Part I: CoC Organizational Structure

HUD-Defined CoC Name:*	CoC Number*
Coon Rapids / Anoka County Continuum of Care	MN-507

A: CoC Lead Organization Chart

CoC Lead Organization: Anoka County Continuum of Care									
CoC Contact Person: Karen Skepper									
Contact Person's Organization Name: Anoka County									
Street Address: 2100 3 rd Avenue									
City: Anoka	State: MN	Zip: 55303							
Phone Number: 763-323-5708	Fax Number:	763-323-5682							
Email Address: karen.skepper@co.anoka.mn.us									

B: CoC Geography Chart

Geographic Area Name	6-digit Code
MN Coon Rapids	270996
MN Anoka County	279003

Geographic Area Name	6-digit Code

CoC Structure and Decision-Making Processes

C: CoC Groups and Meetings Chart

		(ting F check ne col	only	Enter the number of organizations/					
	CoC Planning Groups	At Least Monthly	At Least Quarterly	At Least Biannually	Annually	entities that are members of each CoC planning group listed on this chart.				
	Primary Decision-Making Group (list only one group)	1								
Name:	Anoka County Continuum of Care		X			16				
Role:	This group meets to address current issues, set agendas for full priorities.	CoC n	neeting	gs, an	d de	termine project				
Other	CoC Committees, Sub-Committees, Workgroups, etc.									
Name: Anoka County Continuum of Care Ranking Subcommittee X 6										
Role:	Rank new and renewal projects submitted for CoC consideration exist among other ranking members, and make funding recomm			at no	con	flicts of interest				
Name:	Affordable Housing Coalition		X			65				
Role:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolife-cycle housing.		vate, f			and government				
	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promo life-cycle housing.	ote safe	vate, f			and government				
Role:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promo life-cycle housing.	ote safe	vate, fe, clea			and government le and affordable				
Role: Name: Role:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promo life-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program	neless	vate, fe, clea			and government le and affordable				
Role: Name: Role:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promo life-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho	meless	vate, fee, clea	n acco	essib	and government ble and affordable 17				
Name: Role: Name: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolifie-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become how Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome base	meless	vate, fee, clea	n acco	essib	and government ble and affordable 17				
Name: Role: Name: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolife-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome bar Anoka County. Activities are designed to be project-based.	meless	x X S. X So house	sing a	nd h	and government ble and affordable 17 10 omelessness in				
Name: Role: Name: Role: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolife-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome bar Anoka County. Activities are designed to be project-based. Regional Metro Committee Implement state supportive services grant (\$5,000,000) to end of with the state's 10-year plan.	meless	x x x x x x x x x x	sing a	nd h	and government ble and affordable 17 10 omelessness in				
Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolife-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome bar Anoka County. Activities are designed to be project-based. Regional Metro Committee Implement state supportive services grant (\$5,000,000) to end of with the state's 10-year plan.	meless chronic	x x x x x x x x x x	sing a elessn	nd h	and government ble and affordable 17 10 omelessness in 9 in accordance				
Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Role: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolife-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome bar Anoka County. Activities are designed to be project-based. Regional Metro Committee Implement state supportive services grant (\$5,000,000) to end of with the state's 10-year plan. Regional Metro Continuum of Care Coordinators Coordinate planning around programs and activities to reduce of	meless chronic	x x x x x x x x x x	sing a elessn	nd h	and government ble and affordable 17 10 omelessness in 9 in accordance				
Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Role: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promolifie-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome bar Anoka County. Activities are designed to be project-based. Regional Metro Committee Implement state supportive services grant (\$5,000,000) to end of with the state's 10-year plan. Regional Metro Continuum of Care Coordinators Coordinate planning around programs and activities to reduce of region, including the sharing of best practices in structuring and	meless priers t chronic or end d mana	x x x x x x x x x x	sing a elessne CoC's	nd h	and government ble and affordable 17 10 omelessness in 9 in accordance 8 ithin the 7-county 24 tifies needs for				
Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Role: Name: Name:	This group meets to address current issues, work together to bri resources to Anoka County Communities to develop and promo life-cycle housing. Family Homeless Prevention Assistance Program Is to stabilize persons who are homeless or likely to become ho Homeless Elimination Project (HELP) This group was organized to develop strategies to overcome bar Anoka County. Activities are designed to be project-based. Regional Metro Committee Implement state supportive services grant (\$5,000,000) to end owith the state's 10-year plan. Regional Metro Continuum of Care Coordinators Coordinate planning around programs and activities to reduce or region, including the sharing of best practices in structuring and Minnesota HMIS Governing Group This group oversees Minnesota's Statewide HMIS. It helps shatechnical assistance and training, oversees the budget for HMIS the project.	meless priers t chronic or end d mana	x x x x x x x x x x	sing a elessne CoC's	nd h	and government ble and affordable 17 10 omelessness in 9 in accordance 8 ithin the 7-county 24 tifies needs for				

		Fr	leet eque eck col	ency onl	y	Enter the number of organizations/ entities that are				
	CoC-Related Planning Groups	Monthly or More	Quarterly	Biannually	Annually	members of each CoC planning group listed on this chart.				
Other	CoC Committees, Sub-Committees, Workgroups, etc.	(CO	NTI	NU	ED)				
Name:	Metro-Wide Engagement on Shelter and Housing (MESH)	X				14				
Role:	Develop partnerships to build metro-wide solutions to ending home housing choices through training and capacity building workshops				ncre	easing affordable				
Name:	Office of Ending Long-term Homelessness	X				3				
Name:	Policy Academy		X			12				
Role:	Prevent and end homelessness for children and families in Minneso	ota.								

D: CoC Planning Process Organizations Chart

	Specific Names of All CoC Organizations	Geographic Area Represented	Represe	opulations nted, if any* ore than 2)
	STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES			
	MN Department of Human Services	279003, 270996		
	MN Housing Finance Agency	279003, 270996		
	MN Department of Corrections	279003, 270996		
	Metropolitan Council	279003, 270996		
	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES			
	Anoka County Community Development	279003, 270996		
	Anoka County Human Services	279003, 270996		
	Anoka County Juvenile Center	279003, 270996		
	Anoka County Veterans Services	279003, 270996	VET	
~	City of Coon Rapids	279003		
[O]	MN Family Homeless Prevention/Assistance	279003, 270996	VET	Y
EC	Twin Cities Metro Regional Directors	279003, 270996	SMI	SA
S	Twin Cities Regional CoC Coordinators	279003, 270996		
PUBLIC SECTOR	PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCIES			
	Columbia Heights Economic Development	279003, 270996		
	Authority (PHA)	·		
	SCHOOL SYSTEMS / UNIVERSITIES			
	Anoka Hennepin School District 11	279003, 270996	Y	
	University of Minnesota Extension	279003, 270996		
	LAW ENFORCEMENT / CORRECTIONS			
	Coon Rapids Police Department	279003		
	LOCAL WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) BOARDS			
	Anoka County Job Training Center	279003, 270996		
	OTHER			
	MN HMIS Governing Board	279003, 270996		
	NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS			
	Anoka County Community Action Program	279003, 270996	DV	SMI
~	CEAP			
0	Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	279003, 270996	Y	SMI
EC	HousingLink	279003, 270996		
\mathbf{S}	Stepping Stones Emergency Shelter	279003, 270996		
PRIVATE SECTOR	Metro Engagement for Shelter & Housing	279003, 270996	Y	VET
IV,	Minnesota Coalition for the Homeless	279003, 270996		
PR	People Inc.	279003, 270996	SMI	SA
	Rise, Inc.	279003, 270996	Y	SMI
	Tasks Unlimited	279003, 270996	SMI	
	Wilder Research	279003, 270996		

