

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

Q1 – Proposed **additions** to the local list.

	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Comments
41-51 (odd) Browning Road E11	43	39	5	<p>Lovely little area</p> <p>The buildings form an important part of the conservation area and are part of the original buildings</p> <p>Good village feel, should remain.</p> <p>Village like feel. Beautiful houses.</p> <p>Agree to it being added if lived in and used.</p> <p>Worth acknowledging this part of Leytonstone is a hidden jewel.</p> <p>Presume Victorian. Unique survival (according to internet).</p> <p>I agree to it being added to the list and I live there.</p>
20/22 Chingford Mount Road E4	36	38	6	<p>A good reminder of what the area looked like before the march of housing, especially as Orford Villa is to be deleted.</p> <p>One of a handful of such properties surviving.</p>
Church Mead School, Church Road E10 (original building)	47	31	5	<p>Need to start protecting our Victorian stock.</p> <p>I do not find it outstanding or remarkable, architecturally or historically.</p> <p>I am chuffed this is proposed for listing</p> <p>We are please to note that this has been proposed for listing and support is wholeheartedly.</p>
The Bell PH, 617 Forest Rd E17	68	17	7	<p>A real gateway building.</p> <p>Historic value.</p> <p>Used as a well-known local waymark/landmark.</p> <p>Well-known local landmark with history.</p> <p>Not interesting enough.</p> <p>Agree to it as an addition because of the contribution as a venue for the community.</p> <p>Classic example of 'coaching inn' pub sign.</p>

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

				<p>Preserve the local identity.</p> <p>Disagree with it being added as there's nothing unusual about it.</p> <p>Should be maintained to a decent standard.</p> <p>Interesting exterior decoration.</p> <p>Massive history since 1861.</p> <p>A local landmark.</p> <p>It is on 'The Bell' corner. Even the bus says so.</p> <p>This has been sorely messed about with in recent years, only the façade seems to remain of the pub as I first knew it in the late 1980s.</p>
<p>"Marine House" Pumping station, Ferry Lane/Forest Road E17</p>	72	13	3	<p>Historic value.</p> <p>A bit of industrial heritage worth keeping.</p> <p>Preserve the local identity.</p> <p>File looking.</p> <p>Excellent period building.</p> <p>I am very pleased that the 'Marine House' pumping station near the Ferry Boat Inn on Forest Road (which has great potential as part of the Walthamstow Wetlands project) has been proposed for listing, and support this. I think you'll find it has an N17 postcode; two people used to live there when I lived in Forest Road near the tube station in the 1990s, and on Polling days they and the Ferry Boat Inn had a separate booth especially for Lloyd Park Ward (as it then was) residents with N17 postcodes.</p> <p>We are pleased that this has been proposed for listing and support this addition, which has great industrial archaeological potential as part of the Walthamstow Wetlands project.</p>
<p>Walthamstow College (front range) Forest Road E17</p>	71	13	5	<p>Should be nationally listed</p> <p>Magnificent building.</p> <p>Not especially interesting but why not preserve.</p> <p>Maintains the unique design to the area.</p> <p>Is ordinary looking.</p> <p>A good example of a larger education building</p> <p>Buildings are not built like this now</p>

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

				Forms a pleasant look alongside the Town Hall. Wonderful building
1 & 2 Oxley House, 1 & 2 Evesden, The Forest E11	38	40	6	Nothing special about this. Important examples in the Conservation Area. Victorian/historic/original condition. They are not to my mind worthy of listing.
St Joseph's RC Church, 62-66 Grange Park Road E10	43	37	3	Churches can't be replaced. Worth keeping churches protected. Old and look interesting. Agree to it as an addition because of the contribution towards education for the community. Historic, but not a great example.
Leytonstone War Memorial, Harrow Green E11	75	9	1	Important Remembers our war dead. It is important to respect those that dies and preserve their memorials. It also adds to the character of Harrow Green and provides a focus. Agree to it as an addition because of our soldiers (past, present and future) who have sacrificed so that we may be safe. Agree to it as an addition because it is a memorial. This should be, no question. This is a war memorial. These should be preserved. All war memorials should be given listing
The King William 1V, 816 High Road E10	56	19	4	Beautiful pub. So well kept. As long as it stays a pub.

