

GROUNDWATER & SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY · WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT · ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING & COMPLIANCE

December 7, 2006

Mr. Jason Thomas Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality - WQD 122 West 25th Street, Herschler Bldg. 4-W Cheyenne, WY 82002

#### RE: Sheridan State Phase I – WYPDES Permit Application Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating Corporation

#### Mr. Thomas:

Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating Corporation (Storm Cat) hereby submits the enclosed WYPDES permit application for its Sheridan State Phase I coal bed natural gas (CBNG) facility. This application is being filed under an Option 1B. Enclosed for your consideration are the following:

- WYPDES Permit Application for CBNG Water Discharge
- Permit Table 1: Outfall Information
- Permit Table 2: Well Information
- Permit Table 3: Reservoir Information
- Permit Table 4: Bonding Information
- Water Balance and Explanation
- Storm Calculations
- Representative Water Quality
- Permit Application Map

Under this new WYPDES permit application, Storm Cat proposes to produce water from 19 CBNG production wells completed within the Monarch, Carney, Dietz1, Dietz2, and Dietz3 coal seams. The produced water will be discharged to one (1) headwater on-channel reservoir through one (1) outfall.

The associated reservoir is capable of containing all effluent plus precipitation from a 50-year, 24-hour storm event. The reservoir has a 2-foot built-in freeboard that provides a freeboard capacity of 12.8 acrefeet. A storm runoff volume for a 50-year, 24-hour storm event is estimated at 2.2 acrefeet, which leaves 10.6 acrefeet of excess capacity within the reservoir during the storm event. Please refer to the attached water balance and storm calculations for details.

Please note that the wells on this permit are also being permitted under the Sheridan State Phase II. The water balance for this permit application takes into account the reservoir capacity of both permits. The impoundments associated with Sheridan State Phase II have been included in this permit application to show excess storage capacity for increased flexibility in water management strategy. Storm Cat is committed to full containment of CBNG produced water plus precipitation from up to a 50-year, 24-hour storm event.

The maximum continuous flow rate intended with this permit application is 0.56 MGD. The requested flow rate is based on the estimated available capacity of the associated reservoir as well as application of CBNG produced water onto nearby irrigated lands. In addition to reservoir storage, Storm Cat's water management strategy includes irrigating with stored CBNG produced water onto approximately 180 acres of SR Cattle Company Land using five (5) center pivots as shown in the attached permit application map. Using standard land application rates, this system is capable of managing approximately 5,103 bwpd. Please refer to the attached water balance for details.

CBM ASSOCIATES, INC. ADDITIONAL OFFICES:

Mr. Jason Thomas Page 2 December 7, 2006

For your convenience, an electronic version of this application is being submitted on the enclosed CD. Please contact me with any questions or comments at 307-742-4991 or sjanssen@cbmainc.com.

Sincerely, CBM Associates, Inc.

ans 10 0

Sara Janssen Environmental Specialist

/saj Enclosures

cc: Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating Corporation CBM Associates, Inc. – Gillette, Laramie



	For Agency Use Only
SUBMIT ONE HARD COPY AND ONE ELECTRONIC COPY	Application Number
WYOMING POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM	WY00
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO SURFACE DISCHARGE PRODUCED WATER FROM COAL BED METHANE NEW DISCHARGES, RENEWALS, OR MAJOR MODIFICATIONS Revised: 06-22-06	Cate Received:
PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE (Submission of illegible materials will result in return of the application to the applicant)	(mo/dav/vr)
1. Check the box corresponding to the type of application being applied for:	
New CBM permit	
CBM permit renewalPermit numberExpiration	n Date:
CBM permit major modificationPermit numberExpiration	n Date:
2. Identify the river basin in which the discharge will occur:	
Belle Fourche Cheyenne Powder Little Powder	⊠ Tongue
Other (identify)	
3. Select permit option(s): <i>if more than one option is selected, the applicant must describe which outfall.</i>	e which option applies to
Option 1A – Discharge is contained within a class 4 water body: Containment with (class 4C) <b>OR</b> containment within a headwater reservoir situated within a class 4 chann containing all effluent plus up to a 50-year / 24-hour storm event.	in an off-channel pit el and capable of
$\square$ Option 1B – Discharge is contained within a class 3 water body: Containment with or playa lake (class 3A) <b>OR</b> containment within a headwater reservoir situated within a capable of containing all effluent plus up to a 50-year / 24-hour storm event.	in a natural closed basin class 3 channel and
$\Box$ Option 2 – This option includes any on-channel discharge (including discharge into that does not meet the impoundment requirements specified in options 1A or 1B above.	an on-channel reservoir)
If applying for outfalls under Option 2, will discharges from the facility proposed in thi use of assimilative capacity credits for salt and sodium in the Powder River?	s application require the
Yes No Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit in the Ton	gue River Basin.
4. General Facility Location: Township(s) 57N Range(s) 81W	
Immediate Receiving Stream(s)	
Badger Creek; HUC 10-1009010103	
5 Name of the facility producing the discharge (this is the facility name that will a	nnear on the WYPDFS

5. Name of the facility producing the discharge (this is the facility name that will appear on the WYPDES permit)

Sheridan State Phase I

6. Company, Contact Name, mailing address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the individual or company which owns the facility producing the discharge, and the person (consultant) responsible for permit submission.

Company Contact Name	Consultant Contact Name
Keith J. Knapstad	Sara Janssen
Company Name	Company Name
Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating Corporation	CBM Associates, Inc.
Mailing Address	Mailing Address
1125 17 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2310	920 E. Sheridan Street
City, State, and Zip Code	City, State, and Zip Code
Denver, CO 80202	Laramie, WY 82070
Telephone Number	Telephone Number
(303) 991-5070	(307) 742-4991
E-Mail Address	E-Mail Address
keith@stormcatenergy.com	sjanssen@cbmainc.com

7. If submitting a major modification or permit renewal, please describe all requested permit modifications (i.e. add 2 outfalls, add 23 wells, move outfall 001 500 feet...):

#### Not applicable. This is a new WYPDES permit.

\*NOTE: Major modification applications requesting to increase the permitted flow for a facility will be processed as RENEWALS. Major modification applications for permits within six months of their expiration date will also be processed as RENEWALS.

8. Name(s) and mailing address(es) of owner(s) of the surface rights on whose land the discharge occurs (in cases where the land is owned by the state or federal government but surface rights are leased to a private individual, provide lessee's name and address)

Landowner #1 Name
SR Cattle Company ATTN: Charles Kane
Mailing Address
1317 Stonegate Drive
City, State, and Zip Code
Sheridan, WY 82801

9. For all facilities relying on reservoirs of any type as part of their water management plan, attach a water balance that demonstrates, considering total maximum projected discharge inflows, natural precipitation, evaporation and infiltration, the amount of the discharge that will be contained within the reservoirs, and the circumstances and volume of effluent that could potentially be discharged. If applying for an Option 1A or 1B permit, the water balance must demonstrate that the containment unit will be adequately sized to contain all projected discharge and storm water runoff from a 50 year, 24 hour storm event. If actual flow rates are available, use the maximum flow rate from all active wells within the previous six months of operation in the water balance.

Please see attached water balance and storm calculations. The associated reservoir is capable of containing all effluent plus precipitation from a 50-year, 24-hour storm event. The reservoir has a 2-foot built-in freeboard that provides a freeboard capacity of 12.8 acre-feet. A storm runoff volume for a 50-year, 24-hour storm event is estimated at 2.2 acre-feet, which leaves 10.6 acre-feet of excess capacity within the reservoir during the storm event. Please refer to the attached water balance and storm calculations for details.

Please note that the wells on this permit are also being permitted under the Sheridan State Phase II. The water balance for this permit application takes into account the reservoir capacity of both permits. The

impoundments associated with Sheridan State Phase II have been included in this permit application to show excess storage capacity for increased flexibility in water management strategy. Storm Cat is committed to full containment of CBNG produced water plus precipitation from a 50-year, 24-hour storm event.

The maximum continuous flow rate intended with this permit application is 0.56 MGD. The requested flow rate is based on the estimated available capacity of the associated reservoir as well as application of CBNG produced water onto nearby irrigated lands. In addition to reservoir storage, Storm Cat's water management strategy includes irrigating with stored CBNG produced water onto approximately 180 acres of SR Cattle Company Land using five (5) center pivots as shown in the attached permit application map. Using standard land application rates, this system is capable of managing approximately 5,103 bwpd. Please refer to the attached water balance for details.

10. For all facilities relying on reservoirs of any type as part of their water management plan, include analyses of expected water quality within the reservoirs. Should the water balance required for Question #9 above indicate that the effluent has the potential to be discharged from the reservoirs under circumstances except a 50 year - 24 hour storm or larger, please provide additional analyses describing the expected quality and quantity of the discharge from the reservoirs and expected impacts on water quality in the receiving streams.

### Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit.

- 11. Attach a description and a clear, legible, detailed topographic map of the discharging facility. Include the following:
  - a. A legend
  - **b.** Well locations
  - c. Ponds No ponds have been identified within this facility.
  - d. Reservoirs
  - e. Stock tanks Stock tanks are not pertinent to the water management strategy.
  - f. Discharge points (outfalls)
  - g. Immediate receiving streams
  - **h.** Water quality monitoring stations Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit.
  - i. Irrigation compliance points Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit.
  - j. Location of nearest downstream irrigator. Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit.
  - k. Section, Township, and Range information
  - If proposing to use class 4C off-channel pits, include footprint outline of the proposed pits. To denote setback distance, include a distance marker from closest side of pit to the nearest water feature, floodplain, or stream alluvium. Identify latitude and longitude in decimal degrees (using a minimum of 6 decimal places) for each end point of the setback distance marker. Not applicable. This facility uses an on-channel reservoir.

### Please see attached Permit Application Maps for items a, b, d, f, g, and k.

12. Describe the control measures that will be implemented to prevent significant damage to or erosion of the receiving water channel at the point of discharge.

# The outfall will be constructed on topography that gradually slopes towards the on-channel reservoir. Erosion control such as rip-rap and geotextiles will be used if necessary.

13. Describe the control measures that will be implemented to achieve water quality standards and effluent limits. If proposing to utilize a treatment process, provide a detailed description of the treatment process, including, but not limited to: Water quality analyses demonstrating the effluent quality before and after treatment; waste stream volumes and planned method of disposal; aquatic life toxicity data for any chemicals being used in the treatment process; description of how the chemicals will be handled at the facility and the potential for any impacts to

waters of the state in the event of a spill; and diagrams of the facility indicating the water treatment path. Additional sheets and diagrams may be attached.

# No active water treatment is planned. Produced CBNG water will be discharged into and contained within an on-channel reservoir. The outfall will be constructed in a manner that aerates stored CBNG water to help induce precipitation of dissolved metals.