	Specific Names of All CoC Organizations (continued)	Geographic Area Represented	Subpopulations Represented, if any* (no more than 2)				
	FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS						
	Lutheran Social Service	279003, 270996	SA	DV			
Э	The Salvation Army	279003, 270996	HIV	SA			
	Church of St. Stephen	279003, 270996					
SECTOR CONTINUED	FUNDERS / ADVOCACY GROUPS						
ON	Affordable Housing Coalition	279003, 270996					
Č	Minnesota Coalition for the Homeless	279003, 270996					
O.	BUSINESSES (BANKS, DEVELOPERS, BUSINESS						
Z	ASSOCIATIONS, ETC.)						
	Bromley Printing	279003, 270996					
TE	Eva Jeppson	279003, 270996					
VA	Lonni McCauley	279003					
PRIVATE	Mediation Services for Anoka County	279003, 270996					
_	HOSPITALS / MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVES						
	Dr. Ellen Raeker	279003, 270996					
	HOMELESS PERSONS						
	T.C., formerly homeless	279003, 270996					
	OTHER						
	Twin Cities Habitat for Humanity	279003, 270996					

^{*}Subpopulations Key: Seriously Mentally III (SMI), Substance Abuse (SA), Veterans (VET), HIV/AIDS (HIV), Domestic Violence (DV), and Youth (Y).

E: CoC Governing Structure Chart

1. Is the CoC's primary decision-making body a legally recognized organization (check on Yes, a 501(c)(3) Yes, a 501(c)(4) Yes, other – specify: No, not legally recognized	e)?							
2. If your CoC were provided with additional administrative funds from HUD, would the primary decision-making body, or an agent designated by it (e.g. a city or non-profit organization), be able to be responsible for activities such as applying for HUD funding and serving as the grantee, providing project oversight, and monitoring? Explain.								
Yes, possibly. Additional administrative funds would enable our primary decision may or a designated agency, to play a principal role in applying for HUD funding and prove project oversight and monitoring. However, our CoC would only be interested in this these funds are not taken from the competitive homeless assistance dollars. Also, we favor of a single entity serving as the grantee for our CoC.	iding option if							
3. What percentage of the decision-making body membership represents the private sector, including non-profit providers, homeless or formerly homeless persons, advocates and consumer interests, etc.?	65%							
4a. Indicate how the <u>members</u> of the primary decision-making body are selected (check all that apply):								
☐ Elected ☐ Assigned/Volunteer ☐ Other – specify:								
4b. Briefly explain the selection process. (For example, if 5 members are appointed and 6 elected, explain why this process was established and describe how it works.)	are							
All the members of our CoC are volunteers based on interest and/or currently funded agencies.								
5. Indicate how the <u>leaders</u> of the primary decision-making body are selected (check all t	hat apply):							
☐ Elected ☐ Assigned/Volunteer ☐ Other – specify:								

F:	CoC	Proje	ct Review	and	Selection	Chart

1. Open Solicitation			
a. Newspapers		d. Outreach to Faith-Based Groups	\boxtimes
b. Letters/Emails to CoC Membership	$\overline{\square}$	e. Announcements at CoC Meetings	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
c. Responsive to Public Inquiries	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	f. Announcements at Other Meetings	
2. Objective Rating Measures and Perform	ance	Assessment	
a. CoC Rating & Review Committee Exists	\boxtimes	j. Assess Spending (fast or slow)	
b. Review CoC Monitoring Findings		k. Assess Cost Effectiveness	
c. Review HUD Monitoring Findings	\boxtimes	1. Assess Provider Organization Experience	
d. Review Independent Audit	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	m. Assess Provider Organization Capacity	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
e. Review HUD APR for Performance Results	\boxtimes	n. Evaluate Project Presentation	
f. Review Unexecuted Grants	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	o. Review CoC Membership Involvement	
g. Site Visit(s)		p. Review Match	
h. Survey Clients		q. Review All Leveraging Letters (to ensure	\boxtimes
i. Evaluate Project Readiness	\boxtimes	that they meet HUD requirements)	
3. Voting/Decision System			
a. Unbiased Panel / Review Committee	\boxtimes	d. One Vote per Organization	\boxtimes
b. Consumer Representative Has a Vote		e. Consensus (general agreement)	
c. All CoC Members Present Can Vote		f. Voting Members Abstain if Conflict of	
		Interest	
G: CoC Written Complaints Chart			
Were there any written complaints received by	by the	CoC regarding any CoC matter in the] Yes
last 12 months?			No
If Yes, briefly describe the complaints and ho	w the	y were resolved.	
Not Applicable			

Part II: CoC Housing and Service Needs

H: CoC Services Inventory Chart

(1)	(2)				(3)				(4)									
	Prevention			l	Ou	trea	ach	Supportive Services										
Provider Organizations	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
Anoka County Brotherhood Council (ACBC)				X														
Achieve				X						X					X	X		X
Al-Anon				X											X			
Alexandra House					X				X	X			X		X		X	X
Allina Medical Transportation				X			X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Alternative Counseling Clinic									X	X	X	X		X				
Anoka Area Interagency Early Intervention																		
Anoka County Community Action Program	X	X	X	X					X	X					X		X	X
Anoka County Community Social Services & Mental Health		X	X	X		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X
Anoka County Income Maintenance Dept.	X	X	X	X			X		X						X			X
Anoka County Public Health				X			X		X	X			X	X	X			X
Anoka County School-Based Social Workers		X	X	X		X			X						X			X
Anoka County Sheriff's Dept.								X										
Anoka County Traveler																		X
Anoka County Victim/Witness Services		X		X		X			X	X					X	X		
Anoka County Volunteer transportation																		X
Anoka Metro Regional Treatment Center				X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Anthony Louis Center				X		X			X	X	X	X	X		X			X
Associated Counseling Center				X		X				X	X	X		X	X	X		
Auriton Credit and Financial Service				X											X			
Bridgeview Club				X		X				X		X			X			X
Central Center for Family Resources				X						X	X	X			X			
Children's Home Society																	X	
Chrysalis Center for Women				X	X			X	X		X	X			X			
Chrysalis Mental Health				X	X			X	X		X	X			X			

