# **Part I: CoC Organizational Structure**

HUD-Defined CoC Name:*	CoC Number*
Duluth / St. Louis County	MN-509
*HUD-defined CoC names and numbers are available at: www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fund do not have a HUD-defined CoC name and number, enter the name of your CoC and HUD will a number.	5

# A: CoC Lead Organization Chart

CoC Lead Organization: St. Louis	<b>County Public Healt</b>	h & Human S	ervices
CoC Contact Person: Vicki Maher	•		
Contact Person's Organization Nat	me: St. Louis County		
Street Address: 320 West Second	Street, Room 605		
City: Duluth		State:MN	Zip: 55802
Phone Number: 218-726-2210	Fax Number:21	8-733-2979	U
Email Address: maherv@co.st-loui	s.mn.us		

### **B: CoC Geography Chart**

Geographic Area Name	6-digit Code
Duluth, Minnesota	271266
St. Louis County, Minnesota	279137

Geographic Area Name	6-digit Code

# **CoC Structure and Decision-Making Processes**

### **C: CoC Groups and Meetings Chart**

		(	ting Fi check ne col	ncy	Enter the number of organizations / entities that are members	
	CoC Planning Groups	At Least Monthly	At Least Quarterly	At Least Biannually	Annually	of each CoC planning group listed on this chart.
	rimary Decision-Making Group (list only one group)				1	
	St. Louis County Committee to End Homelessness (CEH)	Χ				17
Role:	Provide leadership on county-wide homeless issues; address of best practices, develop strategies and identify opportunities to					rities, review
Other	CoC Committees, Sub-Committees, Workgroups, etc.	•				
Name:	Affordable Housing Coalition	Χ				40
Role:	Assess housing and service needs of homeless persons, inventory existing resources and identify gaps in housing and local service delivery within city of Duluth.					
Name:	Churches United in Ministry	Χ				38
Role:	Plan, organize, and administer stabilization services					
Name:	City of Duluth	Χ				1
Role:	Provide staff support to convene Committee to End Homeless communication to all partners.	sness i	meetin	gs and	l coo	ordinate
Name:	Duluth Community Development Committee	Χ				11
Role:	Review CDBG and ESG applications for homeless funding at the City Council.	nd ma	ke fun	ding re	econ	nmendations to
Name:	Duluth Human Rights Commission	Χ				15
Role:	Oversee fair housing issues for the City of Duluth.					
Name:	End Homelessness in Ten Committee (EHIT)	Χ				17
Role:	Set up Implementation Team to oversee execution of Heading	g Hom	e Plan			
Name:	Family Homeless Prevention and Assistance Program (FHPAP) Advisory Committee		X			30
Role:	Review progress of the FHPAP and its impact on families and a coordinated service delivery system to prevent homelessnes					gaps and plan
Name:	Heading Home St. Louis County Discharge Planning Task Force	X				17
Role:	Development county-wide discharge planning protocols estab persons into homelessness from foster care, corrections, medi		-			•

Name:	Maverick Group	Χ				10
Role:	Discuss local housing and homeless issues; coordinate resour	ces an	nd ensu	ire cor	nmu	nication
Nole.	between direct service providers to prevent duplication of ser	vices.				
Name:	Ministerial Association - Hibbing	Χ				8
Role:	Discuss how to best address local community needs and hom	eless i	issues	in the	City	of Hibbing
Nole.	area.					
Name:	Ministerial Association - Quad Cities	Χ				28
Role:	Discuss how to best address local community needs and hom	eless i	issues	interre	lated	to the cities
Kule.	f Eveleth, Gilbert, Mountain Iron, and Virginia.					
Name:	NE MN Project to End Long-Term Homelessness	Χ				31
	Steering Committee					
Role:	Partnership of 7 counties and 3 Bands of the MN Chippewa					
	upportive housing response to end long-term homelessness in northeastern Minnesota.					
Name:	Northeast Minnesota HOME Consortium Advisory Board			X		12
Role:	Identify housing issues across a five-county region; set housi	•		so tha	t HC	OME funds are
	distributed to high priority to housing projects which meet lo	cal nee	eds.	1		
Name:	Northeast Minnesota Regional Housing Alliance Group		X			35
Role:	Provide a forum for housing resource coordination and inform	nation	ı shariı	1g.		
Name:	Northeast Regional Development Authorities		Χ			15
Role:	Discuss housing issues and how they can be addressed region	nally tl	hrough	n the H	[RAs	5.
Name:	Rural Housing Coalition and Fair Housing Committee	Χ				42
Role:	Assess housing and service needs of homeless persons, inven	tory e	xisting	g resou	irces	and identify
Role:	gaps in rural St. Louis County; oversee planning and implem	entatio	on of c	ounty	's fai	ir housing plan.
Name:	St. Louis County CDBG Advisory Committee	Χ				19
	Review CDBG applications for HUD funding and make fund	ling re	comm	endati	onst	to the St. Louis
Role:	County Board.					
Name:	St. Louis County Public Health and Human Services	Χ				1
	Provide staff support to convene Heading Home Implementa	tion T	eam: c	oordir	nate (	communication
Role:	to all agency partners; draft Exhibit 1 & coordinate submission	on to F	HUD: (	coordi	nate	county
	services with Continuum of Care.		•	•		
Name:	St. Louis County Veterans Service		Χ			1
Role:	Coordinate county veteran services with Continuum of Care;	provi	de info	ormatio	on ar	nd referrals to
NUIC.	veterans.				ī	
Name:	Soroptomists – Hibbing		Χ			24
Role:	Assess need for support and raise funds for a Teen Safe Have	en in H	libbing	g.		

State-w	ide Planning					
Name:	Corporation for Supportive Housing Work Group		Χ		20	
Role:	Provide information and technical assistance to organizations	s relate	d to a	lternativ	e funding sourc	
Nole.	for support services and housing development.					
Name:	Ending Long-Term Homelessness Advisory Council (ELTH)		X		54	
Role:	Provide ongoing advice and support to the state as implemen term homelessness.	tation	of the	state pla	n to end long-	
	ELTH Subcommittees					
	• Best Practices: Housing Construction and Rehab Committee		X		11	
	Advise MHFA on construction decisions as plan is in	npleme	ented	and proje	ects are selected	
	Community Support Task Force		Χ		9	
	Develop private sector resources to support plan to e	nd LT	H.	•		
	Evaluation Committee	Χ			13	
	Oversee comprehensive evaluation of the business pla	an.		•		
	Landlord Relationships Committee		Χ		15	
	Analyze barriers for landlords reluctant to rent to LTI	H, deve	elops	ways to a	address barriers	
	Regional Needs and Analysis Committee		X		11	
	Oversee alignment of regional plans with state plan and determines needs by region.					
	Service Funding Committee	Χ			21	
	Advise DHS on flexible service fund and develops ex supportive housing in Minnesota.	pertise	e in m	ainstrear		
Name:	Statewide Exhibit 1 Work Group			<b>X</b>	K 13	
Role:	Meet to share best practices in effectively preparing an effect	tive Ex	hibit			
	Minnesota CoC Coordinator Work Group		X		14	
Role:	Meet to share best practices and explore alternative methods Exhibit 1.	in dev	elopn	nent of ar		
Name:	Minnesota Coalition for the Homeless	Χ			1	
Role:	Provide information on homeless issues; provide technical as	sistan	e and	l advocac	y services.	
Name:	Minnesota Comprehensive Offender Re-entry Plan	Χ			8	
Role:	Envision a state in which offenders live purposeful, productive there are safe communities.	ve, law	-abid	ing, heal	thy lives; and	
Name:	Minnesota HMIS Statewide Governing Group		X		1	
Role:	This group oversees Minnesota's Statewide HMIS. It helps s for technical assistance and training, oversees the budget for priorities for the project.					
Name:	Minnesota HMIS User Groups		Χ		16	
Role:	Gain technical assistance to implement and operate HMIS at	agenc	y leve	1.	-	

Name:	Minne	sota Interagency Task Force on Homelessness	X				12
Role:	Work	to effectively use state resources to prevent and end ho	meles	sness.			
	Subco	ommittees					
	٠	Continuum of Care Subcommittee	Χ				9
		Investigate, review and improve the current system of homeless or at risk of becoming homeless.	of serv	ice del	livery (	to pe	ople who are
	٠	<b>Coordination of Resources Subcommittee</b>		Χ			10
		Improve coordination of resources and activities of a	ll state	e agen	cies re	latin	g to
	homelessness.						
	•	Family Homeless Prevention and Assistance Program Subcommittee	X				4
	Prever	Advise the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency in m tion and Assistance Program.	anagir	ig the l	Family	7 Hoi	neless
	•	Information Systems Subcommittee	X				3
		Homeless Management Information System; Quarter Wilder Survey	ly She	lter Su	irvey; ]	Hous	sing Link;
Name:	Policy Childr	Academy to Prevent and End Homelessness for en and their Families in Minnesota		X			8
Role:	Improv	ve access to mainstream services for families with chil	dren e	xperie	ncing	hom	elessness.