14. Outfall locations must be established as part of a preliminary field reconnaissance survey using GPS or conventional survey equipment and documented in Table 1. Please document the type of equipment used, the expected accuracy of your measurements, and a brief rationale for locating the outfalls at the requested sites below.

# The outfall associated with this facility will be constructed in a site designated by the landowner. Site coordinates were obtained by field personnel using hand held GPS units with accuracies ranging from 15 to 60 feet.

15. Complete the attached <u>Table 1</u>. Provide all the information requested in the table for each proposed discharge point or monitoring point. If proposing changes (a major modification) to an existing facility, clearly indicate the desired changes on the table. Additional tables may be attached. Use the format provided. Option 2 permits must include water quality monitoring station locations. "Non-Discharging" Option 2 permits (reservoirs capable of 50 year, 24 hour storm water containment) must include flow monitoring station locations. Option 1A and 1B permits must include containment unit monitoring station locations. Information related to reservoirs is only required if the facility's water management plan includes reservoir containment.

### Please see attached Table 1: Outfall Information.

16. Complete the attached <u>Table 2</u>. Provide all the information requested in the table for each well associated with this proposed discharge authorization. If proposing changes (a major modification) to an existing facility, clearly indicate the desired changes on the table. Additional tables may be attached. Use the format provided.

### Please see attached Table 2: Well Information.

17. Complete the attached <u>Table 3.</u> Provide all the information requested in the table for each reservoir proposed for containment of CBM produced water. Specified locations refer to the approximate center of the reservoir. If proposing changes (a major modification) to an existing facility, clearly indicate the desired changes on the table. Additional tables may be attached. Use the format provided. Information related to reservoirs is only required if the facility's water management plan includes reservoir containment.

# Please see attached Table 3: Reservoir Information.

18. Complete the attached <u>Table 4</u>. Provide all information requested in the table related to reservoir bonding requirements for each reservoir proposed for the containment of CBM produced water. If proposing any changes (a major modification) to an existing facility, clearly indicate the desired changes on the table. Additional tables may be attached. Use the format provided. Information related to reservoirs is only required if the facility's water management plan includes reservoir containment.

### Please see attached Table 4: Bonding Information.

19. Provide the results of water analyses for a sample collected from a location representative of the quality of the water being proposed for discharge for all of the chemical parameters listed in the table below. The sample must be collected from well(s) or outfall(s) within a twenty mile radius of the proposed facility's location, and from the same coal formation(s) and the same approximate depth(s) as proposed in this application. If filing an application for a permit renewal or modification, the representative sample must be collected from the facility

being proposed for renewal or modification. Explain why this sample is representative of the produced water to be discharged.

Samples from co-mingled coal seams are acceptable as long as the sample(s) meet the following criteria:

- A. all of the coal seams being proposed for development are represented in the co-mingled sample, with no contribution from coal seams not being proposed for development at the new facility.
- B. the ratio of each coal seam's contribution is approximately the same in the sample and the proposed development,
- C. documentation is provided to verify the criteria listed in A. and B.

Please refer to the following sample identification table and corresponding lab analyses attached for representative water quality. As this facility is a new facility, water quality samples from nearby CBNG facilities are being submitted to represent water produced from the requested coal seams. The following samples were taken at the wellhead.

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DATE	QTR/QTR	SEC	TWP	RNG	DISTANCE FROM SHERIDAN STATE FACILITY	PRODUCED FORMATIONS
PW_49_033_22687_13_22 _57_83CR	12/04/2003	SWSW	SW 22 57 83 10.2 miles			Carney	
PW_11_22_57_83M	1/14/2004	NESW	22	57	83	10.1 miles	Monarch
PW_3_22_57_83D1	2/27/2004	NENW	22	57	83	9.8 miles	Dietz1
PW_11_22_57_83D2	1/14/2004	NESW	22	57	83	10.1 miles	Dietz2
PW_49_033_22681	2/27/2004	NENW	22	57	83	9.8 miles	Dietz3

The analyses must be conducted in accordance with approved EPA test procedures (40 CFR Part 136). Include a signed copy of your lab report that includes the following:

- **a.** detection limits
- **b**. results of each of the 25 chemical parameters at the chemical state given below
- c. quarter/quarter, section, township and range of the sample collection location
- d. Time and date of sample collection
- e. Time and date of analysis for each parameter
- **f.** Analyst's initials for each parameter
- **g.** Detection limit for each parameter as achieved by the laboratory
- h. WYPDES permit number and outfall number, where the sample was collected.
- i. Origin of produced water (coal seam and legal location of sample collection location)

If more than one coal seam is being proposed for development, the permittee must submit a lab analysis and complete information characterizing water quality from each coal seam being proposed for development. If the permittee is proposing to include discharges from a coal seam not previously developed at this facility, the permittee must submit a lab analysis and complete information characterizing water quality from the new coal seam being proposed for development. A mixing analysis may be required if the representative water quality analysis from the new coal seam indicates that the inclusion of the new effluent source may result in degradation of existing effluent quality. Analyses must be provided in the units listed below.

<b><u>Parameter*</u></b> (See notes following the table on chemical states)	<b>Required Detection Limits and Required Units</b>
Alkalinity, Total	1 mg/l as CaCO <sub>3</sub>
Aluminum, Total Recoverable	50 μg/l
Arsenic, Total Recoverable	1 μg/l

<b><u>Parameter*</u></b> (See notes following	<b>Required Detection Limits and Required Units</b>
the table on chemical states)	
Parium Total Pasavarahla	100~/l
Ballulli, Total Recoverable	<u>100 µg/1</u>
Bicarbonate	10 mg/l
Cadmium, Dissolved	5 μg/l
Calcium, Dissolved	50 μg/l, report as mg/l
Chlorides	5 mg/l
Copper, Dissolved	10 µg/l
Dissolved Solids, Total	5 mg/l
Fluoride, Dissolved	100 µg/l
Hardness, Total	10 mg/l as CaCO <sub>3</sub>
Iron, Dissolved	50 µg/l
Lead, Dissolved	2 µg/l
Magnesium, Dissolved	100 μg/l, report as mg/l
Manganese, Dissolved	50 µg/l
Mercury, Dissolved	1 µg/l
pH	to 0.1 pH unit
Radium 226, Total	0.2 pCi/l
Radium 228, Total**	0.2 pCi/l
Selenium, Total Recoverable	5 μg/l
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	Calculated as unadjusted ratio
Sodium, Dissolved	100 μg/l, report as mg/l
Specific Conductance	5 micromhos/cm
Sulfates	10 mg/l
Zinc, Dissolved	50 µg/l

\*Discharges into drainages other than the Powder River geologic basin may require analysis of additional parameters, please contact the WDEQ for a separate list.

\*\*This parameter is only required for those discharges located within one stream mile of a class 2 water.

20. For new facilities, provide the expected (estimated) flow volume from each well in gallons per day, and provide the rationale behind the flow volume estimate. For existing facilities, provide actual flow data from all wells within the last six months.

#### Flow: 36,000 gpd/well

# Rationale: Projected water discharge rates are based on average initial water production trends from the Monarch, Carney, Dietz1, Dietz 2, and Dietz 3 coal seams. Water production is expected to decline as coal seams are dewatered. Please see attached water balance.

21. For applications for new facilities, are any of the required chemical constituents in the laboratory analysis present in concentrations above Wyoming Water Quality Standards?

YES	NO NO	
If the answer to question # 21 is	yes, answer 21.a. – 21.b below. If no, proceed to question 23.	

- a. Which constituents?
- b. Has this constituent been addressed in the response to question 13?

22. For applications for existing facilities, has the facility ever exceeded permit limits or water quality standards?

☐ YES ☐ NO Not applicable. This is a new facility.

If the answer to question 22 is yes, answer 22.a. - 22.b. If no, proceed to question 23.

- a. Which constituents?
- b. Has the exceedance been addressed?
- c. Describe how the exceedance was addressed.

23. Is there active irrigation in the drainage downstream of the discharge? (*Please note that this response includes both artificially and naturally irrigated bottomlands as defined in the <u>Draft Agricultural Use Protection Policy</u> for the interpretation and implementation of Chapter 1, Section 20 of the Wyoming Water Quality Rules and Regulations ).* 

**YES** 

#### **NO** Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit.

If yes, at a minimum, the WYPDES Program requires submission of the following information:

- 1. Location(s) of irrigation diversions and/or sub-irrigated acreage;
- 2. Type(s) of Crops grown under irrigation;
- 3. Description of Irrigation Practices
- 4. A topographic map showing irrigated acreage, any structures, ownership of irrigated acreage.

In addition to the minimum information described above, the WYPDES Program may require additional information should the permittee request site-specific effluent limits protective of irrigation uses. Contact the WYPDES Program for more information regarding requirements for site-specific SAR, TDS, and EC limits.

24. Provide name(s) and address(es) for all downstream irrigators between the outfalls and the mainstem.

### Not applicable. This is an Option 1B permit.

- 25. Provide a listing of all active permits or construction approvals received or applied for by the applicant for the site described in this permit application in accordance with *Chapter 2, Section 5.T. of the Wyoming Water Quality Rules and Regulations.* 
  - Please see attached Table 2 for API numbers.
  - Sheridan State Water Management Plan (In Progress)
  - Sheridan State Project Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (In Progress)

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. I am requesting 1 outfall in this application.

Keith J. Knapstad	Vice President of Operations - USA
Printed Name of Person Signing*	Title
Signature*	Date 12/7/010

\*All permit applications must be signed in accordance with *Section 14, Chapter 2 of the Wyoming Water Quality Rules and Regulations*, "for" or "by" signatures are not acceptable.

Section 35-11-901 of Wyoming Statutes provides that:

Any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any application ... shall upon conviction be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both. Permittees are required to retain records of all data used to complete permit applications in accordance with *Chapter 2, Section 5, Part 5.V.vii of the Wyoming Water Quality Rules and Regulations.* 

Mail this application to:

WYPDES Permits Section

Department of Environmental Quality/WQD 122 West 25<sup>th</sup> Street, Herschler Building, 4W

Cheyenne, WY 82002

Discharge Point (Outfalls) #	Immediate Receiving Stream	Mainstem	Distance to Closest 2AB Channel & Mainstem (Miles)	Quarter / Quarter	Section	Twn (N)	Rng (W)	Nad 27 Latitude	Nad 27 Longitude	County	Reservoir Name and Type
001	Tributary to Badger Creek	Tongue River	Not applicable Option 1B	NENE	7	57	81	44.936848	-106.660385	Sheridan	Kane 01-7-5781 Headwater Option 1B
Station Name	tation Name Station Description			Quarter / Quarter	Section	Twn (N)	Rng (W)	Nad 27 Latitude	Nad 27 Longitude	Notes re monit	garding water quality oring station types
CU01	Containment Unit Water Quality Monitoring Station			NENE	7	57	81	44.937825	-106.662802		
FM01	Flow Monitoring Station			SWNE	7	57	81	44.932267	-106.667617		

Table 1: Outfall Information - Sheridan State Phase I

Please note that not all station types may be applicable for a particular facility. Additional spaces may be added if necessary. Use the format provided. Please denote reservoir type(s) - on channel, off-channel, playa, headwater Option 1B - in the appropriate column. Please note that reservoir information is not required if reservoir containment is not part of the facility's water management plan - for instance, information about existing "incidental" downstream reservoirs is not required.

