1 Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico, 2 Virginia, held in the Board Room of the County Administration Building in the Government 3 Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads, Beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, March 30, 4 2005.

5

6 Members Present:	Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Chairperson (Brookland)
7	Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Vice Chairperson (Fairfield)
8	Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt)

9 Mr. David A. Kaechele, (Three Chopt) Board of Supervisors

10 Representative

Mr. Randall R. Silber, Director of Planning, Secretary

12

13 Members Absent: Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C. (Varina)
14 Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, (Tuckahoe)

15

16 Others Present: Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Jr., Assistant Director of Planning

Ms. Leslie A. News, CLA, Principal Planner Mr. James P. Strauss, CLA, County Planner Mr. E. J. (Ted) McGarry, III, County Planner

20 Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner

21 Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner

Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner

Mr. Michael P. Cooper, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, County Planner

Mr. Michael Jennings, Assistant Traffic Engineer

Ms. Diana B. Carver, Recording Secretary

27

28 Mr. Vanarsdall - Good morning, everybody. The Planning Commission will now 29 come to order. We have two of our Planning Commissioners absent today. They are both on 30 vacation, Ray Jernigan, from the Varina District, and Bonnie-Leigh Jones, from the Tuckahoe 31 District. We have a quorum and we can do business, as Mr. Secretary will tell you, and we are 32 glad to see everybody, and I will turn the meeting over to Mr. Silber, who is the Secretary.

33

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Are these mikes working? Can you so hear us? Could you hear the Chairman speaking? OK. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. Before we get started I wanted to introduce a new staff member that we have with Henrico County's Planning Department. You may recall that Christina Goggin was promoted a couple of months ago and we have now filled her position. A County Planner I has joined us. Tony could you stand, please? This is Tony Gruelich who so coming to us from the Town of Ashland. Tony is in the Development Review and Design Division. He has an undergraduate degree in geography from Penn State and he has earned his A2 Master's degree from VCU in Urban and Regional Planning. So, he is on board as of two days ago, so I just wanted to introduce him to the Planning Commission. He will be handling 44 PODs and subdivisions. You will see him at the daytime meetings very soon making 45 presentations.

46

47 Mr. Vanarsdall - Glad to have you. Hope you are a fast learner. We need you.

48 Thank you.

49

50 Mr. Silber - Thank you very much. Next on the Agenda would be the 51 deferrals and withdrawals. Mrs. News, could you help us with those, please.

52

53 <u>Mrs. News</u> - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 54 members of the Commission. Staff is aware of two requests for deferrals. The first is on Page 55 8 in your Agenda and is located in the Varina District.

56

57 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

58

POD-19-05

Cesare's Restaurant @ New Market Square Shopping Center – New Market Road (POD-84-96 Revised) Balzer & Associates, Inc. for Citizens & Farmers Bank and Richard K. Perkins: Request for approval of a revised plan of development for a shopping center as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 4,461 square foot restaurant. The 1.20-acre site is located at the southwest intersection of New Market Road and Strath Road in the New Market Square Shopping Center on parcels 815-686-1020 and 8284. The zoning is B-1C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Varina)

59

60 Mrs. News - The applicant is requesting a deferral until the April 27, 2005

61 meeting.

62

63 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-19-05

64 deferral? No opposition.

65

66 Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move deferral of POD-19-05, Cesare's 67 Restaurant @ New Market Square Shopping Center, until the April 27, 2005, at the request of 68 the applicant.

69

70 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. 71 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is 72 passed.

73

74 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-19-05, Cesare's 75 Restaurant @ New Market Square Shopping Center – New Market Road (POD-84-96 Revised) 76 to its meeting on April 27, 2005.

77

78 <u>Mrs. News</u> - The next request is on Page 12 of your agenda and is located in 79 the Tuckahoe District.

81 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT (Deferred from the November 17, 2004, Meeting)

82

POD-68-02

Blackwood Retail - Glen Eagles Shopping Center – 10410 Ridgefield Parkway Balzer & Associates, Inc. for Richfield Associates, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a 6,600 square foot building addition in an existing shopping center. The 0.90-acre site is located on the northwest corner of Ridgefield Parkway and Eagles View Drive in the Glen Eagles Shopping Center on part of parcel 740-500-0178. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

83

84 Mrs. News - The applicant has requested a deferral until the September 28,

85 2005 meeting.

86

87 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferment of this 88 case, POD-68-02, in the Tuckahoe District? No opposition. I move that POD-68-02, 89 Blackwood Retail – Glen Eagles Shopping Center, be deferred until September 28, 2005, at the 90 applicant's request.

91

92 Mr. Archer - Second.

93

94 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer.

95 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

96

97 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-68-02, Blackwood 98 Retail-Glen Eagles Shopping Center – 10410 Ridgefield Parkway, to its meeting on September 99 28, 2005.

100

101 Mr. Silber - Any other deferrals?

102

103 Mrs. News - That is all we have.

104

Next on the agenda are those items up for Expedited 106 consideration. These plans, for the benefit of those here today, these are plans that, at this 107 point in time, we know of no outstanding issues. The staff can recommend approval of these 108 plans. The applicant has indicated their willingness to accept the conditions that have been 109 placed on those plans and the Commissioner from the District is comfortable with the plan. 110 So, we place them on an Expedited Agenda so we can move through those more quickly. Any 111 of those on the Expedited Agenda, that there is opposition on any of those items, they will be 112 pulled from the Expedited Agenda and placed or heard as found on the normal agenda. So, if 113 we can move through the expedited items at this time, please.

114 <u>Mrs. News</u> - Yes, sir. Six items are listed on the Expedited Agenda and we 115 are aware of one additional item, which will be added at the end. The first is on Page 2 of 116 your Agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District.

117

118 TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

119

Circuit City Headquarters, Building #2 POD-43-92 POD-8-97 and a portion of POD-75-95 9960 Mayland Drive James W. Theobald for Perimeter Center, LLC: Request for a transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Daniel Realty Corporation to Perimeter Center, LLC. The 32-acre site is located at 9960 Mayland Drive on part of parcels 749-758-7718 and 1204. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

120

121 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to this project? This 122 is Circuit City Headquarters. No opposition. Mr. Branin, do you want to approve it on the 123 Expedited Agenda?

124

125 Mr. Branin - Yes, sir, I would.

126

127 Mr. Vanarsdall - Would you make the motion, please.

128

129 Mr. Branin - I make a motion that Transfer of Approval for Circuit City 130 Headquarters, Building #2, POD-43-92, POD-8-97 and a portion of POD-75-95, 9960 131 Mayland Drive, be approved.

132

133 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second. Motion made by Mr. Branin and seconded by Mr. 134 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is 135 passed.

136

137 The Planning Commission approved Transfer of Approval for Circuit City Headquarters, 138 Building #2, POD-43-92, POD-8-97 and a portion of POD-75-95, 9960 Mayland Drive, 139 subject to the new owner accepting and agreeing to be responsible for continued compliance 140 with the conditions of the original approval.

141

142 <u>Mrs. News</u> - The next request is located on Page 3 of your Agenda and is 143 located in the Varina District.

144 TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

145

POD-9-81 PPD Property, Inc. – 4216 Eubank Road Engineering Design Associates, Inc. for KSO Holdings, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from PPD Property, Inc. to KSO Holdings, LLC. The 8.66-acre site is located along the northern line of Eubank Road approximately 640 feet west of Glen Allen Drive on parcel 814-712-1182. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

146

147 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to this case in the 148 Varina District, POD-9-81, Transfer of Approval? No opposition.

149

150 Mr. Archer- Mr. Chairman, I move approval of Transfer of Approval for 151 POD-9-81 subject to the conditions stated in the Agenda.

152

153 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. 154 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion passes.

156 The Planning Commission approved Transfer of Approval for POD-9-81, PPD Property, Inc. 157 –4216 Eubank Road, subject to the new owner accepting and agreeing for continued 158 compliance with the conditions of the original approval and the following condition:

159

The site deficiencies, as identified in the inspection report, dated January 3, 2005, shall be corrected by April 4, 2005.

162

163 <u>Mrs. News</u> - The next case is on Page 4 of your Agenda. This is a Landscape 164 and Lighting Plan located in the Fairfield District.