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

				Well preserved, fascinating interior. I don't like the bottom part. Historic with original features.
Walthamstow working mens club & institute, r/o 82/84 High Street E17	38	32	9	Agree to it being added if kept in good condition. Of historical and cultural significance. Yes in principle, but it looks thoroughly modernised. Does anything survive inside?
Drinking Water Fountain, Kings Head Hill E4	62	22	2	Anything historic is worth preserving. A true relic of the past. Historic, real British stuff.
Chingford War Memorial, Kings Head Hill/The Ridgeway E4	71	13	1	Important Remembers our war dead. Agree to it as an addition because of our soldiers (past, present and future) who have sacrificed so that we may be safe. Agree to it as an addition because it is a memorial and honoured every year. This should be, no question. This is a war memorial. No War memorial should be demolished, especially in an area that was bombed
Former Turbine house, Lea Bridge Weir, Lea Bridge Road E10	68	14	1	A bit of industrial heritage worth keeping. Looks good. Industrial archaeology.

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

				<p>I am chuffed this is proposed for listing. The View From the Bridge documents gives a date of 1876 for the structure, but elsewhere states that the date given on the keystone of the octagonal Sluice House building is 1885. The Local Listings document gives a date of 1895 - quite a discrepancy. Was it ever replaced or rebuilt?</p> <p>We are very pleased indeed that this has been proposed for listing, and we strongly support this. 1885 is the date given on the keystone of this building.</p>
Former Woolworth's building, 612-4 Lea Bridge Road E10	49	24	9	<p>Important</p> <hr/> <p>Now Iceland.</p> <hr/> <p>Woolworths is an 'institution'.</p> <hr/> <p>Looks awful</p> <hr/> <p>It has no architectural merit</p> <hr/> <p>A building representative of Woolworth's architectural style.</p> <hr/> <p>Historic but not a tremendous example.</p> <hr/>
Walbrook Works, Norlington Road E11 (Office only)	29	37	10	<p>Beautiful façade</p> <hr/> <p>Subject to planning application 2011/1253.</p> <hr/> <p>Can't see any reason why it should be added to the list.</p> <hr/> <p>Historic but not a tremendous example.</p> <hr/>
Frank Ison's shop, 47 Orford Road E17	56	21	7	<p>Historic value.</p> <hr/> <p>Surviving example of historic ironmongers. Adds character.</p> <hr/> <p>Can't see any reason why it should be added to the list.</p> <hr/> <p>Walthamstow Village should retain its charm and atmosphere.</p> <hr/> <p>Wonderful sign board.</p> <hr/> <p>Corner shops with such history don't much exist any more.</p> <hr/>

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

Marsh Street & Trinity United Reformed Church, Orford Road E17	46	29	9	Not particularly attractive, but dominant local landmark.
				It serves the community.
				Elegant
				Walthamstow Village should retain its charm and atmosphere
				Of limited architectural merit.
				Historic, beautiful example.
Queens Arms public house, Orford Road E17	55	21	7	Part of the original character of 'Village' area.
				Great to see a pub retain its original features.
				Walthamstow Village should retain its charm and atmosphere
				Historic, but not a great example.
Former Church of St Luke, Ruckholt Road E10	32	37	7	A remarkable church in an area that forms the gateway to the borough from the Olympic Park
				It serves the community.
				Unusual.
				Presume this is the building with the new roof which is a complete mismatch with the existing build.
Drinking water fountain adj Butlers Retreat, Rangers Road E4	69	16	3	Anything historic is worth preserving therefore keep.
				CoL research report available 'Durning Lawrence Fountain'
				Should definitely be added
				Massive history in this area.
Stafford Hall, St Barnabas Road E17	33	37	8	Is a blot on the landscape
				Depends on state of repair. Similar building recently demolished in Enfield.

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

				The tin hut of Father Steven Saxby's, by St Barnabas Church, should be served with a Building Preservation Notice, if you can do that to church property.
Selwyn Avenue School, Selwyn Avenue E4	34	35	9	<p>Agree to it being added for its education services.</p> <p>Selwyn School is more than 100 years old and whilst it may be of historical and architectural significance it falls well short of the requirements for delivering 21st century education and poses huge managerial issues in terms of ensuring the health and safety of those in the school community. The school falls well below the minimum threshold required and governors must be placed in a position where we will be prevented from making the necessary structural changes or rebuilding works to bring it up to standard. I wish therefore to submit a formal objection in the strongest possible terms to Selwyn Primary School becoming a locally listed building.</p>
"The Gables", 85, 85a & 87 Whipps Cross Road E11	46	29	6	<p>Being restored</p> <p>Can't see anything special about them.</p> <p>Is ugly.</p> <p>Interesting surviving 18th century buildings. (Unknown)</p> <p>Insufficient information, but very historic.</p> <p>As well as being a fine building - which I think deserves to be nationally listed - its location opposite Epping Forest Land makes it a fine panoramic landmark.</p> <p>The building did not meet the criteria for national listing, however, it remains of local architectural and historic interest as a good example of an individually-designed house of the late C19 by a well-regarded architectural practice.</p> <p>Historic and architectural significance and developer enthusiasm to destroy it.</p>
Whipps Cross TA War Memorial, Whipps Cross Road E11	76	7	2	<p>I helped lead the campaign to save this building back in 2007/8</p> <p>Important</p> <p>Very important memorial</p> <p>Agree to it as an addition because of our soldiers (past, present and future) who have sacrificed so that we may be safe.</p> <p>Should be added to the list as it is a memorial.</p>

