Department of Workforce Development Department of Health and Family Services

CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLIANCE PLAN

(For the funding period from January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009)

B. CRC PLAN TEMPLATE

1. RECIPIENT CONTACT INFORMATION AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Recipient	
La Crosse County Human Services	
Address (include zip code and county)	
300 N 4 th Street La Crosse WI 54601	
Print Name of Equal Opportunity Coordinator	
Kathy Hafner	Cignoture Date
Signature of Equal Opportunity Coordinator	Signature Date
DI N I	12-28-06
Phone Number	Email
(608) 785-6084	Hafner.kathy@co.la-crosse.wi.us
Print Name of Limited English Proficiency (LE	EP) Coordinator
Kathy Hafner	,
Signature of LEP Coordinator	Signature Date
	12-28-06
Phone Number	Email
(608) 785-6084	Hafner.kathy@co.la-crosse.wi.us
Print Name of Executive Director or Chief Exe	ecutive Officer (CEO)
Gerald Huber	,
Signature of Executive Director or CEO	Signature Date
	12-28-06
Phone Number	Email
(608) 785-6095	Huber.gerald@co.la-crosse.wi.us

Notes:

- Be sure to show the names in print as well as include their signatures.
- **Important.** Please provide e-mail address(es) as we use e-mail to communicate training opportunities and policy updates.

2. FUNDING RELATIONSHIP TO DWD/DHFS AND/OR ANOTHER ENTITY

Please check as many as applicable:

	List of Contract or Program Name	Contract Amount (\$)
1. Our agency has a direct contract,	1. CCCIG	42,141
purchase order (PO), or funding agreement	2. W-2	686,510
with DWD.	3. FSET	71,153
⊠ Yes □ No		
	4. ChildCare	168,993
2. Our agency has a direct contract,	See following list	
purchase order (PO), or funding agreement	2.	
with DHFS.	3.	
⊠ Yes □ No		



State of Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services

2007 State / County Allocations

From 01/01/07 to 12/31/07, updated 11/16/06

 County
 Agey ≠ Agey Type

 LaCrosse
 32
 44

Profile No	umber and Description	Allocation
381	ADJ ALZHEIMER CAREGIVER SUPPORT	30,800
561	2007 BCA (Less Match)	4,084,949
681	2007 STATE MATCH	492,025
306	SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES	61,800
313	ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES	62,953
342	V-E INCENTIVE	187,217
360	IV-E Independent Living	21,450
367	COP	478,315
377	KINSHIP BENEFITS	229,544
380	KINSHIP ASSESS-MENTS	13,701
127	CLTS DD AUTISM FEDERAL	255,688
428	CLTS DD FEDERAL	415,144
429	CLTS DD FEDERAL OTHER	201,331
437	CLTS MH AUTISM FEDERAL	49,489
438	CLTS MH FEDERAL	156,542
439	CLTS MH FEDERAL OTHER	40,620
448	CLTS PD FEDERAL	37.084
449	CLTS PD FEDERAL OTHER	30.465
450	CLTS AUTISM NON-FEDERAL	189,295
451	CLTS MH AUTISM NON-FEDERAL	36,639
460	CLTS NON-FEDERAL OTHER	149,054
461	CLTS MH NON-FED OTHER	30,073
462	CLTS OTHER PD GPR	22,555
504	CSP WAIT LIST	61,500
530	INTEGRATED SERVICES FOR CHILDREN WITH	80,000
531	NON-RESIDENT - 997 (SUM SUFFICIENT)	S
550	BIRTH TO THREE	202,307
559	IMD REGULAR RELOC-ATION	70,847
569	MENTAL HEALTH COMMUNITY AIDS	56,779
570	AODA BLOCK GRANT	204,793
571	IMD-OBRA	35,452
577	FAMILY SUPPORT	99,173
582	SERVICES TO PERSONS IN TREATMENT	36,000
585	IV DRUG	55,000
	Allocation Total	8,178,584

S = Sum Sufficient

If you answered Yes to only number 1 above, you should submit your CRC Plan to DWD for approval.				
DWD for approval. If you answered Yes to only number 2 above, you should submit your CRC Plan to				
DHFS for approval.				
of Assurance or CRC Plan to either DWD or DHFS for approval, except all W-2				
agencies must submit their CRC Letters of Assurance or CRC Plans to the appropriate				
DWD Bureau of W-2 Regional Office.				
Our agency has a direct contract with DWD or DHFS, otherwise known as a Primary				
Recipient and also has issued a subcontract to another Primary Recipient of DWD or				
DHFS for programs/services/activities covered under the requirements of this document.				
☐ Yes				
3a. If you answered Yes to 3 above, identify the Primary Recipients to which you have				
issued subcontracts for programs/services/activities covered by the requirements of this				
document:				
Agency:				
a. County				
b. Municipality				
c. University				
e. Area Technical College				
f. Profit/Non-profit Entity				
If you checked Yes for any of the above entities, those entities listed, as Primary				
Recipients, should submit their CRC Letter of Assurance or CRC Plan to DWD or DHFS for				
review and approval. The CRC Letter of Assurance or CRC Plan should include the				
programs/funds under the subcontract. You may defer to DWD or DHFS for the review				
and approval of their CRC Letter of Assurance or CRC Plan that includes the subcontract.				
4. Our agency has a direct contract with DWD or DHFS and also is the recipient of a				
subcontract from another Primary Recipient of DWD or DHFS for				
programs/services/activities covered under the requirements of this document.				
☐ Yes				
If you checked Yes here, you should include the programs/services/activities under the				
subcontract in your CRC Letter of Assurance or CRC Plan submitted to DWD or DHFS for				
approval.				
4a. If you are word Ves to 4 above identify the Drivery, Desirients from which you are				
4a. If you answered Yes to 4 above, identify the Primary Recipients from which you are a recipient of a subcontract for programs/services/activities covered by the requirements of				
this document:				
Agency:				
a. County Yes				
b. Municipality				
d. School District				

DWD/DHFS CRC Plan Requirements for 2007-2009

	Area Technical College Profit/Non-profit Entity	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	
Recipien review ar	ecked Yes for any of the above e ts, should submit their CRC Lette nd approval, and those entities m of your CRC Letter of Assurance	er of Assurance ay defer to D	ce or CRC Plan to DWD or DHFS for WD or DHFS for the review and
	you are unsure if an entity is a Propriate representatives identified		ent of DWD or DHFS, please contact Technical Assistance.