(1)	(2)						(3)							4)				
	Prevention Outreach Supportive Service					ices												
Provider Organizations (continued)	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
City Police Departments								X										
Clinical Associates				X														
Community Emergency Assistance Program (CEAP)	X	X		X					X	X								X
Community Options										X		X	X		X			
Community Parish Nurse Program				X						X			X					
Coon Rapids Counseling Cornerstone Child Care				X					X		X	X					X	
Compassion Action Network															X		Λ	
				X						X		X			Λ	X		
Courage Center Crisis Connection				X						Λ		X			X	Λ		
Division of Rehabilitation Services				X					X	X		Λ			X	X	X	X
Eagle Transportation				Λ					Λ	Λ					Λ	Λ	Λ	X
East Central Legal Services		X	X		X								X				X	X
East Side Employment Network		X	X	X	- 1				X		X	X	11		X	X	X	X
Educational Opportunity Center									X						X			
Elim Transitional Housing	X	X	X	X					X	X				X	X			X
Family Homeless Prevention and Assistance	X	X	X	X	X				X	X					X			
Family Innovations										X		X						
Family Life Center				X						X	X	X			X			
Family Support Network				X											X			
Family Table									X						X			
Free to Be				X						X					X			X
Head Start							X					X			X	X	X	X
Home Line				X	X	X	X								X			
Housing Link		X													X			
In Home Family Services												X						
Legal Services for Senior Citizens of Anoka County				X	X										X			
Life By Design										X		X						
Lutheran Social Service		X		X					X	X		X			X			
Mediation Services for Anoka County									X						X			
Metro Council		X																
Metro Mobility																		X

(1)	(2)					(3) (4)												
		Pre	ven	tion		Outreach				Supportive Services								
Provider Organizations (continued)	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
Metro North Learning Center										X					X		X	X
Metropolitan Center for Independent Living				X						X								
Minnesota Department of Veterans Affairs	X	X	X			X			X						X			
Minnesota Attorney General's Office					X			X										
Minnesota Senior Federation				X		X												
Minnesota Workforce Center				X					X	X					X	X	X	X
National Runaway Program				X		X												
New Connections				X						X	X							
New Life Family Services				X								X	X		X			
Northern Suburban Counseling Center				X						X		X			X			
Nucleus Teen Clinic				X									X		X			
Nystrom and Associates				X					X	X		X			X			
Pacer Center				X						X					X			
Project Break Through				X					X	X					X			
People Inc.		X		X		X			X	X	X	X			X			
Project Offstreets				X		X			X	X		X			X			
Red Cross				X								X			X			
Resource Center for Fathers & Families				X						X					X			
Rise Inc.	X	X	X	X					X	X					X	X		X
Salvation Army	X	X	X	X					X	X	X	X		X	X			X
Senior Partners Care Program													X		X			
South Metro Human Services				X		X			X	X	X	X						
St Mary's Health Clinic													X					
Supportive Living Services		X		X					X	X					X			
Tasks Unlimited				X						X		X				X		X
Teen Parent Collaborative		X		X					X	X					X			
Transformation House				X						X	X							
Twin City Community Voice Mail						X												
United Way 1st Call for Help				X											X			
University of Minnesota Extension Division		X		X											X			
Volunteers of America				X						X					X			

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

Emergency S	helter: F	undamenta	al Co	ompo	nents i	n Co	C System – Housing	Inve	ntory	Char	t		
- S V	Facility Name*			ber of			Target Pop		ear-Roi			Other	r Beds
		VD 000 P	Ro	ear- ound	G		S ,				Total Year-		
Provider Name		HMIS Part. Code	-	ds in MIS	Geo Code	A	В			Indiv. Beds	Round Beds	Seas- onal	O/V*
Current Inven	itory												
(Available for Oo Jan. 31, 2006)	ecupancy on	or before	Ind.	Fam.									
Emergency	Ferry Street Shelter	PA	16	0	279003	SMF		0	0	16	16	0	0
Alexandra House	Alexandra House	DV	0	0	279003	FC	DV	10	25	0	25	0	0
		Subtotals:	16	0		Sub	total Current Inventory:	10	25	16	41	0	0
New Inventory (Available for Oct 31, 2007)			Ind.	Fam.									
None													
		Subtotals:	0	0		S	ubtotal New Inventory:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inventory Und Development (Available for Od after January 31	ecupancy	Anticipated Da		pancy									
None													
				~ -				0	0	0	0	0	0
Unmet Need				Sub	total In	vento	ry Under Development: Unmet Need Totals:	0	0 0	0	0	0	0

Total Year-Round Beds—Individuals		Total Year-Round Beds—Families	
1. Total Year-Round Individual Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds:	16	6. Total Year-Round Family Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds:	25
2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual ES Beds:	0	7. Number of DV Year-Round Family ES Beds:	25
3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual ES Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2):	16	8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family ES Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7):	0
4. Total Year-Round Individual ES Beds in HMIS:	16	9. Total Year-Round Family ES Beds in HMIS	0
5. HMIS Coverage—Individual ES Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):	100%	10. HMIS Coverage—Family ES Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):	0

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

Transitional Housing:	Fundamental Compone	ents in	CoC	System	ı – Hous	sing I	nven	tory Ch	art		
5	Facility Name*	HMIS		ber of	Geo	Targe	t Pop	Year-Round			Total Year-
Provider Name	*Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney- Vento dollars.	Part. Code	Year-Round Beds in HMIS		Code	A	В	Fam. Units	Fam. Beds	Indiv. Beds	Round Beds
Current Inventory											
(Available for Occupancy or	n or before January 31, 2006)		Ind.	Fam.			•				
ACCAP	SHP Anoka West*/scattered	PA	0	60	279003	FC		10	60	0	60
ACCAP / Rise, Inc.	City View	PA	0	16	279003	FC		4	16	0	16
Elim Transitional Housing	HOME TBRA (scattered sites)	PA	3	20	279003	FC		6	20	3	23
Lutheran Social Service	Abaku*	PA	0	20	279003	FC		4	20	0	20
Rise, Inc.	Blaine Women	PA	9	0	279003	SF	DV	0	0	9	9
Rise, Inc.	Towerview*	PA	0	16	279003	FC		4	16	0	16
Rise, Inc.	Pines	PA	4	0	279003	SMF		0	0	4	4
Rise, Inc.	Wildwood	PA	6	0	279003	SM		0	0	6	6
Salvation Army	Gould Street	D	0	0	279003	SM		0	0	5	5
	SUBT	OTALS:	22	132	SUBTOTA	AL CUR INVENT		28	132	27	159
New Inventory in Place (Available for Occupancy F			Ind.	Fam.							
The Salvation Army	Fridley Homeless Vets Housing	D	0	0	279003	SM	VET	0	0	6/0	6
	Subt	OTALS:	0	0		TOTAL NVENT		0	0	6	6
Inventory Under Development Anticip (Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007) Occupance											
	SUBT	TOTAL]	INVENT	ory Un	DER DEV	ELOPM	1ENT:	0	0	0	0
Unmet Need				Un	NMET NEI	ED TO	ΓALS:	0	0	0	0

Total Year-Round Beds—Individuals	Total Year-Round Beds—Families		
1. Total Year-Round Individual Transitional Housing Beds:	33	6. Total Year-Round Family Transitional Housing Beds:	132
2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual TH Beds:	9	7. Number of DV Year-Round Family TH Beds:	0
3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual TH Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2):	24	8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family TH Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7):	132
4. Total Year-Round Individual TH Beds in HMIS:	22	9. Total Year-Round Family TH Beds in HMIS	132
5. HMIS Coverage—Individual TH Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):	92%	10. HMIS Coverage—Family TH Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):	100%