Well Name	API Number	Coal Seam		Location (QQ, Section, Township, Range	Discharges to Outfall #*
	*AWA0	D - All wells permitted to discharge to all	outfalls	;	
State 1-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26416	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1731	NENE 18-57-81	AWAO
State 1-19 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26426	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1519	NENE 19-57-81	AWAO
State 1-30 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26404	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1828	NENE 30-57-81	AWAO
State 3-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26417	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1459	NENW 18-57-81	AWAO
State 3-30 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26400	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1915	NENW 30-57-81	AWAO
State 9-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26408	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1762	NESE 18-57-81	AWAO
State 9-19 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26420	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1663	NESE 19-57-81	AWAO
State 9-30 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26402	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1917	NESE 30-57-81	AWAO
State 11-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26414	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1495	NESW 18-57-81	AWAO
State 11-29 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26430	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1758	NESW 29-57-81	AWAO
State 7-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26407	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1550	SWNE 18-57-81	AWAO
State 7-19 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26423	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1581	SWNE 19-57-81	AWAO
State 7-30 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26403	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1935	SWNE 30-57-81	AWAO
State 5-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26406	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1501	SWNW 18-57-81	AWAO
State 15-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26409	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1505	SWSE 18-57-81	AWAO
State 15-19 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26427	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1748	SWSE 19-57-81	AWAO
State 15-30 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26421	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	2027	SWSE 30-57-81	AWAO
State 13-18 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26415	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1704	SWSW 18-57-81	AWAO
State 13-29 5781 MZ/CR	49-033-26428	Monarch-Carney-Dietz1-Dietz2-Dietz3	1924	SWSW 29-57-81	AWAO

#### Table 2: Well Information - Sheridan State Phase I

**Total Number of Wells: 19** 

Description	Reservoir Storage Volume	050 Damit #	Location					Geograph	ic Location*
Reservoir Name	(acre/feet)	SEO Permit #	SEO Reservoir Requirements	Qtr- Qtr	Sec	Township (N)	Range (W)	NAD 27 Latitude	NAD 27 Longitude
Kane 01-7-5781	110	Pending	None Available	NENE	7	57	81	44.937822	-106.662804

Table 3: Reservoir Information - Sheridan State Phase I

\*Geographic location for on-channel impoundments represents the approximate of Center of Dam - Center of Channel, location for off-channel impoundments represents the approximate center of the impoundment.

		Please c reclamation v	heck only one " olume" box for e			
Reservoir Name	Reservoir Bonding Authority	Reservoir Reclamation Volume* less than 5000 cubic yards?	Reservoir Reclamation Volume* between 5000 and 10,000 cubic yards	Reservoir Reclamation Volume* greater than 10,000 cubic yards	Reservoir constructed/ upgraded** prior to September 1, 2005	Bond Currently posted with bonding authority?
Kane 01-7-5781	Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality			Х	No	No

#### Table 4: Bonding Information - Sheridan State Phase I

\* "Reservoir Reclamation Volume" is the volume of backfill and/or topsoil needed to fill the reservoir upon reclamation, in cubic yards. This can also be measured in the amount of material that was excavated to create the reservoir. Please note that reservoir information is not required if reservoir containment is not part of the facility's water management plan - for instance, information about existing "incidental" downstream reservoirs is not required.

\*\* "Reservoir constructed/upgraded" information relates to the September 2005 memo regarding topsoil storage on-site. A 'NO' response in this column represents that either the reservoir is not constructed or that it requires upgrades which would require topsoil stockpiling on site. A 'Yes' response in this column represents that the reservoir has been constructed prior to September 2005 and any upgrades, if required, do not require the stockpiling of topsoil.



GROUNDWATER & SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY · WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT · ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING & COMPLIANCE

# Explanation of Water Balance Calculation Table

### Introduction:

The attached water balance table is a conservative model developed by CBM Associates, Inc. (CBMA) of Laramie, Wyoming. The model examines water management strategies in terms of available containment unit storage volumes. It also enables the modeler to include additional water losses resulting from the use of other management options. The model is dynamic; it utilizes coal seam water production decline projections, operator provided well completion schedules, and reservoir completion schedules. A short explanation by each table column or group of columns is provided below to help the reviewer understand the calculations provided on the attached table.

### **Dates and Production Schedule:**

**Dates:** The month and year during which production of CBNG water will occur. **Wells Activated/Month:** Inside these columns, the coal seams associated with the wells for the project act as labels for each column. The figures inside a column represent the number of wells being activated for that particular coal seam and project month.

Total Wells: A running total of wells as they become active.

### Inflow:

**Daily Production:** The average projected rate of water production, in barrels of water per day (bwpd), for that month. This is calculated using two data sets (operator provided or CBMA calculated): coal seam water production decline projections and the well completion schedules.

**Monthly Production:** The daily production multiplied by the number of days in the month, converted from barrels of water into acre-ft.

### **Reservoir Information:**

**Existing/Added Reservoir Capacity:** The amount, in acre-ft, of existing or added project reservoir capacity. Additional capacity can be added into this column to increase the volumes of water that can be managed via containment as newly constructed reservoirs become available for use.

Action (reservoir name): A description of the added reservoir capacity for that month.

CBM ASSOCIATES, INC. ADDITIONAL OFFICES:

345 Sinclair Street Gillette, WY 82718 307.686.6664 500 W. Lott St. Buffalo, WY 82834 307.684.0252 3036 South Flower Court Lakewood, CO 80227 303.973.2302

Page 1 of 4

WYPDES Permit Application Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating Corporation Sheridan State Phase I HUC 10-1009010103 **Total Reservoir Capacity:** The total amount, in acre-ft, of reservoir capacity that has been constructed to date (month and year) for the project.

**Maximum Evaporation:** The amount of water, in acre-ft, expected to be lost to evaporation based upon the current stored volume of CBNG water (stored volume from the previous month + volume delivered to the reservoir during the current month) to a minimum of 5% of the total current impound capacity. The basis for these values comes from the <u>Western Regional Climate Center</u>. The values are derived from fourfoot Class A evaporation pans at the Gillette 9 ESE Station over an 81 year period (1925-2005). Values were decreased 30% to more closely estimate evaporation rates for lakes or ponds. For every acre-ft of stored CBNG water, it is assumed that there are 0.1245 acres of surface area. This value comes from a CBMA regression analysis of 2814 deep channel reservoirs. Values used for evaporation are in the following table:

Month	Evaporation Mean (in.)	Evaporation Mean * 0.7 (in.)
January	0	0
February	0	0
March	0	0
April	4.52	3.164
Мау	6.4	4.480
June	7.5	5.250
July	9.88	6.916
August	9.44	6.608
September	6.18	4.326
October	4.36	3.052
November	2.39	1.673
December	0	0

**Maximum Infiltration:** The amount of water, in acre-ft, expected to be lost to infiltration based upon the current stored volume of CBNG water (stored volume from the previous month + volume delivered to the reservoir during the current month) to a minimum of 5% of the total current impound capacity. The initial maximum infiltration rate is ~67 bwpd per acre-ft of stored water. The maximum infiltration rate declines at a rate of ~1.68% per month during the life of the reservoir.

**Maximum Exfiltration:** The amount of water, in acre-ft, expected to be lost to the combination of evaporation and infiltration.

Actual Exfiltration: This is the minimum of the maximum exfiltration and the amount of water delivered to the reservoirs that month plus the amount of water remaining in the reservoirs from the previous month.

**Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation:** The rate of loss for each month, in bwpd and acre-ft, for which produced water is managed by irrigation. The Kane 01-7-5781 reservoir will be dewatered in order to irrigate a predetermined area, 180.06 acres, using a prescribed application rate, 16 inches per year, and a prescribed irrigation period, April 1<sup>st</sup> through September 30<sup>th</sup>.



Page 2 of 4

# Months End Results:

**Change in Storage:** The change in available storage capacity, in acre-ft, from the end of the previous month to the end of the current month. Negative numbers indicate a decrease in available storage while positive numbers indicate an increase in available storage.

**Available Storage:** Storage volumes, in acre-ft, available at the end of each month. This value depends upon the available storage of the previous month and all inflows and losses for the month.

**Amount of Water in the Reservoirs:** The difference between the total reservoir capacity and the available capacity.

% of Existing Storage Available: The available storage divided by the total reservoir capacity in percent form. Generally, a minimum monthly excess capacity of ~10% is maintained during the life of the permit.

# "Amount of CBNG Water in Reservoirs" Graph:

This is a graphical representation of the "Amount of Water in the Reservoirs" column. The units of the vertical axis are acre-ft and the units of the horizontal axis are months (typically 0-180 representing 15 years of time). The brown line represents the existing total reservoir storage capabilities of the project. This line is generally horizontal, but my increase in a stair-step fashion as more reservoirs become online. The blue line represents the expected volume of water inside the reservoirs at the end of the month. This line may become a flat line at the bottom of the graph through several months. This indicates that in the water balance table, water is able to exfiltrate from the reservoirs at a greater rate than the water is being delivered to the reservoirs. In actuality, a negligible amount of water will inevitably remain within a small wetted perimeter present in each reservoir since there will be a constant inflow of CBNG water, but this amount of water is small enough as to not affect the integrity of the water balance.



Page 3 of 4

# **Conservatism of the Model:**

The attached water balance model developed by CBM Associates, Inc. (CBMA) of Laramie, Wyoming is considered to be conservative based upon the following assumptions:

- Coal seam water production decline curves and the corresponding production values are chosen to slightly over predict the amount of water that will actually be produced.
- Production values are based upon the initial production rate for that month and remain constant throughout the entire month when in actuality there would be a continuous decline in production throughout the month.
- All evaporation rates from pan evaporation studies have been decreased by 30%.
- All reservoirs are assumed to be deep channel reservoirs. The conversion from reservoir capacity to reservoir surface area comes from an analysis of deep channel reservoirs. If the reservoirs exist in shallow channels or playas the conversion factor is significantly increased.
- The initial reservoir infiltration rate is derived from a study in the Prairie Dog Creek area, where studies from other areas report significantly larger infiltration rates.
- Reservoir infiltration rates decline at a constant rate throughout the life of the reservoir.
- Reservoir infiltration and evaporation rates are based upon the stored volume of CBNG water rather than the entire capacity.