3. FUNDED PROGRAMS CHECKLIST

Community Integration Program II (CIP II)

Please check all the programs/services/activities received under grant/contract or other agreement from DWD that are covered by this CRC Letter of Assurance or CRC Plan: ☐ FoodShare Employment & Training Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Refugee Programs Child Support Other (specify): Child Care Other (specify): Please check all the programs/services/activities received under grant/contract or other agreement from DHFS that are covered by this CRC Letter of Assurance or CRC Plan: Adoption and Foster Care FoodShare Wisconsin (Food Stamps) Home Consultation Services for Child-Aging related Programs Programs and Services for the Blind Long Term Care Medicaid Health Care, BadgerCare, Chronic Disease and Health Prevention SeniorCare, FamilyCare Communicable Diseases Mental Health Programs/Services for Deaf & Hard of Milwaukee Child Welfare Program Hearing Developmental Disability **Bioterrorism** Regulations and Licensing for Child Care, Disability Determination (SSI/SSDI) Group Foster Homes and Child Welfare **Emergency Medical Services and Injury** Substance Abuse/Tobacco Prevention **Environmental Health** Foster Care/Kinship Care Family Health and Community Health Refugee Health Other (specify): Other (specify): Other DHFS Type A Programs: (See Single Agency Audit requirements for description of Types) Brain Injury, Community Supported **Community Options Program Waivers** Living Arrangement (COP-W) Case Management Agency Provider/ ☐ Long Term Care/Family Care Wisconsin Medical Assistance Program Community Integration Program IA, IB Medicaid Personal Care Program (CIP IA, CIP IB)

Note: If not included in the charts above, write in the space above the specific program or grant that you administer that receives federal and/or state funds.

Other (specify):

4. DATA COLLECTION:

In order to complete our agency's workforce and customer service population analyses to comply with the requirements of the CRC Plan, our agency has the following data collection activities:

Em	ployment:	
a.	Our agency has a data collection system to record how many employees in our agency have disabilities. The system updates the data every year. The data collection process is in compliance with ADA requirements for confidentiality.	☐ Yes ⊠ No
b.	Our agency has a system that records the race and ethnicity of our employees	⊠ Yes □ No
Se	vice Delivery:	
a.	Our agency has a system that records the race, ethnicity and persons with disabilities of: • Participants (Self-identification by the applicant, participant is the preferred method of obtaining characteristic data)	⊠ Yes □ No
b.	 Our agency has a system to record the: Number of eligible LEP participants in programs Interpretation needs of LEP participants List of written translation of vital documents for LEP groups Sign language interpretation needs of the deaf and hard of hearing participants Other accommodation needs of participants with disabilities 	Yes

If you responded "No" to any of the above questions, describe your plan for addressing these requirements, including target dates for completion of milestones, in the following space:

To date, The La Crosse County Personnel Department does not have ability to track effectively employees with disabilities

5. WORKFORCE ANALYSIS

As stated in the Instructions, the purpose of the Workforce Analysis is to compare your workforce with the available labor market in your area to determine if women, minorities and persons with disabilities are represented in your workforce in at least as great a proportion as their representation in the available labor market.

Step 1 - Labor Market Area Analysis: Use the DWD Labor Market website to access your labor market area's data http://dwd.wisconsin.gov/oea/affirm.htm. Select applicable county or metropolitan area and complete the data for job categories included in your agency's workforce. For example, if you do not have any positions under "Sales Workers" you do not need to calculate the Labor Market Area percentages for "Sales Workers."

	Labor Market Area	Fem	ales	Mino	rities
Job Categories	Totals	Totals	%	Totals	%
Officials & Managers	5274	1847	35	50	.9
Professionals	10597	6226	59	353	3
Technicians	1679	1034	62	49	3
Sales Workers	7010	3549	51	187	3
Office & Clerical Workers	9557	7141	75	309	3
Craft Workers	5091	310	6	176	3
Operatives	7888	2268	29	662	8
Laborers	2600	424	16	109	4
Service Workers	9653	6181	64	549	6

Step 2 - Workforce Analysis of Your Agency: Employees include all full-time, part-time and temporary employees that you employ in Wisconsin within one year of receiving the State of Wisconsin contract. If your agency belongs to a multi or international corporation, report only the number of employees actually working in Wisconsin. Individuals who work for your organization under a vendor's contract are NOT considered your agency's employees.

	Agency Workforce	Agency Workforce Females		Minorities	
Job Categories	Totals	Totals	%	Totals	%
Officials & Managers	84	42	50	0	0
Professionals	357	243	68	6	2
Technicians	101	58	57	1	.9
Sales Workers	0				
Office & Clerical Workers	302	269	89	21	7
Craft Workers	0				
Operatives	0				
Laborers	0				
Service Workers	162	68	42	10	6

Step 3 - Analysis for Under-representation by Job Groups in Your Agency: By comparing the representation of minorities and women in your workforce with their representation in the labor market, you will determine which, if any, job categories are under-represented. To compute the variance between the representation in the Labor Market Area as it compares with your agency's workforce, take the labor market percentage of the Job Group for each protected group and subtract this percentage from your workforce percentage.

