diesel to an
25	area that already has had heavy population, 66

1	(2) Permit Renewals
2	heavy amount of diesel emissions, then that's
3	something that should really be considered.
4	So the recommendation is just for the DEC
5	to look at that threshold and see if it should
6	be modified or reduced in some way.
7	Permit Renewals. Permit renewals are
8	being dealt with separately. When we started
9	talking about what permits would be included
10	in these recommendations we were talking about
11	new permits and major modifications. And I
12	didn't mention renewals.
13	The Group talked about renewals, and
14	decided that because of the nature of renewals
15	the facility that's already existed in the
16	community, often times the environmental
17	review has been done for that facility and a
18	permit was granted.
19	So the thought is that so long as
20	circumstances haven't changed significantly
21	that when permit application is applied for, a
22	permit renewal is applied for, that that
23	permit renewal be granted.
24	There were certain concerns with that
25	though. There are often times facilities that

1	(2) Permit Renewals
2	are not complying with the regulations, you
3	know, they're in violation. And the Advisory
4	Group suggested that before renewal is granted
5	that the permit reviewers speak with the
6	Division of Environmental Enforcement of the
7	DEC and make sure that the compliant the
8	facility's compliance is 100 percent. And if
9	it isn't, if the facility isn't in compliance
10	that the violations be dealt with before a
11	permit is actually renewed. The Group
12	MR. JUDSON: Just in terms of that,
13	it seems like a new standard that New York
14	State is looking at in terms of the environ-
15	ment, evaluating permits, that that would be
16	an opportune time to look at what the affect
17	of what the facility has been on the
18	community.
19	I can think of at least one example, you
20	know, is that up in Oswego County, you know,
21	the nuclear power plants were permitted a long
22	time ago. But since those plants have been
23	operating almost all of the dairy farms in
24	Oswego County have closed and the level of
25	poverty has actually seen to increase in that

1	(2) Permit Renewals Q&A
2	community. And that seems like a really
3	significant issue in terms of, the impact the
4	reactors have had that would have never been
5	anticipated 30 years ago or at least wouldn't
6	have been looked at as an environmental
7	concern.
8	MS. CONLEY: I think the idea of not
9	applying the environmental justice
10	recommendations to a permit renewal is related
11	to the fact that the recommendations don't
12	actually change any regulatory thresholds for
13	which the permit would be granted, and
14	therefore there is no reason to, it shouldn't
15	be looked at anew, because the standards are
16	the same. But recognizing the fact that as
17	you mentioned, things change, new information
18	might come up.
19	The Advisory Group did want to recognize
20	the DEC's authority to treat permit renewal
21	applications as a new application under
22	certain circumstances. If you get additional
23	or new information on the facility, there are
24	several listed here, the application of all
25	the material change or newly discovered 69

1	(2) Permit Renewals Q&A
2	material, or if the renewal application is not
3	timely sufficient, these are all opportunities
4	that the DEC has to kind of I guess look at
5	the permit renewal and not just automatically
6	stamp it as renewed. Say, kind of step back
7	and say, should this be treated as a new
8	application? Are there new circumstances?
9	And that's something that already exists, DEC
10	has authority for and, you know, will
11	essentially be used in EJ circumstances.
12	For instance, if the community gives the
13	Department information that it didn't have
14	earlier that qualifies the DEC for the DEC to
15	actually treat it as a new application, that
16	can be done.
17	MR. JUDSON: Seems like there are,
18	you know, standards in place under the current
19	regulations that you could apply environmental
20	justice criteria to. I know under SEQRA
21	evaluating the environmental impact, but also
22	under that included economic impact on the
23	community. And you know, I guess there
24	doesn't appear to be, you know, exactly how or
25	what point, you know, the DEC looks at what

2	the cumulative effect of a permitted, already
3	permitted facility is on the community.
4	And I mean which could actually create
5	environmental justice issues in terms of, you
6	know, how the community changes over time
7	because of that facility.
8	I think Aggie had something related to
9	that with the issue of how the community's
10	self image is reflected by the decision. I
11	know in certain ways it's shortsighted to only
12	deal with, you know, the issue of environ-
13	mental justice from the front end of the new
14	facility coming in, when in fact there is a
15	lot of existing facilities that were built
16	under, you know, an era when there were, the
17	guidelines and criteria had been much more
18	lax, had actually a tremendous affect in terms
19	of creating environmental justice in the
20	community situations.
21	MS. CONLEY: Thank you.
22	PERRY HAWKINS: My concern, just
23	reading this entire statement with Permit
24	Renewals, often times permit renewals can go
25	into effect without issuance of a new EIS,