Page 4 of 4

67.2

Initial reservoir infiltration rate (bwpd/acre-ft)

# Project: Sheridan State Phase I

Prepared for:Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating CorporationPrepared by:CBM Associates, Inc.Prepared on:12/7/2006

PROJECT RE	ESERVOIR CA	PACITY
Projec	ct Containment	
EXISTING	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
AVAILABLE	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
POTENTIAL	185.9	(ac-ft)
TOTAL	295.9	(ac-ft)

											1.68%	1.68% Monthly reservoir infiltation decline rate							
		[	Wells Activated/mo.		Provided	Calculated	]				0	Average Existin	ig Reservoir Ag	ge (months)			Results	for months end	
Year	Month	#	Project Wells	Total Wells	Daily Production	Monthly Production	Action (reservoir name)	Exist/ Added Impound Capacity	Total Impound Capacity	Maximum Evaporation	Maximum Infiltration	Maximum Exfiltration	Actual Exfiltration	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Change in Storage	Available Storage	Amount of Water in Reservoirs	% of Existing Storage Available
15	=SUM=	180	19	19	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)		(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	%
2007	Initial State	0															110.0	0.0	100.0%
2007	Мау	1	19	19	16,286	65.1	Reservoir from Phase I Online	110.0	110.0	3.0	17.5	20.5	20.5	20.4	5,103.1	-24.2	85.8	24.2	78.0%
2007	June	2		19	15,634	60.5			110.0	4.6	21.6	26.2	26.2	19.7	5,103.1	-14.5	71.3	38.7	64.8%
2007	July	3		19	15,009	60.0			110.0	7.1	25.6	32.7	32.7	20.4	5,103.1	-6.9	64.4	45.6	58.6%
2007	August	4		19	14,409	57.6			110.0	7.1	26.3	33.4	33.4	20.4	5,103.1	-3.8	60.6	49.4	55.1%
2007	September	5		19	13,832	53.5			110.0	4.6	25.0	29.6	29.6	19.7	5,103.1	-4.2	56.4	53.6	51.3%
2007	October	6		19	13,279	53.1			110.0	3.4	26.3	29.7	29.7	0.0	0.0	-23.4	33.0	77.0	30.0%
2007	November	7		19	12,748	49.3	Reservoirs from Phase II Online	185.9	295.9	2.2	31.6	33.8	33.8	0.0	0.0	170.4	203.4	92.5	68.7%
2007	December	8		19	12,238	48.9			295.9	0.0	35.9	35.9	35.9	0.0	0.0	-13.0	190.4	105.5	64.4%
2008	January	9		19	11,748	46.9			295.9	0.0	38.1	38.1	38.1	0.0	0.0	-8.9	181.6	114.3	61.4%
2008	February	10		19	11,278	40.7			295.9	0.0	34.4	34.4	34.4	0.0	0.0	-6.3	175.3	120.6	59.2%
2008	March	11		19	10,827	43.3			295.9	0.0	39.6	39.6	39.6	0.0	0.0	-3.7	171.6	124.3	58.0%
2008	April	12		19	10,394	40.2			295.9	5.4	37.8	43.2	43.2	19.7	5,103.1	22.7	194.3	101.6	65.7%
2008	Мау	13		19	9,978	39.9			295.9	6.6	33.0	39.6	39.6	20.4	5,103.1	20.1	214.4	81.5	72.5%
2008	June	14		19	9,579	37.0			295.9	6.5	26.3	32.8	32.8	19.7	5,103.1	15.5	229.9	66.0	77.7%
2008	July	15		19	9,196	36.7			295.9	7.4	23.2	30.6	30.6	20.4	5,103.1	14.2	244.1	51.8	82.5%
2008	August	16		19	8,828	35.3			295.9	6.0	19.3	25.3	25.3	20.4	5,103.1	10.4	254.5	41.4	86.0%
2008	September	17		19	8,475	32.8			295.9	3.3	15.7	19.0	19.0	19.7	5,103.1	5.9	260.4	35.5	88.0%
2008	October	18		19	8,136	32.5			295.9	2.2	14.6	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	-15.8	244.6	51.3	82.7%
2008	November	19		19	7,811	30.2			295.9	1.4	16.6	18.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	-12.2	232.5	63.4	78.6%
2008	December	20		19	7,498	30.0			295.9	0.0	19.4	19.4	19.4	0.0	0.0	-10.6	221.9	74.0	75.0%
2009	January	21		19	7,198	28.8			295.9	0.0	20.9	20.9	20.9	0.0	0.0	-7.8	214.0	81.9	72.3%
2009	February	22		19	6,910	24.9			295.9	0.0	19.3	19.3	19.3	0.0	0.0	-5.6	208.4	87.5	70.4%
2009	March	23		19	6,634	26.5			295.9	0.0	22.4	22.4	22.4	0.0	0.0	-4.1	204.4	91.5	69.1%
2009	April	24		19	6,369	24.6			295.9	3.8	21.8	25.6	25.6	19.7	5,103.1	20.7	225.0	70.9	76.1%
2009	Мау	25		19	6,305	25.2			295.9	4.5	18.3	22.7	22.7	20.4	5,103.1	17.9	243.0	52.9	82.1%
2009	June	26		19	6,242	24.1			295.9	4.2	14.0	18.1	18.1	19.7	5,103.1	13.7	256.7	39.2	86.8%
2009	July	27		19	6,179	24.7			295.9	4.6	11.7	16.3	16.3	20.4	5,103.1	12.0	268.8	27.1	90.8%
2009	August	28		19	6,118	24.4			295.9	3.5	9.3	12.9	12.9	20.4	5,103.1	8.8	277.6	18.3	93.8%
2009	September	29		19	6,056	23.4			295.9	1.9	7.2	9.1	9.1	19.7	5,103.1	5.4	282.9	13.0	95.6%
2009	October	30		19	5,996	24.0			295.9	1.2	6.5	7.6	7.6	0.0	0.0	-16.3	266.6	29.3	90.1%

# Project: Sheridan State Phase I

Prepared for:Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating CorporationPrepared by:CBM Associates, Inc.Prepared on:12/7/2006

PROJECT RE	ESERVOIR CA	PACITY
Projec	ct Containment	
EXISTING	110.0	(ac-ft)@sta
AVAILABLE	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
POTENTIAL	185.9	(ac-ft)
TOTAL	295.9	(ac-ft)

			Wells Activated/mo.		Provided	Calculated	]				0 Average Existing Reservoir Age (months)			Results for months end					
Year	Month	#	Project Wells	Total Wells	Daily Production	Monthly Production	Action (reservoir name)	Exist/ Added Impound Capacity	Total Impound Capacity	Maximum Evaporation	Maximum Infiltration	Maximum Exfiltration	Actual Exfiltration	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Change in Storage	Available Storage	Amount of Water in Reservoirs	% of Existing Storage Available
15	=SUM=	180	19	19	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)		(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	%
2009	November	31		19	5,936	23.0			295.9	0.9	8.7	9.6	9.6	0.0	0.0	-13.4	253.3	42.6	85.6%
2009	December	32		19	5,877	23.5			295.9	0.0	11.2	11.2	11.2	0.0	0.0	-12.3	240.9	55.0	81.4%
2010	January	33		19	5,818	23.2			295.9	0.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	-10.3	230.7	65.2	78.0%
2010	February	34		19	5,760	20.8			295.9	0.0	12.7	12.7	12.7	0.0	0.0	-8.1	222.6	73.3	75.2%
2010	March	35		19	5,702	22.8			295.9	0.0	15.4	15.4	15.4	0.0	0.0	-7.4	215.2	80.7	72.7%
2010	April	36		19	5,645	21.8			295.9	3.4	15.7	19.0	19.0	19.7	5,103.1	16.9	232.2	63.7	78.5%
2010	Мау	37		19	5,589	22.3			295.9	4.0	13.4	17.4	17.4	20.4	5,103.1	15.4	247.6	48.3	83.7%
2010	June	38		19	5,533	21.4			295.9	3.8	10.3	14.1	14.1	19.7	5,103.1	12.4	260.0	35.9	87.9%
2010	July	39		19	5,477	21.9			295.9	4.1	8.7	12.8	12.8	20.4	5,103.1	11.3	271.3	24.6	91.7%
2010	August	40		19	5,423	21.7			295.9	3.2	6.8	10.0	10.0	20.4	5,103.1	8.7	280.0	15.9	94.6%
2010	September	41		19	5,368	20.8			295.9	1.6	5.1	6.8	6.8	19.7	5,103.1	5.8	285.8	10.1	96.6%
2010	October	42		19	5,315	21.2			295.9	1.0	4.5	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	-15.8	270.0	25.9	91.3%
2010	November	43		19	5,262	20.3			295.9	0.8	6.3	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	-13.3	256.8	39.1	86.8%
2010	December	44		19	5,209	20.8			295.9	0.0	8.3	8.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	-12.5	244.2	51.7	82.5%
2011	January	45		19	5,157	20.6			295.9	0.0	9.8	9.8	9.8	0.0	0.0	-10.8	233.4	62.5	78.9%
2011	February	46		19	5,105	18.4			295.9	0.0	9.7	9.7	9.7	0.0	0.0	-8.7	224.7	71.2	75.9%
2011	March	47		19	5,054	20.2			295.9	0.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	-8.2	216.5	79.4	73.2%
2011	April	48		19	5,004	19.3			295.9	3.2	12.3	15.5	15.5	19.7	5,103.1	15.9	232.4	63.5	78.5%
2011	Мау	49		19	4,954	19.8			295.9	3.9	10.5	14.4	14.4	20.4	5,103.1	15.0	247.4	48.5	83.6%
2011	June	50		19	4,904	19.0			295.9	3.7	8.1	11.8	11.8	19.7	5,103.1	12.6	260.0	35.9	87.9%
2011	July	51		19	4,855	19.4			295.9	4.0	6.8	10.7	10.7	20.4	5,103.1	11.7	271.7	24.2	91.8%
2011	August	52		19	4,807	19.2			295.9	3.0	5.2	8.2	8.2	20.4	5,103.1	9.4	281.1	14.8	95.0%
2011	September	53		19	4,758	18.4			295.9	1.5	3.8	5.3	5.3	19.7	5,103.1	6.6	287.7	8.2	97.2%
2011	October	54		19	4,711	18.8			295.9	0.9	3.1	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	-14.8	272.9	23.0	92.2%
2011	November	55		19	4,664	18.0			295.9	0.7	4.5	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	-12.8	260.1	35.8	87.9%
2011	December	56		19	4,617	18.4			295.9	0.0	6.1	6.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	-12.4	247.8	48.1	83.7%
2012	January	57		19	4,571	18.3			295.9	0.0	7.3	7.3	7.3	0.0	0.0	-10.9	236.8	59.1	80.0%
2012	February	58		19	4,525	16.3			295.9	0.0	7.4	7.4	7.4	0.0	0.0	-8.9	227.9	68.0	77.0%
2012	March	59		19	4,480	17.9			295.9	0.0	9.2	9.2	9.2	0.0	0.0	-8.7	219.2	76.7	74.1%
2012	April	60		19	4,435	17.1			295.9	3.1	9.5	12.6	12.6	19.7	5,103.1	15.2	234.4	61.5	79.2%
2012	Мау	61		19	4,391	17.5			295.9	3.7	8.2	11.8	11.8	20.4	5,103.1	14.7	249.1	46.8	84.2%
2012	June	62		19	4,347	16.8			295.9	3.5	6.3	9.7	9.7	19.7	5,103.1	12.6	261.7	34.2	88.4%