# **D:** CoC Planning Process Organizations Chart

	Specific Names of All CoC Organizations	Geographic Area Represented	Subpopu Representer (no more th organiza SMI SMI SMI SMI SMI SMI VET VET VET HIV HIV	ed, if any* than 2 per
	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES			
	Specific Names of All Coc Organizations         Represe           FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES         Image: Comparison of Housing and Urban Development – Minneapolis Field Office         279137, 271           U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development – Minneapolis Field Office         279137, 271           U.S. Social Security Administration         279137, 271           Wins Social Security Administration         279137, 271           HMIS Governing Groups         279137, 271           HMIS User Groups         279137, 271           Minnesota Comprehensive Offender Re-entry Plan         279137, 271           Minnesota Department of Corrections         279137, 271           Minnesota Department of Education         279137, 271           Minnesota Department of Health         279137, 271           Minnesota Department of Human Services         279137, 271           Minnesota Department of Veteran's Affairs         279137, 271           Minnesota Department of Veteran's - Veteran's         279137, 271           Minnesota Interagency Task Force on Homelessness         279137, 271           Policy Academy to Prevent and End	279137, 271266		
	U.S. Social Security Administration	279137, 271266	SMI	SA
	STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES			
	HMIS Governing Groups	279137, 271266		
	HMIS User Groups	279137, 271266		
	1	279137, 271266		
	Minnesota Department of Corrections	279137, 271266	SMI	SA
	Minnesota Department of Education	279137, 271266		
R		279137, 271266		
CTO	Minnesota Department of Health	279137, 271266		
SEC	Minnesota Department of Human Services	279137, 271266	SMI	SA
LIC	Minnesota Department of Public Safety	279137, 271266		
UBI	Minnesota Department of Veteran's Affairs	279137, 271266	VET	
Р		279137,271266	VET	
	Minnesota Housing	279137, 271266	HIV	
		279137, 271266	HIV	SMI
	Homelessness for Children and their Families in	279137, 271266		
	State Courts Administration	279137,271266		

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES	270127		
St. Louis County CDBG Advisory Committee	279137		
St. Louis County Commissioner Steve O'Neil	279137, 271266 279137		
St. Louis County Commissioner Peg Sweeney			
St. Louis County Planning Department St. Louis County Public Health and Human	279137, 271266		
Services Department	279137, 271266	SMI	<b>Y</b>
St. Louis County Veterans Service	279137, 271266	VET	
City of Duluth Mayor Herb Bergson	271266		
City of Duluth American Indian Commission	271266		
City of Duluth Commission on Disabilities	271266	SMI	S.
City of Duluth Community Development	271266		
City of Duluth Community Development Committee	271266		
City of Duluth Housing Commission	271266		
City of Duluth Human Rights Commission	271266		
City of Duluth Workforce Development	279137, 271266		
City of Hibbing	279137		
City of Virginia	279137		
PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCIES			
Chisholm HRA	279137		
Cook HRA	279137		
Duluth HRA	271266	SMI	S
Ely HRA	279137		
Eveleth HRA	279137		
Gilbert HRA	279137		
Hibbing HRA	279137		
St. Louis County HRA	279137		
Virginia HRA	279137	SMI	S.
SCHOOL SYSTEMS / UNIVERSITIES			
College of St. Scholastica (CSS)	271266		
ISD #709 Head Start	271266	Y	
ISD #709 Homeless Liaison	271266	Y	
ISD #2142 Homeless Liaison	279137	SMI	Ŋ
Lake Superior College	271266		
U of MN, Duluth - Labovitz School of Business	271266		
University of MN, Duluth - School of Medicine	271266		
University of MN, Duluth - School of Pharmacy	271266		
University of MN Social Work Department	271266, 279137		
LAW ENFORCEMENT / CORRECTIONS			
Arrowhead Regional Corrections (including Probation & Correctional Facilities)	279137, 271266	SMI	S.
Duluth Police Department	271266		
St. Louis County Sheriff Dept. (Including Jails)	279137, 271266		
LOCAL WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT			

	Minnesota Workforce Centers	279137, 271266		
	NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS INCLUDING			
	HOUSING SERVICE PROVIDERS			
	Veterans:			
	Minnesota Assistance Council for Veterans	279137, 271266	VET	
	Disabilities:			
	Ariel View	271266	SMI	SA
	Arrowhead Center	279137	SA	
	Arrowhead House	271266	SMI	SA
	Center for Alcohol/Drug Treatment	271266	SMI	SA
	Center for Independent Living	279137, 271266		
	Duluth Bethel	271266	SMI	SA
	Human Development Center	271266	SMI	SA
	Range Mental Health Center	279137, 271266	SMI	Y
	Rural Aids Action Network	279137, 271266	HIV	
	Homeless/Low Income:			
	American Indian Community Housing Organization	271266	SMI	SA
	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	279137		
	Damiano Center	271266		
R	Duluth Community Action	279137, 271266		
TO	Duluth Housing Access Center	271266		
EC	Habitat for Humanity	279137		
PRIVATE SECTOR	Jefferson Haven Tenant Organization	279137		
AT	Life House, Inc.	279137, 271266	Y	
RIV	Loaves & Fishes	271266		
Pı	Lutheran Social Services	279137, 271266	Y	
	Range Transitional Housing	279137		
	Safe Haven Shelter for Battered Women	271266	DV	
	Other:			
	Duluth Boys and Girls Club	271266	Y	
	Hibbing Food Shelf	279137		
	SOAR Career Solutions	271266		
	Wilder Research Center	279137, 271266		
	YWCA	271266	Y	
	FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS			
	Churches United in Ministry	271266		
	First Presbyterian Church - Hibbing	279137		
	Gethsemane Lutheran Church	279137		
	Hibbing Ministerial Association	279137		
	Hope Community Presbyterian Church	279137		
	McCabe Renewal Center	271266		
	Quad Cities Ministerial Association	279137		
	St. Mark's Church	271266		
	The Salvation Army	279137, 271266		
	FUNDERS / ADVOCACY GROUPS			

Funders:	070105 071011	-	
Minnesota Housing Partnership	279137, 271266	-	
Northland Foundation	279137, 271266		
United Way of Duluth	271266		
United Way of Hibbing	279137		
United Way of Northeast Minnesota	279137		
Advocacy Groups:			
Legal Aid Services of NE MN - Duluth	279137, 271266		
Legal Aid Services of NE MN - Virginia	279137		
Minnesota Coalition for the Homeless	279137, 271266		
<b>BUSINESSES (BANKS, DEVELOPERS, BUSINESS</b>			
ASSOCIATIONS, ETC.)			
Housing Developers:			
American Indian Community Housing	271266	SMI	SA
Organization	050105		
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	279137		~ .
Center City Housing	271266	SMI	SA
Churches United in Ministry	271266	SMI	SA
Corporation for Supportive Housing	279137, 271266	SMI	SA
Habitat for Humanity	279137, 271266		
Local Investment Support Corporation	271266		
Minnesota Assistance Council for Veterans	279137, 271266	VET	
Neighborhood Housing Services	271266		
Range Mental Health Center	279137	SMI	SA
The Salvation Army	279137, 271266	SA	
Women in Construction	271266	SMI	SA
Women's Community Development	271266	DV	Y
Organization	271200		
Businesses and Associations:			
Duluth Chamber of Commerce	271266		
Iron Range Chambers of Commerce	279137		
Minnesota Power	279137, 271266		
Seaway Hotel of Duluth	271266		
HOSPITALS / MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVES			
Miller-Dwan Medical Center	279137, 271266		
St. Luke's Hospital	279137, 271266		
St. Mary's Hospital	279137, 271266		
Virginia Regional Medical Center	279137		
HOMELESS / FORMERLY HOMELESS PERSONS			
Formerly Homeless Female – Age 41	271266	SA	
Formerly Homeless Male - Age 39	279266	SMI	
Formerly Homeless Male - Age 46	279137	SMI	
Formerly Homeless Male - Age 55	279137		
Formerly Homeless Male – Age 59	279137	VET	
Homeless Female – Age 39	279137	SMI	
OTHER			

Bois Forte Band of Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	279137
Citizen: Helen Carlson	271266
Citizen: Ann Frey	271266
Citizen: Sharon Kemp	271266
Citizen: Joyce Kramer	271266
Citizen: Peter Opack	271266
Citizen: Roberta Pascuzzi	271266
Citizen: Julianne Salazar	271266
Citizen: Liz Stich	271266
Citizen: James Uhuna-Wilds	271266
Citizen: Colleen Williams	271266
Community Activist Portia Johnson	271266
Community Activist Richard Lee	271266
Fond du Lac Band of Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	279137
Housing 1000	271266

\*Subpopulations Key: Seriously Mentally Ill (SMI), Substance Abuse (SA), Veterans (VET), HIV/AIDS (HIV), Domestic Violence (DV), and Youth (Y).

## E: CoC Governing Structure Chart

1. Is the CoC's primary decision-making body a legally recognized organization (check one)?
<ul> <li>Yes, a 501(c)(3)</li> <li>Yes, a 501(c)(4)</li> <li>Xes, other - specify: <u>St. Louis County</u></li> <li>No, not legally recognized</li> </ul>
2. If your CoC were provided with additional administrative funds from HUD, would the primary decision-making body, or an agent designated by it (e.g. a city or non-profit organization), be able to be responsible for activities such as applying for HUD funding and serving as the grantee, providing project oversight, and monitoring? Explain.
Since 1996, St. Louis County has compiled an associated application for CoC Homeless Assistance Program funding. In one of those years, 1996, the county had responsibility for serving as the grantee. St. Louis County would not have capacity to expand to full administration unless there were sufficient administrative funds that would allow us to hire 3-4 additional full-time staff. We would not be in favor of this approach if it would result in decreased availability of funding for services. We do believe that local oversight would allow for a more cost effective, efficient process giving the CoC expanded ability to monitor and control the overall process.
3. What percentage of the decision-making body membership represents the private sector, including non-profit providers, homeless or formerly homeless persons, advocates and consumer interests, etc.?
4a. Indicate how the <u>members</u> of the primary decision-making body are selected (check all that apply):
Elected       Assigned/Volunteer         Appointed       Other – specify: open and democratic process
4b. Briefly explain the selection process. (For example, if 5 members are appointed and 6 are elected, explain why this process was established and describe how it works.)
Members are recruited through public notice. All members complete a written application form based on established criteria for membership. There is an established mix of representatives of various constituencies; i.e., faith-based, communities of color, etc. and geography. Committee to End Homelessness (CEH) reviews and votes to approve application. New member is notified of committee decision. Invited to attend meetings.
5. Indicate how the <u>leaders</u> of the primary decision-making body are selected (check all that apply):
Elected       Assigned/Volunteer         Appointed       Other – specify:

# F: CoC Project Review and Selection Chart

1. Open Solicitation			
a. Newspapers	$\boxtimes$	d. Outreach to Faith-Based Groups	$\boxtimes$
b. Letters/Emails to CoC Membership	$\boxtimes$	e. Announcements at CoC Meetings	$\boxtimes$
c. Responsive to Public Inquiries	$\boxtimes$	f. Announcements at Other Meetings	$\boxtimes$
2. Objective Rating Measures and Performa	nce A	Assessment	
a. CoC Rating & Review Committee Exists	$\boxtimes$	j. Assess Spending (fast or slow)	$\boxtimes$
b. Review CoC Monitoring Findings	$\boxtimes$	k. Assess Cost Effectiveness	$\boxtimes$
c. Review HUD Monitoring Findings	$\square$	1. Assess Provider Organization Experience	$\square$
d. Review Independent Audit	$\square$	m. Assess Provider Organization Capacity	$\square$
e. Review HUD APR for Performance Results	$\square$	n. Evaluate Project Presentation	$\boxtimes$
f. Review Unexecuted Grants N/A		o. Review CoC Membership Involvement	$\square$
g. Site Visit(s)		p. Review Match	
h. Survey Clients		q. Review All Leveraging Letters (to ensure that they meet HUD requirements)	
i. Evaluate Project Readiness	$\boxtimes$		
3. Voting/Decision System			
a. Unbiased Panel / Review Committee	$\boxtimes$	d. One Vote per Organization	$\square$
b. Consumer Representative Has a Vote	$\boxtimes$	e. Consensus (general agreement)	
c. All CoC Members Present Can Vote		f. Voting Members Abstain if Conflict of Interest	$\square$

### **G:** CoC Written Complaints Chart

Were there any written complaints received by the CoC regarding any CoC matter in the last 12 months?