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

Permanent Supportive	Housing*: Fundament	al Con	nponei	nts in (CoC Sys	tem -	- Ho	using I	nvent	ory Cha	rt
	Facility Name	HMIS		ber of	Geo	Tar Po	get p.	Y	ear-Ro	und	Total Year-
Provider Name	*Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars.	Part. Code		Round n HMIS	Code	A	В	Fam. Units	Fam. Beds	Indiv / CH Beds	Round
Current Inventory	1 6 I 21 200C		T., 1	F							
(Available for Occupancy on		T	Ind.	Fam.		T				ı	
Elim Transitional Housing	Anoka Permanent Supportive Housing*	PA	5	15	279003	FC		0	15	5 / 0	20
Metropolitan Council	Anoka S+C*	N	0	0	279003	SMF		0	0	15 / <i>1</i>	15
People, Inc.	ARCH*	PA	26	0	279003	SMF		0	0	26 / 8	26
The Salvation Army	Harvest Hills	PA	0	16	279003	FC	HIV	0	16	0 / 0	16
People, Inc.	Housing with Supportive Services	PA	0	0	279003	SMF		0	0	3 / 3	3
	Subto	OTALS:	31	31	SUBTOTA Invento		RENT	0	31	49 / 12	80
New Inventory in Place	in 2006										
(Available for Occupancy Fe	b. 1, 2006 – Jan. 31, 2007)		Ind.	Fam.						1	
	Subto	OTALS:	0	0		OTAL I		0	0	0	0
Inventory Under Develo	pment			ipated							
(Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007)				ncy Date							
Hearth Connection Supportive Housing & N Managed Care*				2007	279003	SMF		0	0	4 / 4	4
	SUBT	OTAL I	NVENTO	RY UND	DER DEVE	LOPM	ENT:	0	0	4 / 4	4
Unmet Need UNMET NEED TOTALS: 6 54 21 0									0		

Total Year-Round Beds—Individuals		Total Year-Round Beds—Families	
1. Total Year-Round Individual Permanent Housing Beds:	49	6. Total Year-Round Family Permanent Housing Beds:	31
2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds:	0	7. Number of DV Year-Round Family PH Beds:	0
3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2):	49	8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family PH Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7):	31
4. Total Year-Round Individual PH Beds in HMIS:	31	9. Total Year-Round Family PH Beds in HMIS	31
5. HMIS Coverage—Individual PH Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):		10. HMIS Coverage—Family PH Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number):	100%

J: CoC Housing Inventory Data Sources and Methods Chart

(1) Indicate date on which Housing Inventory count was completed: 01/25/2007 (mm/dd/yyyy)
(2) Identify the method used to complete the Housing Inventory Chart (check one):
Housing inventory survey – CoC conducted a housing inventory survey (via mail, fax, e-mail, web-based, phone or on-site) of homeless programs/providers to update current bed inventories, target populations for programs, beds under development, etc.
HMIS – Used HMIS data to complete the Housing Inventory Chart
HMIS plus housing inventory – Used HMIS data supplemented by a survey of providers NOT participating in the HMIS
(3) Indicate the percentage of providers completing the housing inventory survey:
100% Emergency shelter providers
100% Transitional housing providers
100% Permanent supportive housing providers
(4) Indicate steps to ensure data accuracy for 2007 Housing Inventory Chart (check all that apply):
Instructions – Provided written instructions for completing the housing inventory survey.
☐ Training – Trained providers on completing the housing inventory survey.
Updated prior housing inventory information – Providers submitted updated 2006 housing inventory to reflect 2007 inventory.
Follow-up – CoC followed-up with providers to ensure the maximum possible response rate and accuracy of the housing inventory survey.
Confirmation – Providers or other independent entity reviewed and confirmed information in 2007 Housing Inventory Chart after it was completed.
HMIS – Compared HMIS and housing inventory survey data to check for consistency.
Other – specify:
Unmet Need:
(5) Indicate type of data that was used to determine unmet need (check all that apply):
Sheltered count (point-in-time)
☐ Unsheltered count (point-in-time)
Housing inventory (number of beds available)
Local studies or data sources – specify: Wilder Homeless Triennial Survey 10/25/06; OEO Shelter and Transitional Housing Survey
National studies or data sources – specify:
Provider opinion through discussions or survey forms
Other – specify:
(6a) Indicate the method(s) used to calculate or determine unmet need (check all that apply):
Stakeholder discussion – CoC stakeholders met and reviewed data to determine CoC's unmet need
Locally-determined formula – Used locally-determined formula based on local point-in-time (PIT) count data and housing inventory to calculate unmet need
Applied statistics – Used local PIT enumeration data and applied national or other local statistics
HUD unmet need formula – Used HUD's unmet need formula*
Other – specify:
(6b) If more than one method was used in 6a, please describe how these methods were used. The Anoka County Continuum of Care used a second method for determining local need. It is very difficult to capture an accurate reflection of unmet need when the point-in-time survey occurs in late January in Minnesota.

CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations

K: CoC Point-in-Time Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart

Indicate date of last point-in-time count:		01/25/2007		
	Shelt	ered		
Part 1: Homeless Population	Emergency	Transitional	Unsheltered	Total
1. Number of Households with				
Dependent Children:	3	41	0	44
1a. Total Number of Persons in these				
Households (adults and children)	12	132	0	144
2. Number of Households without				
Dependent Children**	16	13	12	41
2a. Total Number of Persons in these				
Households	16	13	12	41
Total Persons (Add Lines 1a and 2a):	28	145	12	185
				1
Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations (Adults only, except g. below)	Shelt	ered	Unsheltered	Total
a. Chronically Homeless	1.	4	2	16
b. Severely Mentally Ill	7	1	5	76
c. Chronic Substance Abuse	1	6	2	18
d. Veterans	1.	4	1	15
e. Persons with HIV/AIDS	C)	0	0
f. Victims of Domestic Violence	1:	9	1	20
g. Unaccompanied Youth (Under 18)	C)	2	2

^{*}Optional for unsheltered homeless subpopulations

^{**} Includes single individuals, unaccompanied youth, and other adults (such as a married couple without children)

^{***}For "sheltered" chronically homeless subpopulations, list persons in emergency shelter only.

L: CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations Data Sources & Methods Chart

L-1: Sheltered Homeless Population and Subpopulations (1a) Check method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons in the CoC (check all that apply): **Survey** – Providers count the total number of clients residing in their programs during the PIT count. **HMIS** – CoC used HMIS to complete the PIT sheltered count and subpopulation information. Other – specify: (1b) If multiple methods are checked, briefly describe how data collected using the methods were combined to produce the count. Not Applicable (2a) Check the method(s) used to gather the subpopulation information on sheltered homeless persons reported in Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations (check all that apply): **Point-in-time (PIT) interviews with each adult and unaccompanied youth** – All sheltered adults and unaccompanied youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information. Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation – A sample of sheltered adults and unaccompanied youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information, and extrapolation techniques were applied to produce the total sheltered homeless population. Non-HMIS client-level information - Providers used individual client records (e.g., case management files) to provide subpopulation data for each adult and unaccompanied youth. **Provider expertise** – Providers estimated the percentage of clients belonging to each subpopulation based on their knowledge of their client population as a whole. **HMIS** – CoC used HMIS to gather subpopulation information on sheltered homeless persons. Other –specify: (2b) If multiple methods are checked, briefly describe how the methods were combined to produce the subpopulation information. *Not Applicable* (3) Indicate CoC's steps to ensure data quality of the sheltered count (check all that apply): **Instructions** – Provided written instructions to providers for completing the sheltered PIT count. **Training** – Trained providers on completing the sheltered PIT count. **Remind and Follow-up** – Reminded providers about the count and followed up with providers to ensure the maximum possible response rate and accuracy. **HMIS** – Used HMIS to verify data collected from providers for the sheltered PIT count. Other –specify: (4) How often will sheltered counts of sheltered homeless people take place in the future? **Biennial (every two years)** Annual Semi-annual Other – specify: (5) Month/Year when next count of sheltered homeless persons will occur: 11/2007 (6) Indicate the percentage of providers providing populations and subpopulations data collected via survey, interview and/or HMIS: 100% Emergency shelter providers 100% Transitional housing providers

^{*}Please refer to 'A Guide to Counting Sheltered Homeless People' for more information on unsheltered enumeration techniques.

