



| Registration Information |                              |                             |                            |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>First Name:</b>       | Sample                       | <b>Last Name:</b>           | Report                     |
| <b>Company:</b>          | Aberdeen Group               | <b>Company URL:</b>         | www.aberdeen.com           |
| <b>Industry:</b>         | Market research              | <b>Job Title:</b>           | EVP / SVP / Vice President |
| <b>Revenue:</b>          | \$500 million to \$1 billion | <b>Number Of Employees:</b> | 101 to 250                 |
| <b>Job Function :</b>    | Operations                   | <b>Email:</b>               | sample.report@aberdeen.com |
| <b>Country:</b>          | United States                | <b>State / Province:</b>    | Massachusetts              |
| <b>Address:</b>          | 451 D Street, Suite 710      | <b>Phone:</b>               | 617-854-5200               |
| <b>City:</b>             | Boston                       | <b>Zip Code:</b>            | 02210                      |

### Aberdeen Group Research Methodology

Aberdeen has prepared this report and personalized recommendations based on previously conducted Aberdeen benchmark studies. The participants of those studies were categorized based on their ability to hit specific performance targets: the top 20% of performers (Best-in-Class), the middle 50% (Industry Average), and the bottom 30% (Below Industry Average). Comparative analyses were then completed to understand which process, organizational and technology traits were exhibited more frequently by the Best-in-Class. To prepare this report Aberdeen has compared your answers to the study participants to determine where your company will see the most opportunity and to offer you personalized recommendations based on our benchmark research.

### Top Pressures

Every story has a familiar theme, and the fable of "CPO as corporate hero" is no different. The procurement group was designed with one goal in mind all those years and decades (and centuries) ago: drive down operational costs and improve savings through better relationships with core suppliers. The top challenge for the modern procurement executive is one they have faced all their years in this role.

 : your responses from the assessment

| Pressure   | % Survey Response |
|--|-------------------|
| Top down directive to identify and cut costs   | 75 %              |
| Increasing supply risk (suppliers financial health and ability to perform)                           | 30 %              |
| Increased complexity in supply chain due to globalization  | 39 %              |
| Impact of regulatory expectations on strategic suppliers (materials of concern, product stewardship) | 15 %              |
| Inflationary pressures on critical spend categories / commodities                                    | 27 %              |
| Lack of category expertise in strategic spend areas  | 38 %              |
| Poor end-user adoption of spend management systems   | 26 %              |

|   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| Lack of payment term standardization in contract-based activities                                       | 5 %  |  |
| Lack of proper data to drive category strategies (e.g., benchmarks, market trends, supplier management) | 44 % |  |

### Strategic Actions

While the procurement group has never been perceived as a "back-office" function, executives within this unit may as well have been locked away in the corners of the average organization with nothing but a simple task at hand: drive cost savings. The majority of procurement professionals are adopting a revolutionary approach to their operational strategies by better-aligning procurement approaches with that of organizational goals and objectives.

  : your responses from the assessment

| Action  | % Survey Response |
|---|-------------------|
| Adjust and rationalize the existing supplier base   | 30 %              |
| Better align procurement strategy with organizational objectives  | 53 %              |
| Expand into sourcing strategies offsetting inflationary pressures (hedging, risk containment, product redesign) | 19 %              |
| Focus on managing strategic spend categories (e.g., Travel, Contingent Labor, Commodities)                      | 45 %              |
| Enhance data reporting / analysis capabilities to execute more informed decisions                               | 40 %              |
| Increase activity focused on strategic sourcing (e.g., sourcing volumes, events, price variance)                | 35 %              |
| Further automate manual processes in sourcing, contract, procurement and supplier based processes               | 31 %              |
| Develop strategies to improve cash flow management (e.g., optimize payment terms, extension of terms)           | 12 %              |
| Develop and implement supply risk mitigation strategies with top suppliers                                      | 22 %              |
| Investing in systems or data services to provide category specific market data and analysis                     | 12 %              |

### Current Capabilities

Aberdeen's benchmarking process measures the capabilities that currently exist within respondent companies. Next to your responses, the following table shows the percentage of Best-in-Class and Laggard organizations that currently have selected capabilities in place.