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

			This should be, no question. This is a war memorial.
			No War memorial should be demolished, especially in an area that was bombed.

Q2 – Further **additions** to the Local List (consultation responses).

	Comments
Harrow Green Library	
The Birkbeck Tavern, Langthorne Road E11.	A solid high-clanged, two-barred public house which has an interesting history since its application for a licence in the 1880s was opposed by Cardinal Manning on the grounds that it was too close to the Catholic cemetery.
Cottages at Harrow Green.	The only reminder of the low key buildings that used to surround the "green", these are generally not defaced
Platforms at Leyton station.	These remain from the earlier nineteenth century station, following the demolition of the 1901 ticket hall in the 1990s, and are a good example of overland station architecture. Whilst, the proposed increase at capacity at Leyton is welcome, it is important to preserve the
The Bell, Leytonstone High Road E11	Cllr Northover - Part of a trio of buildings (including police and fire station) adorning this part of Leytonstone High Road which add hugely to the character of the area.
Tenby and Penny shop front	Only remaining industrial property in Village
The buildings at the top end of Hainault Road from Lytton Road junction to Colworth Road.	Once beautiful frontages under attack from developers. Please take measures to stop total paving over of front gardens. Otherwise in time area will look like the A12 or Ilford!! Concrete jungle without a soul. Environmental vandalism.
Rose & Crown, Hoe Street	Again classic example of pub of its era Attractive late 19th century public house. Tall shaped gables and corner turret. L-shaped bar, some original mosaic tiling.

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

Red Lion, Leyton High Rd	
Savoy Cinema at Lea Bridge Rd/Church Rd junction	
The old bus station (red brick) in Chingford Rd E17	
Lea Bridge library	Oldest Carnegie library in the borough, built 1905, opened 1906
Almshouse on Maynard Rd E17, including some Victorian houses should remain or put on the Local List.	
Leytonstone school	
165-169 Wood Street	Built 1877. Fine building in original condition if a little tatty
The Bull on the Green	Iconic building in Chingford. Needs help restoring it.
West side of The Drive E4 (i.e. odd numbers)	The villas are beautiful houses and need to be promoted and protected.
Top halves of the shops on Station Road E4	Look above the shops and preserve the original look of the 1930's parades - along Budgens through to Needful Things.
The old post office Vestry Road, E17	
Cinema Frontage on Hoe Street	

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

EMD/Granada cinema on Hoe Street	A surprising miss. As would presumably be other English Heritage listed halls/cinemas and other buildings in the borough.
St George's Church building on Shernhall Street at the corner of Addison Road E17	
The Catholic Church, Shernhall St	
21-22 Verulam Avenue E17	Approved by the Local Authority as the first Muslim worship place in the London Borough of Waltham Forest.
439-451 Lea Bridge Road, Leyton E10 7EA	Approved by the Local Authority as the first Muslim worship place in the London Borough of Waltham Forest. It is not only a beautiful building, ever since it has been improved in its present shape since its been in existence, land also it is the largest build masjid capacity wise in the whole of south east England, not only in London. It is a worshipping place for not only 50,000 Muslims in the London borough of Waltham Forest, but also for tall the Muslims in East London, and it is a landmark in Lea Bridge Road, since 40
shop fronts on Leytonstone High Street	
The old gas showrooms (now a shoe shop) by Grey Wornum.	Is still largely intact including the plaster finished above the suspended ceiling and the lecture theatre to the rear, now used as a stock room.