2	without doing a new statement. And many cases
3	what the facilities will do is increase
4	production, increase emission rates.
5	In some cases may not even be a permit
6	renewal, it can be a modification or variance
7	on the standard. Is there anything that's
8	going to address those issues or those
9	concerns?
10	Because the facility actually can emit
11	more simply by going to a permit renewal or
12	modification or requesting a variance if the
13	standard says in the permit, in a certain
14	period of time they're going to raise
15	emissions, and they feel they can't reduce the
16	emissions, by that period of time they can
17	request a variance that says, you know, we
18	simply can't do it.
19	Depending on whether or not they have
20	control technology as well, in order to meet
21	the requirements, because if it's economically
22	- or it's not economically feasible for that
23	facility to implement any control technology,
24	they can get a waiver or say variance as long
25	as it's within EPA guidelines to do so. So 72

(2) Permit Renewals Q&A

2 that in that entire scenario you can actually

3	have an increase without having any evaluation
4	for environmental justice.
5	So is there going to be a plan to address
6	some of those issues that could occur?
7	MS. CONLEY: Well, the recommenda-
8	tions apply to new applications and major
9	modifications. So some of that may get
10	captured under major modifications. If it's a
11	minor modification then
12	MR. HAWKINS: Then the question
13	becomes, what's a major modification? The
14	plant can increase its production by 10
15	percent and that not be a modification. Still
16	can increase the number of emissions by 10
17	percent and still not be a modification.
18	In addition, provided which parameter
19	you're looking at, which constituent you're
20	looking at they're emitting, if they don't
21	exceed the EPA guidelines it may not be
22	considered a reason for implementing a
23	modification.
24	So you're actually expanding your zone to
25	some degree but you don't have to do anything 73

(2) Permit Renewals Q&A

2 for it.

3	MS. CONLEY: So you're suggesting
4	that DEC should look at the different types of
5	modifications?
6	MR. HAWKINS: I think it's wrong to
7	assume that a permit renewal or permit modifi-
8	cation has zero impact and that it doesn't
9	involve the spectrum of that community.
10	Because now you're drawing a bigger circle
11	around that community because there is a
12	greater impact.
13	Assuming that the renewal process that
14	that's going to happen, is probably a false
15	assumption. Because the DEC will not take the
16	time to reevaluate that.
17	MS. CONLEY: I'm not, unfortunately,
18	a permitting expert. So I'd take your comment
19	and, you know.
20	MR. HAWKINS: Again, that's not
21	primary concern. I can think of some
22	permitting activities where DEC will actually
23	go in. They may do a public notification,
24	being such as quantity of increase, and it can
25	still follow the recommendations of minor 74
1	(2) Permit Renewals Q&A

modification.

Classic example, DEC can actually do a

2

4	modification within the five year period of a
5	permit and actually within that five years do
6	a renewal and don't have to do any public
7	notification for that renewal because, simply
8	because the modification on that permit was
9	for another five years.
10	MS. CONLEY: That one I can respond
11	to. Earlier I mentioned that the DEC, one of
12	the recommendations suggested that the DEC
13	notice applications upon receipt. That's
14	going to apply for all applications, so it
15	will apply for new applications, minor
16	modifications, major modifications, renewals,
17	all of that will be public noticed as soon as
18	we get the application in the door.
19	RALPH MANNA: Can I just ask, are
20	most of the concerns with Title 5 permitting?
21	MR. HAWKINS: It would be Title 5 as
22	well as SPDES permitting. Title 5 is a little
23	bit more aggressive in terms of their renewal
24	process in the water quality division. In
25	doing the SPDES permit it's a little lax in 75

(2) Permit Renewals Q&A

2 terms of renewal process.

1

3

MR. MANNA: I would have thought the

4	comment was more apropos to Title 5 than the
5	SPDES. I just wanted to make sure we were
6	talking about the two federally regulated
7	permit programs. Not generally the rule with
8	most of the other DEC permits. And renewal,
9	by definition, is not supposed to involve any
10	expansion across the board in uniform
11	procedures.
12	MR. HAWKINS: Yes, but the only
13	problem is even under Title 5 permit you can
14	do minor modifications that may increase
15	velocities from a unit. You might not
16	necessarily be increasing concentration but
17	because you're increasing velocity you may
18	have some dispersion issues where you might
19	have to go back and model the system.
20	MR. MANNA: I understand what you're
21	saying, I just wanted to hear, and I'm sure
22	they'll look at it more carefully.
23	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Is there
24	another comment? At this point, we will move
25	on to the Last Section of the report that 76
1	(3) Native American
2	deals with some general recommendations the

DEC should implement.

