1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California GLORIA L. CASTRO Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHEL W. VALENTINE State Bar No. 153078 California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
3			
4			
5			
6	Telephone: (213) 897-1034 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Second Amended	Case No. 1H 2011 606	
12	Accusation Against:		
13	GARY C. MAGALAD 903 New Depot Street, Apt. 9	SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION	
14	Los Angeles, California 90012		
15			
13	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No.		
16	24124		
16	24124		
16 17	24124		
16 17 18	24124 Respondent.	<u>ries</u>	
16 17 18 19	24124 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAR	TIES gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in	
16 17 18 19 20	24124 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAR	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in	
16 17 18 19 20 21	24124 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) bring	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) bring her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Department of Consumer Affairs.	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) bring her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Department of Consumer Affairs.	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in he Respiratory Care Board of California, espiratory Care Board issued Respiratory Care	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) bring her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 2005, the Re	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in the Respiratory Care Board of California, espiratory Care Board issued Respiratory Care Ingalad (Respondent). Respiratory Care	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) bring her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. Manuary 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C.	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in the Respiratory Care Board of California, espiratory Care Board issued Respiratory Care Iagalad (Respondent). Respiratory Care 60, 2013, and has not been renewed. Respiratory	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) bring her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 2005, the Repractitioner License Number 24124 to Gary C. M. Practitioner License No. 24124 expired on June 3	gs this Second Amended Accusation solely in the Respiratory Care Board of California, espiratory Care Board issued Respiratory Care Iagalad (Respondent). Respiratory Care 60, 2013, and has not been renewed. Respiratory	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Second Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

"...

"(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

". . .

"(j) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner.

". . . ."

7. Section 3750.5, Subdivision (a) states:

"In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny, suspend, place on probation, or revoke the license of any applicant or license-holder who has done any of the following:

"(a) Obtained, possessed, used, or administered to himself or herself in violation of law, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as

defined in Article 2 (commencing with Section 4015 of chapter 9, except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, or other health care provider, or illegally possessed any associated paraphernalia."

8. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Business and Professions Code.
- "(b) Commission of an act or conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty theft, or larceny.

". . . . "

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.380, states:

"The executive officer of the board or his or her designee is authorized to issue a citation to any person or employer for a violation of any provision of division 1.5 and chapter 1 of

division 2 of the Business and Professions Code, as permitted, the Act, or any regulation adopted by the board:

"(h) The sanctions authorized under this section shall be separate from and in addition to any other administrative discipline, civil penalties, or criminal penalties."

11. Business and Professions Code section 492 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under article 5 (commencing with Section 23249.50) of chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of the code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest."

"This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

12. Health and Safety Code section 11364, subdivision (a), states:

"It is unlawful to possess an opium pipe or any device, contrivance, instrument, or paraphernalia used for injecting or smoking (1) a controlled substance specified in subdivision (b) (c), or (e), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, specified in paragraph (14), (15), or (20) of subdivision (d) of section 11054, specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or (2) a controlled substance which is a narcotic drug classified in Schedule III, IV, or V."

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law or any term and condition of board probation to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case. A certified copy of

the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the official custodian of the record or his or her designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of the actual costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

14. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 15. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Crimes Substantially Related)

16. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3750, subdivision (d), 3752 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, subdivision (a), in that he was convicted of a crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:

November 16, 2011 Conviction (Theft):

17. On or about August 31, 2011, an Alhambra Police Department Officer responded to a report of a theft at a Kohl's store. The undercover loss prevention officer at Kohl's observed via video surveillance a female place children's clothing items in a shopping cart. Respondent went up to the shopping cart, removed a Kohl's plastic shopping bag, and placed some of the items inside of the shopping bag. Respondent then walked to the customer service station and attempted to return the un-purchased items for a refund using a sales receipt from the previous day. He was unable to obtain the refund because he did not have proper identification. Respondent asked the clerk if his wife could make the return and went to look for her. Respondent's wife walked to the customer service department, completed the fraudulent refund, and received \$90.25. She then purchased additional items using the fraudulent refund.

Respondent took the bag containing the purchased items and concealed inside the bag the two pieces of jewelry which had not been purchased, that Respondent's wife had previously selected from the jewelry department and put in the shopping cart. After they exited the store, the loss prevention officer detained both subjects and placed them under private person's arrest. The Alhambra Police Officer arrested Respondent for commercial burglary, a violation of Penal Code section 459, and conspiring to commit burglary, a violation of Penal Code section 182, subdivision (a)(1). He was transported to the Alhambra Police Department jail for booking. Respondent admitted to the police officer that he planned to go to Kohl's and make a fraudulent return by selecting items similar to the items purchased the previous day in order to receive a fraudulent refund.

