

An Example:	aulotio	2		
 Portiand cement form 	iuialio	n –		
– See data, page 24	 TABLE 2.1 Tension Bond Strength Data for the Portland Cement Formulation Experiment 			
		Modified Mortar	Unmodified Mortar	
	j	y _{1j}	y _{2j}	
	1	16.85	16.62	
	2	16.40	16.75	
	3	17.21	17.37	
	4	16.35	17.12	
	5	16.52	16.98	
	6	17.04	16.87	
	7	16.96	17.34	
	8	17.15	17.02	
	9	16.59	17.08	
	10	16.57	17.27	
ISE 352 - Ch. 2			Department of Industrial Engineering 2	





























Computer Computer Trest: Two-Sample Assuming I	Iter	two-sample <i>t</i> -test results
	Modified	Unmodified
Mean	16.764	17.042
Variance	0.100138	0.061462222
Observations	10	10
Pooled Variance	0.0808	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	18	0 (07 (0000 0:00:25 BM
t Stat	-2.18688	8/27/2009 2:02:35 PM
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.021098	Welcome to Minitab, press Fl for help.
t Critical one-tail	1.734064	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.042197	Two-Sample T-Test and CI: Modified, Unmodified
t Critical two-tail	2.100922	Two servic T for Medified we Heredified
		iso-sample i for Hodilied vs onmodilied
		N Mean StDev SE Mean
		Modified 10 16.764 0.316 0.10
		Unmodified 10 17.042 0.248 0.078
		Difference - www. (Wedified) - www. (Unwedified)
		Estimate for difference: -0.278
		95% CI for difference: (-0.545, -0.011)
		T-Test of difference = 0 (vs not =): T-Value = -2.19 P-Value = 0.042 DF = 18
		Both use Pooled StDev = 0.2843
3E 352 - Ch. 2		Industrial Engineering 17





The Portland cement example

(see pg. 45)

The actual 95 percent confidence interval estimate for the difference in mean tension bond strength for the formulations of Portland cement mortar is found by substituting in Equation 2.30 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 16.76 &- 17.04 &- (2.101)0.284 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}} + \frac{1}{10} &\leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \\ &\leq 16.76 - 17.04 + (2.101)0.284 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}} + \frac{1}{10} \\ &- 0.28 - 0.27 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq -0.28 + 0.27 \\ &- 0.55 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq -0.01 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the 95 percent confidence interval estimate on the difference in means extends from -0.55 to -0.01 kgf/cm². Put another way, the confidence interval is $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = -0.28 \pm 0.27$ kgf/cm², or the difference in mean strengths is -0.28 kgf/cm², and the accuracy of this estimate is ± 0.27 kgf/cm². Note that because $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ is *not* included in this interval, the data do not support the hypothesis that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ at the 5 percent level of significance (recall that the *P*-value for the two-sample *t*-test was 0.042, just slightly less than 0.05). It is likely that the mean strength of the unmodified formulation exceeds the mean strength of the modified formulation. Notice from Table 2.2 that both Minitab and JMP reported this confidence interval when the hypothesis testing procedure was conducted.

ISE 352 - Ch. 2

ndustrial Engineering 22