The first deals with addressing Native

3

5	American environmental justice issues. I
6	mentioned early on that we had Native American
7	representative on our Advisory Group. And the
8	group talked about I guess the differences
9	between the Native American sovereign
10	government and how their issues may be
11	different from issues that affect other
12	citizens of the State of New York.
13	So the group decided to have a separate
14	section devoted toward Native American issues,
15	and came up with several specific recommenda-
16	tions, 6 of which you'll find on page 19,
17	dealing with one for instance, how the
18	Department should meet regularly with the
19	nations to discuss environmental issues and
20	how to resolve those issues, establish
21	cultural awareness training.
22	The DEC, as I mentioned earlier, you
23	know, we're going to train our staff on
24	environmental justice. And a key component of
25	that training will be Native American 77
	//
1	(3) Continue Advisory Group
2	sensitivity training, cultural training,
3	making sure that the State is, you know,

sharing information and sharing decision-

5	making process with the nations so that
6	decisions can be made together.
7	And I'll stop at that point and ask for
8	comments, concerns. You'll have an
9	opportunity once I finish as well but I
10	thought I'd just throw this out there.
11	The next recommendation is to continue
12	the Advisory Group. The Advisory Group has
13	played a large role in DEC's Environmental
14	Justice Program development. They have come
15	out with the recommendation, and the thought
16	is if such a group should continue so the
17	group can advise the DEC once it starts
18	implementing these recommendations.
19	Looking at, DEC should look at its
20	enforcement efforts to make sure that enforce-
21	ment is being done equitably especially in low
22	income or minority communities. Evaluate and
23	address activities that might be exempt from
24	an in depth self review from SEQR review.
25	There are some activities that aren't 78
	70
1	(3) Staffing
2	required to go through the SEQR environmental
3	impact analysis. And the Advisory Group
4	suggests that we look at those projects and
7	suggests that we look at those projects and

try and encourage those, whether it be an

6	agency or whether it's a particular project,
7	try to encourage the applicants to actually go
8	forth and do the permit, the environmental
9	justice review.
10	Staffing is an issue for making sure that
11	the Environmental Justice Office is properly
12	staffed so that you know this program can
13	continue and grow into something larger.
14	The recommendation is that the DEC
15	translate key policies or key documents for
16	the community so that we have access to that
17	information.
18	And the last is recommending that the DEC
19	encourage the governor, legislature to enact
20	executive order or legislation which would
21	require the local governments and other
22	agencies to address environmental justice.
23	And that concludes the recommendations.
24	You'll notice attached to the recommen-
25	dations are several supplemental letters that 79
	19
1	General Comments
2	bears the environmental justice memo drafted
3	just to give additional information or

different points of views on their thoughts on

4

5

the report.

6	At this time I welcome, you know, just
7	general comments about the report,
8	suggestions, discussion.
9	MR. HILL: I've got one. We live on
10	the reservation. Well, first of all, let me
11	begin, where it started was we had a meeting
12	with Department of Transportation Tuesday and
13	New York State DOT, Department of Transport
14	tion. So I go up there, I used to work for
15	the Department of Transportation myself. And
16	I asked a question, our roads are posted ten
17	ton, and we just live on the, just a small
18	country road. And we have fuel tankers coming
19	through there three or four times a day any
20	time of the day, night, whenever. And they go
21	through, and our roads are getting all
22	potholes.
23	And I asked a question, what could be
24	done about it? And they said, you have to
25	contact this section, Weights and Scales. 80
1	General Comments
2	Well, first I called the state police, asked
3	them what could be done. They said you have
4	to see your congressman, representative. We

don't go by your laws, we have our own on the

reservation. He says, well, you'll have to

5

7	contact your congressman. I says, I told you
8	we don't have that. I said, what can be
9	done? He says, well, I don't know.
10	What do we have to do to get some
11	action? Do we have to go through this
12	criminal justice? Or what department do we
13	have to go to to get something done? You have
14	it in here about traffic generating
15	facilities, permit to renewals and stuff.
16	MS. CONLEY: Right. Well, the
17	recommendations that we deal with here are
18	with respect to the Department of Environ-
19	mental Conservation. Did you try contacting
20	DOT to ask them how you would go about that
21	issue?
22	MR. HILL: She (his wife) says I got
23	the answer to it.
24	MS. CONLEY: Then she knows.
25	MR. HILL: They sent me a card but 81
1	General Comments
2	if I go to them and they referred me to
3	somebody else. Instead of going to them I'm
4	asking you the step that we have to take. So
5	that's not covered by
6	MRS. HILL: This is an environmental