- 18. On or about September 15, 2011, in the matter entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Gary Cruz Magalad*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 1AH03801, Respondent was charged with commercial burglary, in violation of Penal Code section 459, a misdemeanor (Count 1), and theft, in violation of Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor (Count 2).
- 19. On or about November 16, 2011, Respondent pled nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor, as charged in Count 2. Respondent was placed on probation for three years under the following terms and conditions:
 - a. Serve 2 days in county jail;
 - b. Pay fines in the amount of \$840.00;
 - c. Perform 10 days of community labor;
 - d. Stay away from Kohl's store; and
 - e. Obey all laws and orders of the Court.

The Court dismissed Count 1 of the complaint.

August 9, 2012 Conviction (Possession of an Injection/Ingestion Device):

20. On or about April 3, 2012, at 12:48 p.m., a Los Angeles County Sheriff Department Officer responded to a report of possible vandalism to a wall in a restroom and drug use by one of the community service workers at a park located at 120 E. Via Verde Drive, San Dimas,

California. The officer smelling the growing odor of marijuana and knowing the workers had signed an agreement as part of the community service protocols, allowing routine searches, the officer then searched several of the workers. Upon searching Respondent, the officer located and recovered a glass pipe with a charred sphere at one end in the top pocket of Respondent's backpack. Upon questioning, Respondent admitted to the officer that the pipe belonged to him and that he last smoked methamphetamine at 5:00 a.m., that day. Respondent was cited for possession of an injection/ingestion device in violation of Health and Safety Code 11364, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor.

- 21. On or about August 9, 2012, in the matter entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Gary Cruz Magalad*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 2PK02355, Respondent pled guilty to possession of an injection/ingestion device in violation of Health and Safety Code 11364, subdivision (a). Respondent was placed on probation for two years under the following terms and conditions:
 - a. Pay fines in the amount of \$276.00;
 - Do not possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs or paraphernalia,
 except with a valid prescription;
 - c. Enroll and successfully complete an approved controlled substance abuse program;
 - d. Submit to periodic controlled substance testing when requested by the court, treatment program or peace officer; and
 - e. Obey all laws and orders of the Court.

January 30, 2013 Conviction (Possession of a Controlled Substance):

22. On or about October 21, 2012, at 12:41 a.m., a City of Ontario Police Officer observed Respondent asleep in the front passenger seat of a vehicle that was parked in the lot of the Econo Inn. This hotel is known for being the locale of different types of crimes including thefts, narcotics, prostitution, loitering, etc. The officer woke Respondent from his sleep and observed on his chest and in plain view a clear zip-lock plastic baggie. Inside the baggie was an off-white crystalline like substance and from the officer's training and experience led him to

24

25

26

27

28

believe that the substance was methamphetamine. As Respondent was exiting the vehicle, pursuant to the officer's directions, the officer also observed a glass pipe in the arm tray of the door that was also in plain view. At one end of the pipe was a long stem and on the other side is a bulbous end. The inside of the pipe was coated with an off-white substance and burn marks at the bulbous side. From the officer's training and experience the officer determined that the pipe was a common tool used to ingest methamphetamine. The Respondent denied being the owner of the pipe and the baggie of methamphetamine, despite the fact that the baggie of methamphetamine was on his chest and there was nobody else around.

Based upon all of the above, Respondent was arrested for violation of Health and Safety Code 11377, subdivision (a). At the Ontario Police Department, the methamphetamine was determined to weigh .09 grams and the contents of the baggie tested positive for amphetamines.

- On or about December 6, 2012, in the matter entitled *The People of the State of* California vs. Gary Cruz Magalad, in San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. FWV1203077, Respondent was charged with possession of a controlled substance, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), a felony (Count 1), and possession of smoking device, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11364.1, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor (Count 2).
- 24. On or about January 30, 2013, Respondent pled guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), a felony, as charged in Count 1. Respondent was placed on probation for three years that includes, but not limited to, the following terms and conditions:
 - Report to the probation officer in person immediately and thereafter once every a. fourteen days or as directed;
 - Submit to a search and seizure of your person, residence and/or property under b. your control at any time of the day or night by any law-enforcement officer, with or without a search warrant, and with or without cause;
 - Neither use nor possess any controlled substance without medical prescription; c. A physician's written notice is to be given to the probation officer;
 - Not possess any type of drug paraphernalia, as defined in H&S 11364.5(d); d.