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

Residential block on left hand side of Leyton High Road approaching Leyton Underground station opposite the entrance steps down to Asda and TkMax	
The Regal, Highams Park	
The Crescent and other contemporary houses in Shereden Road, Cliveden Rod and Gordon Avenue in Highams Park.	These houses were built as 'Highams Park Garden village' at the beginning of the 20th century
The Scout boat house, Highams Park Lake	These buildings were the converted tea chalet of c. 1900 and the renovated shell (after a fire) of the Lee Avon Boat Club, which was built without public funds, by local children and local children and young people, supervised by Ken Ford, where many children learned to sail on the lake. (This is being threatened by proposed plans to reinforce the dam of the lake).
Hale End and Highams Park Library	Suggested by Ron and Mary Dunhill - at present the only fully-functioning public building in Highams Park.
The original Highams Park shops opposite and adjacent to the station and the long line of semis in the Avenue from No 9.	Built at the beginning of the 20th century, which have generally kept their architectural identity even when some have been joined up to make a retirement home and rehabilitation institution. Several similar houses, starting at 46 towards the shops echo the architecture of the houses opposite. (No 48 predates these houses, having been built in 1892)
Royal Oak Public House	1906 on Oak Hill. This and the previous pub on the site mark the historical old hamlet of Hale End.

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

2 houses and 2 semis in Castle Ave and several houses in Handsworth Avenue	
The former Co-operative building, now Alstron House and Providence House fronting Hoe Street and Orford Road	A fine example of 20th century design, with impressive decorative features. Occupies a prominent position at the Orford Road/Hoe Street/Queens Road junction. It represents an important part of the area's social, economic and architectural history. Its role as part of the Cooperative's history should not be underestimated. A broadly contemporary (1920) Cooperative building in Tottenham was recently destroyed in the riots of this summer, highlighting the fact that existing buildings should be protected from destruction (and that includes unnecessary development) as far as possible.
Blackhorse Road underground station	Although not meeting the criteria for national listing, Blackhorse Road represents the only Victoria Line station with a sizeable surface booking hall and was notable for its adoption of a Brutalist style whilst retaining elements of the stations of Charles Holden. The station has local artistic interest for David McFall's Black Horse sculptural mural.
Embassy Social Club, 2 High Street, Walthamstow	A substantial Art Deco period piece of 1935, built as a Montagu Burtons store in the characteristic style of their in-house architect's department. Faced in white faience, windows to the two upper storeys framed by fancy Egyptian detail. The building was planned with billiard hall and social facilities above.
Salvation Army complex of buildings on Forest Road	
Leytonstone fire station	
Lincolns Pub, Leytonstone High Rd	
Drinking water fountain, Davies Lane	
Mosaics &	

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

advertising, Leytonstone tube subway	
The Cobblers, Leytonstone tube subway	
Leytonstone library, Church Lane	
Fish Brothers clock, Leytonstone High Rd	
Independent building clock and signage, Church Lane	
Cobbled Road, Greystone Path	
3 storey derelict house in Bulwer Rd end of Wallwood Rd	
Lemna Court, Lemna Rd	
St John's Church, Leytonstone	
Parts of Woodside School, Forest Rd frontage.	
Formerly Walthamstow Building Society, forest rd/woodstreet junction	

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

Jerry Alexanders Ralph Erskine esq housing, behind sainsbury off walthamstow High St	
E4 fire station	
Buxton bingo hall, Walthamstow High St.	
Cock pub, High St E17	
Pump House, folly lane.	
The curve wave promenade opposite EMD cinema	
Badru's Cann Hall School	
The Church on the Billet, E4	
Raglan pub	
Former factory on the corner of Tower Hamlets Road and Hoe St.	
Greyhound public house	<p>For reasons of its interesting and distinctive façade with the two greyhounds, or its former stable-yard (on the original ground floor level, below the ramp up to the railway bridge).</p> <p>Has a splendid façade as well as interior features associated with the 18th century former stable-yard on the original ground floor level (which predates the Lea Valley railway line bridge). It is certainly more historically interesting and characterful than the 'Bell' or the rebuilt 'William IV' pubs, which have been proposed for addition to local list.</p>