L-2: <u>Unsheltered</u> Homeless Population and Subpopulations*
(1) Check the CoC's method(s) used to count unsheltered homeless persons (check all that apply):
Public places count – CoC conducted a point-in-time (PIT) count without client interviews.
Public places count with interviews – CoC conducted a PIT count and interviewed unsheltered
homeless persons encountered during the public places count:
☐ ALL persons were interviewed OR ☐ Sample of persons were interviewed
Public places count using probability sampling – High and low probabilities assigned to
designated geographic areas based on the number of homeless people expected to be found in each
area. The CoC selected a statistically valid sample of each type of area to include in the point-in-time
count and extrapolated results to estimate the entire homeless population.
Service-based count – Interviewed people using non-shelter services, such as soup kitchens and
drop-in centers, and counted those that self-identified as unsheltered homeless persons.
HMIS – Used HMIS for the count of unsheltered homeless people homeless people or for
subpopulation information.
Other – specify:
(2) Indicate the level of coverage of the PIT count of unsheltered homeless people:
Complete coverage – The CoC counted every block of the jurisdiction.
Known locations – The CoC counted in areas where unsheltered homeless people are known to
congregate or live.
Combination – CoC combined complete coverage with known locations by conducting counts for
every block in a portion of the jurisdiction (e.g. central city) AND conducting counts in other
portions of the jurisdiction where unsheltered persons are known to live. Used service-based or probability sampling (coverage is not applicable)
Other –specify:
(3) Indicate community partners involved in PIT unsheltered count (check all that apply): Outreach teams
Law Enforcement
Service Providers
☐ Community volunteers
Homeless and/or formerly homeless persons
Other – specify:
(4) Indicate CoC's steps to ensure data quality of the unsheltered count (check all that apply):
Training – Conducted training(s) for PIT enumerators.
HMIS – Used HMIS to check for duplicate information.
U Other – specify:
(5) How often will CoC conduct PIT counts of unsheltered homeless people in the future?
Biennial (every two years)
Annual
Semi-annual
Quarterly
Other – specify:
(6) Month and Year when next PIT count of unsheltered homeless persons will occur: 01/2009 *Please refer to 'A Guide to Counting Unsheltered Homeless People' for more information on unsheltered enumeration
This graph and the territory of the following the followin

techniques.

20

CoC Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)

M: CoC HMIS Charts

M-1: HMIS Lead Organization Information

Organization Name:		Contact Person:	
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation, Wil	lder Research	Craig Helmstetter	
Phone: 651-647-4616	Email: cdh@wilder.o	rg	
Organization Type: State/local gover	nment Non-profit	/homeless provider 🛛	Other

M-2: List HUD-defined CoC Name(s) and Number(s) for every CoC in HMIS Implementation:

HUD-Defined CoC Name*	CoC #	HUD-Defined CoC Name*	CoC #
Coon Rapids / Anoka County CoC	MN-507		

M-3: HMIS Implementation Status

HMIS Data Entry Start Date for your CoC OR	If no data entry date, indicate reason:
Anticipated Date Entry Start Date for your CoC	New CoC in 2007
(mm/yyyy)	☐ Still in planning/software selection process
7/12/2004	☐ Initial implementation

Briefly describe significant challenges/barriers the CoC has experienced in:

1. HMIS implementation:

The single biggest challenge facing our HMIS implementation continues to be a lack of resources. HMIS staff provide group trainings and user groups, but with an increase in resources there could be additional project staff time devoted to providing assistance on the agency and program level. Such assistance could include help incorporating HMIS requirements into other data entry forms, help incorporating HMIS into the daily business practices of organizations, and more focused attention on the programs that have data quality programs. HMIS challenges also include implementing more efficient reporting. Some of the state sources that require HMIS participation currently require pulling as many as 7 different reports per quarter. Also, even though several state programs use HMIS as the required reporting tool, many agencies operate parallel data collection tools for reporting to other funding streams. Finally, we have not had the resources to reach out to many service providers that are not mandated to use HMIS.

2. HMIS Data and Technical Standards Final Notice requirements:

As shown in Table M-5 below, the completeness of certain universal variables, especially zip code of last permanent address, continues to be a challenge. Our state-wide HMIS supplements the zip code question with city and state of last permanent address, which helps for local purposes.

M-4: CoC Client Records

Calendar	Number of Client Records Entered in HMIS /	Number of Unduplicated Clients Entered in
Year	Analytical Database (Duplicated) for CoC	HMIS / Analytical Database for CoC
2004	82	80
2005	599	561
2006	1002	938

Please provide a brief explanation of the reason(s) for any decreases in the number of records (duplicated or unduplicated) from year to year.

M-5: Data Collection/Completeness and Coverage

(a) Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values on the date that the point-in-time count was conducted.

Universal Data Element	% Null/Missing Values	Universal Data Element	% Null/Missing Values
Name	12.5%	Gender	0.9%
Social Security Number	26.2%	Veteran Status	10.8%
Date of Birth	0.0%	Disabling Condition	10.8%
Ethnicity	5.2%	Residence Prior to Program Entry	23.2%
Race	1.2%	Zip Code of Last Permanent Address	44.3%

Briefly describe how the CoC ensures that valid program entry and exit dates are being recorded in the HMIS for persons served.

To date nearly all participation in Minnesota's HMIS is due to funding requirements. Minnesota's HMIS is the required data reporting tool for several state funding streams related to homelessness. Proper entry and exit dates (or service dates for the programs that do not require formal program entries and exits) are therefore ensured by the need for participating agencies to have accurate data in their required reporting. A lack of proper entry and exit dates remains a problem for some participating agencies.

(b) Indicate current OR anticipated HMIS bed coverage of 75% for each housing type.

	75% bed coverage	Anticipate 75% bed coverage	Date anticipate achieving
Emergency Shelter	Y		
Transitional Housing	Y		
Permanent Supportive Housing	N	Yes	1/1/2008

(c) If CoC has not yet achieved or does not anticipate achieving 75% bed coverage for all beds (including DV beds), please explain why. We have not reached 75% bed coverage in permanent supportive housing, but are on the verge of doing so. One of our providers, Metro HRA, has recently implemented HMIS but has not yet entered date on the shelter plus care project that is funded through the CoC. They will begin doing so in the near future.