	Yes
$\square$	No

If Yes, briefly describe the complaints and how they were resolved.

# **Part II: CoC Housing and Service Needs**

### H: CoC Services Inventory Chart

(1)	(2)(3)(4)PreventionOutreachSupportive Set									ervi	ices							
Provider Organizations	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management		Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
American Indian Community Housing		X		X	X				Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	X
Organization Arrowhead Center				Х						Х	Х				Х			
Arrowhead Child Care Resource & Referral Program																	X	
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Х	Х	Х	Х					Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х
Bois Forte Band of Chippewa		Х				Х			Х	Х			Х		Х	Х		Х
Center for Alcohol and Drug Treatment				Х							Х							
Center for American Indian Resource												Х	Х		Х			
Churches United in Ministry		Х		Х		Х			Х	Х			Х		Х	Х		
City of Duluth	Х							Х										
City of Duluth Workforce Development				Х					Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х
Community Action Duluth	Х			Х					Х	Х					Х	Х		Х
Damiano Center				Х		Х			Х	Х					Х	Х		Х
Duluth Community Health Care Center													Х	Х				
Duluth Family Practice Center													Х	Х				
Fairview Medical Center							Х						Х					
Fond du Lac Band of Chippewa				Х	Х				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
Habitat for Humanity	Х			Х											Х			
Hibbing Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Х	X	X	X					Х	X		X			Х	Х		X
Housing Access Center				Х					Х	Х					Х			
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Duluth Minnesota	Х	Х	Х	Х											Х			X
Human Development Center				Х		Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х		

(1)	(2) (3) (4) Prevention Outreach Supportive Services																	
		Pre	ven	tion		Ou	trea	ach			Sup	ppo	rtiv	e S	ervi	ices		
Provider Organizations	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
Indian Legal Assistance Program				Х	Х													
Legal Aid of Northeastern Minnesota				Х	Х				Х	Х					Х			1
Life House		Х		Х			Х		Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
Lutheran Social Service		Х	Х	Х		Х			Х	Х			Х	Х	Х			1
Miller Dwan Medical Center				Х					Х		Х	Х	Х		Х			
Minnesota Aids Project														Х	Х			
Minnesota Assistance Council for Veterans	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х					Х	Х		Х
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe									Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х
Minnesota Teen Challenge										Х	Х				Х			
Mishkawisen									Х		Х							
Neighborhood Housing Services	Х														Х			
Northeast Minnesota Office of Job Training				Х					Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х
Northeast Project to End LT Homelessness				Х		Х			Х	Х								
Northern Communities Land Trust	Х			Х											Х			
Occupational Development Center										Х					Х	Х		Х
Range Mental Health Center	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
Range Transitional Housing		Х	Х	Х		Х			Х	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х	Х
Range Women's Advocates				Х		Х			Х	Х					Х		Х	Х
Rural Aids Action Network														Х	Х			
Safe Haven Shelter				Х	Х				Х	Х					Х		Х	Х
St. Louis County Public Health and Human Services	Х	X	X	X		Х	Х		X	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X
St. Louis County Sheriff								Х										
St. Luke's Hospital											Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			1
St. Mary's/Duluth Clinic													Х	Х	Х			
Scenic River Health Care													Х					
State of MN Department of Human Services				Х			Х				Х	Х	Х					
The Salvation Army	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Х	Х					Х		Х	Х
Twin Ports Veterans Clinic													Х					
211 – United Way				Х											Х			

(1)	(2) (3) (4) Prevention Outreach Supportive Services																	
		Pre	ven	tion	Outreach Supportive Services													
Provider Organizations	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
Union Gospel Mission						Х												
University of Minnesota, Duluth School of Medicine													Х					
Veterans Clinic - Hibbing													Х	Х				
Virginia Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Х	Х	Х	Х					Х	Х					Х	Х		X
Virginia Regional Medical Center											Х		Х		Х			
Volunteer Attorney Program					Х													
Women in Construction																Х		
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition	Х	Х	Х	Х					Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х
YWCA of Duluth		Х		Х					Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х

# **CoC Housing Inventory and Unmet Needs**

# **I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts**

<b>Emergency Shelter</b>	:: Fundamental Compo	onents	in Co	C Syst	em – He	ousin	g Inv	entory	Char	·t			
	Facility Name*	HMIS	Num	ber of	Geo	Targe	et Pop	Ye	ear-Rou	nd	Total	Other	Beds
110 11001 1 (01110	*Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars.	Part. Code		Round n HMIS	Code	А	В	Fam. Units	Fam. Beds	Indiv. Beds	Year- Round Beds	Seas- onal	O/V*
<b>Current Inventory</b>			Ind.	Fam.									
<u>`</u>	cy on or before Jan. 31, 2006	)				1		I		-	r	1	1
	Daabinoo' Igan Domestic Violence Shelter*	DV	0	0	271266	М	DV	2	5	5	10	0	0
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Bill's House*	PA	4	0	279137	М		0	0	4	4	0	58
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Tomassoni Apartments*	PA	3	4	279137	М		1	4	3	7	0	13
Churches United in Ministry	CHUM Shelter*	PA	44	21	271266	SMF		7	21	44	65	0	0
Loaves and Fishes	Dorothy Day House	D	0	0	271266	SMF		0	0	7	7	0	0
Loaves and Fishes	Olive Branch House	D	0	0	271266	FC		3	7	0	7	0	0
Lutheran Social Services	Bethany Crisis Shelter Runaway Program	РА	4	0	271266	YMF		0	0	4	4	0	0
Range Mental Health Center	Voucher Program	PA	0	0	279137	М		0	0	0	0	0	30
Range Transitional Housing	Voucher Program	PA	0	0	279137	М		0	0	0	0	0	20
Range Women's Advocates	Voucher Program	D	0	0	279137	FC	DV	0	0	0	0	0	22
Range Women's Advocates	Safe Houses	DV	0	0	279137	FC	DV	0	0	0	0	0	1

				1					1	1			1
Safe Haven Shelter for Battered Women	Safe Haven Shelter for Battered Women	DV	0	0	271266	М	DV	7	21	18	39	0	0
Salvation Army (Hibbing)	Voucher Program	N	0	0	271266	М		0	0	0	0	0	12
Salvation Army (Virginia)	Voucher Program	N	0	0	279137	М		0	0	0	0	0	30
Soroptimist Club – Hibbing	Safe Haven*	D	0	0	279137	FC		1	4	0	4		0
Union Gospel Mission	Shelter	PA	2	0	271266	SMF		0	0	2	2		0
	Subt	OTALS:	57	25	SUBTOTA		RRENT		62	87	149	0	186
New Inventory in P (Available for Occupar	lace in 2006 1cy Feb. 1, 2006 – Jan. 31, 200	)7)	Ind.	Fam.					•				
None													
	Subt	OTALS:	0	0		TOTAL NVENT	NEW	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SUBTOTA nventory Under Development Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007)				;			-		•			
None													
	SUB	TOTAL	INVENT	ORY UN	DER DEV	ELOPN	AENT:						
Unmet Need				U	NMET NEI	ED TO	TALS:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Year-Round	Beds—Individuals			Tota	l Year-R	ound	Beds	—Fan	nilies				
	lividual Emergency Shelter (ES	3) Beds:	87		al Year-Ro					er (ES) F	Beds:	62	
	Round Individual ES Beds:	) 2005.	23		nber of DV		~	U	2			26	
3. Subtotal, non-DV Yea	ar-Round Individual ES Beds		64	8. Sub	total, non-	DV Ye	ear-Ro	und Fam	ily ES B	eds		36	
(Line 1 minus Line 2):				(	5 minus Line	/							
4. Total Year-Round Inc	57	9. Tot	25										
3 and multiply by 100. Rou	,	2	89%	and mu	MIS Cover altiply by 10					Line 9 b	y Line 8	69%	6
*In the column labeled "O/	/V," enter the number of Overflow	and Voi	icher Bec	ls									

I: CoC Housing Invent	ory Charts										
Transitional Housing:	Fundamental Compon	ents in	CoC	Systen	ı — Hous	sing I	nven	tory Cl	nart		
	Facility Name*	HMIS		ber of	Geo	Targe	et Pop	Ye	ar-Rour	ıd	Total Year-
Provider Name	*Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars.	Part. Code		Round 1 HMIS		А	В	Fam. Units	Fam. Beds	Indiv. Beds	Round Beds
Current Inventory			Ind.	Fam.					-	-	
(Available for Occupancy on	or before January 31, 2006)										
American Indian Community Housing Organization	Oshki *	PA	0	17	271266	FC		5	17	0	17
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Bill's House*	PA	6	2	279137	SMF		1	2	6	8
Life House	Harbor House*	PA	5	3	271266	SF		1	3	5	8
Life House	Proctor House*	PA	5	0	279137	SM		0	0	5	5
Lutheran Social Services	Renaissance Program*	PA	6	0	271266	YMF		0	0	6	6
Loaves and Fishes	Vine House	D	0	0	271266	SM		0	0	3	3
Range Mental Health Center	Rental Assistance* Youth Outreach Program	PA	3	0	279137	SMF		0	0	3	3
Range Transitional Housing	Virginia HRA Transitional Housing*	PA	11	44	279137	М		13	44	11	55
Range Transitional Housing	Scattered site – Hibbing*	PA	1	15	279137	Μ		6	15	1	16
The Salvation Army	Catherine Booth Residence*	PA	0	14	271266	FC		4	14	0	14
The Salvation Army	Lake Place*	PA	0	6	271266	FC		2	6	0	6
The Salvation Army	Lincoln Park Apartments*	PA	0	16	271266	FC		5	16	0	16