/	justice meeting.
8	MR. HILL: Well, our rules are
9	environmental.
10	MS. DELAOYZA: Different department
11	MS. CONLEY: I guess I can say that
12	with the last recommendation that we have in
13	the report about, you know, asking the
14	governor, the legislature to enact laws
15	requiring other agencies and local governments
16	to address environmental justice, you know,
17	perhaps that's a way that the governor can
18	also I guess mandate or require that DOT, you
19	know, do the similar programs to what we have
20	and address, you know, those issues within
21	your community.
22	MR. PATTERSON: I think I would like
23	to express also what Norman is trying to get
24	at, and I think that is even though we have
25	something that is probably a pretty good idea 82
1	General Comments
2	here at this point, they're just recommenda-
3	tions.
4	So I have one question. That is, does
5	the Department have any indication about what
6	the governor's office will decide on these

recommendations, on their implementation or

8	their acceptance as part of the DEC?
9	And the second idea is that what Norman
10	is also saying, that within it we understand
11	that even talking directly to some agencies
12	can't get us much headway much less any
13	recommendations that we're discussing here.
14	MS. CONLEY: I work for an agency
15	and I have that same problem. I call another
16	agency I don't know who I'm calling. If
17	programs like this are implemented though, for
18	instance like we, the department have the
19	1-800 number maybe other agencies will also
20	have something similar so that you're not
21	being shuffled off, I'm going to refer you to
22	this person, I don't handle it, and that
23	person refers you to somebody else. Hopefully
24	they will have that information.
25	If you call my office I will actually 83
1	General Comments
2	give you to the person that handles your
3	situation so you're not trying to find a
4	needle in a haystack.
5	MR. PATTERSON: I'm going back to my
6	question though, is there some gut instinct
7	within the DEC to what the governor's opinion

9	MS. CONLEY: I had lost my train of
10	thought, she just reminded me that was the
11	first part of the question. In conversations
12	with the DEC Commissioner, her intention is to
13	try and look at our resources, to look at all
14	the recommendations and try to implement as
15	many, if not all of them, as possible.
16	And that would be, you know, that would
17	be what the DEC staff encourages the governor
18	sign onto as well. So we have no word from
19	the governor's office at this point as to
20	what, you know.
21	MR. JUDSON: Well, this seems like
22	actually a critical point. Because I think we
23	all know that the governor is talking about,
24	how thinly the state resources are stretched
25	at this point. If there is going to be a 84
1	General Comments
^	

budgetary consideration about whether, you 2 know, within the DEC existing resources, they 3 have to be allocating things. 4 I mean there is fear probably from all of 5 6 us, a program like this requires an increase in resources. I mean it's about cutting 7 8 resources from some other DEC program, you

9	know, to make this work. I mean, you know, it
10	just seems like somebody is going to be
11	getting anemic somewhere if the governor, I
12	think ordered for this task force to be set
13	up. I mean do you have a sense he's willing
14	to commit extra resources to DEC to make this
15	thing work?
16	MS. CONLEY: That's my sense.
17	That's why the program was put together, to
18	make some changes. There are a lot of
19	recommendations in here that can be done
20	without additional resources. And you know,
21	when the Advisory Group was sitting down
22	trying to come up with recommendations, that
23	was always in the back of our mind.
24	We don't want to recommend something
25	that's going to take millions and millions of 85
1	General Comments
2	dollars to implement because we're not going

dollars to implement because we're not going to get that money. But we will get some. And hopefully that will allow us to go forth with this program. And just as, you know, a sign of commitment to the program, our office, initially my office was me, and it's now, you

9	know, there are five people that work with
10	me. And, you know, hopefully that will
11	continue to expand as the program grows.
12	MR. HAWKINS: I have a question with
13	regards to why is the main focus of this
14	entire program on permitting, permitting
15	activities? Months ago most fear from people
16	in regards to each effect tended to be a
17	direct result of malfunctions at facilities or
18	railroad incidents, you know, derailments,
19	transportation related incidents or the
20	explosion at a facility.
21	When you have those type of effects I
22	keep reading, I see a lot about EIS, what
23	about impacts from those type of impacts, what
24	about risks, risk management where it's a
25	little bit more aggressive. You have probably 86

a wider zone based upon extremely hazardous 2 substances at a facility. Why are we just 3 focussing on permits and not other variables 4 5 that can actually come into play. Second part of it is, when you look at 6 7 transportation, major highways are usually route, train route, usually great way for 8 9 transportation of chemicals. Usually around

