## GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (USE FOR EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY SEIU 1199)

EMPLOYEE NAME:	DEPARTMENT NO.:
I EVELS	OF EVALUATION
LEVELS	OF EVALUATION
Please rate the employee, as follows, in the first	six evaluation factors:
Outstanding	MS And returned to University S Human Resources no later than LS
Please rate the employee, as follows, in the seve Met	M
PERFORMANCE	EVALUATION FACTORS
1 ADDITION OF CITIES	AND KNOW EDGE DATING
1. APPLICATION OF SKILLS	
The degree to which the employee pos	sesses the necessary or requisite skills and the requisite
knowledge to perform all the requirem	nents of the job and the degree to which the employee
utilized them with only the normal lev	el of supervision.
Considerations:	
<ul> <li>Does the employee have the neces performance standards?</li> </ul>	sary job related skills and knowledge to meet the  Yes No
b. Does the employee utilize and ada performance standards?	apt the skills and knowledge to meet the  Yes No
c. Does the employee have the prob	lem solving skills necessary for this job?
	trate/reinstruct employee about his/her basic  Yes No
How Frequently? Always	Often Seldom Never

2.	PRODUCTIVITY
	The degree to which the

b.

RATING

The	deg	ree to which the employee produces the appropriate quantity and quality of work.
Con	side	rations:
a.	Qu	ality of Work
	The	e degree to which the employee satisfactorily completes work in a manner consistent with the
	peı	rformance standards, and the degree to which completed assignments are inclusive of necessary
	det	tail, thoroughness and accuracy.
	1.	Does the employee demonstrate an awareness of <i>criteria</i> for quality of work (standard)?
		Yes No N/A
	2.	How frequently:
		a. are commendations received about the employee's quality of work?
		Often Seldom Never
		b. are complaints received about the employee's quality of work?
		Often Seldom Never
		c. must the employee re-do or come back to a specific task because it wasn't done
		correctly/adequately the first time?
		Often Seldom Never
		d. is the employee counseled about good quality work?
		Often Seldom Never
		e. is the employee counseled about poor quality of work?
		Often Seldom Never
	3.	Does the employee demonstrate a pattern of work deficiencies relative to quality (e.g., errors or
		omissions, errors in judgement, lack of completeness or thoroughness)?
		Yes No N/A
	4.	Does the employee accept responsibility for a task poorly done when appropriate?
		Yes No N/A
	5.	Does the employee submit work in the required form or format?
		Yes No N/A
b.		antity of Work
		e measure of the quantity of satisfactory work completed by the employee (or the group that the
	emj	ployee supervises) within a prescribed period of time.
1		Does the employee demonstrate awareness of the criteria by which the quantity of work is judged
		(standards)?

	2.	If there are documented quantity standards, how frequently does the employee meet them?  Often exceeds them Often meets them Seldom meets them Never meets them
	3.	If the employee has "free time" is he/she more likely to:  a. Fill the time with no activity/non-productive activity?  b. Leave without authorization or engage in disruptive activity?  c. Assume additional responsibilities on his/her own initiative based on sound knowledge of his/her role and what "needs to be done"?
3.	ATT	ENDANCE RATING
	The re	gularity with which the employee is punctual and in attendance at work.
	a. In	derations:  regard to absenteeism, this employee exceeds meets does not meet stated epartmental standards.
	b. In	n regard to tardiness, this employee is never seldom usually always tardy.
	a	ooes the employee's attendance demonstrate awareness of departmental needs and priorities?  Tes No N/A
	ŀ	Does this employee's unscheduled absences have an adverse affect on his/her job performance or the department's ability to perform its mission?  The set of the second of the department's ability to perform its mission?
		Ooes this employee demonstrate a pattern in the use of unscheduled leave?  Ves No N/A
<b>1</b> .	COC	PPERATIVENESS RATING
	The de	egree to which the employee is a team worker and willingly accepts authorized orders and

The degree to which the employee is a team worker and willingly accepts authorized orders and instructions. The degree to which the employee willingly assists co-workers in the interest of meeting departmental objectives.

