







# Normal Forms

Idea: replace one relational schema with another one, which is *better*.

Hence, normal form, NF.

- 1NF = rather trivial
- 3NF, BCNF = next time
- Other normal forms = in the book

5







### Relational Schema Design

Recall set attributes (persons with several phones):

Name	SSN	PhoneNumber	City
Fred	123-45-6789	206-555-1234	Seattle
Fred	123-45-6789	206-555-6543	Seattle
Joe	987-65-4321	908-555-2121	Westfield

One person may have multiple phones, but lives in only one city

#### Anomalies:

- Redundancy = repeat data
- Update anomalies = Fred moves to "Bellevue"
- Deletion anomalies = Joe deletes his phone number:

what is his city?

9



## Relational Schema Design (or Logical Design)

11

Main idea:

- Start with some relational schema
- Find out its *functional dependencies*
- Use them to design a better relational schema







## Examples

15

An	FD <u>holds</u> , or	does not hold	on an instanc	e:
	EmpID	Name	Phone	Position
	E0045	Smith	1234	Clerk
	E3542	Mike	9876	Salesrep
	E1111	Smith	9876	Salesrep
	E9999	Mary	1234	Lawyer

EmpID  $\rightarrow$  Name, Phone, Position Position  $\rightarrow$  Phone but not Phone  $\rightarrow$  Position

EmpID	Name	Phone	Position	
E0045	Smith	1234	Clerk	
E3542	Mike	9876 ←	Salesrep	
E1111	Smith	9876 ←	Salesrep	
E9999	Mary	1234	Lawyer	-

EmpIDNamePhonePositionE0045Smith $1 2 3 4 \rightarrow$ ClerkE3542Mike9876SalesrepE1111Smith9876SalesrepE9999Mary $1 2 3 4 \rightarrow$ Lawyer		Ex	ample	
E0045Smith $1234 \rightarrow$ ClerkE3542Mike9876SalesrepE1111Smith9876SalesrepE9999Mary $1234 \rightarrow$ Lawyerbut not Phone $\rightarrow$ Position	EmpID	Name	Phone	Position
E3542Mike9876SalesrepE1111Smith9876SalesrepE9999Mary $1234 \rightarrow$ Lawyer	E0045	Smith	1234 →	Clerk
E1111Smith9876SalesrepE9999Mary $1234 \rightarrow$ Lawyerbut not Post- Postion	E3542	Mike	9876	Salesrep
E9999Mary $1234 \rightarrow$ Lawyerbut not Phone $\rightarrow$ Position	E1111	Smith	9876	Salesrep
but not Phone $\rightarrow$ Position	E9999	Mary	$1234 \rightarrow$	Lawyer
		but not Ph	$rione \rightarrow Pos$	ition



		E	xamp	le	
D' Or Or	s are constra some instan others they	iints: nces they ho don't	ld	name $\rightarrow$ category color, cate	color → departmen egory → prio
	name	category	color	department	price
	Gizmo	Gadget	Green	Toys	49
	Tweaker	Gadget	Green	Toys	99

		Examp	le nan cate	ne → color egory → depa or, category →	rtment → price
name	category	color	departmen	t price	
Gizmo	Gadget	Green	Toys	49	
Tweaker	Gadget	Black	Toys	99	
Gizmo	Stationary	Green	Office-supp	o. 59	
What about	this one ?				20













Example (co	ontinued)
Start from the following FDs:	1. name $\rightarrow$ color 2. category $\rightarrow$ department 3. color, category $\rightarrow$ price
Infer the following FDs:	
Inferred FD	Which Rule did we apply ?
4. name, category $\rightarrow$ name	
5. name, category $\rightarrow$ color	
6. name, category $\rightarrow$ category	
7. name, category $\rightarrow$ color, category	gory
8. name, category $\rightarrow$ price	27

Example (CO	ntinued)
Answers:	1. name $\rightarrow$ color 2. category $\rightarrow$ department 3. color, category $\rightarrow$ price
Inferred FD	Which Rule did we apply ?
4. name, category $\rightarrow$ name	Trivial rule
5. name, category $\rightarrow$ color	Transitivity on 4, 1
6. name, category $\rightarrow$ category	Trivial rule
7. name, category $\rightarrow$ color, categor	ry Split/combine on 5, 6
8. name, category $\rightarrow$ price	Transitivity on 3, 7



- The three simple rules are all we need to derive all possible FDs
- Called "Armstrong Rules"
- However, they are clumsy to use in practice
- Better: use "closure" of a set of attributes (next)

29