The Salvation Army	Minnesota House*	PA	0	7	271266	FC		3	7	0	7
The Salvation Army	Scattered site*	PA	0	9	271266	FC		3	9	0	9
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition	Women's Transitional Housing*	PA	5	55	271266	М		18	55	5	60
	SUBT	FOTALS:	42	188	SUBTOTA I		RRENT FORY:	61	188	45	233
New Inventory in Place in (Available for Occupancy Feb.			Ind.	Fam.							
None											
	SUBT	FOTALS:	0	0			. NEW fory:	0	0	0	0
Inventory Under Develop (Available for Occupancy after				cipated ncy Date	;					-	
None											
	SUE	BTOTAL	Invent	ORY UN	DER DEV	ELOPN	AENT:	0	0	0	0
Unmet Need				U	NMET NEI	ED TO	TALS:	0	0	0	0
Total Year-Round Beds—	Individuals		To	tal Yea	r-Round	Beds	–Fa	milies			
1. Total Year-Round Individual	Fransitional Housing Beds:	45	б. Т	otal Yea	r-Round Fa	amily [	Γransit	ional Hou	using Bee	ds:	188
2. Number of DV Year-Round Ir	dividual TH Beds:	0	7. N	Jumber o	f DV Year	-Roun	d Fami	ly TH Be	ds:		0
3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round (Line 1 minus Line 2):	Individual TH Beds	45		bubtotal, 1 1e 6 minus	non-DV Ye s Line 7):	ear-Ro	und Fa	mily TH	Beds		188
4. Total Year-Round Individual	TH Beds in HMIS:	42	9. T	otal Yea	r-Round Fa	amily [	ГН Bee	ds in HM	IS		188
5. HMIS Coverage—Individual 7 Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round 1		93%			overage—I by 100. Rou				le Line 9	by Line 8	100%

I: CoC Housing Inventor	ry Charts										
<b>Permanent Supportive</b>	Housing*: Fundame	ntal Co	mpon	ents in	CoC Sy	ystem -	- Hou	ising In	vento	ry Cha	rt
	Facility Name	HMIS	Num	ber of	Geo	Targe	t Pop.	Y	ear-Ro	und	Total
Provider Name	*Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars.	Part. Code		Round n HMIS	Code	А	В	Fam. Units	Fam. Beds	Indiv./ CH Beds	Year- Round Beds
Current Inventory			Ind.	Fam.							
(Available for Occupancy on	or before January 31, 2006	)									
Duluth HRA	Shelter Plus Care*	PA	22	3	271266	SMF		3	3	22/3	25
Duluth HRA SRO vouchers	Center City – Gardner Building*	D	0	0	271266	SMF		0	0	41/4	41
Duluth HRA SRO vouchers	Center City – Memorial Park*	D	0	0	271266	SMF		0	0	15/2	15
Duluth HRA SRO vouchers	Union Gospel Mission*	PA	0	0	271266	SMF		0	0	12/2	12
Duluth HRA SRO vouchers	Women's Transitional Housing*	PA	0	8	271266	FC		3	8	0/0	8
Life House	House of Phoenix*	PA	5	0	271266	SF		0	0	5/1	5
MN Assistance Council for Veterans – Duluth	Permanent Housing*	PA	10	0	271266	SMF	VE T	0	0	10/0	10
Range Transitional Housing	Permanent Housing*	PA	10	30	279137	М		12	30	10/10	40
Range Transitional Housing	Permanent Supportive Housing*	PA	13	0	271137	SMF		0	0	13/13	13
Virginia HRA	Shelter Plus Care*	PA	26	6	279137	М		6	6	26/10	32
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition	Sheila's Place*	PA	0	23	271266	FC		7	23	0/0	23
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition	Alicia's Place*	PA	10	0	271266	SF		0	0	10/5	10
YWCA of Duluth	West Duluth Center*	PA	0	6	271266	FC		2	6	0/0	6

	Subtoi	TALS:	96	76	SUBTO'	fal Cuf Inven			76	164/50	240
New Inventory in Place (Available for Occupancy Fe			Ind.	Fam.							
· · · · · ·											
	Subtot	TALS:	0	0		TOTAL		0	0	0	0
<b>Inventory Under Development</b> (Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007)				ipated ncy Date							
Center City Housing	San Marco		June 2	007	271266	SMF		0	0	70/30	70
Virginia HRA	Perpich Apartments		April	2007	271137	SMF		0	2	11/6	13
SUBTOTA			INVENT	FORY UN	nder Dev	ELOPM	ENT:	0	2	81/36	83
Unmet Need				U	INMET NE	ed Tot	ALS:	101	303	67/46	370
Total Year-Round Beds–	–Individuals		Total `	Year-R	ound Be	ds—Fa	amilie	s			
1. Total Year-Round Individual	Permanent Housing Beds:	164	6. Total Year-Round Family Permanent Housing Beds:						76		
2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds:		0	7. Number of DV Year-Round Family PH Beds:						0		
3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2): 164		164	8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family PH Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7):					76			
4. Total Year-Round Individual PH Beds in HMIS: 96			9. Total Year-Round Family PH Beds in HMIS					76			
5. HMIS Coverage—Individual PH Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):		59%	10. HMIS Coverage—Family PH Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):			e 8 and	100%				

### J: CoC Housing Inventory Data Sources and Methods Chart

(1) Ind	icate date on which Housing Inventory count was completed: <u>01/25/2007 (mm/dd/yyyy)</u>
(2) Ide	ntify the method used to complete the Housing Inventory Chart (check one):
	Housing inventory survey – CoC conducted a housing inventory survey (via mail, fax, e-mail,
$\boxtimes$	web-based, phone or on-site) of homeless programs/providers to update current bed inventories,
	target populations for programs, beds under development, etc.
	HMIS – Used HMIS data to complete the Housing Inventory Chart
$\bowtie$	<b>HMIS plus housing inventory</b> – Used HMIS data supplemented by a survey of providers NOT participating in the HMIS
(3) Ind	icate the percentage of providers completing the housing inventory survey:
100 %	
100 %	
100 %	51
	icate steps to ensure data accuracy for 2007 Housing Inventory Chart (check all that apply):
	<b>Instructions</b> – Provided written instructions for completing the housing inventory survey.
	<b>Training</b> – Trained providers on completing the housing inventory survey.
	Updated prior housing inventory information – Providers submitted updated 2006 housing
$\boxtimes$	inventory to reflect 2007 inventory.
$\bowtie$	Follow-up – CoC followed-up with providers to ensure the maximum possible response rate and
	accuracy of the housing inventory survey.
$\boxtimes$	Confirmation – Providers or other independent entity reviewed and confirmed information in 2007
	Housing Inventory Chart after it was completed.
	HMIS – Compared HMIS and housing inventory survey data to check for consistency.
	Other – specify:
Unmet	Need:
~	icate type of data that was used to determine unmet need (check all that apply):
	Sheltered count (point-in-time)
$\boxtimes$	Unsheltered count (point-in-time)
$\boxtimes$	Housing inventory (number of beds available)
$\boxtimes$	Local studies or data sources - specify: Minnesota Department of Human Services - Office of
	Economic Opportunity; Triennial Wilder Homeless Survey, October 2006
$\square$	National studies or data sources - specify: US Department of Housing & Urban Development
$\square$	Provider opinion through discussions or survey forms
	Other – specify:
(6a) In	dicate the method(s) used to calculate or determine unmet need (check all that apply):
$\boxtimes$	Stakeholder discussion – CoC stakeholders met and reviewed data to determine CoC's unmet need
	Locally-determined formula – Used locally-determined formula based on local point-in-time (PIT)
	count data and housing inventory to calculate unmet need
	Applied statistics – Used local PIT enumeration data and applied national or other local statistics
$\boxtimes$	HUD unmet need formula – Used HUD's unmet need formula*
	Other – specify:
Duluth/ During review	<b>more than one method was used in 6a, please describe how these methods were used.</b> (St. Louis County CoC began by calculating base line unmet need using HUD's default formula. the ten year plan to end homelessness process we adjusted the base line figure based on an in-depth of data including the most recent Wilder homeless survey, input from a variety of providers, and the n of a Housing First Philosophy focusing future development on Permanent Supportive Housing.

\*The HUD Unmet Need Guide and Worksheet can be found by going to: <u>http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm</u>

# **CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations**

Indicate date of last point-in-time count:		1/25/2007		
Part 1: Homeless	Shelt	ered		
Population	Emergency	Transitional	Unsheltered	Total
1. Number of Households				
with Dependent Children:	9	54	12	75
1a. Total Number of				
Persons in these				
Households (adults and				
children)	26	163	42	231
2. Number of Households				
without Dependent				
Children**	56	49	160	265
2a. Total Number of				
Persons in these				
Households	56	49	165	270
Total Persons				
(Add Lines 1a and 2a):	82	212	207	501
Part 2: Homeless				
	Shaltana d		TT	T - 4 - 1
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
below)				
a. Chronically Homeless	35	5	70	105
b. Severely Mentally Ill	10	8	*	108
c. Chronic Substance				
Abuse	34		*	34
d. Veterans	22		*	22
e. Persons with				
HIV/AIDS	0		*	0
f. Victims of Domestic				
Violence	41		*	41
g. Unaccompanied Youth				
(Under 18)	11		*	11

\*Optional for unsheltered homeless subpopulations \*\* Includes single individuals, unaccompanied youth, and other adults (such as a married couple without children)

\*\*\*For "sheltered" chronically homeless subpopulations, list persons in emergency shelter only.