  : your responses from the assessment

| Capability   | Your Response | % Best-in-Class | % Laggard |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Standardized and formal strategic sourcing program             | No            | 72 %            | 35 %      |
| Collaboration between procurement and key stakeholders         | No            | 72 %            | 36 %      |
| Active monitoring of supplier performance                      | No            | 69 %            | 34 %      |
| Active monitoring of supply risk issues and disruptions        | Yes           | 68 %            | 12 %      |
| Ability to track spend under management                        | Yes           | 83 %            | 35 %      |
| Ability to assess the impact of procurement on the bottom-line | No            | 63 %            | 27 %      |

Aberdeen's analysis indicates that those capabilities highlighted in pink, if implemented, would make the greatest contribution to your company's improved performance.

- Best-in-Class organizations are 43% more likely than all others to leverage a formal and standardized strategic sourcing program as the cornerstone to their procurement units, a factor which places emphases on cost savings and ensuring that spend is actively funneled through this division.
- Top-performing organizations are 32% more likely than all other to institute collaboration between these divisions as a way of sharing information / intelligence regarding corporate spending.
- Best-in-Class organizations are 35% more likely than all other companies to actively monitor supplier performance; this intelligence can be leveraged in future negotiations and allow key buyers to gauge the effectiveness of specific suppliers when contracts and agreements are drawing to a close.

## Current Use Of Enablers

Aberdeen's benchmarking process measures the enabling technologies and services that are currently deployed within respondent companies. Next to your responses, the following table shows the percentage of Best-in-Class and Laggard organizations that currently have selected enablers in place.

: your responses from the assessment

| Enabler                     | Your Response | % Best-in-Class | % Laggard |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Spend analysis              | No            | 80 %            | 41 %      |
| Contract management         | Yes           | 68 %            | 26 %      |
| Supplier networks / portals | Yes           | 68 %            | 26 %      |
| e-procurement               | No            | 65 %            | 41 %      |
| Category specific solutions | No            | 52 %            | 29 %      |

Aberdeen's analysis indicates that those enablers highlighted in pink, if implemented, would make the greatest contribution to your company's improved performance.

- Spend analysis has risen as perhaps the top option in the CPO's veritable toolbox over the past half-decade. This technology, used by 18% more Best-in-Class organizations than all others, helps procurement executives dig into the goldmine that is spend and financial data to uncover key spending patterns / trends.
- e-procurement technology (in place in 11% more Best-in-Class companies than all others), a classic offering, provides an automated purchasing foundation for buyers within the procurement team.
- Category-specific solutions, such as Managed Service Providers (MSPs) and Vendor Management System (VMS) technology for contingent workforce management and software for managing the next wave of corporate meetings and events, assist the CPO in throwing a strategic arm around complex spending.

## Performance Metrics

The following table provides a closer look at your company's profile along these individual Key Performance Indicators, and how it compares to the Best-in-Class and Laggards. Also, based on your current capabilities and enablers, in comparison to the established benchmark of Best-in-Class, Average, and Laggard performance, the following table represents a predictive analysis of your company's results over the next year.

| KPI Metric   | Your Company's | Best-in-Class | Laggards |
|--|----------------|---------------|----------|
| Spend under management                                       | 63 %           | 83 %          | 28 %     |
| Rate of procurement contract compliance                      | 58 %           | 82 %          | 13 %     |
| Identified / negotiated savings are realized and implemented | 20 %           | 70 %          | 3 %      |

## Additional Resources

### Related Research and Information

- [Beyond Payables: The Evolution of the Modern Financial Ecosystem](#)
- [T&E Expense Management: A Solution Selection Guide](#)
- [Strategic Meetings Management: A Handbook of Emerging Strategies for the Next Generation of Meetings and Events Management](#)
- [Creating a Culture of Spend Optimization](#)
- [Advanced Sourcing: Maximizing Savings Identification](#)