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

Nos 1-35 High Street, Walthamstow E17	There are Victorian features - tiles, Warner mouldings, dragons, finials and all kinds of stuff - mostly still intact - on these buildings, some of which have other associations of local and even national historical interest (and some of which could probably do with a local Blue Heritage Plaque).
Nos 2-4 Coppermill Lane, Walthamstow E17	There are Victorian features - tiles, Warner mouldings, dragons, finials and all kinds of stuff - mostly still intact - on these buildings, some of which have other associations of local and even national historical interest (and some of which could probably do with a local Blue Heritage Plaque).
Nos 2-20 St James St, Walthamstow E17	There are Victorian features - tiles, Warner mouldings, dragons, finials and all kinds of stuff - mostly still intact - on these buildings, some of which have other associations of local and even national historical interest (and some of which could probably do with a local Blue Heritage Plaque). The ownership of the buildings involved is probably pretty diverse - the St. James ones I suspect are mostly owned by Cllr. Liaquat Ali (and probably some of the High Street ones too).
No 108 (former Truman off-licence) Edward Rd, Walthamstow E17	There are Victorian features - tiles, Warner mouldings, dragons, finials and all kinds of stuff - mostly still intact - on these buildings, some of which have other associations of local and even national historical interest (and some of which could probably do with a local Blue Heritage Plaque). Ormeau Properties own the Truman off-licence building in Edward Road (which appears to have been squatted by an Eastern European gypsy family for about a year, if they're still there) and have applied for planning permission, some years ago I gather, to convert it into flats; however, I have been told by Cllr. Clare Coghill that Ormeau (Declan Rocks's outfit) have given her an undertaking not to destroy the lovely tiled façade on the ground floor. I would like to see something more robust done to preserve this building.
Lea Bridge Conservation Area & Essex Filter Beds	The "View from the Bridge" draft document suggests that the EFB1 buildings should be considered for statutory listing on the basis of Group Value alone (and proposes a Conservation Area for the site). Given the industrial archaeological importance of this site, and its setting adjacent to the Middlesex Filtering Beds and Lea Bridge Conservation Area in Clapton, I do feel that this is a great opportunity for Waltham Forest to get together with Hackney to preserve this interesting part of the special heritage of the River Lea and Lee Navigation and the industrial heritage of the Lower Lea Valley as an integrated whole. The draft document recommends that the Lea Bridge and Paradise Dock area - known, apparently, as Lea Bridge Green - should be treated together, and supports further efforts being made to extend the Lea Bridge Conservation Area into Waltham Forest.
East London Waterworks Company buildings	Originally part of the Leyton Marshes Lammas Lands. Given the industrial archaeological importance of this site, and its setting adjacent to the Middlesex Filtering Beds and Lea Bridge Conservation Area in L.B. Hackney, we feel that this is a sadly missed opportunity.

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

<p>Lea Bridge and Paradise Dock area (known as Lea Bridge Green)</p>	<p>View From the Bridge' makes a strong case for this to be treated as a whole and urges that further efforts be made to extend the Lea Bridge Conservation Area into Waltham Forest to facilitate better interpretation of the industrial heritage of the waterway here.</p>
<p>The historical row of trees to the north of the Lee Valley Riding Centre on the Leyton/Walthamstow Parish Boundary (between the Riding Centre and the field on Walthamstow Marshes fenced off as paddocking for livery horses by the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority in 1994</p>	<p>We are disappointed that this has not been considered for designation as a Heritage landscape feature. Only two of the original trees, which research leads us to believe were planted in the late 18th century, still survive, and damage by horses at the Lee Valley Riding Centre has caused the loss of more recently planted hybrids to the east (which nonetheless are visible as mature specimens in photographs of flying pioneer A.V. Roe's early flights on Walthamstow Marshes). We feel that this parish boundary is an important historical feature of the Lea Valley marshes that is certainly worthy of listing as a heritage landscape feature, and we hope that it may also be considered by Mike Punchard and that you will be supportive of this.</p>
<p>Copper Mill building, Coppermill Lane</p>	<p>We are concerned that there seems to be no mention of the Copper Mill building in Coppermill Lane, and presume that this is because it has a national listing. We hope this is correct!</p>
<p>Former aqueduct channel in the Lea Bridge Road area where the remnants of the sluice gates between the EFB 1 and 2 sites boast Waltham Forest's only known "Banksy"!</p>	<p>We presume this is nationally listed, if not, then this last remaining part of the aqueduct should also be considered for local listing.</p>

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

Hare & Hounds	With its important historical sporting connections as the home of London's oldest football club, has also not been proposed, and we suggest there are many original features - including the courtyard and outbuildings, that could make the building worthy of a local listing.
---------------	--

Q1 – Proposed deletions to the local list.