General Comments

10	those areas property values are fairly low.
11	Which means that low income people are going
12	to buy that property to build homes.
13	What's being done to address the impact
14	of transporting hazardous material along
15	railways, roadways or by barge on those people
16	that live in those communities on those routes?
17	MS. CONLEY: There is only one of
18	me. Good question. The focus was on
19	permitting because two years ago before the
20	program was - let me just give you a little
21	background. Before my office was established
22	executives at the DEC and community residents
23	and environmental advocates, activists sat
24	down, and that's when DEC was introduced to
25	environmental justice. And sat down, heard, 87
1	General Comments
2	you know, what the issues were and a lot of
3	them focused on permitting.
4	So that was our initial focus when we
5	apply, we the DEC applied for US EPA grants to
6	help us come up with recommendations. And
7	there were several things we said we were
8	going to do, enhance our website to make sure

there is EJ information on there, hold public

10	meetings, set up the Advisory Group, come up
11	with a recommendations, report on permitting.
12	And then there was the other, develop a
13	strategic environmental justice plan.
14	So this is the permit component. And as
15	part of it the Advisory Group felt they had to
16	mention some other things as well. But there
17	is that whole strategic plan that yet has to
18	be done.
19	MR. PATTERSON: That will cover
20	things in the R and P plan for facilities, the
21	greater magnitude as far as the impact.
22	Because I can see where an EIS is done, normal
23	operating facility where it doesn't
24	necessarily take into account the worst case
25	scenario.
	00
1	General Comments
2	MS. CONLEY: And planning, yes. I
3	agree. Thank you. Other questions?
4	MR. HAWKINS: The second question I
5	didn't know if I got an answer from you,
6	transportation routes, low income housing
7	along major interstates, major highways.
8	Typically anyone can drive down the major
9	interstate and you'll see houses along the way
10	and they're not your million dollar homes,

11	usually low income areas. What type of
12	consideration is going to be given to that?
13	MS. CONLEY: We're not there yet but
14	it's a comment that we've recorded and when we
15	start thinking of, you know, those types of
16	issues we'll try and address that.
17	I mean from our perspective at DEC there
18	are only certain things we have authority
19	for. And, you know, we'll have to get other
20	agencies on board as well. So that, you know,
21	those larger issues can be dealt with. There
22	is only so much we can do as an agency.
23	ZAC MOORE: I'm here as a student
24	and also with Syracuse United Neighbors.
25	Thank you for coming to the community, this 89

1 **General Comments** 2 neighborhood and informing the people about 3 environmental justice and the environmental impact statements. I don't believe many 4 people were aware of the - that this program 5 exists or the term environmental racism or 6 some of the concerns about pollution in this 7 country. 8 The question I have, one of the biggest 9

issues in the city has been the sewage plant,

11	the environmental justice of locating it in
12	the process of developing it, studying it,
13	going through the due process with the
14	citizens and evaluating the environmental and
15	health impact.
16	The question that I have is why didn't or
17	did the DEC and why didn't the DEC do an
18	environmental impact statement of the proposed
19	chlorine, of the chlorine at the sewage
20	treatment plant going in a residential
21	neighborhood where over 20 low income African
22	American families, good majority of them
23	elderly, live on Oxford and Blane and going
24	through that construction would mean
25	devastating the homes and devastating the 90
1	General Comments
2	quality of life.
3	Was there a study done about the impact
4	of chlorine and what the health effects and
5	environmental dangers of building a chlorine
6	based sewage plant there? Obviously chlorine
7	is a very dangerous thing.
8	MS. CONLEY: Your concerns are
9	recognized. Unfortunately I am not part of
10	that process and not privy to all the
11	information that you're going to need in order

12	to get your question answered properly. This
13	forum here is really to focus on the report.
14	You're more than welcome to call my
15	office and I can hook you up with the people
16	that can answer your questions, because I
17	can't.
18	ZAC MOORE: I basically want a
19	formal response to that. I'll write a letter,
20	and it's in the formal record. I think this
21	community and the community on the south side
22	want an answer, you know, as to why, if and
23	why, you know, the people that now we've gone
24	through this process, and I appreciate all the
25	work that goes into this, but if it's not 91
1	General Comments
2	going to be done simply when homes and
3	people's lives and generations, I mean there
4	is a playground there.
5	MS. CONLEY: I invite you to put it
6	in writing, take my address and send it to me
7	and I will forward it on or you can call me
8	and we can see who you should be sending it to
9	so you can get that question addressed. It's
10	not that I don't want to answer that question
11	at this point, because I do feel that it gets

12	into a lot of things that are ancillary to the
13	report that's in front of you. But I would be
14	happy to discuss that issue with you after-
15	wards and clarify things as much as we can and
16	continue the dialogue.
17	ZAC MOORE: Thank you.
18	MR. HAWKINS: One last question,
19	trying to think of the proper way to phrase
20	this. What's being done to make sure, I
21	didn't see any mention of this in the report
22	but for industry or any potential business
23	operation that would use a hazardous materials
24	will require a Title 5 permit or you know,
25	clean water permits, SPDES permit, any type of 92
1	General Comments
2	permitting activity, what is being done to
3	encourage industry to develop buffer zones?
4	Because in most cases what you're looking
5	for is because it's such a hot issue now,
6	you're looking for future practices, and
7	future practices can impact people down the
8	road