Variance = (Agency Workforce % - Labor Market %)

a) For Women:

	From Step 1	From Step 2	
Job Categories	Labor Market % (a)	Agency Workforce % (b)	Variance (+/-) b-a
Officials & Managers	35	50	15 +
Professionals	59	68	9 +
Technicians	62	57	5 -
Sales Workers	0	0	
Office & Clerical Workers	75	89	14 +
Craft Workers	0		
Operatives	0		
Laborers	0		
Service Workers	64	42	22 -

Is there a negative variance for any job category?
☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, specify which category or categories: Technicians / Service Workers

b) For Minorities:

	From Step 1 Labor Market	From Step 2 Agency Workforce	Variance (+/-)
Job Categories	% (a)	% (b)	b-a
Officials & Managers	.9	0	.9 -
Professionals	3	2	1 -
Technicians	3	.9	2 -
Sales Workers	0		
Office & Clerical Workers	3	7	4 +
Craft Workers	0		
Operatives	0		
Laborers	0		
Service Workers	6	6	0

If yes, specify which category or categories: Office & Managers / Professionals / Technicians /

c) For Persons with Disabilities:

Use the data on page one for a county or metropolitan area from http://dwd.wisconsin.gov/oea/affirm.htm to determine what percent of the Labor Force is made up of persons with a disability.

Total Lab	Total Labor Market:		y Workforce:	Variance (+/-)
= 59)519 (a)	=	(d)	f-c
Number of persons with disabilites in labor market = 2038	Percent (b/a) = 3.4%	Number of persons with disabilities in the agency = 0	Percent (e/d): 0%	
(b)	(c)	(e)	(f)	

Is the variance negative?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Information unknown
---------------------------	------------	---------------------

This Workforce Analysis was prepared by:

Name Kathy Hafner	
Title	Date
Office Supervisor	12-29-06

6. AFFIRMATIVE ACTION GOALS AND STEPS FOR ACHIEVING A BALANCED WORKFORCE

If a **negative variance exists** for any protected group (i.e., women, minorities or persons with a disability) above, describe your corrective action goals and steps for addressing under-representation of this group. Please attach separately the Affirmative Action Goals and Steps as described in A.6 of the instructions that will reflect your agency's strategy and recruitment plan for a qualified and competent balanced workforce.

If a **negative variance does not exist**, the agency does not need to submit Affirmative Action Goals and Steps; however, the agency must still complete the workforce data analysis section to illustrate compliance plus **Attachment 1---**Equal Employment Opportunity Policy. **Attachment 1** provides a model of that policy.

Our agency has submitted: (Please check)
AA Goals and Steps to Achieve a Balanced Workforce
☐ Equal Employment Opportunity Policy (Attachment 1 or agency's own policy)



1 EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IN EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE DELIVERY

2 PURPOSE

- To establish the La Crosse County policy for equal opportunity in employment and service delivery
- To encourage employees and members of the public who are victims of harassment, poor treatment or other violations based on race, color, or ethnicity to report these instances
- To establish a procedure for the reporting of instances of harassment or other civil rights violations
- To inform persons involved with La Crosse programs of its policy

3 POLICY

It is the policy of La Crosse County to provide a businesslike work environment free from all forms of employment discrimination, poor treatment or incidents of harassment for both its employees and those who use County services. Persons associated with La Crosse County programs will work in a non-discriminatory fashion and treat all persons with dignity and respect. La Crosse County maintains and subscribes to the principles of Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action to all persons in the services it provides. No employee shall be subjected to unsolicited and unwelcome overtures or conduct, either verbal or physical. The employer will not tolerate any form of harassment in the workplace. Harassment will be treated as misconduct with appropriate disciplinary sanctions, up to and including discharge. All persons accessing County services will be treated with the same dignity and respect and be able to utilize the services irregardless of race, ethnicity, color, sex, disability, etc.

4 PROHIBITED CONDUCT

La Crosse County's harassment policies are specifically designed to prevent and discourage words or actions that are harmful, derogatory, or otherwise demeaning to any human being. Phrases and expressions that should be considered very likely to be offensive in today's working environment include those regarding age, sex, race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, sexual preference, disability or arrest and conviction record, except where such conditions constitute a bona fide occupational qualification.

EQUAL ACCESS TO SERVICES PROVIDED

All persons within the County who are eligible to receive services will have equal access to the services provided directly through the County or through its contracted services. All contractors of service will be held to the same standards of equal opportunity as stated in this policy.

8/2000

personnel dept:s:policies



LA CROSSE COUNTY

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY/ AFFIRMATIVE ACTION POLICY

All employees are reminded that it is the official policy of La Crosse County, in harmony with the legislative policy of the State of Wisconsin expressed in Wis. Stats. Sec. III.31, to provide equal employment opportunities for properly qualified individuals without regard to their age, race, creed, color, disability, marital status, sex, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation or arrest and conviction record, except where such conditions constitute a bona fide occupational qualification. It is further the official policy of La Crosse County to employ minorities in proportion to their representation in the local population according to statistics, which shall be on file in the Office of the County Clerk. These official policies are contained in Chapter I4 of the La Crosse County General Code of Ordinances.

La Crosse County has a written Affirmative Action Plan, which is a compilation of the ordinances, resolutions, rules and policies promulgated by La Crosse County to comply with Affirmative Action and Equal Opportunity mandates. A copy of the plan is available from the Personnel Department upon request.

All employees and members of the public are invited to submit any questions, comments or concerns regarding the operation of Equal Opportunity or Affirmative Action programs within the County to the County's Affirmative Action Officer which is the Personnel Director. Requests for confidentiality will be honored.

This memo is to be posted on employee bulletin boards and communicated to all employees of La Crosse County.

4.1

4.1.1

Please Post 5/02; 3/03

7. CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS

As stated in the Instructions, the purpose of the Customer Service Population Analysis is to determine if you are serving eligible participants in the protected categories in the same proportion they are represented in the total eligible population. (Additional forms can be found on the planning website at http://dwd.wisconsin.gov/dws/civil_rights/plans_instructions.htm)

Step 1

- "Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered" means the total number of individuals in the service area who may meet the eligibility requirements of a recipient's program(s), whether or not they are currently being served.
- "Percent of Eligible Participants in Each Protected Category Likely to be Encountered" is computed by dividing the number of each category (combined race/ethnicity, females, and persons with disability) likely to be encountered by the total number of eligible population likely to be encountered in the service area.