	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Reasons/comments
69 Beulah Road E17	19	36	20	<p>These properties are in the Orford Road Conservation Area. Why was action not taken to prevent unsympathetic alterations?</p> <p>Could it not be restored?</p> <p>Lovely building.</p> <p>Beulah Rd is one of the streets in Walthamstow Village that's given the area is unique feel. I am against houses on this road being taken off the list.</p> <p>I like the different styles of buildings on Beulah Road.</p> <p>Walthamstow village should retain its charm and character.</p> <p>Victorian. Part of London's history, former church common, now a conservation area.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the removal of 69 Beulah Road from the list. This has an unusual mid Victorian frontage which mirrors my own property next door. I assume and trust that my property (67 Beulah Road) is staying on the list as I see no reference to it in the documentation which begs the question as to why you are seeking to remove no 69. 67 and 69 were originally semi- detached Victorian villas which are of a unique style I relation to the rest of Beulah Road and indeed Walthamstow generally. They were built ahead of the more usual terrace housing which fills most of the borough. I have taken care to maintain 67 to a high decorative standard with the sash windows well maintained. I am unhappy about the condition of the front of 69 particularly the sash windows which appear to be rotting through lack of painting over many years. For you to remove 69 from list gives the message that this is an unimportant building and a green light to its continued and unnecessary deterioration of original elements of the frontage of the property.</p>
42 Beulah Road E17	19	35	21	<p>These properties are in the Orford Road Conservation Area. Why was action not taken to prevent unsympathetic alterations?</p>

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

				<p>Could it not be restored?</p> <p>Part of a small terrace - extremely uniform.</p> <p>Beulah Rd is one of the streets in Walthamstow Village that's given the area is unique feel. I am against houses on this road being taken off the list.</p> <p>Walthamstow village should retain its charm and character.</p> <p>Victorian. Part of London's history, former church common, now a conservation area. Waltham Forest planning should not have let this building be 'unsympathetically altered'. (Caroline Baker)</p>
62,64 & 66 Beulah Road E17	18	37	20	<p>These properties are in the Orford Road Conservation Area. Why was action not taken to prevent unsympathetic alterations?</p> <p>Could it not be restored?</p> <p>Obviously has been altered already, but why further reduce protection?</p> <p>Beulah Rd is one of the streets in Walthamstow Village that's given the area is unique feel. I am against houses on this road being taken off the list.</p> <p>Walthamstow village should retain its charm and character.</p> <p>Victorian. Part of London's history, former church common, now a conservation area</p>
82, 84 & 86 Beulah Road E17	20	38	18	<p>These properties are in the Orford Road Conservation Area. Why was action not taken to prevent unsympathetic alterations?</p> <p>Could it not be restored?</p> <p>Mainly original, looks nice.</p> <p>Beulah Rd is one of the streets in Walthamstow Village that's given the area is unique feel. I am against houses on this road being taken off the list.</p> <p>Walthamstow village should retain its charm and character.</p> <p>Victorian. Part of London's history, former church common, now a conservation area.</p>
Walthamstow Greyhound Stadium, Chingford Road E4	28	4	55	<p>There seems to be inconsistency with what is locally listed and what is on the statutory list since the latter only includes the façade, sign and kennels. If this is correct and the statutory listing is as wide as the local list, then I have no problem with this being deleted.</p> <p>This is an iconic local building and it feels like this is being done 'by the back door'. There is a strong depth of feeling locally, both about this building, and about the way L&Q have behaved in this matter.</p> <p>Very important to keep</p> <p>Hate dog racing but love heritage.</p>

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

	The front part used as a car park could be part of a development. The stands could be converted.
	The 'stow' is known worldwide. It's a monument.
	It's an important local landmark and a reminder of a sport which was once an important part of the culture of East London.
	Prominent local landmark of its time. Art Deco heritage of the area.
	This is an iconic building and should be preserved and used for the purpose it was created.
	We should be preserving the key characteristics of such a building and striving to increase leisure activities in Chingford not reducing.
	This is an iconic building & image and is internationally recognised.
	Part of our local history and is a landmark.
	Historic building.
	This is a famous landmark.
	This is an amazing art deco building.
	Feel very strongly that this should stay as it is a local landmark recognised across London.
	Another iconic building needs preserving. Keep this and do something positive to preserve it and make use of it - a wonderful iconic art deco building.
	Seriously, the need to prevent Walthamstow from being a 'clone town' is to preserve landmarks such as the stadium.
	Strongly agree with keeping this iconic building listed. It would be great if this was returned to its original use.
	The stadium should be kept and re-opened - architectural interest.
	Local landmark and borough 'treasure'.
	Icon local landmark. Unique and full of interest.
	Important local landmark and huge part of Walthamstow's heritage.
	Its iconic and a beautiful building that could be built into a housing estate giving the housing estate identity.
	Feel this is an important local landmark should be preserved
	This one should be statutorily listed.
	Agree to it being removed if the proposed development goes ahead.
	Erected in 1931 on former football club site. History.
	Should be preserved as it stands.
	A nationally known site.
	This building needs all the support it can get.
	Disagree strongly, very much part of LBWF history.