I can see a facility sitting out in the 10 middle of nowhere and for 40 miles there is no one around them. And they only have this 11 12 little 10 acre spot right in the middle of

13	that 40 miles. What is actually being done to
14	create a buffer zone around that?
15	MS. CONLEY: I can't answer that,
16	but you know it's taken, it's recorded, and
17	that's something that we need to consider.
18	MR. HAWKINS: You would think
19	industry would do that. At least take the
20	opportunity so that you know as soon as
21	industry puts a facility right in the middle
22	of nowhere the property values immediately
23	goes down. That becomes an attraction for lov
24	income families to move in because it's cheap
25	property and it's probably in a good area. 93

General Comments

The roadways are being put in by that

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

facility.

- facility, makes it more accessible getting
 from one point to the other. But typically
 the problem is, you know, 40 years down the
 road no one ever thinks that there is going to
 be a whole community surrounding this
 - Now with this going into effect now, you have the potential projection of someone to claim environmental justice. But you know, it becomes a question of who was here first. Was

13	the industry here first or the community
14	first? So is there any encouragement to the
15	industry to say you have to create these
16	buffer zones in order to minimize that impact
17	in the future?
18	MS. CONLEY: That's a good comment,
19	thank you.
20	MR. JUDSON: I guess are we in the
21	period of general comments?
22	MS. CONLEY: Yes. General comment
23	pertaining to the report.
24	MR. JUDSON: Where I'm going is more
25	towards the recommendation at the end about 94
1	General Comments
2	the future direction of the task force. And
3	you know, it seems that what we're dealing
4	with at this point, you know I think it's, you
5	know, in order for this program to be
6	effective it has to start somewhere.
7	And it seems like at this point dealing
8	with, you know, I mean the permitting process,
9	new facilities, that sort of situation. In
10	the future, you know, we already have an
11	
	environmental justice advisory system in terms
12	of facilities that already exist, things that

are already going on. I just would encourage,

14	you know, the DEC to take on issues of
15	environmental justice, you know, policy, as
16	they relate to things that, you know, the
17	state are promoting.
18	We just had a series of hearings a few
19	weeks ago about the state energy plan. The
20	DEC was involved in as well as the Public
21	Service Commission and those agencies. One of
22	the sort of crucial parts of their
23	recommendations of the state energy plan was
24	the relicensing of every nuclear power plant
25	in the state for another 20 years of 95
1	General Comments
2	operation.

3 Number one, that doesn't seem like the province of the state agencies in New York for 4 5 that, because they're not responsible for that 6 process. In addition to that, the nuclear power plants in this state have a tremendous 7 -- there is a fundamental issue with the 8 radioactive waste that's produced with 9 environmental justice. Most of that waste is 10 deposited out of state. 11 But in terms of the state recommending in 12

order to meet your energy needs we're going to

14	be promoting sources of, you know, energy and
15	industries like that. Leaves a tremendous
16	blush on our state for participating in that
17	kind of environmental racism. And I think
18	this is something that needs to be taken up at
19	some point.
20	I understand that it's not about being
21	dealt with right now but this is, you know,
22	these environmental justice issues in terms of
23	industries like that are on the level of
24	genocide. And that's fundamental for the
25	state to be able to look at that at some 96
1	General Comments
1 2	General Comments point.
2	point.
2	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other
2 3 4	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns?
2 3 4 5	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you
2 3 4 5 6	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you people for all your activities and your work
2 3 4 5 6 7	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you people for all your activities and your work that you're doing. I didn't become interested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you people for all your activities and your work that you're doing. I didn't become interested in this environmental, the HETF until my wife
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you people for all your activities and your work that you're doing. I didn't become interested in this environmental, the HETF until my wife got into it and she says come with me to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point. MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you people for all your activities and your work that you're doing. I didn't become interested in this environmental, the HETF until my wife got into it and she says come with me to the meeting. So I come to the meetings. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Other comments, concerns? MR. HILL: I have to commend you people for all your activities and your work that you're doing. I didn't become interested in this environmental, the HETF until my wife got into it and she says come with me to the meeting. So I come to the meetings. I don't know, maybe she's ashamed of me sometimes

14

she's not.