M-6: Training, Data Quality and Implementation of HMIS Data & Technical Standards

	Y	N	P
1. Training Provided:			
Basic computer training		X	
HMIS software training	X		
Privacy / Ethics training	X		
Security Training	X		
System Administrator training		X	
2. CoC Process/Role:			
Is the CoC able to aggregate all data to a central location at least annually?	X		
Does the CoC monitor compliance with HMIS Data & Technical Standards Final Notice?	X		
3. Security—Participating agencies have:			
Unique username and password access?	X		
Secure location?		X	
Locking screen savers?		X	
Virus protection with auto update?		X	
Individual or network firewalls?		X	
Restrictions on access to HMIS via public forums (e.g. PKI digital certificates or IP filtering)?		X	
4. Security—Agency responsible for centralized HMIS data collection and storage ha	ıs:		
Procedures for off-site storage of HMIS data?	X		
Disaster recovery plan that has been <u>tested</u> ?	X		
5. Privacy Requirements:			
If your state has additional confidentiality provisions, have they been implemented?	X		
Check here if there are no additional state confidentiality provisions.	Λ		
Is there a "Purpose for data collection" sign at each intake desk for all participating agencies?		X	
Has each participating agency adopted a written privacy policy, including the uses and	X		
disclosures of client information?			
Does each participating agency have a privacy policy posted on its website (if applicable)?	ap	Not plica	
6. Data Quality—CoC has process to review and improve:			
Client level data quality (i.e. missing birth dates etc.)?	X		
Program level data quality (i.e. data not entered by agency in over 14 days)?	<u> </u>	X	
CoC bed coverage (i.e. percent of beds)?	X		
7. Unduplication of Client Records—the CoC:			1
Uses only HMIS data to generate unduplicated count?	X		
Uses data integration or data warehouse to generate unduplicated count?	X		
8. OPTIONAL: Uses of HMIS Data—CoC uses HMIS data for:			
Point-in-Time Count	X		
Project/Program performance monitoring	X		
Program purposes (e.g. case management, bed management, program eligibility screening)		X	77
Statewide data aggregation (e.g. data warehouse)			X

Part III: CoC Strategic Planning

N: CoC 10-Year Plan, Objectives, and Action Steps Chart

Objectives	2007 Local Action Steps	Lead Person (Name and Organization)	Baseline (Current Level)	Numeric Achievement in 12 months	Numeric Achievement in 5 years	Numeric Achievement in 10 years
1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.	Obtain additional vouchers through the state's initiative on homelessness over a 10-year period	Jennifer Ho, Hearth Connection	4 Beds	4 Beds	8 Beds	12 Beds
2. Increase percentage of	services	Barb Wold, Anoka County	89%	89%	90%	91%
percentage of homeless persons	 a. Eligible area CoC organizations will apply for new rent subsidies b. Additional PSH will be established for families and young adults. 	Barb Wold or new CoC Chair, Anoka County	100%	100%	100%	100%
4. Increase percentage of homeless persons	Develop protocol to ensure appropriate service referrals for employment and support within the County and providers	Dan Dauth, Anoka County	29%	29%	30%	31%
employed at exit to at least 18%.	b. Create subcommittee to enhance employment income and supported employment income opportunities.	Dana Scarlet, Tasks Unlimited	29%	29%	30%	31%
5. Ensure that the	a. Participate in HMIS Governing group	Barb Wold, Anoka County	84%	90%	90%	94%
functional HMIS system.	 b. CoC members attend HMIS user groups c. Improve reports available to providers using the system d. Implement date upload plan 	Craig Helmstetter, Wilder	84% Bed Cover	90% Bed Cover	90% Bed Cover	94% Bed Cover

Barriers: If your CoC will not meet one or more of the above objectives, briefly describe why not (use less than two paragraphs).

Other CoC Objectives in 2007									
approach to ending homelessness in the	,	Mike Manard, MESH	Develop a strategy to collaborate between suburban counties on the CoC.	regional	Regional plan implemented with significant reduction in homelessness				

O: CoC Discharge Planning Policy Chart

Publicly Funded Institution(s) or System(s) of Care in CoC Geographic Area	None	Initial Discussion	Protocol in Development	Formal Protocol Finalized	Formal Protocol Implemented
Foster Care					
Health Care					
Mental Health					
Corrections				oxive	

Foster Care: No McKinney-Vento funds are used for projects or persons being discharged from Foster Care. Social Workers are required to develop and carry out a plan that includes discharging to permanent or permanent supportive housing. A group of social workers, housing advocates and public assistance personal are developing a system in Anoka County that will create transition options for discharging youth aging out of foster care and needing additional support. Licensing children's foster care to also cover adult foster care (dual license) is one option being used. We will be developing other supportive housing to be used in the future.

Health Care: Currently hospital social workers and clinics are working with the public assistance and social services offices (Anoka County Housing Coordinator and PATH outreach Social Worker) to be sure that patients needing housing have access to all services available. No McKinney-Vento funds are used for projects targeting persons being discharged from public institutions or systems of care

Mental Health: Anoka County works to be sure that people leaving mental health treatment centers have a formal discharge plan that addresses their housing needs. Housing after discharge is part of the treatment plan. The social worker is responsible to assist in the implementation of the plan including providing services and arranging for financial help to complete the plan. No McKinney-Vento funds are used for projects targeting persons being discharged from publicly funded institutions or system of care.

Corrections:*

Anoka County received a grant in 2006 from the State of Minnesota that addresses mothers who have lost their children due to the use of Methamphetamine. One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) of the total grant from the State of Minnesota, is earmarked for appropriate housing to continue needed services and help with successful completion of this program and reunification of the children with their parent.

Currently, Anoka County Public Health Nursing and Adult Mental Health Public Assistance (housing) are meeting to develop a discharge plan for persons leaving the county jail.

In order to prevent offenders from being released homeless, the State begins the process of discharge planning shortly after the offender begins serving his/her sentence in the institution. It is done with enough time to adequately prepare for the coordination of all risk and need areas critical to that offender's successful community reentry. This ensures that all services needed and all available entitlements are secured prior to release and that all stakeholders are included in the discharge planning process. At each correctional facility, a release plan is created for every offender released to supervision. The plan includes case management services, assistance in finding housing, employment, adequate medical and psychiatric treatment and aid in his/her readjustment to the community. The State of Minnesota is primarily responsible for the care of individuals within publicly funded institutions and does not use McKinney-Vento funds to assist such persons in lieu of state and local resources.

^{*}Please note that "corrections" category refers to local jails and state or federal prisons.