165

166 LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING PLAN

167

LP/POD-40-04 Mountain Road Retail Shops 1574 Mountain Road Architects Dayton Thompson, PC for Patriot II, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 2.8-acre site is located at the southeast corner of Mountain Road and New York Avenue on parcel 781-761-8665. The zoning is B-3, Business District. (Fairfield)

168

169 <u>Mrs. News</u> - I understand that there may be somebody in the audience who 170 may want to speak to this.

171

172 <u>Person in Audience</u> - I would like to hear a presentation on this.

March 30, 2005

174 Mr. Silber - Why don't we pull that off the Expedited Agenda and hear it

175 later.

176

177 Mr. Vanarsdall - We will take it off of the Expedited Agenda and put it in order.

178

179 <u>Mrs. News</u> - Next on Page 21 of your Agenda and located in the Three Chopt 180 <u>District is Subdivision Preston Square (March 2005 Plan).</u>

181

182 SUBDIVISION

183

Preston Square (March 2005 Plan)

E. D. Lewis & Associates, P.C. for Robert C. & Ellen C. Parker and F & L Properties, LLC: The 5.00-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 28 townhouses is located approximately 275 feet to the intersection of Lemoore Drive and Twin Hickory Road (5411 Twin Hickory Road) on parcels 747-774-6515 and 5839. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. **(Three Chopt) 28 Lots**

184

185 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is anyone in opposition to Subdivision Preston Square in the 186 Three Chopt District? No opposition.

187

188 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Mrs. News, has this matter been resolved; there was a matter 189 associated with the sprinkler system with this, I believe. Has that matter been resolved, their 190 providing sprinklers or reducing the number of units?

191

192 <u>Mrs. News</u> - This is a conditional subdivision, so some of those issues may be 193 addressed with the POD.

194

195 Mr. Kennedy - Due to the length of the buildings, they are going to have to 196 sprinkler the buildings in order to meet the fire hose lay requirements, and so they have agreed 197 to do that, and they are going to deal with that at the POD stage. It does not need to be dealt 198 with at this time.

199

200 Mr. Silber - So, there are 28 lots. They are not reducing the number of lots.

201

202 Mr. Kennedy - There are 28 lots. They are not reducing the number of lots at 203 this time. That is our understanding. They are going to sprinkler the buildings. They need to 204 meet 150 foot hose lay, from pavement, and if they can't meet that, the alternative is to 205 sprinkler the buildings.

206

207 Mr. Silber - So they have to comply with the Division of Fire requirements 208 for hose lay, and if not, sprinkler the buildings and if that does not work they have to reduce 209 the number of lots.

211 Mr. Kennedy - And provide spacing. Right. Those are the two alternatives they

212 have.

213

214 Mr. Silber - So, Mr. Branin, that would be fine. I think this could still be

215 heard as expedited. There would be 28 lots. They would still have to meet those normal 216 requirements.

217

218 Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, then I recommend that the subdivision be 219 approved on the Expedited Agenda.

220

221 Mr. Archer - I will second that.

222

223 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Branin and seconded by Mr. Archer. All in 224 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

225

226 The Planning Commission approved Subdivision Preston Square (March 2005 Plan), subject to 227 the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for subdivisions 228 served by public utilities, and the following additional condition:

229

The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-50C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.

232

233 Mrs. News - Next, on Page 24 of your Agenda and located in the Brookland 234 District is POD-28-05.

225

236 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

237

POD-28-05 The Shops @ Tripps – 9318 W. Broad Street (Formerly Rock-Ola Café) (POD-59-93 Revised) **Timmons Group for Tripps Properties II Limited Partnership:** Request for approval of a revised plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to renovate and build a 10,731 square foot addition to an existing restaurant for conversion to a onestory, 16,000 square foot retail building. The 2.99-acre site is located at 9318-9320 W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) on part of parcel 757-757-0843. The zoning is B-2, Business District. County water and sewer. **(Brookland)**

238

There is also an Addendum item on Page 6 of your Addendum. 240 There is a revised recommendation. The applicant was not in agreement with one of the 241 annotations to add a window on the northern building elevation and staff has revised our 242 recommendation to eliminate this annotation and can still recommend approval. There have 243 also been two conditions added, Nos. 9 and 11 Amended.

Any opposition to this case in the Brookland District, POD-28-245 05? No opposition. Mrs. Goggin did a good job on getting everything together on this and the 246 only thing we haven't addressed is the fence, and we will address that when it comes back for 247 landscaping, and I will say that I believe a wooden fence back there is not an option. I move 248 that POD-28-05, The Shops @ Tripps, be recommended for approval on the Expedited 249 Agenda, standard conditions for developments of this type and additional conditions Nos. 9 and 250 11 Amended and Nos. 23 through 36, with 35 and 36 on the Agenda, also.

251

252 Mr. Archer - I will second that, Mr. Chairman.

253

254 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer. All in 255 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is approved.

256

257 The Planning Commission approved POD-28-05, The Shops @ Tripps – 9318 W. Broad Street 258 (Formerly Rock-Ola Café) (POD-59-93 Revised), subject to the annotations on the plans, the 259 standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following 260 additional conditions:

261

- AMENDED A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- AMENDED Prior to the installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture and specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The entrances and drainage facilities on W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- 276 25. A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning prior to any occupancy permits being issued.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- Employees shall be required to use the parking spaces provided at the rear of the building(s) as shown on the approved plans.
- 283 28. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The certification of building permits, occupancy permits and change of occupancy permits for individual units shall be based on the number of parking spaces required for the proposed uses and the amount of parking available according to approved plans.

- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- The loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-97(b) of the Henrico County Code.
- Storm water retention, based on the 50-10 concept, shall be incorporated into the drainage plans.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

311

- 312 Ms. News The next item is on Page 26 of your Agenda, located in the
- 313 Fairfield District.

314

315 SUBDIVISION

316

Frostick (February 2005 Plan)

QMT Corporation for Chamberlayne Rec. Association, North Chamberlayne Civic Association and Windsor Enterprises, LLC: The 3.6-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 9 single-family homes is located 520 feet from the intersection of Wilkinson Road and N. Wilkinson Road (317 N. Wilkinson Road) on parcels 792-753-9289 and 792-754-7001. The zoning is R-2A, One-Family Residence District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield) 9 Lots

317

318 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is anyone in the audience in opposition to Subdivision Frostick in 319 the Fairfield District? No opposition.

- 321 <u>Mr. Archer</u> Mr. Chairman, I move to approve Subdivision Frostick, subject to 322 the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions for subdivision served by Public Utilities.
- 324 Mr. Vanarsdall Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. 325 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

326 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Frostick (February 2005 327 Plan), subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions attached to these minutes 328 for subdivisions served by public utilities.

329

330 <u>Ms. News</u> - Staff is aware of one additional item to be added. This is on Page 331 19 of your Agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District.

332

333 SUBDIVISION

334

Hampshire (March 2005 Plan)

E. D. Lewis & Associates, P.C. for Lindsay A. and Patricia Ward, Thomas J. Cassara, Mark S. and M. L. Fleisher, and Farmer Properties: The 17.793-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 36 single-family homes is located at the southern terminus of Woolshire Place on parcel 742-774-0459 and part of parcels 742-773-2972, 741-774-9713, 741-774-7210 and 741-773-9074. The zoning is R-2AC, One-Family Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 36 Lots

335

336 <u>Ms. News</u> - There is also an Addendum item on Page 5 of your Addendum with 337 an added condition and revised recommendation for approval, but we would also like to make a 338 revision to that condition, and I'd like to read it to you:

339

340 The condition should be revised to change the last part of the last sentence so it would read, "The 341 two northernmost common areas shall be eliminated and the land incorporated into the adjoining 342 lots unless a County policy is adopted supporting the use of common area as a tool for wetlands 343 protection or as otherwise approved by the Director of Planning." And we would strike the words 344 "prior to granting of final subdivision approval."

345

346 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is anyone in the audience in opposition to Hampshire Subdivision in 347 the Three Chopt District? Mr. Branin.