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

				<p>I agree this should be taken off the local list and am delighted that this has been upgraded to Listed Building Status.</p> <p>The frontage range and kennels are listed, however, the spectator stands and track and all other elements not protected by national listing should be locally listed, or protected by virtue of curtilage.</p> <p>My ancestors helped build it, my grandparents frequented it, my parents worked there – it is part of Waltham Forest. It is one of our most famous buildings and local residents want it to stay so there is no relevant reason to remove it from the list. What difference does it make if part of it is on two lists? It is important for the entire structure to be listed.</p> <p>Walthamstow's only defining landmark</p> <p>Keep. It is the only building of character and history (social) in that area.</p>
"Orford Villa" 10 Chingford Mount Road E4	22	32	18	Again, why allowed to be unsympathetically altered.
14 & 16 Church Road E10	18	44	9	Again, why allowed to be unsympathetically altered.
Church of St Andrew, Colworth Road E11	23	39	14	<p>This is a lovely church, how could this be removed.</p> <p>In principle against de-listing a church. It is quite interesting.</p> <p>Should still be listed due to its historical interest.</p> <p>Massive amount of history.</p> <p>Use as a community centre if not for worship.</p>
67 Erskine Road E17	16	43	11	Sadly converted to a mosque. This is British history.
42 Grange Park Road E10	15	43	7	Again, why allowed to be unsympathetically altered.
28-32 & 40-44 Grosvenor Park Road E17	18	41	14	<p>Disagree with it being de-listed to prevent further damage.</p> <p>These really add to the character of the central part of the borough.</p> <p>It will affect the overall feel of the road and spoil it if residents make changes that are not in keeping with the rest of the road.</p> <p>Already demolished by the police.</p>

**APPENDIX B - Local List SPD
Schedule of Responses**

Former "National Schools" buildings, 606-638 High Road E10	22	35	18	<p>Believe was destroyed by police property department. Were they fined?</p> <p>Why delist this. It is interesting.</p> <p>Historic building.</p> <p>Should be rebuilt</p> <p>Is no longer there.</p> <p>Is this now a police station so non-existent?</p>
Lighthouse Methodist Church, 120 Markhouse Road E17	25	20	34	<p>Beautiful building.</p> <p>This is a landmark site.</p> <p>This is a prominent local landmark and should be kept.</p> <p>Is part of our Christian heritage.</p> <p>Iconic and unusual</p> <p>Important local landmark and one of only 2 inland lighthouses.</p> <p>Iconic landmark.</p> <p>Massive amount of history.</p> <p>No, it should remain on the heritage list.</p> <p>Another landmark.</p> <p>This is a unique building which deserves support.</p> <p>A well-known old landmark.</p> <p>Listed Grade II http://list.english-heritage.org.uk/resultsingle.aspx?uid=1391928 (Louise Horsfall)</p> <p>Architectural significance</p> <p>Keep, it is the only building of character in that area.</p>
235 and 237 Shernhall Street E17	21	44	7	<p>Again, why allowed to be unsympathetically altered.</p>
1-27 (odd) Sophia Road E10	13	43	6	<p>Again, why allowed to be unsympathetically altered.</p>
Church of St Peter in the Forest, Woodford New Road E17	24	15	32	<p>I definitely disagree with de-listing this lovely church. It is unique.</p> <p>Should remain listed to preserve the historic value of the building.</p> <p>This is a historic building set amongst Epping Forest and backing onto conservation area and locally listed Foresters Cottages. This building should remain on the list. Its history should be preserved.</p>

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

			Very interesting building and setting. Huge history. Is this now listed nationally? Listed Grade II http://list.english-heritage.org.uk/resultsingle.aspx?uid=1393232 . (Louise Horsfall) Architectural significance
--	--	--	--

Further deletions?	
Electric house	Cannot see any relevance.
The Larkshall public house	It is just a pub now.
Police station, E11	There are plenty of buildings from this time, why not add them all to the list?
Mosque 439-451 Lea Bridge road and Dacre road	No architectural significance as with the churches to be removed.