### L: CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations Data Sources & Methods Chart

L-1: <u>Sheltered</u> Homeless Population and Subpopulations

(1a) Check method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons in the CoC (check all that apply):				
Survey – Providers count the total number of clients residing in their programs during the PIT count.				
<b>HMIS</b> – CoC used HMIS to complete the PIT sheltered count and subpopulation information.				
<b>Other</b> – specify:				
(1b) If multiple methods are checked, briefly describe how data collected using the methods				
were combined to produce the count.				
(2a) Check the method(s) used to gather the subpopulation information on sheltered homeless				
persons reported in Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations (check all that apply):				
<b>Point-in-time (PIT) interviews with each adult and unaccompanied youth</b> – All sheltered				
adults and unaccompanied youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information.				
<b>Sample of PIT interviews </b> <u>plus</u> <b>extrapolation</b> – A sample of sheltered adults and unaccompanied				
youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information, and extrapolation techniques were applied to				
produce the total sheltered homeless population.				
<b>Non-HMIS client-level information -</b> Providers used individual client records (e.g., case management files) to provide subnonvolution data for each adult and unaccompanied youth				
<ul> <li>files) to provide subpopulation data for each adult and unaccompanied youth.</li> <li>Provider expertise – Providers estimated the percentage of clients belonging to each subpopulation</li> </ul>				
based on their knowledge of their client population as a whole.				
<b>HMIS</b> – CoC used HMIS to gather subpopulation information on sheltered homeless persons.				
Other – specify:				
(2b) If multiple methods are checked, briefly describe how the methods were combined to				
produce the subpopulation information.				
(3) Indicate CoC's steps to ensure data quality of the sheltered count (check all that apply):				
Instructions – Provided written instructions to providers for completing the sheltered PIT count.				
Training – Trained providers on completing the sheltered PIT count.				
Domind and Follow up. Devial dament date that the second call followed an acid manifold to the				
ensure the maximum possible response rate and accuracy.				
<b>HMIS</b> – Used HMIS to verify data collected from providers for the sheltered PIT count.				
Other –specify:				
(4) How often will sheltered counts of sheltered homeless people take place in the future?				
Biennial (every two years)				
Annual Annual				
Semi-annual				
Other – specify:				
(5) Month and Year when next count of sheltered homeless persons will occur: November, 2007.				
(6) Indicate the percentage of providers providing populations and subpopulations data				
collected via survey, interview and/or HMIS:				
100 % Emergency shelter providers				
100 % Transitional housing providers				

\*Please refer to 'A Guide to Counting Sheltered Homeless People' for more information on unsheltered enumeration techniques.

#### L-2: <u>Unsheltered</u> Homeless Population and Subpopulations\*

(1) Ch	neck the CoC's method(s) used to count unsheltered homeless persons (check all that apply):			
Γ <u>΄</u>	<b>Public places count</b> – CoC conducted a point-in-time (PIT) count <u>without</u> client interviews.			
	<b>Public places count with interviews</b> – CoC conducted a PIT count and interviewed unsheltered			
	homeless persons encountered during the public places count:			
	$\square$ ALL persons were interviewed <b>OR</b> $\square$ Sample of persons were interviewed			
	<b>Public places count using probability sampling</b> – High and low probabilities assigned to			
	designated geographic areas based on the number of homeless people expected to be found in each			
	area. The CoC selected a statistically valid sample of each type of area to include in the point-in-time			
	count and extrapolated results to estimate the entire homeless population.			
$\square$	Service-based count – Interviewed people using non-shelter services, such as soup kitchens and			
	drop-in centers, and counted those that self-identified as unsheltered homeless persons.			
	<b>HMIS</b> – Used HMIS for the count of unsheltered homeless people homeless people or for			
	subpopulation information.			
	Other – specify:			
(2) In	dicate the level of coverage of the PIT count of unsheltered homeless people:			
	Complete coverage – The CoC counted every block of the jurisdiction.			
$\square$	Known locations – The CoC counted in areas where unsheltered homeless people are known to			
	congregate or live.			
	<b>Combination</b> – CoC combined complete coverage with known locations by conducting counts for			
	every block <u>in a portion of the jurisdiction</u> (e.g. central city) AND conducting counts in other portions of the jurisdiction where unsheltered persons are known to live.			
	Used service-based or probability sampling (coverage is not applicable)			
	Other –specify:			
(3) In	dicate community partners involved in PIT unsheltered count (check all that apply):			
	Outreach teams			
	Law Enforcement			
	Service Providers			
	Community volunteers			
	Homeless and/or formerly homeless persons			
	<b>Other</b> – specify: Hospitals, Public Health and Human Services			
	dicate CoC's steps to ensure data quality of the unsheltered count (check all that apply):			
	<b>Training</b> – Conducted training(s) for PIT enumerators.			
	<b>HMIS</b> – Used HMIS to check for duplicate information.			
	Other – specify:			
(5) Ho	ow often will CoC conduct PIT counts of unsheltered homeless people in the future?			
	Biennial (every two years)			
	Annual			
	Semi-annual			
	Quarterly			
	Other – specify:			

(6) Month and Year when next PIT count of unsheltered homeless persons will occur: <u>01/2009</u> \*Please refer to 'A Guide to Counting Unsheltered Homeless People' for more information on unsheltered enumeration

techniques.

### CoC Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) M: CoC HMIS Charts

#### **M-1: HMIS Lead Organization Information**

Organization Name: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Contact Person: Craig Helmstetter		
Phone: 651-647-4616 Email: cdh@wilder.or	·g		
Organization Type: State/local government Non-profi	t/homeless provider 🛛 Other 🗌		

#### M-2: List HUD-defined CoC Name(s) and Number(s) for *every* CoC in HMIS Implementation:

HUD-Defined CoC Name*	CoC #	HUD-Defined CoC Name*	CoC #
Minneapolis/Hennepin County CoC	MN-500	Saint Paul/Ramsey County CoC	MN-501
Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC	MN-502	Dakota County CoC	MN-503
Northeast Minnesota CoC	MN-504	St. Cloud/Central Minnesota CoC	MN-505
Northwest Minnesota CoC	MN-506	Coon Rapids/Anoka County CoC	MN-507
Moorehead/West Central Minnesota CoC	MN-508	Duluth/Saint Louis County CoC	MN-509
Scott, Carver Counties CoC	MN-510	Southwest Minnesota CoC	MN-511
Washington County CoC	MN-512		

\*Find HUD-defined CoC names & numbers at: <u>http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm</u>

#### **M-3: HMIS Implementation Status**

HMIS Data Entry Start Date for your CoC OR	If no data entry date, indicate reason:
Anticipated Date Entry Start Date for your CoC	New CoC in 2007
(mm/yyyy)	Still in planning/software selection process
02/2004	Initial implementation

Briefly describe significant challenges/barriers the CoC has experienced in:

1. HMIS implementation:

The single biggest challenge facing our HMIS implementation continues to be a lack of resources. HMIS staff provides group trainings and user groups, but further provider training is needed to increase consistency and accuracy of data entered into the state HMIS system. Such assistance could include help incorporating HMIS requirements into other data entry forms, help incorporating HMIS into the daily business practices of organizations, and more focused attention on the programs that have data quality problems. HMIS challenges also include implementing a more efficient reporting; some of the state sources that require HMIS participation currently require pulling as many as 7 different reports per quarter. Also, even though several state programs use HMIS as the required reporting tool, many agencies operate parallel data collection tools for reporting to other funding streams. Furthermore, we have not had the resources to reach out to many service providers that are not mandated to use HMIS.

Finally, although providers are entering data into the state HMIS system, we have been unable to access summary information from it. The lack of resolution to HMIS's technical problems prevents the Continuum from obtaining longitudinal and summative data that is key to accurately planning for additional housing units.

2. HMIS Data and Technical Standards Final Notice requirements:

As shown in Table M-5 below, the completeness of certain universal variables, especially zip code of last permanent address, continues to be a challenge. Our state-wide HMIS supplements the zip code question with city and state of last permanent address, which helps for local purposes.

#### M-4: CoC Client Records

Calendar	Number of Client Records Entered in HMIS /	Number of Unduplicated Clients Entered in
Year	Analytical Database (Duplicated) for CoC	HMIS / Analytical Database for CoC
2004	773	753
2005	6,330	5,933
2006	4,824	4,516

Please provide a brief explanation of the reason(s) for any decreases in the number of records (duplicated or unduplicated) from year to year.

The numbers of client records created by providers in the CoC went down even as HMIS coverage improved because a number of the clients served in 2006 had already been entered into the system in 2004 and 2005.

#### M-5: Data Collection/Completeness and Coverage

(a) Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values on the date that the pointin-time count was conducted.

Universal Data % Null/Missing Element Values		Universal Data Element	% Null/Missing Values
Name	0.5%	Gender	0.2%
Social Security Number	25.6%	Veteran Status	8.1%
Date of Birth	1.7%	Disabling Condition	11.5%
Ethnicity	4.1%	Residence Prior to Program Entry	10.8%
Race	0.8%	Zip Code of Last Permanent Address	29.7%

# Briefly describe how the CoC ensures that valid program entry and exit dates are being recorded in the HMIS for persons served.

To date nearly all participation in Minnesota's HMIS is due to funding requirements; Minnesota's HMIS is the required data reporting tool for several state funding streams related to homelessness. Proper entry and exit dates (or service start and end dates for the programs that do not require formal program entries and exits) are, therefore, ensured by the need for participating agencies to have accurate data in their required reporting. A lack of proper entry and exit dates remains a problem for some participating agencies.

(	h)	Indicate current OR antici	nated HMIS bed cover	age of 75% for each l	nousing type
Ľ	vj		parcu minis ocu cover	age 01 / 5 / 0 101 caell 1	iousing type.