Step 2

- "Eligible Population Served" means the number of participants who are enrolled or registered in a program or service administered by a recipient. For purposes of reporting, use the number of participants within a one-year calendar period.
- "Percent of Eligible Participants in Each Protected Category Served" is computed by dividing each category (e.g., combined race/ethnicity, females, and persons with disabilities) served by the total number of eligible population served in the service area.

Program Name(s): _Developmental Disability - children___ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible _populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

		opulation ncounter vice Are	ed in	Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Percent (%)		Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107120	100%		185	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100883	94 %		154	83 %		NA
African American or African origin	1016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	19	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>11%</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>		2	<u>31</u>	<u>17 %</u>	
Asian	3376			6			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			4			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			0			
More than 1 Race	1098						
Females	55194	51 %		93	50 %		- 1%
Persons with Disabilities	14426	13 %		185	100 %		0

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): Family Care / CMO______ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

		opulation ncounter vice Are	ed in	Eligible Po in Most R or Pro		Variance	
Category	Number	Percent (%)		Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		1745	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,833	94 %		1594	91 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	46	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>3 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u>-,</u>		7	<u>151</u>	9 %	
Asian	3,376			68			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			11			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			0			
More than 1 Race	1,098			19			
Females	55,194	51	%	1106	63 %		12 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13 %		1086	62 %		49 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): Foster Care______ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible

<u>populations are the same for multiple programs</u> identify programs on the line above.

		opulation ncounter vice Are	ed in	Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Perce	ent (%)	Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		301	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		223	74 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	46	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>23 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440			6	<u>88 %</u>	<u>29 %</u>	
Asian	3,376			21			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	21			9			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			6			
More than 1 Race	1,098			0			
Females	990	51	%	158	52 %		1 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13 %		241	80 %		67 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): KIDS______(Complete a separate table

for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

	to be E	Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area			Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year		
Category	Number	Percent (%)		Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		7414	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		4701	63 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	405	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>3 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	80	<u>673</u>	9%	
Asian	3,376			122			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			66			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			0			
More than 1 Race	1,098			0			
Females	55,194	51	%	3729	50 %		1 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13 %		2	?		?

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
none	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): MENTAL HEALTH____ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

		opulation ncounter vice Are	ed in	in Most R	gible Population Served Most Recent Calendar or Program Year		
Category	Number	Percent (%)		Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		2101	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		1961	93 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	70	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>0</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>		2	<u>119</u>	<u>6 %</u>	
Asian	3,346			29			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			16			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			2			
More than 1 Race	1,098			0			
Females	55,194	51	%	1020	49 %		2 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13 %		357	17 %		4 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): SUBSTANCE ABUSE_____ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

	Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area			Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Perce	ent (%)	Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		3045	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		2829	93 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	113	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>1 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>	3 70	25	<u>216</u>	<u>7 %</u>	
Asian	3,376			49			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			25			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			4			
More than 1 Race	1,098			0			
Females	55,194	51 %		799	26	%	25 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13	3 %	1723	56 %		43 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): FOODSHARE_____ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

	Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area			Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Perce	ent (%)	Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		9347	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		6733	72 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	637	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>22 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u>0,011</u>	<u> </u>	60	<u>2614</u>	<u>28 %</u>	
Asian	3,376			1334			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			242			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			5			
More than 1 Race	1,098			336			
Females	55,194	51 %		5702	61 %		10%
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13	3 %	1550	17 %		4 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): CHILD CARE_____ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

	Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area			Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Perce	ent (%)	Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		3358	100	100%	
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		2562	76 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	166	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>18 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	20	<u>796</u>	<u>24 %</u>	
Asian	3,376			350			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			55			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			2			
More than 1 Race	1,098			193			
Females	55,194	51 %		2098	62 %		11 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13	s %	49	1 %		12 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): W-2____ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

	Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area			Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Perce	ent (%)	Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	100%		521	100%		NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		246	47 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	41	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>47 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u>275</u>	<u>53 %</u>	
Asian	3,376			207			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			9			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			0			
More than 1 Race	1,098			17			
Females	55,194	51 %		318	61 %		10 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13	s %	11	2 %		11 %

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

Program Name(s): BADGERCARE; MEDICAID___ (Complete a separate table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist. If the eligible populations are the same for multiple programs identify programs on the line above.)

	Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area			Eligible Population Served in Most Recent Calendar or Program Year			Variance
Category	Number	Perce	ent (%)	Number	One Year %		
*TOTAL eligible population in service area	107,120	10	0%	16902	100)%	NA
White, not of Hispanic origin	100,883	94 %		13450	80 %		NA
African American or African origin	1,016	Combi- ned #: 6,941	Combi ned %: 6 %	592	Combi- ned #:	Combi ned %:	<u>14 %</u>
American Indian or Alaska Native	440	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	105	<u>3452</u>	20 %	
Asian	3,376			1718			
Hispanic/Latino regardless of race	990			606			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21			9			
More than 1 Race	1,098						
Females	55,194	51 %		10310	61 %		10 %
Persons with Disabilities	14,426	13	3 %	2144	13 %		0

^{*}The number in the first column (Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area) will be used in the completion of the customer service language access data table.

	If variance is greater than 2%, please state the reason(s) why your agency may not be providing service to potential eligible participants in the protected categories given above:
None	What can be tried to improve participation?
	If denials for service have been disproportionate within the last 24 months, please explain: None
	How many discrimination complaints were filed within the last 24 months? None
	Please comment on the nature of the discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal and their resolution:

7.1. Summary for Customer Service Data Analysis

Geographic Service Area:
La Crosse County

Data Source(s): CARES; KIDS; HSRS; SACWIS reports / MGRS & Supervisors

Data Period:
From: 2005 To: 2006

7.2. This Customer Service Data Analysis was prepared by:

Name Kathy Hafner	
Title Office Supervisor	Date 12-29-06

8. LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP)

As stated in the Instructions, the purpose of the analysis is for your agency to plan for the translation of vital documents to meet the "safe harbor" federal guidelines. The analysis is also to determine which language groups are present in your service area, the degree to which members of these language groups are being served and the steps being taken to improve language access to services and programs. Your agency is required to provide oral language interpreters to all customers who consider themselves as LEP and who present themselves to your agency on a walk-in or telephone basis. The analysis is intended to assist your agency to determine the size of each LEP group, languages spoken and methods your agency will use to ensure full and meaningful access to all your programs and services. This access is at no cost to the customer.