348

Let me just clarify this. So this is recommended as being on the 350 Expedited Agenda, but we do have one additional condition No. 17. Number 17 is on your 351 Addendum, but we are making a suggested change to No. 17 to provide a little more flexibility on 352 whether these common areas would be in the lots or not, as Leslie noted, unless otherwise 353 approved by the Director of Planning. So, it does provide some flexibility if you determine later 354 that it may be appropriate to have these wetlands as common areas instead of lots. I just want to 355 make sure the Commission fully understood what was being recommended. So, if you all feel 356 comfortable with that, Mr. Branin, it would simply be a motion to approve this on the Expedited 357 Agenda with the conditions listed here and the additional condition No. 17 as modified.

358

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I have reviewed this and I am OK with it, so I am going to make a motion that it be approved and put on the Expedited Agenda, and the Addendum.

362 Mr. Archer -

Second.

363

- 364 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> Motion made by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in favor 365 say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion passes.
- 366 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Hampshire (March 2005 367 Plan), subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by 368 public utilities and the following additional conditions:

369

- Each lot shall contain at least 13,500 square feet, exclusive of the flood plain areas.
- The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement."
- The proffers approved as part of zoning cases C-60C-03, C-37C-02 and C-71C-00 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Prior to requesting the final approval, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions for the maintenance of the common area by a homeowners association shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall be in form and substance satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded prior to recordation of the subdivision plat.
- 381 16. Any future building lot containing a BMP, sediment basin or trap and located within the buildable area for a principal structure or accessory structure, may be developed with 382 engineered fill. All material shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the 383 Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and geotechnical guidelines established by a 384 professional engineer. A detailed engineering report shall be submitted for the review 385 and approval by the Building Official prior to the issuance of a building permit on the 386 affected lot. A copy of the report and recommendations shall be furnished to the 387 Directors of Planning and Public Works. 388
- The two northernmost common areas shall be eliminated and the land incorporated into the adjoining lots unless a County policy is adopted supporting the use of common area as a tool for wetlands protection or as approved by the Director of Planning.

392

393 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you, Ms. News.

394

Moving back to the top of the agenda on Page 1, we have 396 Extensions of Conditional Subdivision Approval. As the Commission recalls, some of these 397 requests require Planning Commission approval and some can be handled administratively. 398 We have one conditional subdivision that is up for extension that does require Planning 399 Commission approval and we have two that can be handled administratively and are as listed as 400 information only. Ms. Goggin, can you tell the Commission what they need to know with 401 these extensions.

402 FOR PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL

403

Subdivision	Magisterial District	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Recom- mended Year(s) Extended
Effinger Drive (A Ded. of a portion of Effinger Drive) (June 1998 Plan)	Fairfield	0	0	5	1 Year 3/ 22/ 06

404

405 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> - Yes, sir. Good morning. Effinger Drive is up for its 6th 406 extension, which does require approval by the Planning Commission. The applicant, Edward 407 West, is here if the Planning Commission has any questions for him as to why they are 408 requesting an additional extension for Effinger Drive.

409

410 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right. Any questions by Commission members?

411

412 Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, we did discuss this earlier and we did ask the 413 applicant to come in and explain why the additional extension is needed, and when we think 414 this process might terminate, so if you'd come down, we'd appreciate it.

415

416 Mr. Vanarsdall - Good morning, Mr. West.

417

Good morning, Mr. Vanarsdall. I am Eugene West, acting for 419 Edward West, my cousin, for the Effinger Drive Extension. This subdivision was up for 420 approval a few years in the past. We had an agreement with a prospect for a 300,000 ft. 421 manufacturing facility. Due to the delay in the Highway Department approving the entrance 422 road, they reneged on the first approval and changed the way it would have to be located. The 423 prospect stated that they could not wait any longer for approval for this subdivision and went to 424 Chesterfield County. We have diligently tried to pursue other prospects for this, as well as 425 Economic Development, that is marketing the project and we hope that in the future we will be 426 able to continue with the development of it.

427

428 Mr. Archer - Mr. West, do you have any prospects on the back burner, at 429 least, at this point?

430

We have pursued it with Real Estate Companies. The problem is 432 that the market for warehousing at this time is pretty flat and we think this year will improve, 433 and we will have a better chance of getting tenants to go in there.

434

435 Mr. Archer - So you are asking for one-year, and you think that will be it?

436

437 Mr. West - Correct. I hope so.

438

439 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Well, we do, too. But anyway, thank you so much for coming 440 down to explain that to the Commission.

442 Mr. West -Thank you for hearing my comments.

443

Any questions? No questions. 444 Mr. Vanarsdall -

445

446 Mr. Archer-Mr. Chairman, with that I will move for approval of the 447 extension for one more year.

448

449 Mr. Vanarsdall -

Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr.

450 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

452 The Planning Commission approved Subdivision Extension of Condition Approval for Effinger 453 Drive (A Dedication of a portion of Effinger Drive) (June 1998 Plan) for one year until March 454 22, 2006.

455

456 FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Subdivision	Magisterial District	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Year(s) Extended
Covington	Three Chopt	76	4	1	3 Years
(March 2003 Plan)	-				3/26/08
Westcott @ Grey Oaks,	Three Chopt	68	68	0	1 Year
Section C (March 2004 Plan)					3/22/06

458

459 Ms. Goggin -There are also two subdivisions that are in front of you for

460 informational purposes. The first is Covington, which has 4 remaining lots that is being

461 extended until March 26, 2008, and the other is Westcott @ Grey Oaks, Section C, which has

462 68 lots remaining, and is being extended to March 22, 2006.

463

464 Mr. Vanarsdall -Thank you.

465

466 Mr. Silber -Moving on to Page 4 of the Agenda, this would be the Expedited

467 Item that we removed a few minutes ago.

468

469 LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING PLAN

LP/POD-40-04 Mountain Road Retail Shops 1574 Mountain Road

Architects Dayton Thompson, PC for Patriot II, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 2.8-acre site is located at the southeast corner of Mountain Road and New York Avenue on parcel 781-761-8665. The zoning is B-3, Business District. (Fairfield)

472 Mr. Kennedy - Good morning, members of the Commission. Mountain Road 473 Retail Shops was previously approved last year as a POD, and Nos. 9 and 11 were amended at 474 that time, that is landscape and lighting plan will return to the Planning Commission for 475 approval.

476

477 For the purpose of the neighborhood, I am making this presentation. I spoke with several of 478 the adjoining neighbors and basically they live across the Virginia Power easement on 479 Pennsylvania Avenue. I spoke to about four neighbors and Mr. Bose has spoken to them, as 480 well. We are providing the plan, but for the purpose of the record, we are going to present 481 this. Along the back, along the Virginia Power easement is going to be a continuous evergreen 482 screen, composed of wax myrtles, serviceberries, hollies and white pines. The white pines are 483 on the edge of the easement because nothing that can grow over 10 feet in height can be in the 484 Virginia Power easement. That is basically a screen and there is a hundred feet of the power 485 easement going before those properties. Along the Virginia Power easement, light poles would 486 have shields, house side shields. The maximum height of light poles is going to be 20 feet, 487 and so the object is to reduce the impact on the adjoining neighborhood and provide as much 488 buffering as possible. Around the building, the trees around the building will be red maples 489 and around the perimeter of the property, along the two side streets, those streets will be crape 490 myrtles. Again, the power lines that are on both streets will restrict the height of trees, so we 491 would put trees that could withstand pruning. There were some shrubs around to fill in the 492 base. He satisfies all of the Planning requirements. There is no transitional buffer requirement 493 between his business, which is a B-3 business, and the residential, across the power easement, 494 because the power easement is also zoned business. So, the developer has agreed to provide 495 this continuous buffer even despite the fact that it was not required. Staff recommends 496 approval.

497

498 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions by Commission members of Mr. Kennedy?

499

500 Mr. Silber - Mr. Kennedy, it is hard to tell where the property line is for this 501 property. Is some of the planting taking place off of the property?

502

503 Mr. Kennedy - No. Part of the property extends into the Virginia Power 504 easement, but not within the power line section, so it doesn't show up, but basically one line 505 back to the gas line, that has a kind of dimension on it. That is where...

506

507 Mr. Silber - It is also hard to see on the screen, but if you look at what we 508 have, there is also what looks like a metes and bounds description and a property line that 509 runs, I guess just behind the parking, where also appears just some planting, I guess, behind 510 the parking.