P: CoC Coordination Chart

1. Consolidated Plan Coordination	YES	NO			
a. Do Con Plan planners, authors and other Con Plan stakeholders participate in CoC general planning meetings?	\boxtimes				
b. Do CoC members participate in Con Plan planning meetings, focus groups, or public forums?	\boxtimes				
c. Were CoC strategic plan goals addressing homelessness and chronic homelessness used in the development of the Con Plan?	\boxtimes				
2. Jurisdictional 10-year Plan Coordination					
a. Is there one or more formal jurisdictional 10-year Plan(s) being developed and/or being implemented within your CoC geography that are separate from the CoC 10-year plan? (If No, you may skip to Question 3a.)	\boxtimes				
b. Do 10-year Plan conveners, authors and other stakeholders participate in CoC general planning meetings?	\boxtimes				
c. Have 10-year Plan participants taken steps to align their planning process with the local CoC plan?	\boxtimes				
d. Were CoC strategic plan goals used in the development of the 10-year Plan(s)?	\boxtimes				
e. Provide the number of jurisdictions within your CoC geography that have formally implemented a 10-year plan(s).					
3. Public Housing Agency Coordination					
a. Do CoC members meet with CoC area PHAs to improve coordination with and access to mainstream housing resources?	\boxtimes				

CoC 2007 Funding Priorities

Q: CoC Project Priorities Chart

HUD-defined CoC	Traine. Cooli N	apius / Anoka C	Jul		ı	COC	#: MN -	-307	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	` /	Programompone		
SF-424 Project Sponsor Project		Requested Project Amount	Term	New	Renewal AHS	New New	SRO		
Elim ** Transitional Housing, Inc.	Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	People experiencing homelessness with chronic issues		1	PH				
Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	PSH for families with disabilities III	2 59,738		1		PH		
Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	Elim Transitional Housing, Inc	PSH for families with disabilities I	3	87,488	1		PH		
People Inc.	People Inc.	(ARCH) Anoka County Residents Community Housing	ı		1		PH		
Lutheran Social Service	Lutheran Social Service	Abaku Transitional Housing	5	32,272	1		TH		
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Minnesota's HMIS	6	12,070	1		HMIS		
(8) Subtot	al: Requested Ar Compe	mount for CoC titive Projects:		\$256,317	1	1		'	
(9) Shelter Plus Ca	are Renewals:					S+C C	ompon	ent Ty	ре
Metropolitan Council Shelter Plus Care II 7			90,000	1		TRA	1		
(10) S	ubtotal: Request S+C Rer	ed Amount for newal Projects:		\$90,000					
(11)	Total CoC Requ (li	uested Amount ne 8 + line 10):		\$346,317					

^{*}HUD-defined CoC names & numbers are available at: http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm.

**Check this box if this is a #1 priority Samaritan bonus project.

CoC-Q

R: CoC Pro Rata Need (PRN) Reallocation Chart (Only for Eligible Hold Harmless CoCs)

1a. Will your CoC	be using the	PRN reallo	cation p	rocess?	Yes		No
1b. If Yes, explain			process	the CoC use	ed to re	duce a	and/or eliminate
projects (use no mo	re than one-ha	lf page).					
2. Enter the total 1	-year amount c	of all SHP p	rojects t	hat are eligi	ble for		
renewal in 2007, w	hich amount yo	ou have <u>ver</u> i	ified wi	th your fiel	d office	<u>e</u> :	
3. Starting with the	e total entered	above for qu	uestion 2	2, subtract th	ne		
amount your CoC p	roposes to use	for new per	rmanent	housing pro	oject,		
and enter the remai	ning amount:	_			_ 1		
(In this example, th	e amount prop	osed for nev	w PH pr	ojeca is \$14		e	
4. Enter the Reduc	ed or Eliming	ated Gran	(s) in 11		petitio	n	
(1)	(2)	ND P	Pr	(4)	(5	5)	(6)
Expiring Grants	Program (Component	Annua	Renewal	Reduced		Retained Amount
	Code	_	An	nount Am		unt	from Existing Grant
	(7)	TOTAL:					
5. Newly Proposed	Permanent H	Housing Pro	jects in	the 2007 C	Compet	ition*	
(8)		(9)		(10)			(11)
2007 Project Priority Number		Program Code		Component		Transferred Amounts	
-				(4.0) FEO.	T . T		
				(12) TO'	l'AL:		

S: CoC Project Leveraging Summary Chart

Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

Name of Continuum	Total Value of Written Commitment
Coon Rapids / Anoka County CoC	276,051

T: CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections Chart

Supportive Housing Program (SHP) Projects:							
Type of Housing All SHP Funds Requested (Current Year) Renewal Projection					ns		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Transitional Housing (TH)	32,272	32,272	32,272	32,272	32,272	32,272	
Safe Havens-TH	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Permanent Housing (PH)	211,975	338,329	366,329	394,329	422,329	450,329	
Safe Havens-PH	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SSO	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HMIS	12,070	12,070	12,070	12,070	12,070	12,070	
Totals	256,317	382,671	410,671	438,671	466,671	494,671	

Shelter Plu	s Care	(S+C) Pro	jects:									
Number of S+C All S+C Funds Requested (Current Year) Renewal Projection					l Projections	S						
Bedrooms		2007	2008 2009 2010 2011							2012		
	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$
SRO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	36,000	5	36,000	5	36,000	5	36,000	5	36,000	5	36,000
2	1	9,600	1	9,600	1	9,600	1	9,600	1	9,600	1	9,600
3	2	28,800	2	28,800	2	28,800	2	28,800	2	28,800	2	28,800
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	1	15,600	1	15,600	1	15,600	1	15,600	1	15,600	1	15,600
Totals	9	90,000	9	90,000	9	90,000	9	90,000	9	90,000	9	90,000

Part IV: CoC Performance

U: CoC Achievements Chart

2006 Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness and Move Families and Individuals to Permanent Housing	12-month Measurable Achievement Proposed in 2006 (from Chart N of your 2006 CoC application)	Accomplishments (Enter the numeric achievement attained during past 12 months)
1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.	Obtain additional vouchers through the State's initiative on homelessness over a 10-year period.	6 new units created
2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to 71%.	 a. Clients entering PH program assessed and offered supportive services to meet needs b. Refer eligible chronic homeless clients to services c. Additional service funding for chronic homeless obtained through state or other grant opportunities 	80% over 6 months
3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to 61.5%.	a. Eligible area CoC organizations will apply for new rent subsidiesb. Additional PSH will be established for families and young adults	73% move to PH
4. Increase percentage of homeless persons becoming employed by 11%.	 a. Develop protocol to ensure appropriate service referrals for employment and support within the County and providers b. Create subcommittee to enhance employment income and supported employment income opportunities 	62% employed
5. Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system.	a. Participate in HMIS Governing group b. CoC members attend HMIS user groups c. Provide HMIS training to community agencies d. Reduce HMIS fees to encourage participation	100% participation groups 100% trained 100% license fees paid by FHPAP grant

Briefly explain the reasons for not meeting one or more of your proposed measurable achievements.

OPTIONAL: If desired, you may use this space to describe your CoC's most significant accomplishments over the past 12 months.

V: CoC Chronic Homeless (CH) Progress Chart

The data in this chart should come from point-in-time counts also used for Chart K: Populations and Subpopulations Chart and Chart I: Housing Inventory Chart. For further instructions in filling out this chart, please see the Instructions section.

1. Enter the total number of chronically homeless persons in your CoC and the total number of permanent housing beds designated for the chronically homeless in your CoC for each year.

Year	Number of CH Persons	Number of PH beds for the CH
2005	13	3
2006	13	4
2007	16	2

Briefly describe the reason(s) for any increases in the total number of chronically homeless persons between 2006 and 2007:

The lack of affordable housing options for people experiencing homelessness exemplified by the fact that our area Section 8 waiting lists have been closed for nearly 5 years has resulted in more people reaching the definition of chronic homelessness even as we expand the housing options for people meeting that definition.

2. Indicate the number of new PH beds in place and made available for occupancy for the chronically homeless between February 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007:	3
---	---

3. Identify the amount of funds from each funding source for the development and operations costs of the **new** CH beds created between February 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007.