# Project: Sheridan State Phase I

Prepared for:Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating CorporationPrepared by:CBM Associates, Inc.Prepared on:12/7/2006

PROJECT RE	ESERVOIR CA	PACITY
Projec	ct Containment	
EXISTING	110.0	(ac-ft)@sta
AVAILABLE	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
POTENTIAL	185.9	(ac-ft)
TOTAL	295.9	(ac-ft)

			Wells Activated/mo.	]	Provided	Calculated	]				0	Average Existin	ng Reservoir A	ge (months)			Results	for months end	
Year	Month	#	Project Wells	Total Wells	Daily Production	Monthly Production	Action (reservoir name)	Exist/ Added Impound Capacity	Total Impound Capacity	Maximum Evaporation	Maximum Infiltration	Maximum Exfiltration	Actual Exfiltration	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Change in Storage	Available Storage	Amount of Water in Reservoirs	% of Existing Storage Available
15	=SUM=	180	19	19	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)		(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	%
2012	July	63		19	4,303	17.2			295.9	3.7	5.1	8.8	8.8	20.4	5,103.1	12.0	273.7	22.2	92.5%
2012	August	64		19	4,260	17.0			295.9	2.7	3.8	6.5	6.5	20.4	5,103.1	9.9	283.6	12.3	95.8%
2012	September	65		19	4,218	16.3			295.9	1.3	2.7	4.0	4.0	19.7	5,103.1	7.4	291.0	4.9	98.3%
2012	October	66		19	4,176	16.7			295.9	0.7	2.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	-14.0	277.0	18.9	93.6%
2012	November	67		19	4,134	16.0			295.9	0.6	3.1	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	-12.2	264.8	31.1	89.5%
2012	December	68		19	4,093	16.4			295.9	0.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	-12.0	252.8	43.1	85.4%
2013	January	69		19	4,052	16.2			295.9	0.0	5.3	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	-10.8	242.0	53.9	81.8%
2013	February	70		19	4,011	14.5			295.9	0.0	5.5	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	-9.0	233.0	62.9	78.7%
2013	March	71		19	3,971	15.9			295.9	0.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	0.0	0.0	-9.0	224.0	71.9	75.7%
2013	April	72		19	3,931	15.2			295.9	2.9	7.2	10.1	10.1	19.7	5,103.1	14.6	238.6	57.3	80.6%
2013	Мау	73		19	3,892	15.6			295.9	3.4	6.1	9.5	9.5	20.4	5,103.1	14.4	252.9	43.0	85.5%
2013	June	74		19	3,853	14.9			295.9	3.2	4.6	7.8	7.8	19.7	5,103.1	12.6	265.6	30.3	89.7%
2013	July	75		19	3,815	15.2			295.9	3.3	3.7	7.0	7.0	20.4	5,103.1	12.1	277.7	18.2	93.8%
2013	August	76		19	3,776	15.1			295.9	2.3	2.7	4.9	4.9	20.4	5,103.1	10.2	287.9	8.0	97.3%
2013	September	77		19	3,739	14.5			295.9	1.0	1.7	2.7	2.7	19.7	5,103.1	8.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2013	October	78		19	3,701	14.8			295.9	0.5	1.1	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	-13.2	282.7	13.2	95.5%
2013	November	79		19	3,664	14.2			295.9	0.5	2.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	-11.7	271.0	24.9	91.6%
2013	December	80		19	3,628	14.5			295.9	0.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	-11.6	259.5	36.4	87.7%
2014	January	81		19	3,591	14.3			295.9	0.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	-10.6	248.9	47.0	84.1%
2014	February	82		19	3,555	12.8			295.9	0.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	-8.9	239.9	56.0	81.1%
2014	March	83		19	3,520	14.1			295.9	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	-9.1	230.9	65.0	78.0%
2014	April	84		19	3,485	13.5			295.9	2.6	5.3	7.9	7.9	19.7	5,103.1	14.1	245.0	50.9	82.8%
2014	Мау	85		19	3,450	13.8			295.9	3.0	4.4	7.4	7.4	20.4	5,103.1	14.1	259.1	36.8	87.5%
2014	June	86		19	3,415	13.2			295.9	2.7	3.3	6.0	6.0	19.7	5,103.1	12.5	271.6	24.3	91.8%
2014	July	87		19	3,381	13.5			295.9	2.7	2.5	5.2	5.2	20.4	5,103.1	12.1	283.7	12.2	95.9%
2014	August	88		19	3,347	13.4			295.9	1.8	1.7	3.4	3.4	20.4	5,103.1	10.4	294.1	1.8	99.4%
2014	September	89		19	3,314	12.8			295.9	0.7	0.9	1.6	1.6	19.7	5,103.1	1.8	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2014	October	90		19	3,281	13.1			295.9	0.5	0.9	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	-11.7	284.2	11.7	96.0%
2014	November	91		19	3,248	12.6			295.9	0.4	1.5	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	-10.7	273.5	22.4	92.4%
2014	December	92		19	3,215	12.8			295.9	0.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	-10.7	262.8	33.1	88.8%
2015	January	93		19	3,183	12.7			295.9	0.0	2.7	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	-10.0	252.8	43.1	85.4%
2015	February	94		19	3,151	11.4			295.9	0.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	-8.5	244.4	51.5	82.6%

# Project: Sheridan State Phase I

Prepared for:Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating CorporationPrepared by:CBM Associates, Inc.Prepared on:12/7/2006

PROJECT RE	ESERVOIR CA	PACITY
Projec	ct Containment	
EXISTING	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
AVAILABLE	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
POTENTIAL	185.9	(ac-ft)
TOTAL	295.9	(ac-ft)

			Wells Activated/mo.	]	Provided	Calculated	]				0	Average Existin	ng Reservoir A	ge (months)			Results	for months end	
Year	Month	#	Project Wells	Total Wells	Daily Production	Monthly Production	Action (reservoir name)	Exist/ Added Impound Capacity	Total Impound Capacity	Maximum Evaporation	Maximum Infiltration	Maximum Exfiltration	Actual Exfiltration	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Change in Storage	Available Storage	Amount of Water in Reservoirs	% of Existing Storage Available
15	=SUM=	180	19	19	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)		(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	%
2015	March	95		19	3,120	12.5			295.9	0.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	-8.8	235.6	60.3	79.6%
2015	April	96		19	3,089	11.9			295.9	2.4	4.0	6.4	6.4	19.7	5,103.1	14.1	249.7	46.2	84.4%
2015	Мау	97		19	3,058	12.2			295.9	2.7	3.3	6.0	6.0	20.4	5,103.1	14.2	263.9	32.0	89.2%
2015	June	98		19	3,027	11.7			295.9	2.4	2.3	4.7	4.7	19.7	5,103.1	12.7	276.6	19.3	93.5%
2015	July	99		19	2,997	12.0			295.9	2.2	1.7	3.9	3.9	20.4	5,103.1	12.3	289.0	6.9	97.7%
2015	August	100		19	2,967	11.9			295.9	1.3	1.0	2.3	2.3	20.4	5,103.1	6.9	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2015	September	101		19	2,937	11.4			295.9	0.7	0.7	1.4	1.4	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2015	October	102		19	2,908	11.6			295.9	0.5	0.8	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	-10.4	285.5	10.4	96.5%
2015	November	103		19	2,879	11.1			295.9	0.4	1.1	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	-9.7	275.8	20.1	93.2%
2015	December	104		19	2,850	11.4			295.9	0.0	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	-9.8	266.0	29.9	89.9%
2016	January	105		19	2,822	11.3			295.9	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	-9.3	256.7	39.2	86.8%
2016	February	106		19	2,793	10.1			295.9	0.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	-7.9	248.8	47.1	84.1%
2016	March	107		19	2,765	11.0			295.9	0.0	2.7	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	-8.3	240.5	55.4	81.3%
2016	April	108		19	2,738	10.6			295.9	2.2	3.0	5.1	5.1	19.7	5,103.1	14.3	254.8	41.1	86.1%
2016	Мау	109		19	2,710	10.8			295.9	2.4	2.4	4.8	4.8	20.4	5,103.1	14.3	269.1	26.8	90.9%
2016	June	110		19	2,683	10.4			295.9	2.0	1.6	3.6	3.6	19.7	5,103.1	13.0	282.1	13.8	95.3%
2016	July	111		19	2,656	10.6			295.9	1.8	1.1	2.8	2.8	20.4	5,103.1	12.6	294.7	1.2	99.6%
2016	August	112		19	2,630	10.5			295.9	1.0	0.6	1.7	1.7	20.4	5,103.1	1.2	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2016	September	113		19	2,604	10.1			295.9	0.7	0.6	1.3	1.3	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2016	October	114		19	2,578	10.3			295.9	0.5	0.6	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	-9.2	286.7	9.2	96.9%
2016	November	115		19	2,552	9.9			295.9	0.3	0.8	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	-8.8	277.9	18.0	93.9%
2016	December	116		19	2,526	10.1			295.9	0.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	-9.0	269.0	26.9	90.9%
2017	January	117		19	2,501	10.0			295.9	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	-8.5	260.4	35.5	88.0%
2017	February	118		19	2,476	8.9			295.9	0.0	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	-7.4	253.1	42.8	85.5%
2017	March	119		19	2,451	9.8			295.9	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	-7.8	245.3	50.6	82.9%
2017	April	120		19	2,427	9.4			295.9	2.0	2.2	4.2	4.2	19.7	5,103.1	14.5	259.8	36.1	87.8%
2017	Мау	121		19	2,402	9.6			295.9	2.1	1.7	3.8	3.8	20.4	5,103.1	14.6	274.4	21.5	92.7%
2017	June	122		19	2,378	9.2			295.9	1.7	1.1	2.8	2.8	19.7	5,103.1	13.3	287.7	8.2	97.2%
2017	July	123		19	2,355	9.4			295.9	1.3	0.6	1.9	1.9	20.4	5,103.1	8.2	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2017	August	124		19	2,331	9.3			295.9	1.0	0.5	1.5	1.5	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2017	September	125		19	2,308	8.9			295.9	0.7	0.5	1.2	1.2	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2017	October	126		19	2,285	9.1			295.9	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	-8.2	287.7	8.2	97.2%