8.1. LEP Customer Data Analysis for Translation of Vital Documents

- "Number of Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area" means the total number of the population of persons currently served or those who meet the eligibility requirements of the recipient's program, whether or not they are currently being served. These include eligible LEP participants. This number is from the table for this program in item 7 above.
- "Number of Eligible LEP Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area" mean the total number of LEP participants currently served or those who meet the eligibility requirements of the recipient's program, whether or not they are currently being served.
- "Percentage of Eligible LEP Population Served or Likely to be Encountered in Service
 Area" is computed by dividing the number of eligible LEP population served or likely to be
 encountered by the number of the total eligible population served or likely to be encountered.
- "LEP population served in the service area" This data, while not required to determine translation or interpretation needs is useful in analyzing services to LEP populations.

Program Name: DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY--CHILDREN (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = __107,120_____

Eligible LEP	Percent of Eligible	LEP population	Written Translation	Written Notice for	
Population Likely	LEP Likely to Be	Served in the	of Vital Documents	Interpreter	
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services	
in Service Area					
(by Language)					
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if	
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.	
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%	
			1,000 or more	and column b is	
				less than 50	
Spanish: 990	.9 %	4	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Hmong: 3376	3 %	6	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
BSC(*):			Yes No	Yes No	
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area
Data Source(s)
Data From Previous 12 Months From: To:

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: FAMILY CARE --CMO_____ (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a)

_		

Eligible LEP Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area	Percent of Eligible LEP Likely to Be Encountered	LEP population Served in the Service Area	Written Translation of Vital Documents	Written Notice for Interpreter Services
(by Language)			Chook Voo if oligible	Chook Voo if
Number (b)	Percent (c) c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	Check Yes if eligible LEP pop. (column c) is 5% or column b is 1,000 or more	Check Yes if eligible LEP pop. (column c) is 5% and column b is less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %		☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %		Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):		_	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County 2000 Census / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: FOSTER CARE______ (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = 107,120

Eligible LEP	Percent of Eligible	LEP population	Written Translation	Written Notice for
Population Likely	LEP Likely to Be	Served in the	of Vital Documents	Interpreter
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	9	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	21	Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area
L a Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County Census 2000 / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: KIDS_____ (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = _107,120__

Eligible LEP	Percent of Eligible	LEP population	Written Translation	Written Notice for
Population Likely	LEP Likely to Be	Served in the	of Vital Documents	Interpreter
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	66	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	122	Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area
La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County Census 2000 / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: MENTAL HEALTH	(Complete a separate Table for
each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of	f the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a)

=____

Eligible LEP	Percent of Eligible	LEP population	Written Translation	Written Notice for
Population Likely	LEP Likely to Be	Served in the	of Vital Documents	Interpreter
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	16	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	29	Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:		_	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County 2000 Census / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: SUBSTANCE ABUSE	(Complete a separate Table for
each program checked on the Funded Programs Checkl	ist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = 107,120

Eligible LEP Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (by Language)	Percent of Eligible LEP Likely to Be Encountered	LEP population Served in the Service Area	Written Translation of Vital Documents	Written Notice for Interpreter Services
Number (b)	Percent (c) c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	Check Yes if eligible LEP pop. (column c) is 5% or column b is 1,000 or more	Check Yes if eligible LEP pop. (column c) is 5% and column b is less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	25	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	49		☐ Yes⊠ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County 2000 Census / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: FOODSHARE_	(Complete a separate Table for
each program checked on the F	unded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) =__107,120_____

Eligible LEP	Percent of Eligible	LEP population	Written Translation	Written Notice for
Population Likely	LEP Likely to Be	Served in the	of Vital Documents	Interpreter
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	242	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	1334	Yes □ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):		_	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:		_	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area
La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County 2000 Census / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: CHILD CARE_____ (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = 107,120

Eligible LEP	Percent of Eligible	LEP population	Written Translation	Written Notice for
Population Likely	opulation Likely LEP Likely to Be Served in the of Vital Documents		Interpreter	
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	$c = (b/a \times 100)$	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	55	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	350	Yes □ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:		_	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County Census 2000 / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: W-2___ (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = 107,120

		LEP population Served in the	Written Translation of Vital Documents	Written Notice for Interpreter
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area	or vital Boodinents	Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	9	☐ Yes⊠ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	207	Yes □ No	☐ Yes⊠ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area
La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County 2000 Census / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

Program Name: BadgerCare / Medicaid (Complete a separate Table for each program checked on the Funded Programs Checklist of the Plan)

Note: From item 7 (above) CUSTOMER SERVICE POPULATION ANALYSIS data table (for this program): use the "total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area – number" here: Total Eligible Population Likely to be Encountered in Service Area (Number) (a) = 107,120

Eligible LEP Population Likely	Percent of Eligible LEP Likely to Be	LEP population Served in the	Written Translation of Vital Documents	Written Notice for Interpreter
to be Encountered	Encountered	Service Area		Services
in Service Area				
(by Language)				
			Check Yes if eligible	Check Yes if
	Percent (c)		LEP pop. (column c)	eligible LEP pop.
Number (b)	c = (b/a X 100)	Served (d)	is 5% or column b is	(column c) is 5%
			1,000 or more	and column b is
				less than 50
Spanish: 990	.9 %	606	\square Yes $oxtime$ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Hmong: 3376	3 %	1718	Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Russian:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
BSC(*):			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Somali:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other:			☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

^(*) BSC = Bosnian/Serbian/Croatian

8.2. Summary for LEP Customer Data Analysis

Service Area
La Crosse County
Data Source(s)
La Crosse County 2000 Census / Customer Service Population Analysis
Data From Previous 12 Months
From: 2005 To: 2006

Please comment on the nature and resolution of LEP related discrimination complaints filed, both formal and informal:

8.3. This LEP Customer Data Analysis was prepared by:

Name Kathy Hafner		
Title Office Supervisor	Date 12-29	

8.4. Services to LEP Language Groups

9. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY POLICY AND LEP POLICY AND NOTIFICATION

a. b.	Sta	ur agency is utilizing the DWD/DHFS model for Equal Opportunity Policy atement that is provided in Attachment 3. (see in prior pages) stead of utilizing the model for Equal Opportunity Policy Statement by DWD/DHFS, we have provided our own policy statement.	✓ Yes✓ No✓ Yes✓ No
c. d.	Ou tha	ur agency is utilizing the DWD/DHFS model for LEP Policy Statement at is provided in Attachment 4 . stead of utilizing the model for LEP Policy Statement provided by ND/DHFS, we have provided our own policy statement.	
e.	by	ur Equal Opportunity Policy includes all of the protected groups required Federal and State employment and services delivery laws and our LEP olicy reflects LEP Federal Guidance and DWD/DHFS requirements.	⊠ Yes □ No
f.	LE	e will disseminate the policy statements for both Equal Opportunity and EP in the following ways:	
	1.	The policies are included in our policy and operating procedures manual.	⊠ Yes □ No
	2.	The policies are permanently posted where current customers, patients and applicants for services may review and read them in their own languages.	⊠ Yes □ No
	3.	The policies are reviewed annually and updated by the Agency Head, Managers, supervisors and frontline staff.	⊠ Yes □ No
	4.	An Equal Opportunity in Employment and Services Delivery statement is posted in required languages on our entity's home web page.	⊠ Yes □ No
	5.	The Equal Opportunity and LEP policies are available in alternate formats upon request (i.e., relevant language translations, large print, on tape, Braille.) If electronic information is used exclusively, text to voice and voice to text software is provided for persons with sensory or physical disabilities as requested.	⊠ Yes □ No
	6.	Is a short form of the policies included in recruitment materials, use of media, publications, phone listings, directories and web site(s)?	⊠ Yes □ No
	7.	USDA-FNS funded programs require nondiscrimination statements for FNS programs. The nondiscrimination statement does not need to be included in every page of the program brochures or Web pages. At the minimum, the statement can be linked to the home page. a. Do your agency information sources, such as materials and Web sites, include the FNS nondiscrimination statement? b. Does the County or local agencies, instruct their subrecipients to inform the public about FNS programs nondiscrimination statement?	☑ Does Not Apply☐ Yes ☐ No☐ Yes ☐ No
	8.	The Equal Opportunity and LEP policies are incorporated in contracts, agreements and Purchase Orders with vendors and contractors for services.	⊠ Yes □ No
	9.	Customer referral sources are notified of the Equal Opportunity and LEP policies.	⊠ Yes □ No

If you responded "No" to a question above, describe your plan for addressing this requirement, including target dates for completion of milestones in the following space:

10. DESIGNATION OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COORDINATOR AND LEP COORDINATOR

a.	Op	nagement level employees are appointed to the positions of Equal portunity Coordinator (EOC) and Limited English Proficiency ordinator (LEPC).	⊠ Yes □ No
b.		r EOC and LEPC have direct access to the organization head to discussual opportunity and LEP issues or activities.	⊠ Yes □ No
C.	mc	r EOC and LEPC receive or will receive civil rights training within six onths of assuming duties.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
d.	Info ind	e names of our EOC and LEPC are typed on the Recipient Contact ormation and Signature Page and the individuals signed the page licating an understanding of their responsibilities.	⊠ Yes □ No
e.	Ou	r EOC and LEPC have the following responsibilities:	
	1.	Handling service delivery, employment discrimination and language access complaints.	⊠ Yes □ No
	2.	Disseminating equal opportunity and language access information to provider staff and interested persons.	⊠ Yes □ No
	3.	Preparing equal opportunity and language access plans and reports.	
	4.	Acting as a liaison between the provider, DWD/DHFS, federal agencies and the community.	⊠ Yes □ No
	5.	Monitoring, conducting compliance reviews, and evaluating equal opportunity and language access activities in the organization.	⊠ Yes □ No
	6.	Providing monitoring, and evaluating civil rights, cultural awareness disability sensitivity, and language needs for provider staff training.	⊠ Yes □ No
		Subrecipients/Subcontractors	⊠ Yes □ No
		Supervisors/Managers/Administrators	Yes □ No
		Frontline Staff	
	7.	Maintaining equal opportunity files and confidential records. Monitoring the records and files relative to the organization's civil rights program and ensuring that subrecipients and subgrantees are maintaining records uniformly for all individuals, regardless of protected status.	⊠ Yes □ No
	8.	Providing input to management to improve language access and equal opportunity in employment and service delivery.	⊠ Yes □ No
	9.	Where functions relate to equal opportunity and language access, the LEPC and EOC will plan and carry out functions in unison.	⊠ Yes □ No

If you responded "No" to a question above, describe your plan for addressing this requirement, including target dates for completion:

11. ACCESS TO SERVICES

P o p	copy of the Wisconsin Physical and Program Access Self-Assessment rocess checklist for your facility or facilities is completed and maintained in file. (Note: the website to access this self-assessment checklist is rovided in the Instructions Section).	⊠ Yes □ No
b. C	ur agency assures that services are equally available to everyone by:	
1	Providing equal access to all programs, services or activities, including but not limited to eligibility, treatment, staff assignments, outreach, intake, diagnosis, assessment, evaluation, research, days and hours of service, facilities assignments, communication of information and referrals to other services.	⊠ Yes □ No
2	Assuring physical access to the facilities by allowing persons with functional limitations caused by impairments of sight, hearing, coordination or perception, or persons with semi-ambulatory or non-ambulatory disabilities to enter, leave, circulate within, use public toilet facilities and elevators.	⊠ Yes □ No
3	Providing language interpreters and/or sign interpreters to assist applicants and customers with limited ability to read, speak or understand English or those who are deaf or hard of hearing.	⊠ Yes □ No
4	Providing literature, posting information and audio-visual materials in language(s) understood by customers, and in formats that are understandable to persons with visual or hearing impairments.	⊠ Yes □ No
5	Providing readers or assistive technology for persons with visual impairments.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
6	Providing special assistance for persons with developmental or learning disabilities.	⊠ Yes □ No
7	Providing services to eligible applicants or participants who are in a protective status (i.e., eligible immigrants), informing them that information regarding their immigration status will not be reported to other federal agencies, and will not be used to discriminate against them.	⊠ Yes □ No
8	Ensuring that members of protected classes have equal opportunity to participate on planning and advisory boards on local levels through notification of membership opportunities.	⊠ Yes □ No
9	,	
1	D. Providing equal opportunity for applicants to become vendors, grantees and subgrantees, and contractors. Using nondiscriminatory factors in determining awards, sizes of grants, contracts, projects, and the quality, quantity, range of benefits provided in proportion to the number of such members in the service area.	⊠ Yes □ No
1	 Establishing program service areas to integrate members of protected classes. 	⊠ Yes ☐ No
1.	2. Treating protected class members with full courtesy and respect in all personal, oral, written and other forms of communication and contact.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
1	3. Providing culturally trained bilingual and/or bicultural qualified staff and specialized services to maximize use and completion of the program by the protected class.	⊠ Yes □ No