Other general comments
<p>Any building of historical or architectural interest of 100 years old or prior should have some form of listing. Then when any alteration is proposed the borough and our two historic societies should be given notice to see if acceptable, or a full listing should be issued. If the owners ignore this local bylaw substantial financial fines should be enforced. As 240,000 residents of Waltham Forest could have lost their history - i.e. we lost the front of the super baths at Bakers Arms, now Tesco which was wrong. I can not oppose any additions or deletions to this list. I have visited Australia and New Zealand and both treasure their few buildings of 100 years or older as they are very new countries. Lets learn from their example and keep and maintaing what we have. Our visitors from the Olympics will be expecting to see our historic building and they will be future tourist attractions and developments.</p>

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

No there should not be further deletions from the list. If these buildings were on a list, they should stay preserved. Heritage and culture enhance the area. Too much as been spoilt in recent decades.

This questionnaire is rather confusing - the areas are not defined. Those for deletions should be on a separate list. Those for additions also put on a separate list. Maybe some history on each would be helpful.

I don't think any fine examples of a certain period building or iconic building should be deleted from a list. More houses/buildings etc which are of a historical design or represent an era or of a local heritage value should be preserved. Preserve as much of our heritage as possible. Stop the decay - bring things back to life (e.g. Cinema on Hoe Street E17 - what a shame).

Local listing creates confusion - there is no statutory protection for these buildings, but the public voice think there is.

Very disappointed more information was not provided on the history of these properties; I found information on your website and elsewhere which I doubt the multi-cultural communities of today will be bothered to research. I suspect the response to this consultation will be poor, but understand your statutory obligations to have to consult.

I object to the removal from the borough's list of any building that is also listed by the Department of Culture, Media and Sport - particularly where the listings cover different aspects of the building (as applies to Walthamstow Stadium dog track, for instance - where the DCMS listing only covers the frontage). There seems to me to be no clear reason why the list shouldn't include buildings also listed in other heritage lists.

Simon Munk - I also object to the fact that it appears there have been a large number of "unsympathetic" conversions, amendments and additions/subtractions to buildings on the list that now see them marked for removal (e.g. the buildings on Beulah Road). Does the list not ensure that such buildings aren't tampered with in such an unsympathetic manner? If not, why not?

APPENDIX B - Local List SPD Schedule of Responses

From the information provided with this application, it does not appear to fall within the scope of the consultations that Natural England would routinely comment on. The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment. Other bodies and individuals may be able to make comments that will help the Local Planning Authority (LPA) to fully take account of the environmental value of this site in the decision making process.

However, we would expect the LPA to assess and consider the possible impacts resulting from this proposal on the following when determining this application:

Protected species

If the LPA is aware of, or representations from other parties highlight the possible presence of a protected or Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) species on the site, the authority should request survey information from the applicant before determining the application. The Government has provided advice¹ on BAP and protected species and their consideration in the planning system.

The following link to some guidance Natural England Standing Advice on our website has been produced to help the authority better understand the impact of this particular development on protected or BAP species should they be identified as an issue at this site and whether following receipt of survey information, the authority should undertake further consultation with Natural England.

Local wildlife sites

If the proposal site is on or adjacent to a local wildlife site, e.g. Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) or Local Nature Reserve (LNR) the authority should ensure it has sufficient information to fully understand the impact of the proposal on the local wildlife site before it determines the application. Biodiversity

We need to protect our older buildings as they add to the attractiveness, economy, etc of the borough.

We are pleased to see that Waltham Forest has a Local List of Buildings of architectural or historic interest and that you are keeping it under review by suggesting amendments on which you are now consulting. Planning Policy Statement 5 is the government's policy document on Planning for the Historic Environment and it highlights the contribution of Local Lists. They are defined as Heritage Assets (annex 2) and it states that the effect of an application on the significance of a heritage asset or its setting is a material consideration in determining that application (policy HE8).

English Heritage is producing guidance on Local Lists. There was a public consultation period on our draft this autumn and the expected publication date is the beginning of next year. We would recommend that there is an adopted set of criteria against which buildings and other structures are recommended for inclusion or deletion from a Local List. The criteria or principles for selection are decided locally to be tailored to the local context. We are pleased to see that you have identified further buildings for adding to your Local List and do not wish to comment on the selection. With regard to the proposed deletions, likewise we have no comments on those that have been statutorily listed and therefore proposed for removal. In respect of those buildings that are proposed for removal through having been altered, it might be possible to consider retaining them on the list and seek, under policy GHE14 of your UDP (and successor policies), to secure the restoration of the lost features such as windows and doors. We note that in the UDP buildings may be locally listed for their townscape value, and suggest that sometimes sufficient townscape merit may be retained despite the insertion of unsympathetic replacement features such as windows?

We wondered does the Ferry Boat Inn itself (1, Forest Road, N17, but within the London Borough of Waltham Forest, and adjacent to the locally listed bridge bearing the Essex coat of arms) have any listed status?