# Project: Sheridan State Phase I

Prepared for:Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating CorporationPrepared by:CBM Associates, Inc.Prepared on:12/7/2006

PROJECT RE	ESERVOIR CA	PACITY
Projec	ct Containment	
EXISTING	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
AVAILABLE	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
POTENTIAL	185.9	(ac-ft)
TOTAL	295.9	(ac-ft)

		[	Wells Activated/mo.		Provided	Calculated	]				0	Average Existing Reservoir Age (months)				Results for months end			
Year	Month	#	Project Wells	Total Wells	Daily Production	Monthly Production	Action (reservoir name)	Exist/ Added Impound Capacity	Total Impound Capacity	Maximum Evaporation	Maximum Infiltration	Maximum Exfiltration	Actual Exfiltration	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Change in Storage	Available Storage	Amount of Water in Reservoirs	% of Existing Storage Available
15	=SUM=	180	19	19	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)		(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	%
2017	November	127		19	2,262	8.7			295.9	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-7.9	279.8	16.1	94.6%
2017	December	128		19	2,239	8.9			295.9	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-8.1	271.7	24.2	91.8%
2018	January	129		19	2,217	8.9			295.9	0.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	-7.8	263.9	32.0	89.2%
2018	February	130		19	2,195	7.9			295.9	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	-6.8	257.2	38.7	86.9%
2018	March	131		19	2,173	8.7			295.9	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	-7.2	250.0	45.9	84.5%
2018	April	132		19	2,151	8.3			295.9	1.8	1.6	3.4	3.4	19.7	5,103.1	14.8	264.8	31.1	89.5%
2018	Мау	133		19	2,130	8.5			295.9	1.8	1.2	3.0	3.0	20.4	5,103.1	14.9	279.7	16.2	94.5%
2018	June	134		19	2,108	8.2			295.9	1.3	0.7	2.0	2.0	19.7	5,103.1	13.6	293.3	2.6	99.1%
2018	July	135		19	2,087	8.3			295.9	1.1	0.4	1.5	1.5	20.4	5,103.1	2.6	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2018	August	136		19	2,066	8.3			295.9	1.0	0.4	1.4	1.4	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2018	September	137		19	2,046	7.9			295.9	0.7	0.4	1.1	1.1	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2018	October	138		19	2,025	8.1			295.9	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	-7.2	288.7	7.2	97.6%
2018	November	139		19	2,005	7.8			295.9	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	-7.1	281.6	14.3	95.2%
2018	December	140		19	1,985	7.9			295.9	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	-7.3	274.3	21.6	92.7%
2019	January	141		19	1,965	7.9			295.9	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-7.1	267.2	28.7	90.3%
2019	February	142		19	1,945	7.0			295.9	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-6.2	261.0	34.9	88.2%
2019	March	143		19	1,926	7.7			295.9	0.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	-6.6	254.4	41.5	86.0%
2019	April	144		19	1,907	7.4			295.9	1.6	1.2	2.8	2.8	19.7	5,103.1	15.2	269.6	26.3	91.1%
2019	Мау	145		19	1,888	7.5			295.9	1.6	0.8	2.4	2.4	20.4	5,103.1	15.3	284.8	11.1	96.3%
2019	June	146		19	1,869	7.2			295.9	1.0	0.4	1.4	1.4	19.7	5,103.1	11.1	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2019	July	147		19	1,850	7.4			295.9	1.1	0.4	1.4	1.4	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2019	August	148		19	1,832	7.3			295.9	1.0	0.3	1.4	1.4	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2019	September	149		19	1,813	7.0			295.9	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.0	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2019	October	150		19	1,795	7.2			295.9	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-6.4	289.5	6.4	97.8%
2019	November	151		19	1,777	6.9			295.9	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	-6.3	283.2	12.7	95.7%
2019	December	152		19	1,759	7.0			295.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	-6.6	276.6	19.3	93.5%
2020	January	153		19	1,742	7.0			295.9	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	-6.4	270.2	25.7	91.3%
2020	February	154		19	1,724	6.2			295.9	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	-5.6	264.6	31.3	89.4%
2020	March	155		19	1,707	6.8			295.9	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-6.0	258.6	37.3	87.4%
2020	April	156		19	1,690	6.5			295.9	1.4	0.9	2.3	2.3	19.7	5,103.1	15.5	274.1	21.8	92.6%
2020	Мау	157		19	1,673	6.7			295.9	1.3	0.6	1.9	1.9	20.4	5,103.1	15.6	289.7	6.2	97.9%
2020	June	158		19	1,656	6.4			295.9	0.8	0.3	1.1	1.1	19.7	5,103.1	6.2	295.9	0.0	100.0%

# Project: Sheridan State Phase I

Prepared for:Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating CorporationPrepared by:CBM Associates, Inc.Prepared on:12/7/2006

PROJECT RE	ESERVOIR CA	PACITY
Proje	ct Containment	
EXISTING	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
AVAILABLE	110.0	(ac-ft)@star
POTENTIAL	185.9	(ac-ft)
TOTAL	295.9	(ac-ft)

			Wells Activated/mo.		Provided	Calculated					0	Average Existir	ng Reservoir A	ge (months)			Results	for months end	
Year	Month	#	Project Wells	Total Wells	Daily Production	Monthly Production	Action (reservoir name)	Exist/ Added Impound Capacity	Total Impound Capacity	Maximum Evaporation	Maximum Infiltration	Maximum Exfiltration	Actual Exfiltration	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Dewatering Reservoir for Irrigation	Change in Storage	Available Storage	Amount of Water in Reservoirs	% of Existing Storage Available
15	=SUM=	180	19	19	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)		(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(bwpd)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	%
2020	July	159		19	1,640	6.6			295.9	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.4	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2020	August	160		19	1,623	6.5			295.9	1.0	0.3	1.3	1.3	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2020	September	161		19	1,607	6.2			295.9	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.9	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2020	October	162		19	1,591	6.4			295.9	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	-5.6	290.3	5.6	98.1%
2020	November	163		19	1,575	6.1			295.9	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	-5.6	284.7	11.2	96.2%
2020	December	164		19	1,559	6.2			295.9	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	-5.9	278.8	17.1	94.2%
2021	January	165		19	1,544	6.2			295.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	-5.8	273.0	22.9	92.3%
2021	February	166		19	1,528	5.5			295.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	-5.1	268.0	27.9	90.6%
2021	March	167		19	1,513	6.0			295.9	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	-5.5	262.5	33.4	88.7%
2021	April	168		19	1,498	5.8			295.9	1.3	0.6	1.9	1.9	19.7	5,103.1	15.9	278.4	17.5	94.1%
2021	Мау	169		19	1,483	5.9			295.9	1.1	0.4	1.5	1.5	20.4	5,103.1	15.9	294.3	1.6	99.5%
2021	June	170		19	1,468	5.7			295.9	0.8	0.2	1.0	1.0	19.7	5,103.1	1.6	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2021	July	171		19	1,454	5.8			295.9	1.1	0.2	1.3	1.3	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2021	August	172		19	1,439	5.7			295.9	1.0	0.2	1.2	1.2	20.4	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2021	September	173		19	1,425	5.5			295.9	0.7	0.2	0.9	0.9	19.7	5,103.1	0.0	295.9	0.0	100.0%
2021	October	174		19	1,410	5.6			295.9	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	-4.9	291.0	4.9	98.3%
2021	November	175		19	1,396	5.4			295.9	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	-4.9	286.0	9.9	96.7%
2021	December	176		19	1,382	5.5			295.9	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	-5.3	280.7	15.2	94.9%
2022	January	177		19	1,368	5.5			295.9	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	-5.2	275.6	20.3	93.1%
2022	February	178		19	1,355	4.9			295.9	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	-4.6	271.0	24.9	91.6%
2022	March	179		19	1,341	5.4			295.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	-4.9	266.1	29.8	89.9%
2022	April	180		19	1,328	5.1			295.9	1.1	0.5	1.6	1.6	19.7	5,103.1	16.2	282.3	13.6	95.4%



#### Sheridan State Phase1 Area Watersheds

Storm Cat Energy (USA) Operating Corporation

#### Storm Runoff Calculations using SCS and HEC-HMS

Soils C CN = 75 Ia = 0.666666667 in.

Reservoir Name	Watershed Hydraulic Length Area of Watershed		Basin Slope	Time of Concentration	SCS Lag Time	Reservoir Capacity	Estimated Precipitation Level for 50-yr Event	HEC-HMS Calculated Runoff from 50-yr Event
	(sq mi)	(feet)	(%)	(min)	(min)	(acre-ft)	(in.)	(acre-ft)
Kane 01-7-5781	0.0429	589.5	12.1	1.16	0.70	110.0	3.0	2.20

#### SCE - Sheridan State #1 Freeboard Volume Calculations

					Excess
				Freeboard	Freeboard
Reservoir Name		Reservoir Surface		Capacity*	Capacity after a
	50-yr, 24-hr	Area at High Water	Height of	(height x HWL	50-yr, 24-hr
	Storm Volume	Line (HWL)	Freeboard	area)	Storm Event
	(acre-ft)	(acres)	(ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)
Kane 01-7-5781	2.2	6.4	2.0	12.8	10.6

\* This is a conservative estimate of freeboard capacity since it ignores the increase in reservoir surface area as the water line raises from the high water line to 2 ft above the high water line.



MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy **Client:** Site Name: Prairie\_Dog **Project:** Production\_Well\_Sample Samp FRQ/Type: OT Client Sample ID: PW\_49\_033\_22687\_13\_22\_57\_83CR Location:

Lab ID: G03120080-001 **Report Date:** 12/16/03 **Collection Date:** 12/04/03 10:45 DateReceived: 12/05/03 Sampled By: Dan Freeland Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 24161

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
FIELD PARAMETERS							
pH, field	7.60	s.u.				FIELD	12/04/03 10:45 / ***
*** Performed by Sampler							
MAJOR IONS							
Bicarbonate as HCO3	1980	mg/L		5		A2320 B	12/08/03 09:47 / mli
Chloride	10	mg/L		1		E300.0	12/08/03 10:17 / mli
Fluoride	2.3	mg/L		0.1		E300.0	12/08/03 10:17 / mli
Sulfate	ND	mg/L		1		E300.0	12/08/03 10:17 / mli
Calcium	9	mg/L	D	2		E200.7	12/15/03 17:12 / rlh
Magnesium	3	mg/L		1		E200.7	12/15/03 17:12 / rlh
Potassium	12	mg/L		1		E200.7	12/08/03 21:18 / rlh
Sodium	719	mg/L	D	2		E200.7	12/15/03 17:12 / rlh
MAJOR IONS - MILLIEQUIVALENTS							
Calcium, meq	0.44	meq/L		0.05		E200.7	12/15/03 17:12 / rlh
Magnesium, meq	0.25	meq/L		0.08		E200.7	12/15/03 17:12 / rlh
Sodium, meq	31.3	meq/L		0.04		E200.7	12/15/03 17:12 / rlh
METALS, DISSOLVED							
Boron	194	ug/L		100		E200.7	12/08/03 21:18 / rlh
Cadmium	ND	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	12/08/03 20:59 / car
Chromium	2	ug/L		1		E200.8	12/08/03 20:59 / car
Copper	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	12/08/03 20:59 / car
Iron	267	ug/L		30		E200.7	12/08/03 21:18 / rlh
Lead	ND	ug/L		2		E200.8	12/09/03 22:37 / jw
Manganese	22	ug/L		10		E200.7	12/08/03 21:18 / rlh
Mercury	ND	ug/L		0.06		E200.8	12/09/03 22:37 / jw
Nickel	ND	ug/L		10		E200.8	12/08/03 20:59 / car
Silver	ND	ug/L		3		E200.8	12/08/03 20:59 / car
Zinc	18	ug/L		10		E200.7	12/08/03 21:18 / rlh
METALS, TOTAL							
Barium	960	ug/L		100		E200.7	12/11/03 10:55 / rlh
Iron	2400	ug/L		30		E200.7	12/11/03 10:55 / rlh
Manganese	24	ug/L		10		E200.7	12/11/03 10:55 / rlh
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Aluminum	82	ug/L		50		E200.8	12/09/03 17:50 / jw
Antimony	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	12/09/03 17:50 / jw
Araania	ND	ua/l		01		E200.8	12/11/03 15:03 / iw

RL - Analyte reporting limit. Report Definitions:

QCL - Quality control limit.

D - RL increased due to sample matrix interference.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Prairie\_Dog Project: Production\_Well\_Sample Samp FRQ/Type: OT Client Sample ID: PW\_49\_033\_22687\_13\_22\_57\_83CR Location: Lab ID: G03120080-001 Report Date: 12/16/03 Collection Date: 12/04/03 10:45 DateReceived: 12/05/03 Sampled By: Dan Freeland Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 24161

Analyses	Result	Units Q	<sup>ualifiers</sup> RL	QCL Method	Analysis Date / By
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE					
Beryllium	ND	ug/L	0.03	E200.8	12/11/03 15:03 / jw
Selenium	ND	ug/L	5	E200.8	12/09/03 17:50 / jw
Thallium	ND	ug/L	1	E200.8	12/10/03 18:13 / jw
NON-METALS					
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	1620	mg/L	5	A2320 B	12/08/03 09:47 / mli
Conductivity @ 25 C	2740	umhos/cm	1	A2510 B	12/05/03 13:27 / daa
Cyanide, Total Automated	ND	ug/L	5	E335.3	12/08/03 13:59 / kp
Hardness as CaCO3	35	mg/L	10	A2340 B	12/16/03 12:17 / cw
Phenolics, Total Recoverable	ND	ug/L	10	E420.2	12/09/03 10:32 / kp
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	53.2	unitless	0.1	Calculation	12/16/03 12:17 / cw
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	1770	mg/L	10	A2540 C	12/05/03 10:35 / mli
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/L	1.0	SW1664A	12/10/03 12:12 / aps
DATA QUALITY					
A/C Balance	-0.87	%		A1030 E	12/16/03 12:14 / cw
Anions	32.8	meq/L	0.01	A1030 E	12/16/03 12:14 / cw
Cations	32.3	meq/L	0.01	A1030 E	12/16/03 12:14 / cw
RADIOCHEMICAL					
Radium 226	ND	pCi/L	0.2	E903.0M	12/15/03 11:53 / df

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Prairie\_Dog Project: Produced\_Water\_Sampling Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_11\_22\_57\_83M Location: NESW\_22\_57N\_83W Lab ID: G04010184-001 Report Date: 02/26/04 Collection Date: 01/14/04 15:25 DateReceived: 01/15/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 25797

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
FIELD PARAMETERS							
pH. field	7.80	s.u.				FIELD	01/14/04 15:25 / ***
*** Performed by Sampler							
MAJOR IONS							
Bicarbonate as HCO3	1470	mg/L		5		A2320 B	01/22/04 10:27 / mli
Chloride	12	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/16/04 13:07 / mli
Fluoride	2.3	mg/L		0.1		E300.0	01/16/04 13:07 / mli
Sulfate	5	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/16/04 13:07 / mli
Calcium	5	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/04 13:17 / rlh
Magnesium	2	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/04 13:17 / rlh
Potassium	9	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/26/04 16:26 / rlh
Sodium	504	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/26/04 16:26 / rlh
MAJOR IONS - MILLIEQUIVALENTS							
Calcium, meg	0.27	meg/L		0.05		E200.7	01/21/04 13:17 / rlh
Magnesium, meg	0.18	meg/L		0.08		E200.7	01/21/04 13:17 / rlh
Sodium, meq	21.9	meq/L		0.04		E200.7	01/26/04 16:26 / rlh
METALS, DISSOLVED							
Boron	ND	ug/L		100		E200.7	01/16/04 15:51 / rlh
Cadmium	ND	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Chromium	3	ug/L		1		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Copper	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Iron	49	ug/L		30		E200.7	01/16/04 15:51 / rlh
Lead	ND	ug/L		2		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Manganese	25	ug/L		10		E200.7	01/16/04 15:51 / rlh
Mercury	ND	ug/L		0.06		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Nickel	ND	ug/L		10		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Silver	ND	ug/L		3		E200.8	01/16/04 13:59 / jw
Zinc	26	ug/L		10		E200.7	01/16/04 15:51 / rlh
METALS, TOTAL							
Barium	378	ug/L		100		E200.7	01/20/04 16:04 / rlh
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Aluminum	ND	ug/L		50		E200.8	01/19/04 20:01 / jw
Antimony	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	01/19/04 20:01 / jw
Arsenic	0.4	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	01/19/04 20:01 / jw
Beryllium	ND	ug/L		0.03		E200.8	01/19/04 20:01 / jw
Selenium	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	01/19/04 20:01 / jw

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Prairie\_Dog Project: Produced\_Water\_Sampling Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_11\_22\_57\_83M Location: NESW\_22\_57N\_83W Lab ID: G04010184-001 Report Date: 02/26/04 Collection Date: 01/14/04 15:25 DateReceived: 01/15/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 25797

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Thallium	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	01/19/04 20:01 / jw
NON-METALS							
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	1230	mg/L		5		A2320 B	01/22/04 10:27 / mli
Conductivity @ 25 C	2140	umhos/cn	ı	1		A2510 B	01/15/04 12:19 / daa
Cyanide, Total Manual Distillation	ND	ug/L		5		E335.4	01/20/04 14:43 / kp
Hardness as CaCO3	23	mg/L		10		A2340 B	01/27/04 10:43 / cw
Phenolics, Total Recoverable	ND	ug/L		10		E420.2	01/16/04 10:59 / kp
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	46	unitless		0.10	)	Calculation	01/27/04 10:43 / cw
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	1360	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/16/04 08:31 / mli
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/L		1.0		SW1664A	01/21/04 13:16 / aps
DATA QUALITY							
A/C Balance	-5.24	%				A1030 E	01/27/04 10:41 / cw
Anions	25.1	%		0.01	1	A1030 E	01/27/04 10:41 / cw
Cations	22.6	%		0.01	1	A1030 E	01/27/04 10:41 / cw
RADIOCHEMICAL							
Radium 226	ND	pCi/L		0.2		E903.0M	01/19/04 10:55 / df

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Brinkerhoff Project: Produced\_Water Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_3\_22\_57\_83D1 Location: Lab ID: G04030001-002 Report Date: 03/23/04 Collection Date: 02/27/04 09:20 DateReceived: 02/28/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 27317

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
FIELD PARAMETERS							
pH. field	7.90	s.u.				FIELD	02/27/04 09:20 / ***
*** Performed by Sampler							
MAJOR IONS							
Bicarbonate as HCO3	1230	mg/L		5		A2320 B	03/02/04 09:55 / mli
Chloride	5	mg/L		1		E300.0	03/02/04 13:23 / mli
Fluoride	2.2	mg/L		0.1		E300.0	03/02/04 13:23 / mli
Sulfate	3	mg/L		1		E300.0	03/02/04 13:23 / mli
Calcium	5	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
Magnesium	3	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
Potassium	8	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
Sodium	483	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
MAJOR IONS - MILLIEQUIVALENTS							
Calcium, meq	0.26	meq/L		0.05		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
Magnesium, meq	0.21	meq/L		0.08		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
Sodium, meq	21.0	meq/L		0.04		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
METALS, DISSOLVED							
Boron	213	ug/L		100		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Cadmium	ND	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Chromium	2	ug/L		1		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Copper	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Iron	141	ug/L		30		E200.7	03/03/04 21:33 / jw
Lead	ND	ug/L		2		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Manganese	20	ug/L		10		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Mercury	ND	ug/L		0.06		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Nickel	ND	ug/L		10		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Silver	ND	ug/L		3		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
Zinc	ND	ug/L		10		E200.8	03/04/04 00:02 / jw
METALS, TOTAL							
Barium	340	ug/L		100		E200.7	03/04/04 23:52 / jw
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Aluminum	160	ug/L		50		E200.8	03/04/04 23:32 / car
Antimony	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	03/04/04 23:32 / car
Arsenic	0.6	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	03/05/04 20:23 / car
Beryllium	ND	ug/L		0.03		E200.8	03/04/04 23:32 / car
Selenium	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	03/04/04 23:32 / car

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Brinkerhoff Project: Produced\_Water Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_3\_22\_57\_83D1 Location: Lab ID: G04030001-002 Report Date: 03/23/04 Collection Date: 02/27/04 09:20 DateReceived: 02/28/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 27317