Ca	onsiderations:	
a.	Does the employee demonstrate an understanding of and commitment to the department?	ne importance of the job and Yes No N/A
b.	Does the employee demonstrate awareness of the criteria for and the importance of cooperation in the performance of the job?	Yes No N/A
c.	In accepting direction and/or assignments, how would you describe the ema. Involved, enthusiastic, constructive, objective b. Neutral, little or no reaction, disinterested c. Conflictive, argumentative, sullen	aployee?
d.	Does the employee demonstrate a willingness to resolve conflict situations?	Yes No N/A
e.	Do the employee's actions contribute to the growth/improvement of the department?	Yes No N/A
f.	Does the employee promote good human relations on the job toward/ with students, co-workers, patients and visitors.	Yes No N/A
5. D	EPENDABILITY	RATING
	ne degree to which the employee is available when needed and the degree to we pected to complete assignments on time with normal supervision.	which the employee can be
Ca a.	winsiderations:  What is the type of supervision required of the position?  Direct Specific Routine General Bro	ad
b.	What is the type of supervision required by this employee?  Direct Specific Routine General Brown	pad
C.	Does this employee complete assigned work and meet stated deadlines?  Always Usually Seldom Never	
d.	Does the employee utilize available resources effectively and appropriately	2

	e. Does this employee appropriately represent yo	u and/or your department	
	within the designed scope of responsibility?	$\neg$	
	Yes No No N/A		
	f. When scheduled for appointments, does the en	Never	16?
6.	ABILITY TO SUPERVISE		RATING
	The degree to which the employee accomplishes the	e goals of the organizational	unit through his/her
	subordinates. It is recognized that the measure of t	his factor incorporate consid	lerations that are referenced
	in other performance factors.		
7.	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION/EQUAL OI	PPORTIMITY	RATING
•	MITIMUMITIVE METIOTYEQUIE OF	TORIONITI	Milino
	The degree to which an employee supports and cor	atributes to the Affirmative A	Action/Equal Opportunity
	program of the University.		
	<ul><li>Considerations:</li><li>1. Does the employee demonstrate awareness for</li></ul>	and understanding of the A	Affirmative Action goals and
	objectives of the University?	and undergumenty of the f	and the second government
	Yes No N/A		
	2. (Applicable only to supervisory/administrative emp	ployees). Does the employee	identify and utilize the skills,
	knowledge and abilities of employees and app	_	_
	national origin, age or handicapped condition a	<b>— —</b>	] <u> </u>
	a. the hiring process?	Yes No	N/A
	b. the assignment of work?	Yes No	N/A
	c. providing promotional opportunities?	Yes No	N/A
	d. providing training opportunities?	Yes No	N/A
	d. providing during opportunites:		
	e. other personnel actions?	Yes No No	N/A
	3. (Applicable only to supervisory/administrative employed)		isions made without regard
	to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age	or handicapped condition?	
	Yes No N/A		

4 Does the employee promote good human relations on the job toward/with students, patie visitors and co-workers without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age or handicapped.			
condition.  Yes No N/A			
ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE LAST EVALUATION:			
AREA(S) WHICH NEED(S) IMPROVEMENT (INCLUDE SPECIFIC EXAMPLES AND RECOMMEND SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT):			
GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND TRAINING RECOMMENDED FOR EMPLOYEES CONTINUING DEVELOPMENT:			
DEPARTMENT HEAD COMMENTS:			

EMPLOYEE COMMENTS:			
OI recommend a merit increase of% OI do not recommend a merit increase I certify that this evaluation constitutes my best judgement of the performance and cond based on my personal observation for a period of months.		nploye	e and is
Supervisor's Signature	Date	./	/
I concur with the rater's judgement of this employee.			
Department Head's Signature	Date	_/	_/
I certify that I have personally reviewed this evaluation and understand that my signatu or disagreement.	re does not i	mply a	greement
Employee's Signature	Date	/	/