511

512 Mr. Kennedy - That dashed line is actually a storm sewer.

513

514 Mr. Silber - But right in front of there, Mr. Kennedy, there is a metes and 515 bounds description and a length, 327.2 feet.

517 Mr. Kennedy - That has to do with the description of the power easement. They 518 have actually a second property that extends further back and into the middle of the power 519 easement as well.

520

521 Mr. Silber - So, all of the plantings are within their property?

522

523 Mr. Kennedy - Are within their property, yes.

524

525 Mr. Silber - OK.

526

527 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you, Mr. Kennedy.

528

529 Mr. Archer - Ma'am, can I ask you a question? You are fine with it? OK. 530 Thank you so much. Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. Mr. Chairman, I will move approval of 531 LP/POD-40-04, Mountain Road Retail Shops, subject to the annotations on the plans and the

532 standard conditions for landscape and lighting plans.

533

534 Mr. Vanarsdall - I second that. Motion made by Mr. Archer, seconded by 535 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

536

537 The Planning Commission approved the landscape and lighting plan for LP/POD-40-04, 538 Mountain Road Retail Shops, 1574 Mountain Road, subject to the annotations on the plan and 539 the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape and lighting plans.

540

541 SUBDIVISION (Deferred from the February 23, 2005 Meeting)

542

Wilton Parkway (December 2004 Plan) New Market Road to Osborne Turnpike Youngblood, Tyler & Associates, P.C. for Florence C. Garton etal., William R. & R. A. Pumphrey, Ronald B. Kiser, Life Int., Stirel M., Jr. & A. J. Paston, David B. & Barbara L. Kiser, James H., Jr. & V.H. Palmer, Interstate Construction Corp., Eugene B. & Shirley S. Moyer, Jeffrey T. & J. L. K. White, Susan J. McDonald, Nathan E. & Dawne D. Jones, and HHHunt Corp.: The 20.95-acre site proposed for a public road is located at its eastern terminus on New Market Road (State Route 5), approximately 300 feet north of Battlefield Park Road and extending to its western terminus, approximately 1100 feet west of the intersection of Osborne Turnpike and Mill Roads on parcels 809-692-4528, 809-691-6235, 809-691-2613, 808-690-7572, 808-690-3884, 808-690-0946, 808-690-1074, 808-690-9385, 805-688-7568, 803-686-8950, 803-686-7753, 803-686-6854, 803-686-5549, 803-686-4052, 803-686-2162, 803-686-1847, 803-686-9862, 802-686-9466, 802-686-7867, 803-686-2025, 803-686-0426 and 798-683-5459. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District and R-2A, One-Family Residence District. (Varina) 0 Lots

543 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is anyone in the audience in opposition to Wilton Parkway? 544 Didn't we get the proper signature?

545

546 Mr. Wilhite -Just this morning we got the last one faxed to us. This case has 547 been deferred three times and originally was on your agenda at the December POD hearing. 548 As I said, all of the required signatures have been received at this point, and we received the 549 last one this morning. There are 22 parcels involved with this road subdivision. Two parcels 550 are being eliminated at this time. They are the Cephas and the Wigton parcels. They are 551 located at the southwest corner of Osborne Turnpike and Mill Roads, but essentially these two 552 parcels were being used for right-of-way widening for potential right-turn lanes, which aren't 553 being required at this point in time, so they have been eliminated. Also, the property that was 554 owned by Glauson Investments Corporation has been purchased by the developer at this point 555 and that would be a change to your caption. Staff can recommend approval of the plan as 556 shown with the note on there that the intersection with New Market Road has actually been 557 shifted 100 feet to the north, creating an additional separation between Battlefield Park Road, 558 which runs south of this intersection. So, staff can recommend approval of the plan, 559 conditional approval, with conditions, annotations, standard conditions plus Nos. 12 and 13. 560 Webb Tyler, the Civil Engineer, is here representing the applicant. Also, Mike Jennings, the 561 Traffic Engineer, from the County is here if you have more detailed questions on the road 562 layout.

563

564 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions for Mr. Wilhite? All right. Mr. Archer.

565

566 Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I don't believe I have any questions unless some 567 of the other Commissioners do.

568

No, I just wanted to know if you wanted to make a motion.

570

Yes, I do. In speaking with Mr. Jernigan, he indicated that the 572 only problem that had not been resolved in this case was that of obtaining the last signature 573 there we got this morning. So, with that, I will move approval of Subdivision Wilton 574 Parkway, subject to the standard conditions for subdivisions served by Public Utilities and the 575 additional conditions Nos.12 and 13. Do we need to make note of the revision to, or is that 576 covered in the condition?

577

578 Mr. Wilhite - We would just add that as an annotation to the plan based on the 579 scale of the drawing. It is barely perceptible.

580

581 Mr. Archer - OK. Then I recommend approval, subject to those conditions.

582

583 Mr. Vanarsdall - And I second it. Motion made by Mr. Archer, seconded by 584 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is 585 passed.

586 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Wilton Parkway, 587 (December 2004 Plan), New Market Road to Osborne Turnpike, subject to the standard 588 conditions attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, the annotations 589 on the plan, and the following additional conditions:

The developer shall construct left turn lanes in accordance with Henrico County standards on Osborne Turnpike at the intersection with Wilton Parkway.

The developer shall install left and right turn lanes in accordance with VDOT standards on New Market Road (State Route 5) at the intersection with Wilton Parkway.

595

596 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT & TRANSITIONAL BUFFER DEVIATION

597

POD-18-05 Monahan Road Storage Building – 6977 Monahan Road G. Stuart Grattan, P.E. for Charmaine S. Watson, Et Al and Floyd Englehart: Request for approval of a plan of development and transitional buffer deviation, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24.106.2 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a 17,500 square foot vehicle and equipment storage shed for a tree contracting service. The transitional buffer deviation will permit the buffer to be relocated against the adjacent property. The 9.00-acre site is located on the east line of Monahan Road at 6977 Monahan Road, approximately 130 feet north of Darbytown Road on parcel 821-697-6489. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and A-1, Agricultural District. (Varina)

598

599 Mr. Vanarsdall - In the Varina District, is there anyone in the audience in 600 opposition to POD-18-05? No opposition. Good morning, Mr. McGarry.

601

602 Mr. McGarry - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. 603 You will need to make two motions on this case. The first is for approval of the POD and the 604 second will be for the transitional buffer deviation. On the POD, the staff plan shows the shed 605 square footage labeled incorrectly. It should be 17,500 square feet. The notice caption is 606 correct.

607

608 There are two Addendum items. First, staff is recommending No. 29 condition be deleted 609 regarding ISO calculations, because there is no public water in the area, so Public Utilities is 610 waiving this requirement. In a related matter, Condition No. 32 has been revised for clarity in 611 determining the applicant's responsibility to provide a monitoring service for a detection alarm 612 system.

613

614 In regard to the transitional buffer deviation, transitional buffers normally abut the zoning 615 boundary, which cuts through the center of this lot. Staff recommends a transitional buffer 616 deviation to allow the transitional buffer to be located against the property line to the north, for 617 the following reasons: First the transitional buffer 35 is a full relocation and not a reduction, 618 and secondly, the proffer, No.2, requires the transitional buffer be provided against the

619 adjacent property. Staff feels that the location of the buffer for the deviation is consistent with 620 the proffer.

621

622 With that, staff can recommend approval subject to the annotations on the plans, standard 623 conditions Nos. 23 through 33, with Addendum items Nos.29 and 32. I will be happy to 624 answer any questions.

625

626 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions for Mr. McGarry?

627

628 Mr. Kaechele - Looking at the floor plan showing the dimensions as 175 by 100, 629 why did those dimensions change? You said the square footage was 17,500.

630

631 Mr. McGarry- Yes. 100 by 175 should be 17,500 sq. ft.

632

633 Mr. Kaechele - That is the correct number.

634

635 Mr. McGarry - That is the correct number. What I actually annotated on the plan 636 was not correct. I didn't do the math right.