15	MRS. HILL: No.
16	MR. HILL: Because I ask a lot of
17	questions. To have the answers come from the
18	way I put it across it makes me understand it
19	a lot better. But you guys are doing a good
20	job. And the question that I have is, is this
21	position appointed or do you go and apply for
22	it?
23	MS. CONLEY: What position?
24	MR. HILL: Like the people employed
25	in this, appointed?
	,
1	General Comments
2	MS. CONLEY: No. Well, my position
3	is but the other, like Jeff's position and his
4	counterpart and my assistant, those are not
5	appointed positions.
6	MR. HILL: You're just the term
7	employee?
8	MS. CONLEY: Well, I was actually
9	with the Department before this administration
10	came in. I've been with the department now
11	for almost eight years. So I worked under the
12	past administration, and when this administra-
13	tion came in I was given this opportunity, so.
14	MR. HILL: You're CSEA then?

15	MS. CONLEY: No, no. MC.
16	Management Confidential.
17	MR. HILL: Because the reason I ask
18	that question, when we have meetings, we had a
19	meeting with the Health Department and the
20	first second sentence he says, I am not
21	appointed, he says, I am a member of CSEA.
22	And it seems as though as we go and talk to
23	people who are appointed it doesn't go
24	anywhere. It's the people who are employed,
25	regardless of what party is in office, they 98
1	General Comments
2	get the job done.
3	MS. CONLEY: Well, I think that I
4	was there before and I'm still there now.
5	MR. HILL: You must be doing
6	something good.
7	MS. CONLEY: I hope so.
8	MRS. HILL: On that note can I jump
9	in here? My name is Bernadette Hill, I'm a
10	clan mother of the Huron Clan, Cayuga Nation.
11	And I too want to thank you very much for
12	including our recommendation in your report.

13

14

15

It means everything to us.

We are not a nation -- I mean we are not

the general public, we are a nation of

16	people. We're the first inhabitants of this
17	continent. We revere Mother Earth. We're
18	very concerned with the environment.
19	I get a little bit emotional because the
20	environment does mean so much to us, all of
21	us. Mother Earth supports all of our needs.
22	The waters are the life blood of Mother
23	Earth. The pollution that has been done here
24	is just terrible. The salt that's being used
25	on the highways is a big concern. The tree 99

General Comments

1

2 trimming by the Department of Transportation, how they violate the trees, they break them, 3 4 just to make clearance. They kill the weeds growing along with dangerous chemicals. 5 6 Probably the most hurtful thing is what 7 happens to our ancestors remains when a 8 highway - when somebody decides they want to 9 put a highway through or dig a salt mine. I'm 10 fully aware that burial sites are known and yet no plan is made to go around them. Our 11 12 remains have been in museums, on shelves, our ancestors remains. If you know rare objects, 13 14 people have them in their basements. Our people have been so violated. 15

16	We're to have a government to government
17	relationship and we cannot, we cannot even
18	meet unless the governor's office gives
19	permission to meet with Indian nations.
20	I want to conclude by saying, I really
21	thank you and we really appreciate your
22	putting the recommendations in this
23	environmental report.
24	MS. CONLEY: Thank you for your
25	comments and I guess I have to thank you as 100
1	General Comments
2	well because those recommendations came out of
3	the meeting that we held, you know, in 2000
4	where, you know, you expressed those concerns
5	to us. So it was a mutual effort and we
6	helped each other.
7	MRS. HILL: Thank you.
8	MR. HAWKINS: I did have one more
9	question.
10	MS. CONLEY: Now the last one wasn't
11	the last one.
12	MR. HAWKINS: Something that someone
13	earlier was talking about in regards to the
14	classification of what's minority community
15	based on urban and rural classifications. I'm
16	assuming that rural means under 50,000. I see

17	in the footnote here it stays urbanized areas
18	continue to build up area with population of
19	50,000 or more.
20	I live in a small community that I
21	consider rural, where the minority population
22	is probably one percent. Based upon the GIS,
23	I guess data for New York State, if you were
24	to, I know you talked earlier about blocks,
25	but if you were to separate that based upon 101
1	General Comments
2	city or township data or even if you wanted to
3	go broader and do it on the county bases, and
4	get that 50,000, how many hits would you
5	actually get of minority communities in New
6	York State?
7	MS. CONLEY: How many I can - like
8	how many census block groups would there be?
9	MR. HAWKINS: Right.
10	MS. CONLEY: I don't have that
11	number. I can probably get it for you. I
12	assume that's something that EPA would have.
	MR. HAWKINS: I did a case study of
13	,
13 14	this, and actually a case study about five

was probably one of the more difficult things

17	to do because for every county you're going to
18	get basically rural communities and you're
19	going to get that 33.5 percent every single
20	time. So you essentially, following those
21	guidelines you could never build a facility in
22	the state of Mississippi because of using that
23	data or it always become an environmental
24	justice issue.
25	Not to say you could never do it but 102