	Public/Government				
Cost Type	HUD McKinney- Vento	Other Federal	State	Local	Private
Development	\$48,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
Operations	\$6,689	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,440
TOTAL	\$55,289	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,440

W: CoC Housing Performance Chart

The following chart will assess your CoC's progress in reducing homelessness by helping clients move to and stabilize in permanent housing, access mainstream services and gain employment. Both housing and supportive services projects in your CoC will be examined. Provide information from the most recently submitted APR for the appropriate RENEWAL project(s) on your CoC Project Priorities Chart. Note: If you are not submitting any renewals in this year's competition for the applicable areas presented below, check the appropriate "No applicable renewals" box in the chart.

1. Participants in Permanent Housing (PH)	
HUD will be assessing the percentage of all participants who remain in S+C or SHP permane housing (PH) for more than six months. SHP projects include both SHP-PH and SHP-Safe FPH renewals. Complete the following chart using data based on the most recently submitted for Question 12(a) and 12(b) for PH projects included on your CoC Priority Chart:	Haven
No applicable PH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart All PH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below	APR Data
a. Number of participants who exited PH project(s)—APR Question 12(a)	15
b. Number of participants who did not leave the project(s)—APR Question 12(b)	42
c. Number who exited after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR Question 12(a)	13
d. Number who did not leave after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR question 12(b)	38
e. Percentage of all participants in PH projects staying 7 months or longer (c. + d. divided by a. + b., multiplied by 100 = e.)	89%
	_
2. Participants in Transitional Housing (TH)	
HUD will be assessing the percentage of all TH clients who moved to a permanent housing	
situation. TH projects include SHP-TH and SHP-Safe Haven/TH not identified as permanen	
housing. Complete the following chart using data based on the most recently submitted APR	=
Question 14 for TH renewal projects included on your CoC Priorities Chart.	
No applicable TH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart	APR
All TH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below	Data
a. Number of participants who exited TH project(s)—including unknown destination	9
b. Number of participants who moved to PH	9
c. Percent of participants in TH projects who moved to PH	100%
(b. divided by a., multiplied by $100 = c$.)	100 /0

X: Mainstream Programs and Employment Project Performance Chart

HUD will be assessing the percentage of clients in all your renewal projects who gained access to mainstream services, especially those who gained employment. This includes all S+C renewals and all SHP renewals, excluding HMIS projects. Complete the following charts based on responses to APR Question 11 for each of the renewal projects included on your CoC Priority Chart. For further instructions for filling out this section, see the Instructions section at the beginning of the application.

No applicable renewal projects for the Mainstream Programs and Employment Chart are included in the CoC Priorities Chart.
All renewal projects on the CoC Priorities Chart that are not exempted from reporting in the APR are included in calculating the responses below.

(1) Number of Adults Who Left (Use same number in each cell)	(2) Income Source	(3) Number of Exiting Adults with Each Source of Income	(4) Percent with Income at Exit (Col 3÷Col 1 x 100)
23	a. SSI	2	9%
23	b. SSDI	3	13%
23	c. Social Security	6	26%
23	d. General Public Assistance	0	0%
23	e. TANF	4	17%
23	f. SCHIP	0	0%
23	g. Veterans Benefits	1	4%
23	h. Employment Income	5	29%
23	i. Unemployment Benefits	0	0%
23	j. Veterans Health Care	0	0%
23	k. Medicaid	8	35%
23	1. Food Stamps	6	26%
23	m. Other (please specify)	5	22%
23	n. No Financial Resources	1	4%

Y: Enrollment and Participation in Mainstream Programs Chart

It is fundamental that your CoC <u>systematically</u> helps homeless persons identify, apply for and follow-up to receive benefits under SSI, SSDI, TANF, Medicaid, Food Stamps, SCHIP, WIA, and Veterans Health Care as well as any other State or Local program that may be applicable. Which policies are currently in place in your CoC to help clients secure these mainstream benefits for which they are eligible?

Che	ck those activities implemented by a majority of your CoC's homeless assistance providers
(che	eck all that apply):
\boxtimes	A majority of homeless assistance providers have case managers systematically assist clients in
	completing applications for mainstream benefit programs.
\boxtimes	The CoC systematically analyzes its projects' APRs to assess and improve access to
	mainstream programs.
	The CoC has an active planning committee that meets at least three times a year to improve
	CoC-wide participation in mainstream programs.
\boxtimes	A majority of homeless assistance providers use a single application form for four or more of
	the above mainstream programs.
\boxtimes	The CoC systematically provides outreach and intake staff specific, ongoing training on how to
	identify eligibility and program changes for mainstream programs.
	The CoC or any of its projects has specialized staff whose primary responsibility is to identify,
	enroll, and follow-up with homeless persons on participation in mainstream programs.
	A majority of homeless assistance providers supply transportation assistance to clients to attend
	mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.
	A majority of homeless assistance providers have staff systematically follow-up to ensure that
	mainstream benefits are received.
\square	The CoC coordinates with the State Interagency Council(s) on Homelessness to reduce or
	remove barriers to accessing mainstream services.

Z: Unexecuted Grants Awarded Prior to the 2006 CoC Competition Chart Provide a list of <u>all</u> HUD McKinney-Vento Act awards made prior to the 2005 competition that are not yet under contract (i.e., signed grant agreement or executed ACC). Project Number | Applicant Name | Project Name | Grant Amount | Not Applicable – no unexecuted grants in Anoka County | Total: \$0

AA: CoC Participation in Energy Star Chart						
HUD promotes energy-efficient housing. All McKinney-Vento funded projects are encouraged to promote energy efficiency, and are specifically encouraged to purchase and use Energy Star labeled products. For information on the Energy Star initiative go to: http://www.energystar.gov.						
Have you notified CoC members of the Energy Star initiative? ⊠Yes ☐ No						
Percentage of CoC projects on CoC Priority Chart using Energy Star appliances: 100	%					
AB: Section 3 Employment Policy Chart						
	YES	NO				
1. Is any project in your CoC requesting HUD funds for housing rehabilitation or new construction?						
2. If you answered yes to Question 1: Is the project requesting \$200,000 or more?						
3. If you answered yes to Question 2: What activities will the project undertake to ensure that employment and other opportunities are directed to low- and very low-income persons, per the Housin Development Act of 1968 (known as "Section 3")? Check all that apply: The project will have a preference policy for hiring low- and very low-incoresiding in the service area or neighborhood where the project work occated, and for Youthbuild participants/graduates. The project will be effect for agencies, employment and trains community centers, or other organizations that have frequent contact with low-income individuals, as well as local newspapers, shopping centers, radio, etc. The project will notify any area Youthbuild programs of job opportunities. If the project will be awarding competitive contracts of more than \$100,000 establish a preference policy for "Section 3 business concerns"* that provide ecopportunities and will include the "Section 3 clause"** in all solicitations and the project has hired low- or very low-income persons.	g and Urb me perso r hiring ng center and very o, it will onomic	ban ns				
*A "Section 3 business concern" is one in which: 51% or more of the owners are section 3 rearea of service; or at least 30% of its permanent full-time employees are currently section 3 rearea of service, or within three years of their date of hire with the business concern were sect or evidence of a commitment to subcontract greater than 25% of the dollar award of all subcobusinesses that meet the qualifications in the above categories is provided. **The "Section 3 clause" can be found at 24 CER Part 135.	esidents of ion 3 resid	f the lents;				