637

638 Mr. Silber - It is 17,500?

639

640 Mr. McGarry - Correct, and the notice letters that went out had the correct 641 square footage in it.

642

643 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. McGarry, I think we should add No. 34. I didn't see the 644 location of the existing boxes, electrical boxes that we usually put on these. Do you know 645 what I am referring to? The location of all existing and proposed existing and proposed 646 mechanical equipment, units, electrical meters, junction boxes, transformers, and all of that.

647

648 Mr. McGarry - This is sitting in the middle of a multiple acre parcel of land 649 and...

650

651 Mr. Vanarsdall - You must be able to see it somewhere. Maybe you won't. That 652 is the reason I wanted to ask you..

653

654 Mr. McGarry - That is why staff felt it wasn't necessary to add that because it is 655 uniquely located and surrounded by woods.

656

657 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right.

658

659 Mr. Silber - Mr. Vanarsdall, also, it is an entirely metal building.

660

661 Mr. Vanarsdall - That does not make any difference if somebody could see it. It is 662 still ugly. A metal building is usually ugly, too. Thank you, Mr. Silber. Any more questions 663 for Mr. McGarry?

664 Mr. Archer - I don't have any.

665

666 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right.

667

668 Mr. Archer - This requires two motions. I move to approve the transitional 669 buffer deviation.

670

671 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by 672 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The transitional 673 buffer deviation is approved.

674

675 The Planning Commission approved the transitional buffer deviation for POD-18-05, Monahan 676 Road Storage Building – 6977 Monahan Road.

677

As for the case itself, I move for approval of POD-18-05, 679 Monahan Road Storage Building, subject to the annotations on the plans, including the 680 corrected annotations of 17,500 sq. ft. as stated by Mr. McGarry, standard conditions for 681 developments of this type, and additional conditions as shown 23 through 33, 29 being deleted 682 and 32 being revised on the Addendum.

683

684 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer, seconded by Vanarsdall. 685 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

686

687 The Planning Commission approved POD-18-05, Monahan Road Storage Building – 6977 688 Monahan Road, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to 689 these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- The right-of-way for widening of Monahan Road as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- Employees shall be required to use the parking spaces provided at the rear of the building(s) as shown on the approved plans.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-29C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.

- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- Any non-domestic trash receptacles shall be located behind a screen fence identical to the one shown on the plans.
- Unless waived by the Fire Marshall, all buildings when constructed, shall include a fire detection alarm system. The alarm system shall be designed and installed to provide immediate notification to the Fire Division in the event of an alarm situation at the facility. A twenty-four hour monitoring company must be utilized for this service.
- Provide the proffered Transitional Buffer 35 along the north property line in place of the zoning boundary which crosses the applicant's property.

718

719 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

720

POD-21-05 Church Mews Condominiums – Church Road Balzer & Associates, Inc. for Iva J. Mullins, John W. & K. H. McLaughlin and D. O. Allen Homes, Inc.: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 30, two-story, detached condominium units. The 7.04-acre site is located on the northern line of Church Road, approximately 600 feet west of the intersection of Church Road and Chatham Woods Drive on parcels 736-755-5630 and 9422. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

721

722 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you, Mr. Silber. Anyone in the audience in opposition to 723 Church Mews Condominiums - Church Road, in the Three Chopt District.

724

725 Mr. Cooper -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. 726 The revised plan was handed out to you this morning. This project is for the construction of 727 30 detached condominium units, which will be similar in design to single-family homes, to be 728 constructed with high-quality materials, such as brick, stone, and premium grade vinyl. All of 729 these items were proffered. The proffers were created with the rezoning for this property to 730 allow for this development and that was approved by the Board of Supervisors in November of 731 this past year. The conceptual layout was proffered with that rezoning case and the plan before 732 you today does resemble that design, including landscape buffers along all of the property 733 lines. Staff had two major concerns for the first plan, including the units meeting setback 734 requirements, and the correct delineation of the floodplain, which could impact the layout. 735 The revised plan before you this morning addresses those concerns and as well, the applicant 736 has provided smaller dwellings than they first proposed, in order to meet the required setbacks, 737 and the details for those units have also been provided to you this morning. 738 revisions addressed, the conditions listed in your Agenda and the Addendum, and the 739 annotations on the plan, staff recommends approval of this plan of development. The applicant 740 and their engineer are here today if you have any questions, and I will be happy to answer any 741 as well.

742 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions by Commission members for Mr. Cooper?

743

744 Mr. Branin - Mr. Cooper, you said all of the setbacks had been met?

745

Yes, that is correct. The revised plan before you this morning has 747 the correct setback requirements clearly labeled and the units now being smaller, and the 748 removal of some covered porches on some of the units allow these buildings to meet the 749 required setbacks. That was probably the largest issue. The floodplain now is correctly 750 delineated. It does not impact the location of the buildings, because it is located within the 50-751 foot buffer along Church Road.

752

753 Mr. Kaechele - How much smaller do some of these units have to be reduced?

754

755 Mr. Cooper - I would defer that to the applicant to answer that question. I do 756 know that they are slightly smaller, and I think the biggest change is the removal of the 757 covered porches on some of their units, the front and the rear, because they would have to 758 meet the required setback if they did exist.

759

760 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any more questions? Thank you, Mr. Cooper. Will the 761 applicant come down? Good morning.

762

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. My name is Aaron Breed with Balzer and Associates, representing the applicant. As far as the 765 building footprint change on that, we have one concern. The building, the covered porch, was 766 eliminated to reduce the size of the footprint of the building and the units got a little bit wider, 767 just so they are not as deep, so we can fit them within the required setback and buffers.

768

769 Mr. Kaechele - OK, so the square footage of living space hasn't really changed.

770

771 Mr. Breed - The square footage is approximately the same. Yes, sir.

772

773 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any other questions?

774

775 Mr. Silber - I believe there was a proffered condition that required a certain 776 size open area, common area. Where is that being provided and how much area is being 777 provided?

778

779 Mr. Breed - The proffered condition of the open space area is generally in the 780 center of the site in a courtyard area. It was proffered as a ¼ of an acre and we actually have 781 slightly over that.

782

783 Mr. Silber - So, are there going to be any amenities in that area, anything 784 provided, or just open space?

785 Mr. Breed - I believe they require some amenities in there and as far as what, 786 we have not exactly determined that and there will be some landscaping. There probably will 787 be some sort of gazebos, benches, that sort of thing.

788

789 Mr. Vanarsdall - If you want to stand by, we have two people who have some 790 questions. Do you want to come down to the mike and state your name?

791

792 Mr. Felts - My name is Stephen Felts. We live in Lot 3 in the Waterford 793 Subdivision, which is basically at the bottom of the hill where this subdivision is going to be 794 located.

795

796 Mr. Kaechele - Excuse me. Can you point that out on the layout there where you 797 live?

798

We are right there, which is the first house in the subdivision. 800 The other two lots in the corner are in the floodplain. We have had drainage issues before. I 801 know we worked with you, Mr. Kaechele, on the issues involving Chatham Woods being 802 drained in our subdivision and the flooding it was causing in the lower houses in the lots in that 803 corner. Our concern is where is the drainage for this new subdivision going to be, and is it 804 going to be tied in to the drainage that currently exists that basically runs under my backyard.

806 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Silber, do you want to take that?

807

The drainage from the site is going to be picked up into a pipe 809 storm sewer system, the grades are being revised to channel that water into the system, so that 810 there is no overflow that is going to go onto that adjacent properties. As far as the capacity of 811 the existing storm sewer, the information that we had shows that they are at capacity on that, 812 the flooding, and I am not aware of whether those arrows are, you know, that was coming 813 from this overland overflow or if it was a back up in the storm sewer.

814

I assume you are talking about a different drawing, but it shows the drainpipe that runs through my back yard. It is actually not on this plan. It kind of runs through the yard and feeds into the creek. Are you all tying into that?

818

819 Mr. Breed - We aren't. We are tying into the inlet that he is speaking of is in 820 sort of the northeastern corner of his property. There is an exiting unit at that point, and there 821 is a low on the site. We are actually extending a pipe in to pick up that low area to clean that 822 structure out and we are tying into the storm sewer, the existing storm sewer further up, that is 823 in the northern end, the northern central piece of our property.