	75% bed coverage	Anticipate 75% bed coverage	Date anticipate achieving
Emergency Shelter	YES	NA	NA
Transitional Housing	YES	NA	NA
Permanent Supportive Housing	NO	YES	1/1/2008

(c) If CoC has not yet achieved or does not anticipate achieving 75% bed coverage for all beds (including DV beds), please explain why.

We are in the process of implementing a data upload project that will increase bed coverage once complete. Many DV providers are not participating due to the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA). To date we have not had the resources to work with many agencies that are not required by their funding sources to participate in HMIS, nor has there been great incentive for them to participate.

#### M-6: Training, Data Quality and Implementation of HMIS Data & Technical Standards

For each item listed below, place an "X" in the appropriate box to indicate your response: Yes (Y), No (N) or Planned/In Progress (P). Check *only one column* per item.

	Y	Ν	Р
1. Training Provided:			
Basic computer training		Х	
HMIS software training	Х		
Privacy / Ethics training	X		
Security Training	Х		
System Administrator training		Х	
2. CoC Process/Role:			
Is the CoC able to aggregate all data to a central location at least annually?			Х
Does the CoC monitor compliance with HMIS Data & Technical Standards Final Notice?	Х		
3. Security—Participating agencies have:			
Unique username and password access?	Х		
Secure location?	Х		
Locking screen savers?	Х		
Virus protection with auto update?	Х		
Individual or network firewalls?	Х		
Restrictions on access to HMIS via public forums (e.g. PKI digital certificates or IP filtering)?		Х	
4. Security—Agency responsible for centralized HMIS data collection and storage ha	is:		
Procedures for off-site storage of HMIS data?	Х		
Disaster recovery plan that has been <u>tested</u> ?	Х		
5. Privacy Requirements:			
If your state has additional confidentiality provisions, have they been implemented?	X		
Check here if there are no additional state confidentiality provisions.	Λ		
Is there a "Purpose for data collection" sign at each intake desk for all participating agencies?			Х
Has each participating agency adopted a written privacy policy, including the uses and	X		
disclosures of client information?			
Does each participating agency have a privacy policy posted on its website (if applicable)?			Х
6. Data Quality—CoC has process to review and improve:		1	
Client level data quality (i.e. missing birth dates etc.)?		Х	
Program level data quality (i.e. data not entered by agency in over 14 days)?	_	Х	
CoC bed coverage (i.e. percent of beds)?	Х		
7. Unduplication of Client Records—the CoC:		1	
Uses only HMIS data to generate unduplicated count?		Х	
Uses data integration or data warehouse to generate unduplicated count?		Х	
8. OPTIONAL: Uses of HMIS Data—CoC uses HMIS data for:		1	
Point-in-Time Count		Х	
Project/Program performance monitoring		Х	
Program purposes (e.g. case management, bed management, program eligibility screening)		Х	
Statewide data aggregation (e.g. data warehouse)			Х

N: CoC 10-Year Plan, Objectives, and Action Steps Chart								
Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness <u>and</u> Move Families and Individuals to Permanent Housing	2007 Local Action Steps How are you going to do it? List action steps to be completed within the next 12 months.	Lead Person List name and title or organization of one person responsible for accomplishing each action step.	Baseline (Current Level)	Numeric Achievement in 12 months	Numeric Achievement in 5 years	Numeric Achievement in 10 years		
	Coordinate the NE MN Project to End Long-Term Homelessness funding with 25 new tenant-based Shelter Plus Care Vouchers all targeted for chronically homeless persons.	Eric Grundahl, Director of Information and Evaluation, Hearth Connection		75 Beds	134 Beds			
1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.	In 2007, CoC application requesting 12 Shelter Plus Care sponsor based vouchers to service chronically homeless single individuals through a collaboration between Duluth HRA, Center City Housing, Soar Career Solutions, The American Indian Community Housing Organization and The Salvation Army.	Rick Klun, Executive Director, Center City Housing	50 Beds			212 Beds		
	Increase Shelter Plus Care Vouchers by 10 each year for Chronically Homeless Persons	Vicki Maher, St. Louis County						
2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to at least 71%.	Evaluate current staffing levels, client/staff ratio, and geography to develop a plan to increase capacity for homeless case management in existing programs (site-based and scattered-site) with a Target Ratio of 1:12 (case manager to client)	Vicki Maher, St. Louis County	90%	90%	90%	95%		
	management at private SRO-type housing	Rick Klun, Center City Housing						
	Determine current gap/unmet need of flexible funds available for emergency/ housing assistance as a tool for case managers assigned to provide support to assist homeless persons to obtain and maintain PH.	Vicki Maher, St. Louis County						

	Utilize APR's to work with agencies not achieving benchmark to improve performance.	Vicki Maher, St. Louis County				
3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to at least 61.5%.	<ul> <li>Educate providers on how to correctly complete APR's</li> <li>Work with providers to prepare Individual Service Plans and Discharge plans for each TH resident transitioning to PH according to established protocols</li> <li>Evaluate what is working in our and other continuums to move people from TH to PH – best practices.</li> </ul>	Vicki Maher, St. Louis County	90%	90%	90%	90%
4. Increase percentage of homeless persons employed at exit to at least 18%.	Committee to End Homelessness will continue oversight to develop strategies to maintain and meet future HUD employment performance goal. Build relationships with a network of employers willing to hire homeless persons. Expand Project Hope to serve more	Vicki Maher, St. Louis County Pat Hamon, Goodwill Industries Val Strukel,	-23.2%	24%	28%	33%
	families county-wide. Improve reports available to providers using the system.	AEOA Craig Helmstetter, Amherst Wilder Research				
	Implement data upload plan.	Craig Helmstetter, Amherst Wilder Research	84% 87% Bed Bed Cover-Cov age ag		90% Bed Cover- age	90% Bed Cover- age
	Provide additional user training to improve accuracy of HMIS	Laura McLain, Amherst Wilder Research				
Barriers: If your less than two pa	CoC will not meet one or more of the abo aragraphs).	ove objectives, ł	oriefly	describ	e why	not (use
Other CoC Obje	ctives in 2007				<u>.</u>	
1.Build capacity for	Adequate staffing to support Continuum of Care and implementation of ten year	Vicki Maher, S Louis County	t			

implementation of plan to end homelessness.

Heading Home St.	Organize, develop, coordinate	Vicki Maher, St.		
Louis County A	Implementation Team and committee	Louis County		
Ten Year Plan to	structure			
End				
Homelessness				
2.Discharge	Develop local protocol for adults.	Vicki Maher, St.		
Planning Task		Louis County		
Force.	Develop local protocol for children.	Vicki Maher, St.		
		Louis County		

### **O: CoC Discharge Planning Policy Chart**

Publicly Funded Institution(s) or System(s) of Care in CoC Geographic Area	None	Initial Discussion	Protocol in Development	Formal Protocol Finalized	Formal Protocol Implemented
Foster Care					$\boxtimes$
Health Care					$\square$
Mental Health					$\square$
Corrections					$\square$

Foster Care: The Minnesota Department of Human Services, through state legislation, has directed counties to develop discharge plans with all youth beginning at age 16. Discharge plans must include housing and employment options and the assigned county case manager is to work closely with the youth and foster provider to implement all discharge plans. Foster care youth may petition to stay in foster care until age 21. State wards stay in foster care until age 21. Disabled youth may continue to receive social services including housing after age 18 through adult disability services in each county. The State of Minnesota is primarily responsible for the care of individuals within publicly funded institutions and does not use McKinney-Vento funds to assist such persons in lieu of State and local resources.

Reliance on the existing state regulations for discharge planning has not been adequate locally for discharge planning for youth exiting foster care. Youth frequently refuse continued involvement with the system. For this reason, a local discharge planning task force is being developed to improve local outcomes with youth.

Health Care: Local hospitals work with county social services to provide housing and support services to people who are homeless and who may use the emergency room or be hospitalized. St. Louis County has an agreement with the medical facilities to help ensure medical coverage for uninsured low-income patients. When a patient enters the hospital without medical care coverage, the facility faxes a Health Care Request for Coverage form to the County Public Health and Human Services Department. This begins the process for a homeless individual to request on-going financial assistance which can be used to meet basic needs, such as housing, if the person is determined eligible. Hospital social workers contact St. Louis County Public Health and Human Services when supportive services that cannot be directly arranged by the hospital staff appear to be needed.

Mental Health: No person committed to a state regional treatment center is discharged homeless. All persons committed to any of the state regional treatment facilities are assigned a mental health case manager through the county that pursed the commitment. Discharge planning begins while the commitment process is still occurring. Housing after discharge is part of the treatment plan. Housing financed by HUD McKinney/Vento dollars is not used for people leaving state regional treatment facilities. The State of Minnesota is primarily responsible for the care of individuals within publicly funded institutions and does not use McKinney-Vento funds to assist such persons in lieu of State and local resources.

Corrections:\* In order to prevent offenders from being released homeless, the State begins the process of discharge planning shortly after the offender begins serving his sentence in the institution. It is done with enough time to adequately prepare for the coordination of all risk and need areas critical to that offender's successful community reentry. This ensures that all services needed and all available entitlements are secured prior to release and that all stakeholders are included in the discharge planning process. At each correctional facility, a release plan is created for every offender released to supervision. The plan includes case management services, assistance in finding housing, employment, adequate medical and psychiatric treatment and aid in his/her readjustment to the community. The State of Minnesota is primarily responsible for the care of individuals within publicly funded institutions and does not use McKinney-Vento funds to assist such persons in lieu of State and local resources.

Locally, however, persons released from jails have no discharge planning. In 2003, the state shifted responsibility for offenders sentenced for less than one year to local jails. The ten-year plan process determined that a large percentage of homeless persons in St. Louis County were recently engaged with "the system." A primary implementation activity for the first year of the Heading Home Plan is to convene a Discharge Planning Task Force to develop local protocols for youths and adults.

\*Please note that "corrections" category refers to local jails and state or federal prisons.