	• •	Ensuring that sanctions and terminations are applied in a culturally sensitive, nondiscriminatory manner without regard to protected status.	⊠ Yes □ No
	15	Providing access through Telecommunication Device for the Deaf (TDD) or Wisconsin Relay Service (WRS) for the deaf and hard of hearing participants upon request.	⊠ Yes □ No
	16	Notifying LEP customers of their right to ask for translation at no cost to a language other than English whenever they access programs and services.	⊠ Yes □ No
	17	 Preparing a listing of our vital documents requiring written translation and updating annually to reflect which documents have been translated. 	⊠ Yes □ No
	18	. Developing policies on confidentiality and code of ethics for oral interpretation.	⊠ Yes □ No
	19	To the extent possible, developing collaborative partnerships with culturally relevant community based organizations and stakeholders. For example, establishing an LEP Council as advisory to your agency on cultural and linguistic issues of the community.	⊠ Yes □ No
C.	Οu	r agency uses the following methods of written translation services:	
	1.	Contract with an outside translation services to translate the recipient's vital documents.	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	2.	Partner with community associations for paid or voluntary translation.	⊠ Yes □ No
	3.	Receive translated materials from federal and state agencies.	⊠ Yes □ No
	4.	Other: bilingual staff assist	
d.	Οu	r agency uses the following methods of oral interpretation:	
1	1.	Establish procedures for taking incoming calls from LEP persons.	⊠ Yes □ No
	2.	Establish procedures for taking incoming calls from LEP persons. Hire bilingual staff.	
	2.		
	2.	Hire bilingual staff.	⊠ Yes □ No
	2.	Hire bilingual staff. Use a language line for languages not often used in the service area. Partner with community associations for paid or voluntary translation	Yes □ No Yes □ No
	 3. 4. 	Hire bilingual staff. Use a language line for languages not often used in the service area. Partner with community associations for paid or voluntary translation services. Use a telephone system that allows participants to access the appropriate staff who can assist them in getting information or services	Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No
e.	2. 3. 4. 5.	Hire bilingual staff. Use a language line for languages not often used in the service area. Partner with community associations for paid or voluntary translation services. Use a telephone system that allows participants to access the appropriate staff who can assist them in getting information or services needed.	Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No

If you responded "No" to a question above, describe your plan for addressing these requirements, including target dates for completion of major milestones:

12. DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT/GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES

a.	Our agency is utilizing the DWD/DHFS model Discrimination Complaint Forms and Process, which is provided in Attachment 5 , including the translations required in accordance with LEP Plan for vital documents.	⊠ Yes □ No
b.	Instead of utilizing these model Discrimination Complaint Forms and Process, we have provided our own Discrimination Complaint forms, including the translations required in accordance with LEP Plan for vital documents. Our model policy and form explains the informal and formal complaint process where the complainant may file a formal complaint with:	
	DHFS/DWD	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	U.S.DHHS Region V OCR	☐ Yes ☐ No
	USDA-FNS-OCR	☐ Yes ☐ No
	U.S. DOJ	☐ Yes ⊠ No
C.	9 1	
	1. The complaint resolution procedures, including the name, address and phone number of the complaint investigator, is publicly posted in language(s) understood by customers, and is in a format or formats accessible to persons with visual or hearing impairments.	⊠ Yes □ No
	All written investigation documents are held confidential.	⊠ Yes □ No
	3. All participants in complaint investigations are protected from retaliation.	⊠ Yes □ No
	 Complaints received will be acknowledged within 5 calendar days including appeal rights. If extensions are needed, the complainant will be notified. 	⊠ Yes □ No
	 Results of the complaint investigation will be provided to complainant within 90 days of receipt of the complaint along with appropriate appeal rights. 	⊠ Yes □ No
	Corrective action is taken when evidence of discrimination has been found.	⊠ Yes □ No
	 Translators, interpreters and/or readers, who meet the communication needs of customers, are provided by the agency during the complaint process. 	⊠ Yes □ No
	8. Customers are permitted to have representatives of their choice during the complaint process.	

Complainants are made aware of other avenues of redress, including the right to appeal for:	
 a) Discrimination in service delivery or language access to: Division of Workforce Solutions, DWD AA and Civil Rights Office, DHFS Appropriate Federal Office for Civil Rights (depending on the source of federal funds) 	
b) Program decisions to:Division of Hearings and Appeals (DOA)	⊠ Yes □ No
 c) Federal Agencies: USDHHS OCR Regional Office USDA-FNS RO OCR USDOJ 	
(Note: Recipients or Subrecipients administering USDA-FNS program, services, and activities must forward all complaints alleging discrimination on the basis of "age" to the appropriate State agency DHFS or DWD who must forward all complaints asserting age discrimination to FNS Regional Office of Civil Rights for investigation.	
Employee complainants are made aware of other avenues of redress for:	
 Discrimination in employment conditions to: Wisconsin Equal Rights Division (ERD) for the private and public sectors. 	⊠ Yes □ No
 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), U.S. DOJ Federal Office of Contract Compliance (FOCC) U.S. DOL Appropriate Federal Office for Civil Rights (depending on the source of federal funds). 	
 Recipient or subrecipient staff will assist complainants during the complaint process if necessary. 	⊠ Yes □ No
12. Complainants are informed that the complaint must be filed within 180 days from alleged discriminatory act. Filing times may be extended if deemed necessary.	⊠ Yes □ No

If you responded "No" to a question above, describe your plan for addressing these requirements, including target dates for completion of major milestones.

13. TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

a.	Th Ac fur		
	1.	New employees, managers are informed of the AA/CRC policies as part of their orientation program and in-service training.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
	2.	New staff will receive training on the policies, along with instructions on the laws and regulations concerning equal opportunity in employment and service delivery.	⊠ Yes □ No
	3.	Copies of the laws and regulations are made available to staff.	
	4.	Staff refresher training on CRC and updates are required once every three years if you are a non-USDA-FNS funded entity.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
b.	Th	ne recipient is a USDA-FNS funded agency.	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	(lf	No, the agency does not have to answer c1-5 below.)	
C.		nnual CRC training is required for staff of recipients administering USDA- IS funded programs, services and activities.	☐ Yes ☐ No
	1.	Our agency will provide annual CRC training to the following staff:	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Agency Heads	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Administrators	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Mid-Level Managers	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Front-line staff	☐ Yes ☐ No
	2.	New employees, managers are informed of the AA/CRC policies as part of their orientation program and in-service training.	☐ Yes ☐ No
	3.	New staff will receive training on the policies, along with instructions on the laws and regulations concerning equal opportunity in employment and service delivery.	☐ Yes ☐ No
	4.	Copies of the laws and regulations are made available to staff.	☐ Yes ☐ No
	5.	Our agency subcontracts USDA-FNS funds and it has developed or is planning to develop annual CRC training in compliance with FNS Instructions 113-1 for the following:	☐ Yes ☐ No
		 Subrecipients and their Supervisors, Managers, Administrators Frontline Staff 	☐ Yes ☐ No

If you responded "No" to a required action above, describe your plan for addressing these requirements, including target dates for completion of major milestones.

14. SELF-ASSESSMENT

pra (The	agency annually assesses and revises its service delivery, employment ctices, and language access according to the following procedures: a DWD/DWS Civil Rights web page, monitoring section, contains tools that may be ful in conducting this assessment.)	
1.	Conduct a self-assessment in consultation with interested persons or organizations. Modify any policies or practices that do not meet the standards for equal opportunity in employment or service delivery, and language access.	⊠ Yes □ No
2.	Take appropriate remedial steps to eliminate the effects of any discrimination or adverse impact that resulted from past policies or practices.	⊠ Yes □ No
3.	Maintain records of the assessment process, including the names of interested persons who were consulted, a description of the areas examined and any problems identified, and a description of remedial steps taken and/or modifications made. Make records available to state and federal monitoring staff upon request.	⊠ Yes □ No
4.	Review data on customers served within programs, services or activities, by racial and ethnic status, gender, age, disability status, in proportion to their representation in the eligible service area population, and determine that no person is excluded from participation, denied any benefits, or subjected to discrimination. Data analysis will include comparisons of applicants, eligible, non-eligible, persons terminated from service, and bilingual staff persons.	⊠ Yes □ No
5.	 Compare racial/ethnic, gender, and disability workforce statistics in proportion to their representation in the local labor market. Our entity has achieved a balance workforce as required by DWD and DHFS 	
	 Our entity is meeting its goals and objectives as outlined in our AA steps and strategy plan for achieving a balance workforce 	☐ Yes ☐ No
6.	Monitor reasonable accommodation procedures for employees with disabilities.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
7.	Make improvements to facilities as reasonable and necessary, providing physical accessibility to persons with disabilities	⊠ Yes ☐ No
8.	Monitor the civil rights and equal employment opportunity compliance of subgrantees, subcontractors and/or vendors on a biennial basis.	
9.	Assess needs of members of protected groups and measure the extent to which services are actually delivered to members of the protected classes in a culturally relevant and accessible manner.	⊠ Yes □ No
10.	Assess entity's representation of members of protected classes participation on boards, councils, as volunteers, and opportunities to become sub-grantees where appropriate.	⊠ Yes □ No
11.	Maintain reports of providers, recipients, subrecipients, and vendors' compliance and steps to achieve compliance.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
12.	Maintain reports of all complaints by name, address, date, protected basis, nature, and investigation status. These reports must be accessible during on-site visits.	⊠ Yes ☐ No
13.	Review data on customers served and service complaints; translator and interpreter providers and their quality of service; and training activities and LEP costs. Provide recommendations for improvement in future plans.	⊠ Yes □ No

14. Coordinate with equal opportunity policies and related plans where	☐ No
language access relates to equal opportunity and service delivery.	

If you responded "No" to a question above, describe your plan for addressing these requirements, including target dates for completion of milestones.

15. OTHER REQUIRED ITEMS FOR SUBMITTING WITH THE CRC PLAN

Required Items	Counties, Municipalities, School Districts, Universities	Profit & Nonprofit Entities
 Attachment 1: Affirmative Action Equal Opportunity in Employment Policy 	Required	Required
2. Attachment 2: Form DOA-3607, Notice to Vendor Filing Information, consent for inclusion in the DOA Vendor Directory. Form DOA-3024, Request for Exemption for Submitting Affirmative Action Workforce Data Analysis, Strategies, Goals and Steps for achieving a balanced workforce, for contractors with less than 25 employees or other exempted categories listed in the form. Form DOA-3023, Vendor's Subcontractor List, for recipient to list subcontractors that have 25 or more employees and receive \$25,000 or more funding from the recipient.	Not Required	Required
3. Attachment 3: Equal Opportunity Policy	Required	Required
4. Attachment 4: LEP Policy	Required	Required
5. Attachment 5: How to File a Discrimination Complaint	Required	Required