General Comments

1

2 always an environmental justice issue but I 3 can recall some of the statistics for minority representation in the State of New York and 4 5 I'm thinking it's less than, far less than 20 percent overall for the state. 6 7 So I'm curious to know how many hits would you actually get within the State of New 8 9 York using this criteria? 10 MS. CONLEY: I don't have the number, but picturing in my head the map that 11 12 I'd seen of the block groups mapped out 13 throughout the entire state, there is, you 14 know, the larger concentrations are around the, you know, New York City, Syracuse, 15 Rochester, Buffalo, you know, some hits in the 16 Adirondack area, some in the Southern Tier. 17

18	So they're concentrated in the more urban
19	areas. But now there are many. So if you
20	leave me your contact information I can
21	definitely get you that number.
22	MR. PATTERSON: Corner you one more
23	time on my last question and just rephrase it,
24	and also Bernadette said. We're very
25	impressed these are indirect recommendations, 103
1	General Comments
2	the ones you make. But secondly, is there
3	anything that Mr. Crotty, as Commissioner
4	MS. CONLEY: Ms.
5	MR. PATTERSON: Oh, Ms. Crotty, will
6	not implement out of these recommendations?
7	MS. CONLEY: Is there anything we
8	know she won't implement? Not at this point.
9	What department staff is doing now, we're
10	holding these public meetings and at the same
11	time we're going through the report to see
12	what's going to require additional resources,
13	what things we don't have technology for yet,
14	what things like we have to propose
15	legislation for.

So that we can recommend to her what

needs to be done. So at this point, we don't

16

18	know yet. Like I said, her overall statement
19	was that she was going to try and incorporate
20	as much, if not everything, that she could
21	from the report. But we haven't actually sat
22	down and given her the numbers of how much
23	more money we need, how many more resources,
24	other resources.
25	MR. PATTERSON: So generally we can 104
1	General Comments
2	expect that out of these recommendations ones

2	expect that out of these recommendations ones
3	that do not require significant amount of
4	resources or additional funds or needs some
5	change in legislation will be implemented.
6	MS. CONLEY: I'm trying to think
7	whether there is, you know, any recommenda-
8	tions in there that wouldn't qualify. I would
9	generally say, yes. There may be something
10	I'm not thinking of offhand, but. Any other
11	comments, questions?
12	MS. RIZZO: Chrissie Rizzo American
13	friends service committee. I too want to
14	thank you and the Advisory Group for the work
15	that went into these recommendations. And I
16	am pleased to see that the work of that group
17	is going to continue. It's clear that
18	permitting is an important place to start.

20	at
are ongoing and currently in existence to	hat
21 need to be addressed over time and I do	hope
that you and the Committee get to it.	
I also want to emphasize the intentio	n-
24 ality that needs to go into addressing	
environmental justice issues. Particular 105	ly

1 **General Comments** 2 when it comes to outreach to communities of 3 color, and, you know, all of the issues that 4 go around that. Reaching people, encouraging the DEC to do educational projects so that 5 6 folks know how to access information and make 7 their positions known. 8 Translation issues. I was glad to see 9 all those things in there. And some of those 10 things aren't going to require resources. And 11 to address racism on all fronts does indeed 12 require that we put our resources behind our 13 intentions. So I want to make sure that gets 14 into the official record. 15 I also want to express my support and 16 appreciation for the addressing of issues 17 around Native American people's that got into

the report. And I hope that the same kind of

19	intentionality goes into meeting and
20	addressing those issues.
21	MS. CONLEY: Thank you. Any other?
22	Got one more? Are you sure?
23	MR. HAWKINS: No, all done.
24	MS. CONLEY: Well, I thank you all
25	very much for coming. Your participation has 106
1	General Comments
2	been amazing tonight, and we have gotten a lot
3	of great suggestions. And thank you for all
4	for the support and I too hope that, you know,
5	we see good policies and good changes come out
6	of these recommendations.
7	If you have any, the DEC is accepting
8	written comment on the report until February
9	22nd. I encourage you, if you have any
10	questions or comments on the report or
11	questions related to something going on in
12	your community, that you give me a call, the
13	1-800 number is on the table there. And I
14	look forward to working with you again in the
15	future. Thank you.
16	* * * *
17	CERTIFICATE
18	This is to certify that I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and
10	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and

20	reported the above entitled proceedings, that I have compared the foregoing with my original minutes taken therein and that it is a true
21	and correct transcript thereof and all of the proceedings had therein.
22	proceedings had therein.
23	John F. Drury, CSR, RPR
24	
25	Dated: February 20, 2002