### **P: CoC Coordination Chart**

1. Consolidated Plan Coordination	YES	NO
a. Do Con Plan planners, authors and other Con Plan stakeholders participate in CoC general planning meetings?	$\boxtimes$	
b. Do CoC members participate in Con Plan planning meetings, focus groups, or public forums?	$\square$	
c. Were CoC strategic plan goals addressing homelessness and chronic homelessness used in the development of the Con Plan?	$\boxtimes$	
2. Jurisdictional 10-year Plan Coordination		
a. Is there one or more formal jurisdictional 10-year Plan(s) being developed and/or being implemented within your CoC geography that are separate from the CoC 10-year plan? (If No, you may skip to Question 3a.)		$\boxtimes$
b. Do 10-year Plan conveners, authors and other stakeholders participate in CoC general planning meetings?		
c. Have 10-year Plan participants taken steps to align their planning process with the local CoC plan?		
d. Were CoC strategic plan goals used in the development of the 10-year Plan(s)?		
e. Provide the number of jurisdictions within your CoC geography that have formally		
implemented a 10-year plan(s).		
3. Public Housing Agency Coordination		
a. Do CoC members meet with CoC area PHAs to improve coordination with and access to mainstream housing resources?	$\square$	

# **CoC 2007 Funding Priorities**

## **Q: CoC Project Priorities Chart**

HUD-defined CoC Name:* Duluth / St. Louis County								-509	
(1)	(2)		Progra ompon						
SF-424 Applicant Name	Project Sponsor		Priority	Requested Project	Term	SHP	SHP	S+C	SRO
(Please Remove Examples)	Name	Name	Pri	Amount	T	New	Renewal	New	New
Duluth Minnesota	Center City Housing Corp.	Duluth Sponsor Based Housing	1	316,800	5			SRA	
Virginia Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency (AEOA)	AEOA Youth Foyer Project	2	151,620	5			SRA	
Women's Community Development Organization	Women's Community Development Organization	Sheila's Place	3	42,022	1		РН		
	Range Transitional Housing	Permanent Housing	4	231,950	1		РН		
YWCA of Duluth	YWCA of Duluth	Young Mother's Supportive Housing Project	5	16,800	1		РН		
Human Development Center	Human Development Center	Homeless Project S+C Support	6	77,280	1		SSO		
Range Transitional Housing, Inc.	Range Transitional Housing, Inc.	Permanent Housing Chronic Homeless Project	7	130,616	1		РН		
American Indian Community Housing Organization	American Indian Community Housing Organization	Oshki Transitional Housing	8	40,954	1		TH		

<b>**</b> *							
Women's	Women's						
Community	Community	Operation and	9	87,761	1	TH	
Development	Development	Support	,	0,,,,,,	-		
Organization	Organization						
Arrowhead	Arrowhead						
Economic	Economic	Bill's House	10	51,143	1	TH	
Opportunity	Opportunity	Dill's House	10	51,175	1	111	
Agency	Agency						
		Lake Place					
The Salvation	The Salvation	Family		50 400	1		
Army	Army	Transitional	11	50,429	1	TH	
		Housing					
<b>T</b> 1 <b>C</b> 1 <b>C</b>		Program					
The Salvation	The Salvation	Catherine Booth	12	75.644	1	TH	
Army	Army				-		
Lutheran Social	Lutheran Social	Renaissance	13	49,668	1	TH	
Services	Services	Program	10	17,000	-		
Range Mental	Range Mental	Homeless	1/	37,842	1	TH	
Health Center	Health Center	Youth Outreach	17	57,072	1	111	
Life House	Life House	Weekend	15	20,011	1	TH	
		Program	10	20,011	-		
Range Transitional	Range	Hibbing					
Housing	Transitional	Transitional	16	89,844	1	TH	
_	Housing	Housing					
Women's	Women's	SAFAH					
Community	Community	Follow-up	17	59,903	1	SSO	
Development	Development	Program	1/	57,705	1	066	
Organization	Organization	Tiogram					
American Indian	American Indian	Oshki Follow-					
Community	Community	up Program for	10	21 560	1	SSO	
Housing	Housing	Women and	10	21,560	1	550	
Organization	Organization	Children					
The Salvation	The Salvation	Guarantee Loan	10	150 545	1	000	
Army	Army	Fund	19	158,545	1	SSO	
Human	Human	Homeless					
Development	Development	Project S+C	20	43,260	1	SSO	
Center	Center	Support	-	, -			
Minnesota	Minnesota	**					
Assistance Council		Veteran's					
for Veterans-	Council for	Outreach North	21	28,003	1	SSO	
Duluth	Veterans- Duluth						
2 diuni	, sterans Duruth	Housing					
The Salvation	The Salvation	Services of					
Army	Army	Northeastern	22	192,588	1	SSO	
		Minnesota					
		Homeless					
Range Mental	Range Mental		72	41,312	1	SSO	
Health Center	Health Center	Mentally Ill	23	41,312	1	066	
		Adult Outreach					

Human	Human	Homeless							
Development	Development	Project S+C	24	34,912	1		SSO		
Center	Center	Support							
Amherst H. Wilde Foundation	Amherst H. <sup>er</sup> Wilder Foundation	Minnesota HMIS	25	16,220	1	HMIS			
(8) Subto	otal: Requested A Comp	mount for CoC etitive Projects:		\$2,066,687					
(9) Shelter Plus (	Care Renewals:					S+C C	ompon	ent Ty	pe
Housing and	Housing and								
Redevelopment	Redevelopment	Shelter Plus Care	26	87 240	1	TRA			
Authority of	Authority of	Sheher Flus Care	20	07,240	1	INA			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Duluth, MN								
0 0	Virginia Housing								
and	and	Shelter Plus Care	27	68,640	1	TRA			
-	Redevelopment	1				1 11/1			
Authority	Authority								
	Virginia Housing								
	and	Shelter Plus Care	28	139,068	1	TRA			
-	Redevelopment	2	20	139,000	1	1141			
Authority	Authority								
(10)	(10) Subtotal: Requested Amount for S+C Renewal Projects:								
(1)	(11) Total CoC Requested Amount (line 8 + line 10):								

\*HUD-defined CoC names & numbers are available at: <u>http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm</u>. CoC-Q \*\*Check this box if this is a #1 priority Samaritan bonus project.

#### **R: CoC Pro Rata Need (PRN) Reallocation Chart** (Only for Eligible Hold Harmless CoCs)

1a. Will your CoC be using the PRN reallocation process? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No							
<b>1b. If Yes</b> , explain the open decision making process the CoC used to reduce and/or eliminate							
projects (use no mo	re than one-h	alf page). N	I/A				
2. Enter the total 1-	-year amount	of all SHP p	rojects t	hat are elig	ible for	\$ N	V/A
renewal in 2007, wl	nich amount	you have <u>ver</u>	ified wit	th your fiel	d office	<u>e</u> :	
3. Starting with the	e total entered	l above for qu	uestion 2	2, subtract t	he	\$ N	V/A
amount your CoC p	roposes to us	se for new per	rmanent	housing pr	oject,		
and enter the remain	ning amount:	1		01			
(In this example, the	e amount pro	posed for new	w PH pr	oject is \$14	(0,000)		
4. Enter the Reduc	ed or Elimir	nated Grant	(s) in the	e 2007 Con	npetitio	n	
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5	5)	(6)
<b>Expiring Grants</b>	Program	Component	Annua	Renewal	Redu	iced	<b>Retained Amount</b>
	Code	_	An			ount	from Existing Grant
N/A							
	(°	7) TOTAL:					
5. Newly Proposed	Permanent	Housing Pro	ojects in	the 2007 (	Compet	tition*	
(8)							(11)
2007 Project Priority Number Program Code Com					nent	Trans	sferred Amounts
N/A							
(12) TOTAL:							N/A

\*No project listed here can be a #1 priority Samaritan Bonus project

# S: CoC Project Leveraging Summary Chart

Name of Continuum	Total Value of Written Commitment
St. Louis County CoC	\$2,029,800

# **T: CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections**

Supportive	e Hous	ing Pro	ograi	m (SH	P) Pr	ojects	:								
Type of	Housir	ıg	R	All SHP Funds Requested (Current Year)											
				2007		2	2008	200	2009		2010			2012	
Transitional I	Housing	(TH)	\$503	3,296		\$604,6	696	\$604,696		\$604,696	\$	604,696	\$60	4,696	
Safe Havens-	TH														
Permanent H	ousing (	(PH)	\$421	1,388		\$421,3	888	\$421,388		\$579,123	\$	579,123	\$57	9,123	
Safe Havens-	PH														
SSO				7,363		\$657,3	363	\$657,363		\$657,363	\$	657,363	\$65	7,363	
HMIS			\$16,	220		\$16,22	20	\$16,220		\$16,220	\$	16,220	\$16	,220	
Totals			\$1,5	98,267		\$1,699	9,667	\$1,699,60	99,667 \$1,857,402		\$	\$1,857,402 \$1		\$1,857,402	
Number of S+C		equested rent Yes			2000			2009	Renewa	al Projection	s	2011		2012	
Bedrooms		2007			2008				2010		2011		2012		
	Units	\$		Units		\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	
SRO															
0	7	\$151,6	620								5	\$21,660	12	\$51,984	
1	51	\$522,7		39		5,920	39	\$205,920	51	\$269,280	72	\$380,160	84	\$443,520	
2	8	\$53,2		8		3,280	8	\$53,280	9	\$59,940	9	\$59,940	9	\$59,940	
3	3	\$25,0		3		5,092	3	\$25,092	3	\$25,092	3	\$25,092	3	\$25,092	
4	1	\$10,6	56	1	\$10	),656	1	\$10,656	1	\$10,656	1	\$10,656	1	\$10,656	
5					+ -									<b>.</b>	
Totals	70	\$763,36	68	51	\$294,	948	51	\$294,948	64	\$364,968	90	\$497,508	109	\$591,192	

## **Part IV: CoC Performance**

### **U: CoC Achievements Chart**

2006 Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness and Move Families <i>and</i> Individuals to Permanent Housing	12-month Measurable Achievement Proposed in 2006 (from Chart N of your 2006 CoC application)	Accomplishments (Enter the numeric achievement attained during past 12 months)
1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.	<ol> <li>Coordinate the NE MN Project to End Long-Term Homelessness funding with 25 new tenant-based Shelter Plus Care vouchers all targeted for chronically homeless persons.</li> <li>Expand Range Transitional Housing units for chronically homeless persons by 13 through Supportive Housing Assistance leasing.</li> <li>Open 13 new units at the Perpich Apartments in Hibbing using project- based Shelter Plus Care vouchers with 6 units targeted for chronically homeless persons.</li> <li>Open 70 new units at the San Marco project in Duluth through Supportive Housing Assistance leasing with 30 units targeted for chronically homeless persons.</li> <li>Increase Shelter Plus Care vouchers by 10 each year for chronically homeless persons.</li> </ol>	Created 49 new PH beds for CH persons 25 new tenant-based Shelter Plus Care voucher for CH in process
2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to 71%.	<ol> <li>Utilize the APR to create awareness among agencies of projects' current status.</li> <li>Research best practices to see what is working in other continua.</li> <li>CEH will provide oversight to develop strategies to close the gap between current performance and HUD goals with a target increase of 1% per year.</li> <li>Housing service providers will align with intensive service teams funded through the NE Project to End Long- Term Homelessness to help them assess other housing options and create individualized service plans.</li> </ol>	90% homeless persons staying in permanent housing over 6 months.

