JOB PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM EXEMPT POSITIONS

Name:				
Evaluation Period:				
	ī	Data		
Title:	I	Date:		
PERFORMANCE PLANN	IING AND RESULTS			
Performance Review				
• Use a current job description (job descriptions are available on the HR web page).				
• Rate the person's level of performance, using the definitions below.				
• Review with employee each performance factor used to evaluate his/her work performance.				
• Give an overall rating in the	e space provided, using the defi	nitions below as a guide.		
Performance Rating Definitio	ns			
The following ratings must be u overall ratings: (There should be so and "Unsatisfactory")	2	language and consistency on of "Outstanding" "Below Expectations,		
Outstanding	Performance is consistently	superior		
Exceeds Expectations	Exceeds Expectations Performance is routinely above job requirements			
Meets Expectations	Performance is regularly cor	npetent and dependable		
Below Expectations	Performance fails to meet jo	b requirements on a frequent basis		
Unsatisfactory	Performance is consistently	unacceptable		
A. Performance Factors (use job description as basis of this evaluation).			
Administration - Measures efforganizing and efficiently hand unnecessary activities	<u> </u>	Outstanding Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Below Expectations Unsatisfactory		

	NA
Knowledge of Work - Consider employee's skill level,	Outstanding
knowledge and understanding of all phases of the job and	Exceeds Expectations
those requiring improved skills and/or experience.	Meets Expectations
	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory 🗀
	NA 🗀
Communication - Measures effectiveness in listening to	Outstanding
others, expressing ideas, both orally and in writing and	Exceeds Expectations
providing relevant and timely information to management,	Meets Expectations
co-workers, subordinates and customers.	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory
	NA 🗀
Teamwork - Measures how well this individual gets along	Outstanding
with fellow employees, respects the rights of other	Exceeds Expectations
employees and shows a cooperative spirit.	Meets Expectations
	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory NA
Decision Making/Problem Solving - Measures	Outstanding
effectiveness in understanding problems and making timely,	Exceeds Expectations
practical decisions.	Meets Expectations
practical decisions.	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory \
	NA 🗀
Expense Management - Measures effectiveness in	Outstanding
establishing appropriate reporting and control procedures;	Exceeds Expectations
operating efficiently at lowest cost; staying within	Meets Expectations
established budgets.	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory 🗀
	NA 🗀
Human Resource Management - Measures effectiveness in	Outstanding
selecting qualified people; evaluating subordinates'	Exceeds Expectations
performance; strengths and development needs; providing	Meets Expectations
constructive feedback, and taking appropriate and timely	Below Expectations
action with marginal or unsatisfactory performers. Also	Unsatisfactory
considers efforts to further the university goal of equal	NA
employment opportunity.	
Independent Action - Measures effectiveness in time	Outstanding
management; initiative and independent action within	Exceeds Expectations
prescribed limits.	Meets Expectations
preserioed finites.	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory
	NA T
Job Knowledge - Measures effectiveness in keeping	Outstanding
knowledgeable of methods, techniques and skills required	Exceeds Expectations
in own job and related functions; remaining current on new	Meets Expectations
developments affecting SPSU and its work activities.	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory 🗀

	NA 🗀
Leadership - Measures effectiveness in accomplishing	Outstanding
work assignments through subordinates; establishing	Exceeds Expectations
challenging goals; delegating and coordinating effectively;	Meets Expectations
promoting innovation and team effort.	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory 🗌
	NA 🗔
Managing Change and Improvement - Measures	Outstanding
effectiveness in initiating changes, adapting to necessary	Exceeds Expectations
changes from old methods when they are no longer	Meets Expectations
practical, identifying new methods and generating	Below Expectations
improvement in facility's performance.	Unsatisfactory 🔲
	NA 🗀
Customer Responsiveness - Measures responsiveness and	Outstanding
courtesy in dealing with internal staff, external customers	Exceeds Expectations
and vendors; employee projects a courteous manner.	Meets Expectations
	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory 🔲
	NA 🗆
Personal Appearance - Measures neatness and personal	Outstanding
hygiene appropriate to position.	Exceeds Expectations
	Meets Expectations
	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory
Domandakiita Maaguna hayyyyallamalayya aamalias	NA Dutatanding D
Dependability - Measures how well employee complies	Outstanding
with instructions and performs under unusual	Exceeds Expectations
circumstances; consider record of attendance and	Meets Expectations
punctuality.	Below Expectations Unsatisfactory
	NA NA
Safety - Measures individual's work habits and attitudes as	Outstanding
•	Exceeds Expectations
they apply to working safely. Consider their contribution to	Meets Expectations
accident prevention, safety awareness, ability to care for	Below Expectations
SPSU property and keep workspace safe and tidy.	Unsatisfactory
	NA NA
Employee's Responsiveness - Measures responsiveness in	Outstanding
completing job tasks in a timely manner.	Exceeds Expectations
completing job tasks in a timery manner.	Meets Expectations
	Below Expectations
	Unsatisfactory
	NA 🗔

<u>B.</u>	Employee strengths and accomplishments: Include those which are relevant		
	during this evaluation period. This should be related to performance or behavioral aspects you appreciated in their performance.		
,			
<u>C.</u>	Performance areas which need improvement:		
<u> </u>	1 crioi mance areas which need improvement.		
,			
<u>D.</u>	Plan of action toward improved performance:		

E	Employee comments:		
F.	Job Description Review Section: (Please check the	ne annronriate hov)	
1'•	•	,	
	(Employee job description has been reviewed during this evaluation and no changes have been made to the job description at this time.		
	(Employee job description has been reviewed during this evaluation and modification have been proposed to the job description. The modified job description is attached to this evaluation.		
\mathbf{C}	Cian Arman		
Մ.	<u>Signatures:</u>		
	Employee	Date	
	(Signature does not necessarily denote agreement with of given the opportunity to discuss the official review with t	ficial review and means only that the employee was he supervisor.)	
	Evaluated by	Date	
	Reviewed by	Date	