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Thallium	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	03/04/04 23:32 / car
NON-METALS							
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	1010	mg/L		5		A2320 B	03/02/04 09:55 / mli
Conductivity @ 25 C	1780	umhos/cr	n	1		A2510 B	03/01/04 13:11 / daa
Cyanide, Total Automated	ND	ug/L		5		E335.3	03/04/04 12:54 / kp
Hardness as CaCO3	23.6	mg/L		10		A2340 B	03/08/04 18:36 / cw
Phenolics, Total Recoverable	ND	ug/L		10		E420.2	03/03/04 11:30 / kp
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	43.3	unitless		0.1		Calculation	03/08/04 19:36 / cw
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	1110	mg/L		10		A2540 C	03/02/04 15:39 / mli
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/L		1.0		SW1664A	03/03/04 13:41 / aps
DATA QUALITY							
A/C Balance	2.70	%				A1030 E	03/08/04 18:35 / cw
Anions	20.6	meq/L		0.01		A1030 E	03/08/04 18:35 / cw
Cations	21.7	meq/L		0.01		A1030 E	03/08/04 18:35 / cw
RADIOCHEMICAL							
Radium 226	ND	pCi/L		0.2		E903.0M	03/04/04 12:20 / df

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Prairie\_Dog Project: Produced\_Water\_Sampling Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_11\_22\_57\_83D2 Location: NESW\_22\_57N\_83W Lab ID: G04010184-002 Report Date: 02/26/04 Collection Date: 01/14/04 15:40 DateReceived: 01/15/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 25798

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers RI	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
FIELD PARAMETERS						
pH, field	8.80	s.u.			FIELD	01/14/04 15:40 / ***
*** Performed by Sampler						
MAJOR IONS						
Bicarbonate as HCO3	1340	mg/L		5	A2320 B	01/22/04 10:35 / mli
Chloride	7	mg/L		1	E300.0	01/16/04 13:23 / mli
Fluoride	3.1	mg/L	(	).1	E300.0	01/16/04 13:23 / mli
Sulfate	ND	mg/L		1	E300.0	01/16/04 13:23 / mli
Calcium	3	mg/L		1	E200.7	01/21/04 13:21 / rlh
Magnesium	3	mg/L		1	E200.7	01/21/04 13:21 / rlh
Potassium	7	mg/L		1	E200.7	01/16/04 15:55 / rlh
Sodium	506	mg/L		1	E200.7	01/26/04 16:38 / rlh
MAJOR IONS - MILLIEQUIVALENTS						
Calcium, meg	0.13	meg/L	C	.05	E200.7	01/21/04 13:21 / rlh
Magnesium, meg	0.25	meg/L	C	.08	E200.7	01/21/04 13:21 / rlh
Sodium, meq	22.0	meq/L	C	.04	E200.7	01/26/04 16:38 / rlh
METALS, DISSOLVED						
Boron	ND	ug/L	1	00	E200.7	01/16/04 15:55 / rlh
Cadmium	ND	ug/L	(	D.1	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Chromium	3	ug/L		1	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Copper	ND	ug/L		1	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Iron	37	ug/L		30	E200.7	01/16/04 15:55 / rlh
Lead	ND	ug/L		2	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Manganese	26	ug/L		10	E200.7	01/16/04 15:55 / rlh
Mercury	ND	ug/L	0	.06	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Nickel	ND	ug/L		10	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Silver	ND	ug/L		3	E200.8	01/16/04 14:05 / jw
Zinc	14	ug/L		10	E200.7	01/16/04 15:55 / rlh
METALS, TOTAL						
Barium	130	ug/L	1	00	E200.8	01/20/04 19:20 / jw
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE						
Aluminum	ND	ug/L		50	E200.8	01/19/04 20:12 / jw
Antimony	ND	ug/L		5	E200.8	01/19/04 20:12 / jw
Arsenic	0.4	ug/L	(	).1	E200.8	01/19/04 20:12 / jw
Beryllium	ND	ug/L	0	.03	E200.8	01/19/04 20:12 / jw
Selenium	ND	ug/L		5	E200.8	01/19/04 20:12 / jw

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Prairie\_Dog Project: Produced\_Water\_Sampling Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_11\_22\_57\_83D2 Location: NESW\_22\_57N\_83W Lab ID: G04010184-002 Report Date: 02/26/04 Collection Date: 01/14/04 15:40 DateReceived: 01/15/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 25798

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Thallium	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	01/19/04 20:12 / jw
NON-METALS							
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	1250	mg/L		5		A2320 B	01/22/04 10:35 / mli
Conductivity @ 25 C	2180	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/15/04 12:20 / daa
Cyanide, Total Automated	ND	ug/L		5		E335.3	01/19/04 14:09 / kp
Hardness as CaCO3	19	mg/L		10		A2340 B	01/27/04 10:43 / cw
Phenolics, Total Recoverable	ND	ug/L		10		E420.2	01/16/04 11:01 / kp
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	51	unitless		0.10	)	Calculation	01/27/04 10:43 / cw
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	1370	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/16/04 08:42 / mli
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/L		1.0		SW1664A	01/21/04 13:16 / aps
DATA QUALITY							
A/C Balance	-5.80	%				A1030 E	01/27/04 10:41 / cw
Anions	25.4	%		0.01		A1030 E	01/27/04 10:41 / cw
Cations	22.6	%		0.01		A1030 E	01/27/04 10:41 / cw
RADIOCHEMICAL							
Radium 226	ND	pCi/L		0.2		E903.0M	01/19/04 10:55 / df

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Brinkerhoff Project: Produced\_Water Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_49\_033\_22681 Location: Lab ID: G04030001-001 Report Date: 03/23/04 Collection Date: 02/27/04 09:10 DateReceived: 02/28/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 27316

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
FIELD PARAMETERS							
pH, field	8.10	s.u.				FIELD	02/27/04 09:10 / ***
*** Performed by Sampler							
MAJOR IONS							
Bicarbonate as HCO3	1380	mg/L		5		A2320 B	03/09/04 09:49 / mli
Chloride	21	mg/L		1		E300.0	03/09/04 13:15 / mli
Fluoride	3.2	mg/L		0.1		E300.0	03/09/04 13:15 / mli
Sulfate	8	mg/L		1		E300.0	03/09/04 13:15 / mli
Calcium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/09/04 12:34 / rlh
Magnesium	3	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/09/04 12:34 / rlh
Potassium	9	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/12/04 12:46 / rlh
Sodium	497	mg/L		1		E200.7	03/12/04 12:46 / rlh
MAJOR IONS - MILLIEQUIVALENTS							
Calcium, meq	0.29	meq/L		0.05		E200.7	03/09/04 12:34 / rlh
Magnesium, meq	0.23	meq/L		0.08		E200.7	03/09/04 12:34 / rlh
Sodium, meq	21.6	meq/L		0.04		E200.7	03/12/04 12:46 / rlh
METALS, DISSOLVED							
Boron	189	ug/L		100		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Cadmium	ND	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Chromium	1	ug/L		1		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Copper	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Iron	134	ug/L		30		E200.7	03/03/04 21:29 / jw
Lead	ND	ug/L		2		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Manganese	15	ug/L		10		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Mercury	ND	ug/L		0.06		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Nickel	ND	ug/L		10		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Silver	ND	ug/L		3		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
Zinc	10	ug/L		10		E200.8	03/03/04 23:56 / jw
METALS, TOTAL							
Barium	360	ug/L		100		E200.7	03/05/04 04:34 / jw
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Aluminum	ND	ug/L		50		E200.8	03/04/04 19:38 / car
Antimony	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	03/04/04 19:38 / car
Arsenic	5.7	ug/L		0.1		E200.8	03/04/04 19:38 / car
Beryllium	ND	ug/L		0.03		E200.8	03/04/04 19:38 / car
Selenium	ND	ug/L		5		E200.8	03/04/04 19:38 / car

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



Client: MOC-CMS-Pennaco Energy Site Name: Brinkerhoff Project: Produced\_Water Samp FRQ/Type: SP Client Sample ID: PW\_49\_033\_22681 Location: Lab ID: G04030001-001 Report Date: 03/23/04 Collection Date: 02/27/04 09:10 DateReceived: 02/28/04 Sampled By: Dave Olson Matrix: AQUEOUS Tracking Number: 27316

Analyses	Result	Units	Qualifiers	RL	QCL	Method	Analysis Date / By
METALS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE							
Thallium	ND	ug/L		1		E200.8	03/04/04 19:38 / car
NON-METALS							
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	1140	mg/L		5		A2320 B	03/09/04 09:49 / mli
Conductivity @ 25 C	2030	umhos/cr	n	1		A2510 B	03/01/04 13:11 / daa
Cyanide, Total Automated	ND	ug/L		5		E335.3	03/04/04 12:53 / kp
Hardness as CaCO3	26.2	mg/L		10		A2340 B	03/15/04 12:33 / cw
Phenolics, Total Recoverable	ND	ug/L		10		E420.2	03/03/04 11:51 / kp
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	42.2	unitless		0.1		Calculation	03/15/04 12:33 / cw
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	1280	mg/L		10		A2540 C	03/02/04 15:35 / mli
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/L		1.0		SW1664A	03/03/04 13:15 / aps
DATA QUALITY							
A/C Balance	-2.88	%				A1030 E	03/15/04 12:22 / cw
Anions	23.7	meq/L		0.01		A1030 E	03/15/04 12:22 / cw
Cations	22.4	meq/L		0.01		A1030 E	03/15/04 12:22 / cw
RADIOCHEMICAL							
Radium 226	ND	pCi/L		0.2		E903.0M	03/04/04 12:20 / df

ReportRL - Analyte reporting limit.Definitions:QCL - Quality control limit.



Discharge Point (Outfalls) #	Immediate Receiving Stream	Mainstem	Distance to Closest 2AB Channel & Mainstem (Miles)	Quarter / Quarter	Section	Twn (N)	Rng (W)	Nad 27 Latitude	Nad 27 Longitude	County	Reservoir Name and Type
001	Tributary to Badger Creek	Tongue River	Not applicable Option 1B	NENE	7	57	81	44.936848	-106.660385	Sheridan	Kane 01-7-5781 Headwater Option 1B
Station Name	Station Description		Quarter / Quarter	Section	Twn (N)	Rng (W)	Nad 27 Latitude	Nad 27 Longitude	Notes regarding water quality monitoring station types		
CU01	Containment Unit Water Quality Monitoring Station			NENE	7	57	81	44.937825	-106.662802		
FM01	Flow Monitoring Station		SWNE	7	57	81	44.932267	-106.667617			

Table 1: Outfall Information - Sheridan State Phase I

Please note that not all station types may be applicable for a particular facility. Additional spaces may be added if necessary. Use the format provided. Please denote reservoir type(s) - on channel, off-channel, playa, headwater Option 1B - in the appropriate column. Please note that reservoir information is not required if reservoir containment is not part of the facility's water management plan - for instance, information about existing "incidental" downstream reservoirs is not required.