824

825 Mr. Silber - So you would be, actually, improving the situation that is on his 826 lots?

827 Mr. Breed - The inlet that we would be tying a portion of my drain into would 828 be cleaning that inlet out. I went and looked at it, and it is fairly old. It has a lot of broken up 829 rubble around it from trees and branches and stuff clogging up the inlet side of that. I will be 830 removing all of that and bringing that up to the current County standards.

831

832 Mr. Silber - Where is the storm sewer clean or discharge from your site?

833

834 Mr. Breed - Our site will discharge into the two points, one is at the northern 835 property existing storm pipe there, and a small portion of it will tie into the inlet that is at the 836 rear of his property.

837

Mr. Silber - Mr. Felts, the County has its own design engineers and will be 839 looking at these plans extensively as more plans are prepared and they will be very concerned 840 about the outfall, the discharge of storm water from this property. They are sensitive to 841 adjacent property owners and their properties impact the drainage on them, so this will be 842 looked at more closely. I would encourage you, if you have concerns as this property 843 develops, to continue to work with this gentleman, this engineer, as well as the County 844 engineers to make sure that these problems are addressed.

845

846 Mr. Felts - OK. Thank you. Our biggest concern is there is currently 847 already a back up from the existing water that we are getting on the side of our yard to the left 848 side of our house.

849

850 Mr. Branin - Mr. Felts, do you know what is causing the back up? Is there 851 brush or...

852

Basically, two pipes run through our yard and come out into an 854 open creek. They couldn't enclose the creek because it is part of the floodplain. Then that 855 feeds into three parts that run under the street even when they are clear. Even when they are 856 clear, we still have back up, and it overflows into our lot on the side.

857

858 Mr. Archer - Is it a gravity issue do you think?

859

We are actually working with the landscaper and we are going to 861 regrade our yard on that side to try to slope our yard down to the creek. Obviously, that is 862 going to be at our expense, but we can only do so much, and that is with the existing situation 863 as it is. That is not with having the seven acres of ...fully developed with pavement and 864 driveways and everything else that is going to create a lot more drainage, a lot more runoff. 865 That is our concern.

866

867 Mr. Kaechele- We will just have to keep a continual eye on that and see if these 868 conditions are not worsened.

869

870 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you for your concern and coming down. Yes, sir.

872 Mr. Charlie Worland—Good morning. My name is Charlie Worland. I live on the 873 adjacent property right there on the north. We, too, have a drainage issue in that to some 874 extent a gravity issue that the playing level is off in our backyard and currently does not drain 875 adequately and if I understood correctly, there will be additional drainage being piped. There 876 is a pipe being run at the back line of our property there. That additional drainage will be 877 being piped, which is almost an uphill pipe. It is level. There is no way to go lower to relieve 878 that, so we are concerned about the flooding. We are also wondering if 30 units is still the 879 projected capacity. We would like to request that as great a buffer as possible, no less than 20 880 feet of buffer be allowed to remain there to separate the properties, the privacy of the 881 properties. Those are the two concerns that we have.

882

883 Mr. Kaechele - The buffer was recorded in the zoning case. I don't know, do we 884 have that number?

885

Yes, sir. The required buffer along that property line here at the 887 northern property line is 10 feet in width, which was proffered with the rezoning case, and that 888 is the same for the property line adjacent to Waterford and this side, the eastern property line 889 against this section of Chatham Woods, 50 feet against Church Road.

890

891 Mr. Kaechele - That was established at the time of zoning. I can't really require 892 that to be changed.

893

894 Mr. Worland - That was done without any input from us at that time. We were 895 not aware of that.

896

897 Mr. Kaechele - Well, it's done with a public hearing.

898

Right. When the property was rezoned, the County notified all of 900 the surrounding property owners by mail to let the property owners know that that piece of 901 property is up for rezoning. It went through a public hearing with this Planning Commission 902 and a public hearing with the Board of Supervisors.

903

904 Mr. Worland - I was not present at either of those hearings. I was unable to 905 speak to that. Thank you.

906

907 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right. Thank you. Anyone else?

908

909 Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I would like to see Mr. Cooper get with the 910 representative from Balzer and also Mr. Felts and look at the current condition and see if we 911 can, I am sure Balzer can design something to help his current situation and not worsen it. 912 Mr. Felts, I would like to see you and get your address as well, because I'd like to look at it to 913 make sure I know what is going on there. OK?

914

915 Mr. Cooper - Mr. Secretary, I just wanted to also point out in regard to your 916 question regarding the open space requirement, we did ask the engineer to provide us the exact

917 acreage for the open space and to delineate that on a plan. We have received that, and it does 918 satisfy the proffer requirement, and also in regards to the previous speaker, while the 10-foot 919 buffer is adjacent to his property line, the setback for the principal dwellings is actually 30 920 feet, which is required by Code, so these buildings won't be up against that 10-foot buffer. 921 They will be 30 feet off of the property line.

922

923 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you. If there are no more questions, we will have a 924 motion.

925

926 Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I move that we approved POD-21-05, subject to 927 the standard conditions for developments of this type and additional conditions Nos. 23 through 928 36, plus additional condition No. 37 which is on the Addendum.

929

930 Mr. Archer - I will second the motion.

931

932 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Branin and seconded by Mr. Archer. All in 933 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

934

935 The Planning Commission approved POD-21-05, Church Mews Condominiums, subject to the 936 standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following 937 additional conditions:

- The right-of-way for widening of Church Road as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The limits and elevations of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on the plan "Limits of 100 Year Floodplain." In addition, the delineated 100-year floodplain must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- The required building setback shall be measured from the proposed right-of-way line and the parking shall be located behind the proposed right-of-way line.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 956 28. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-52C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 961 30. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be

- approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with 964 31. County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all 965 pavement with the Department of Planning - the exact type, amount and implementation 966 shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members 967 of the Homeowners Association. The bond shall become effective as of the date that 968 the Homeowners Association assumes responsibility for the common areas. Prior to the 969 970 issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards. 971
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-ofway. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- 978 34. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be ordered from the County and installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- 983 36. A standard concrete sidewalk shall be provided on at least one side of all interior roads.
- Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the approved grading plans.

988 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT & SPECIAL EXCEPTION

989

POD-20-05 Brook Run Independent Living – 6000 Brook Road **Townes Site Engineering for Tetra Associates, LLC and Carroll M. Blundon:** Request for approval of a plan of development and special exception, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-21, 24-94 (b) and 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a four-story, 120 unit, age-restricted apartment building. The special exception would authorize a building with maximum height greater than 2 ½ stories. The 8.63-acre site is located on the west line of Brook Road (U. S. Route 1) 365 feet north of Wilmer Avenue adjacent to the Brook Run Shopping Center on part of parcel 783-748-5077. The zoning is R-5C, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. **(Fairfield)**

990

991 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there anyone here in opposition to this case, POD-20-05, Brook 992 Run Independent Living? No opposition. Mr. Kennedy.

994 Mr. Kennedy - Brook Run Independent Living is a proposed four-story age restricted 995 apartment building located along the west line of Brook Road adjacent to the Brook Run Shopping Center. 996 The proposed building is the first of two 120-unit age restricted apartment buildings contemplated at this 997 location. So, there is a second building also proposed and the second building would be in this area here 998 (referring to rendering). It would just kind of reverse this way.

1000 The subject property was zoned R-5C, General Residence District, in 2004 and the proffers 1001 contemplate the proposed use. The proposed development would result in the construction of 1002 13.9 multi-family dwelling units per acre, while the maximum permitted in the R-5 District is 1003 14.5 multi-family dwelling units per acre, so it is within the limit.

1004

1005 The Department of Public Works has approved an exception to the requirement for two points 1006 of access for multi-family development with more than 80 units with only one point of access. 1007 The exception notes that the first phase of development will be limited to 120 senior apartments 1008 and based upon ITE standards would generate fewer trip per day than 80 unrestricted multi-1009 family units. The exception requires a secondary emergency access drive be provided. At this 1010 time they contemplate emergency access drive to be provided to Brook Road, although the 1011 alternative would be to provide within the shopping center at such time as a major center is 1012 developed there, they can develop it through the shopping center. They are just not 1013 contemplating that development at this time to be concurrent with this, so they are providing an 1014 alternative.