3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to 61%.	<ol> <li>Utilize the APR to create awareness among agencies of projects' current status.</li> <li>Research best practices to see what is working in other continua.</li> <li>CEH will provide oversight to develop strategies to close the gap between current performance and HUD goals with a target increase of 1% per year.</li> <li>Housing service providers will align with intensive service teams funded through the NE Project to End Long- Term Homelessness to help them assess other housing options and create individualized service plans.</li> </ol>	90% of homeless persons moved from TH to PH.
4. Increase percentage of homeless persons becoming employed by 11%.	<ol> <li>Utilize the APR to create awareness among agencies of projects' current status.</li> <li>Research the best practices to see what is working in other continua.</li> <li>CEH will provide oversight to develop strategies to close the gap between current performance and HUD goals.</li> <li>Housing service and employment providers will work with vocational and supported work programs to reach the performance target.</li> </ol>	23.2% of persons were employed at exit.
5. Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system.	<ol> <li>Agencies receiving HUD McKinney- Vento funds (mandated agencies) must be implemented on HMIS.</li> <li>Develop a method (e.g., paper intake forms) for non-mandated agencies to submit HMIS data to maintain an accurate count of the chronically homeless.</li> <li>Non-mandated agencies will submit paper copies of counts which will be entered into HMIS by a third party.</li> <li>The Committee to End Homelessness will work towards meeting Wilder Research Center's goal to bring non-mandated beds onto the system by 1% per year. Progress towards meeting the County's goal will be reviewed twice per year.</li> </ol>	100% mandated agencies implemented on HMIS. 84% overall HMIS Bed Coverage

# *OPTIONAL:* If desired, you may use this space to describe your CoC's most significant accomplishments over the past 12 months.

CoC developed and finalized a ten year plan to end homelessness. The plan has been approved by both Duluth City Council and St. Louis County Board of Commissioners. A discharge planning task force and an implemention team are in the process of being established. The current application and funding priorities are completely driven by the Heading Home St. Louis County: A Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness <u>http://www.co.st-</u>

louis.mn.us/slcportal/Portals/0/Departments/Planning/Reports%20&%20Publications/Community%20 Development/Heading\_Home\_2007.pdf

### V: CoC Chronic Homeless (CH) Progress Chart

**1.** Enter the total number of chronically homeless persons in your CoC and the total number of permanent housing beds designated for the chronically homeless in your CoC for each year.

Year	Number of CH Persons	Number of PH beds for the CH
2005	81	12
2006	81	31
2007	105	50

Briefly describe the reason(s) for any increases in the total number of chronically homeless persons between 2006 and 2007:

During an in depth review and analysis of data this past year, while developing the ten year plan to end homelessness, a data error was discovered. In 2005 and 2006, the actual number of CH persons in this continuum was 122. Therefore, there has been a decrease in the number of CH persons in St. Louis County in the past year.

**2.** Indicate the number of **new** PH beds in place and made available for occupancy for the chronically homeless between February 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007:

19

**3.** Identify the amount of funds from each funding source for the development and operations costs of the **new** CH beds created between February 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007.

Cost Type	HUD McKinney- Vento	Other Federal	State	Local	Private
Development	\$50,000	\$175,000	\$327,487	\$191,006	\$532,352
Operations	\$174,928	\$5,128	\$38,849	\$0	\$11,757
TOTAL	\$224,928	\$180,128	\$366,336	\$191,006	\$544,109.

## W: CoC Housing Performance Chart

1. Participants in Permanent Housing (PH)					
HUD will be assessing the percentage of all participants who remain in S+C or SHP permanent housing (PH) for more than six months. SHP projects include both SHP-PH and SHP-Safe Ha PH renewals. Complete the following chart using data based on the <u>most recently submitted</u> A for Question 12(a) and 12(b) for PH projects included on your CoC Priority Chart:	aven				
Image: No applicable PH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart           Image: All PH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below	APR Data				
a. Number of participants who exited PH project(s)—APR Question 12(a)	37				
b. Number of participants who did <b>not leave</b> the project(s)—APR Question 12(b)	74				
c. Number who exited after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR Question 12(a)	33				
d. Number who did <b>not leave</b> after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR question 12(b)	67				
<ul> <li>e. Percentage of all participants in PH projects staying 7 months or longer (c. + d. divided by a. + b., multiplied by 100 = e.)</li> </ul>					
2. Participants in Transitional Housing (TH)					
HUD will be assessing the percentage of all TH clients who moved to a permanent housing situation. TH projects include SHP-TH and SHP-Safe Haven/TH <i>not</i> identified as permanent housing. Complete the following chart using data based on the <u>most recently submitted</u> APR Question 14 for TH renewal projects included on your CoC Priorities Chart.					
No applicable TH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart	APR				
All TH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below	Data				
a. Number of participants who exited TH project(s)—including unknown destination	180				
b. Number of participants who moved to PH	162				
<ul> <li>c. Percent of participants in TH projects who moved to PH</li> <li>(b. divided by a., multiplied by 100 = c.)</li> </ul>	90%				

### X: Mainstream Programs and Employment Project Performance Chart

	No applicable renewal projects for the Mainstream Programs and Employment Chart are included in the CoC Priorities Chart.
$\boxtimes$	All renewal projects on the CoC Priorities Chart that are not exempted from reporting in the APR are included in calculating the responses below.

(1) Number of Adults Who Left (Use same number in each cell)	(2) Income Source	(3) Number of Exiting Adults with Each Source of Income	(4) Percent with Income at Exit (Col 3÷Col 1 x 100)
907	a. SSI	197	21.7
907	b. SSDI	80	8.8
907	c. Social Security	60	6.6
907	d. General Public Assistance	138	15.2
907	e. TANF	164	18.1
907	f. SCHIP	12	1.3
907	g. Veterans Benefits	50	5.5
907	h. Employment Income	210	23.2
907	i. Unemployment Benefits	9	1.0
907	j. Veterans Health Care	7	0.8
907	k. Medicaid	321	35.4
907	1. Food Stamps	343	37.8
907	m. Other (please specify)*	105	11.6
907	n. No Financial Resources	79	8.7

\*m. Other: WIC, commodities, Minnesota Supplemental Aid (MSA), child support, retirement, plasma donation, tribal benefits, pension, foster care assistance.

## Y: Enrollment and Participation in Mainstream Programs Chart

Check those activities implemented by <b>a majority</b> of your CoC's homeless assistance providers				
(check all that apply):				
$\square$	A majority of homeless assistance providers have case managers systematically assist clients in			
	completing applications for mainstream benefit programs.			
$\square$	The CoC systematically analyzes its projects' APRs to assess and improve access to			
	mainstream programs.			
$\square$	The CoC has an active planning committee that meets at least three times a year to improve			
	CoC-wide participation in mainstream programs.			
$\boxtimes$	A majority of homeless assistance providers use a single application form for four or more of			
	the above mainstream programs.			
$\square$	The CoC systematically provides outreach and intake staff specific, ongoing training on how to			
	identify eligibility and program changes for mainstream programs.			
$\square$	The CoC or any of its projects has specialized staff whose primary responsibility is to identify,			
	enroll, and follow-up with homeless persons on participation in mainstream programs.			
$\square$	A majority of homeless assistance providers supply transportation assistance to clients to attend			
	mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.			
$\square$	A majority of homeless assistance providers have staff systematically follow-up to ensure that			
	mainstream benefits are received.			
$\square$	The CoC coordinates with the State Interagency Council(s) on Homelessness to reduce or			
	remove barriers to accessing mainstream services.			

### AA: CoC Participation in Energy Star Chart

HUD promotes energy-efficient housing. All McKinney-Vento funded projects are encouraged to promote energy efficiency, and are specifically encouraged to purchase and use Energy Star labeled products. For information on the Energy Star initiative go to: http://www.energystar.gov.

Have you notified CoC members of the Energy Star initiative? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No

Percentage of CoC projects on CoC Priority Chart using Energy Star appliances: <u>100</u>%

AB:	AB: Section 3 Employment Policy Chart					
		YES	NO			
1.	Is any project in your CoC requesting HUD funds for housing rehabilitation or new construction?		$\boxtimes$			
2.	If you answered yes to Question 1: Is the project requesting \$200,000 or more?					
3.	If you answered yes to Question 2: What activities will the project undertake to ensure that employment and other economic opportunities are directed to low- and very low-income persons, per the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (known as "Section 3")? Check all that apply:					
	The project will have a preference policy for hiring low- and very low-income persons residing in the service area or neighborhood where the project is located, and for hiring Youthbuild participants/graduates.					
	The project will advertise at social service agencies, employment and training centers, community centers, or other organizations that have frequent contact with low- and very low-income individuals, as well as local newspapers, shopping centers, radio, etc.					
	The project will notify any area Youthbuild programs of job opportunities.					
	If the project will be awarding competitive contracts of more than \$100,000, it will establish a preference policy for "Section 3 business concerns"* that provide economic opportunities and will include the "Section 3 clause"** in all solicitations and contracts.					
	The project has hired low- or very low-income persons.					