Successful Practices Template

Contact Information:

Name: Kay Argo Title: Director of Human Resources Agency: Village of Bloomingdale, Illinois City, State, Zip: 201 S. Bloomingdale Road, Bloomingdale, IL 60108 Phone: (630) 671-5616 Email: argok@vil.bloomingdale.il.us

Agency information:

Type of Agency: Municipality Size of agency: 132 employees Size of population served: 22,018

Background/Overview:

The Voluntary Separation Incentive Plan (VSIP) was designed to offer an incentive to employees to voluntarily leave Village employment. After their separation from employment, VSIP participants were paid 12 weeks of their normal salary and provided with 12 weeks of fully employer paid health and dental insurance benefits. The program was designed to encourage voluntary separation in lieu of mandatory reductions in force.

Details:

• What was the issue, problem or opportunity you identified? The problem that was identified was a budget shortfall that required a reduction in employment costs.

• What is the context?

The community has relied on sales tax, property tax and a share of the income tax revenue collected by the state as the major sources of revenue to fund Village services. As a result of the 2008-2009 recession, and a major retailer leaving town, the Village's revenues were down significantly. Over a number of years the Village had been depleting the reserve funds it had built up. The Village was challenged to reduce costs and increase revenues in order help balance the budget. Senior staff worked within their departments to identify ways to reduce costs and raise new revenue sources. The largest cost the Village has is employment related, including employee wages, insurance benefits, and pensions. Employment costs continued to increase as revenue was shrinking.

Program:

• Describe your program or action plan:

We researched what other communities in our area were doing to cope with the difficult financial situation. We approached our four union bargaining units to see if they would be willing to make some mid-term contract concessions, such as furloughs or reduced working hours, in order to avoid layoffs. The unions did not agree to those measures but

did not oppose the Village offering the VSIP since it was voluntary rather than mandatory.

• What steps did you take to get started?

A plan document was drafted and reviewed by legal counsel for compliance with employment laws. The Village Board of Trustees approved the plan. All regular fulltime employees with at least one year of Village service were offered the plan. A Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) and plan document were emailed to each employee. Employees were encouraged to meet with the HR Director to get questions answered. Employees were given about 45 days to put in their request to take the plan. The Village then decided how many of the applications to grant. Employees were notified of their selection and allowed up to six months to separate their employment.

Outcomes:

• What were the measurable results?

The plan was offered to all full-time employees who numbered about 134 at the time. Ten employees applied to take the plan. All were approved. Nine out of ten employees eventually separated. Of those who separated all were eligible and took their retirement pension. The one who decided not to take the plan was not of retirement age and remained on the job.

• What positive or negative outcomes did you see?

The Village was able to reduce its full-time workforce without resorting to a mandatory reduction in force. Those employees who had been considering retirement for a number of years had an extra incentive to do so. These employees were at top pay and benefit rates. The downside was that only two of the positions were refilled and the workload was assumed by the staff that remained without any additional staffing help.

• What metrics did you use to assess the result?

Savings of approximately \$740,000 were projected for the FY2011 budget with annual savings in excess of \$1.00 million thereafter by not replacing the departing employees. The Village's total operating expenses for FY11 budget were estimated at \$24,163,505, with personnel services making up over \$14 million of that number.

• Describe any other results you saw, either positive or negative.

The VSIP was one tool that was used to reduced employment expenses. It was not the only measure that was taken to address rising employment costs. After the program was offered we went back to the unions to negotiate again with them to avoid layoffs. Three out of four unions agreed to mid-term contract negotiations which resulted in a one year pay freeze with the guarantee of no layoffs during that time.