1015

1016 The staff recommends approval of the Plan of Development subject to the annotations on the 1017 plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, the conditions on the agenda, and 1018 the following two additional conditions:

1019

Adequate water pressure shall be provided in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Utilities and the Fire Marshall prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

1023

1024 That condition is because the County is currently proposing water main extension along Brook 1025 Road, and that is in the new capital budget, so it just has to have assurance it is there. We can't 1026 assure the capital budget is going to be approved. Mr. Kaechele is still to vote on that. We 1027 anticipate it will. The second condition is that:

1028

A secondary emergency access drive shall be provided in accordance with the requirements of the Traffic Engineer and the Fire Marshall.

1031

1032 That addresses the exception. A special exception is requested to construct over a two-and a half-1033 story building. They are proposing a four-story building. Should the Planning Commission 1034 approve the special exception, the staff recommends the following conditional conditions:

- 1036 36. The building shall be completely fire sprinklered.
- 1037 37. Perimeter landscaping shall comply with multi-family design standards.

1038 The engineer is present and available to answer any questions. I would be happy to answer 1039 any questions as well.

1040

1041 Mr. Vanarsdall - Are there any questions for Mr. Kennedy?

1042

1043 Mr. Silber - Mr. Kennedy, it looks as though it may be a typo on No. 34. It 1044 should be the Department of Public Utilities.

1045

1046 Mr. Kennedy - Yes, it should be the Department of Public Utilities.

1047

Mr. Archer - Mr. Kennedy, of course you and I have discussed this quite a bit 1049 in the last week. For the benefit of the rest of the Commission members, the information that 1050 is shown in the Addendum is probably part and parcel of some of the guts of this that had to be 1051 worked out, and I appreciate your efforts, sir, in getting that done. Do any of the Commission 1052 members have any questions about that part of it that is stated on the Addendum having to do 1053 with the two points of access and so forth?

1054

1055 Mr. Vanarsdall - No questions by Commission members.

1056

1057 Mr. Archer - All right. I don't think we need to hear from the applicant. I 1058 don't think anything could be added that Mr. Kennedy hasn't already covered.

1059

1060 Mr. Vanarsdall - I will entertain a motion for the special exception.

1061

1062 Mr. Archer - OK. First I move to approve the special exception for the four-1063 story height and with it the Addendum conditions 36 and 37.

1064

1065 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. 1066 Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The special 1067 exception is approved.

1068

1069 Mr. Archer - I move to approve POD-20-05, Brook Run Independent Living, 1070 subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, 1071 the additional conditions in the Agenda which are Nos. 9 Amended and Nos. 23 through 33, 1072 and additional conditions No. 34 and 35 on the Addendum.

1073

1074 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. 1075 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

1077 The Planning Commission approved POD-20-05, Plan of Development and Special Exception, 1078 for Brook Run Independent Living – 6000 Brook Road, subject to the standard conditions for 1079 developments of this type and the following additional conditions:

- 1080 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The limits and elevations of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on the plan "Limits of 100 Year Floodplain." In addition, the delineated 100-year floodplain must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-33C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Prior to issuance of a building permit, the developer must furnish a letter from Dominion Virginia Power stating that this proposed development does not conflict with their facilities.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- Storm water retention, based on the 50-10 concept, shall be incorporated into the drainage plans.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- Adequate water pressure shall be provided in accordance with the requirement of the Department of Public Utilities and the Fire Marshall prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- 1121 35. A secondary emergency access drive shall be provided in accordance with the requirements of the Traffic Engineer and the Fire Marshall.
- 1123 36. The building shall be completely fire sprinklered.
- 1124 38. Perimeter landscaping shall comply with multi-family design standards.

1125 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT & SPECIAL EXCEPTION

1126

POD-22-05 Henrico Retirement Residence – 10300 Three Chopt Road Bay Design Group, P.C. for A. R. Tedesco, Three Chopt Village, LLC and Colson & Colson Construction Company: Request for approval of a plan of development and special exception, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-2, 24-94(b) and 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a three-story, 118-bed retirement residence for seniors. The special exception would authorize a building with a maximum height greater than 2 ½ stories. The 10.88-acre site is located on the north line of Three Chopt Road, 485 feet west of Gaskins Road at 10300 Three Chopt Road on parcels 749-7559136, 4576 and part of 749-755-8188 and 749-756-0252. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District and R-6C, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

1127

1128 Mr. Vanarsdall -

Is anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-22-05, Henrico

1129 Retirement Residence?

1130

1131 Mr. Kennedy - This is similar to the last request. The only difference is that it is going to 1132 be a multifamily. It is actually assisted living, so it is a little bit different. Henrico Retirement 1133 Residence is a proposed three-story age restricted assisted living building located along the north 1134 line of Three Chopt Road across from the Cedarfield Community, which is a mixed development 1135 age-restricted community.

1136

1137 The subject property was zoned R-6C, General Residence District, in 2004 and the proffers 1138 contemplate the proposed use.

1139

1140 The applicant has redesigned the site to relocate the proposed garage outside the required side 1141 yard and that is actually included in your packet, and the second page of the site plan shows the 1142 relocated garage without a lot of information, but just shows how they have relocated the 1143 garage to meet the 20-foot side-yard setback. In addition, the developer/owner has agreed to 1144 relocate the storm water retention pond/BMP outside the proffered buffer along I-64 and place 1145 it underground if necessary.

1146

1147 The plan as annotated satisfies both proffers and County design requirements. A perimeter 1148 buffer would be maintained or provided, and the building would be connected by an internal 1149 sidewalk system to Three Chopt Road and is very pedestrian oriented.

1150

1151 The staff recommends approval of the Plan of Development subject to the annotations on the 1152 plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, the conditions on the agenda, and 1153 the following additional conditions:

- 1155 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any
- occupancy permits.

1158

- 1159 A special exception is requested to permit construction of building over 2-½ stories. In this 1160 case, the building is restricted by proffers for three stories, and that is what they are proposing.
- 1162 Should the Planning Commission approve the special exception for a three-story building, the 1163 staff recommends, and the following additional conditions:

1164

- 1165 35. The building shall be completely fire sprinklered.
- 1166 36. Perimeter landscaping shall comply with multi-family design standards.

1167

1168 The engineer and the developer are present and are both available to answer any questions.

1169

1170 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right. Any questions for Mr. Kennedy? No questions.

1171

1172 Mr. Kaechele - One question on the planned site. The entranceway to the 1173 property kind of to the south, southeast, is that a potential exit there, or it going to be a fire 1174 lane.

1175

Because it is over 80 units, again it requires two points of access, 1177 so they do have the two points of access. It is a Fire requirement. It is divided and also has 1178 that loop around the other side as well. So, they are required to have both entrances. The 1179 entrance to the south, which is a divided entrance, there are proffers required that they provide 1180 access to the adjoining property to the south, should they request it.

1181

1182 Mr. Kaechele - That is just a potential access?

1183

1184 Mr. Kennedy - Yes. It is a potential access for potential future development of 1185 that parcel should it be rezoned at some time in the future and redeveloped.

1186

1187 Mr. Kaechele - Thank you.

1188

1189 Mr. Silber - OK, I need clarification, Mr. Kennedy. The driveway system, 1190 the boulevard that comes in off of Three Chopt Road, will serve the front of the building. Will 1191 that driveway continue all the way around the back of the building?

1192

1193 Mr. Kennedy - It will continue all the way around the back of the building and 1194 come out on Three Chopt Road again and it will be a second point of access.