- e. Neither possess nor consume any alcoholic beverages nor enter places where such beverages are the chief item of sale, and submit to tests at the direction of the probation officer;
- f. Participate in a counseling program as directed by the probation officer, submit monthly proof of attendance and/or successful completion to the probation officer as directed and abide by all rules and regulations of the counseling program;
- g. Not associate with persons known to defendant to be illegal users or sellers of controlled substances, except for those involved in your recovery;
- h. Pay a restitution fine of \$280.00;
- i. Enroll in and complete a PC1210 Drug Treatment program;
- j. Attend NA/AA three times per week;

The Court dismissed Count 2 of the complaint.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Obtained, Possessed, Used or Administered a Controlled Substance)

- 25. Respondent's license is further subject to disciplinary action under section 3750.5, as defined by section 3750.5, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he admitted to obtaining, possessing, using, or administering to himself in violation of law, a controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 2 (commencing with Section 4015 of chapter 9, except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, or other health care provider, or illegally possessed any associated paraphernalia, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 24, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Respondent's license is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3750.5, subdivision (a), in that he admitted to obtaining, possessing, using, or administering to himself in violation of law, a controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 2

(commencing with Section 4015 of chapter 9, except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, or other health care provider, or illegally possessed any associated paraphernalia. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about September 8, 2012, at 11:15 p.m., a City of Upland Police Officer observed Respondent attempting to gain entry with his vehicle to a gated mobile home park. The officer made contact with the Respondent and when asked if there was anything illegal in his vehicle, Respondent indicated there might be a methamphetamine pipe near the front passenger seat. While discussing this with the Respondent, the officer noticed objective symptoms of Respondent indicating that Respondent was under the influence of a controlled substance. Upon further investigation and a field evaluation, the officer observed Respondent to be fidgeting around and being unable to control his jaw movements. Respondent's pulse was 66 beats over 30 seconds, indicating a pulse rate of 132. The normal range being 60 to 90 beats per minute. The officer also asked Respondent to perform the Rhomberg stand, and Respondent estimated 12 seconds as 30 seconds. This indicated to the officer that his internal clock was running extremely fast. During this test, the officer observed Respondent's eyelids to be fluttering, and the officer could see the pulse on the left side of Respondent's neck to be pulsating extremely quickly. The officer also observed Respondent's tongue to be covered with a thick white coating, indicating dryness of the mouth. The officer checked Respondent's pupils in direct light conditions, and observed them to be approximately 3.0 mm, but began to rebound to about 3.5 mm. The officer checked Respondent's pupils in dark light conditions and they went to approximately 4.0 mm.

The officer then asked Respondent when the last time he used methamphetamine was, and Respondent indicated that he last smoked one-half gram two days prior. Respondent indicated he has been on a binge for the last 5-6 months. Upon searching Respondent's vehicle, the officer located and recovered a glass pipe in the center console. The pipe was approximately 4 inches long, and had a bulb on one end. The bottom of the bulb was burnt and there was a white residue in the shaft of the pipe. Based upon all of the above, Respondent was arrested for violation of Health and Safety Code 11550, subdivision (a), and Health and Safety Code 11364, subdivision (a).

On or about October 18, 2012, in the San Bernardino County Superior Court, a criminal complaint was filed in Case No. MWV1204440, charging Respondent with having violated Health and Safety Code 11550, subdivision (a), for use of, and being under the influence of a controlled substance (Count 1), and Health and Safety Code 11364, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance instrument/paraphernalia (Count 2).

On or about November 8, 2012, Respondent failed to appear for a scheduled arraignment pertaining to the charges, and the Superior Court therefore issued a bench warrant for Respondent's arrest, with the Court setting Respondent's bail at \$20,000.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Commission of Fraudulent, Dishonest, and/or Corrupt Act)

27. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3750, subdivision (j), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, subdivision (b), in that he committed a fraudulent, dishonest, and/or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The facts and circumstances alleged in the First and Second Causes for Discipline are incorporated as if fully set forth here.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 28. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
 Complainant alleges that on or about January 31, 2011, the Respiratory Care Board of California issued Citation Number C-11-0012 to Respondent, and ordered him to pay a fine in the amount of \$500.00. The Citation was based upon Respondent being placed on diversion by the Court on December 7, 2010, following his plea of nolo contendere to the charge of theft, in violation of Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor. Respondent was arrested due to selecting and concealing various pieces of children's clothing from the Kohl's department store, and then taking the clothing to a store register where Respondent and his wife obtained a cash refund. Respondent also did not pay for items of jewelry (earrings) that were removed from the store. That Citation is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 29. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
 Complainant alleges that on or about January 27, 2013, Respondent was arrested for removing

1	surveillance equipment from its packaging and attempting to leave a Rancho Cucamonga,	
2	California Costco store with it concealed underneath his clothing. On or about March 1, 2013,	
3	the San Bernardino County Superior Court, in Case No. MWV1301090, filed a charge against	
4	Respondent for second degree commercial burglary, for violating Penal Code section 459, a	
5	misdemeanor.	
6	<u>PRAYER</u>	
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
8	and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:	
9	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 24124 issued	
10	to Gary C. Magalad;	
11	2. Ordering Gary C. Magalad to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs of the	
12	investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation	
13	monitoring; and	
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
15		
16	DATED: November 1, 2013 Original Signed by Liane Freels for:	
17	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	
18	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs	
19	State of California Complainant	
20	1	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	LA2011506038	
27	60894968.docx	
28		