1195

1196 Mr. Silber - That is with this POD being approved, it will be constructed.

1197 Mr. Kennedy -It will be constructed, and, in fact, in that back corner here, that 1198 is where they are relocating the garage. The building actually has a design for a main hallway 1199 through this corner of the building, and then they will put the garage in. The garage is actually 1200 to maintain their vehicles. Basically, it is assisted living. They don't really intend their 1201 residents to be driving. They intend to provide transportation services to shopping and other 1202 conveniences. 1203 1204 Mr. Silber -Provide for me what you were indicating about a future access 1205 point. 1206 1207 Mr. Kennedy -A future access point is here on this drive here (referring to 1208 rendering), where the median entrance is. It is actually an easement to this property to the 1209 south, and that property is currently zoned Agricultural, but it would, should that property be 1210 redeveloped, permit them access so they would access far enough way from the interchange 1211 that it wouldn't restrict development. 1212 With the improvement of Three Chopt Road, I don't believe there 1213 Mr. Kaechele -1214 is a planned median there. Do you know? 1215 1216 Mr. Kennedy -There's no median that I know of at this time. 1217 1218 Mr. Kaechele -OK. 1219 1220 Mr. Silber -There is going to be a median that is going to be constructed back 1221 a certain distance from Gaskins, and Mr. Jennings might be able to tell us how far that is going 1222 to come. I don't know if that is going to come as far as, I don't think it comes back as far as 1223 where this boulevard entrance is. Mr. Jennings, can you help us with that, please? 1225 Mr. Jennings -Good morning, Planning Commission members. I am Mike 1226 Jennings, Assistant Traffic Engineer. The plans for Three Chopt Road widening, the median 1227 will go well beyond this property, so in the future, both of these entrances are going to be 1228 right-in and right-out. 1229 OK. 1230 Mr. Kaechele -1231 1232 Mr. Jennings -And the developer is aware of that. 1233 1234 Mr. Silber -Thanks for clarifying that. 1235 Any more questions of Mr. Kennedy? Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. 1236 Mr. Vanarsdall -1237

1240

1241 Mr. Archer - Second.

1239 05, Henrico Retirement Residence.

1238 Mr. Branin -

Mr. Chairman, I move to approve special exception for POD-22-

1242 Mr. Vanarsdall - We have a motion by Mr. Branin and a second by Mr. Archer.

1243 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

1244

1245 The Planning Commission approved the special exception to authorize a building with a 1246 maximum height grater than $2\frac{1}{2}$ stories.

1247

1248 Mr. Branin - I make a motion for approval of POD-22-05, subject to the 1249 standard conditions for developments of this type and added conditions Nos. 23 through 34 and 1250 35 and 36 on the addendum and No. 9 Amended.

1251

1252 Mr. Archer - Second.

1253

1254 Mr. Vanarsdall - We have motion made by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer.

1255 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

1256

1257 The Planning Commission approved POD-22-05, Plan of Development and Special Exception 1258 for POD-22-05, Henrico Retirement Residence – 10300 Three Chopt Road, subject to the 1259 standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following 1260 additional conditions:

- 1262 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- The right-of-way for widening of Three Chopt Road as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The limits and elevations of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on the plan "Limits of 100 Year Floodplain." In addition, the delineated 100-year floodplain must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-16C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Prior to issuance of a building permit, the developer must furnish a letter from VDOT (Virginia Department of Transportation) stating that this proposed development does not conflict with their facilities.

1285 29. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.

1288

- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- Storm water retention, based on the 50-10 concept, shall be incorporated into the drainage plans.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 1305 35. The building shall be completely fire sprinklered.
- 1306 36. Perimeter landscaping shall comply with multifamily design standards.

1307

1308 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

1309

POD-24-05 Grayson Hill, Section 2 -Gaskins Road **E. D. Lewis & Associates, P.C. for Gaskins Centre, LC**: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 103, two-story and three-story townhouse units for sale. The 23.12-acre site is located along the east line of Gaskins Road, approximately 450 feet south of Patterson Avenue on part of parcels 745-741-0907 and 745-740-9892. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (**Tuckahoe**)

1310

1311 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-24-05, Grayson 1312 Hill, Section 2? No opposition. Good morning, Mr. Wilhite.

1313

Good morning, again, sir. There are 220 lots total in this development. The first section of 50 lots was approved back on January 26 with the 1316 architectural plans being approved on March 10. No changes to the architectural plans are 1317 requested at this point. Actually, Section 3 of this project has already been filed with the 1318 County and is scheduled to be on your April agenda. There are two BMPs within Section 2 of 1319 the development. They will be designed as water features as well as serving the storm water 1320 quality requirements. Part of the agreement that was alluded to in the conditional rezoning

1321 case. The BMP will also serve the water quality requirements of the adjoining Derby 1322 Subdivision, as well. Due to that, the staff is recommending an added condition be added to 1323 this, which would be No. 36, and if I could read it: The proposed BMP facilities in this 1324 development shall accommodate the required pollutant loading for the Derby Subdivision in its 1325 design and construction. The applicant shall eliminate, grade, and reseed the existing BMP 1326 within the Derby Subdivision once the BMP facilities in this development are completed and 1327 accepted by the Department of Public Works. The applicant is also requesting that a clause be 1328 added to the end: subject to the caveats of Condition No. 36 of Zoning Case C-35C-04. A 1329 basic concern being that the owner of the property with the existing BMP in the Derby 1330 Subdivision have to agree to allow the work to be done. With that, staff can recommend 1331 approval of the project with added Condition No. 36.

1332

1333 If you have any questions, I will be happy to try to answer them.

1334

Any questions for Mr. Wilhite? No questions. No opposition. 1336 Don't need to talk to the applicant. I move that POD-24-05, Grayson Hill, Section 2 – 1337 Gaskins Road, be approved, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for 1338 developments of this type and added Conditions Nos. 9 Amended, 11 Amended, 23 through 1339 35, and added Condition No. 36, plus the additional language that says "subject to the caveat 1340 of Condition No. 36 of Zoning Case C-35C-04." That addresses the caveat.

1341

1342 Mr. Archer - Second.

1343

1344 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer. All in 1345 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion passes.

1346

1347 The Planning Commission approved POD-24-05, Grayson Hill, Section 2 – Gaskins Road, 1348 subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for 1349 developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 1351 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- AMENDED Prior to the installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture and specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- The subdivision plat for Grayson Hill, Section 2 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 1362 25. A standard concrete sidewalk shall be provided along the east side of Gaskins Road.
- 1363 26. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-35C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.

- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The bond shall become effective as of the date that the Homeowners Association assumes responsibility for the common areas.
- Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-ofway. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 1389 34. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be ordered from the County and installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- The proposed BMP facilities in this development shall accommodate the required pollutant loading for the Derby subdivision in its design and construction. The applicant shall eliminate, grade, and reseed the existing BMP within the Derby subdivision once the BMP facilities in this development are completed and accepted by the Department of Public Works, subject to the caveats of condition No. 36 of zoning case C-35C-04.

1400 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

1401

POD-26-05 Superstar – Bonnie M. Pradhan Addition – Brook Road and J.E.B. Stuart Parkway (POD-95-00 Revised) **Keith Engineering, Inc. for Bonnie M. Pradhan:** Request for approval of a revised plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a two-story, 5,966 square foot building addition to an existing convenience store with gas pumps. The 1.3-acre site is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Brook Road (U.S. Route 1) and J.E.B. Stuart Parkway on parcel 783-769-9052. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. **(Fairfield)**

1402

1403 Mr. Vanarsdall - Anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-26-05, Superstar? 1404 All right. Thank you. Mr. Cooper.

1405

1406 Mr. Cooper -Good morning, again, members of the Commission. A revised 1407 plan has been handed out to you this morning, and staff had several concerns for the original 1408 plan submitted. The two most critical issues regarding the original plan were insufficient 1409 parking and a lack of adequate traffic circulation around the building. In order to address 1410 those concerns, the applicant has reduced the size of the proposed addition, which was 1411 originally a two-story, 8,300 sq. ft. detached building to a now proposed 5,966 sq. ft. two-1412 story building to be attached to the existing convenience store. As a result, the existing gas 1413 canopy structure on the eastern side of the existing building will be removed. Revised building 1414 elevations to illustrate this change have also been provided to you this morning, and within the 1415 building addition, the applicant is proposing two small restaurants, comparable to a Dunkin 1416 Donuts or Subway. The applicant is also proposing an apartment for the building manager on 1417 the second floor of the addition. It is staff's understanding that an apartment for the manager 1418 on duty already exists above the existing convenience store. Staff has discussed this issue with 1419 the Code Administrator, and it was determined that only one apartment for a manager on duty 1420 may exist for the overall building. The staff has spoken to the applicant regarding this concern 1421 this morning. He has indicated that through the addition to the building the wall between the 1422 second floor of the existing building and the second floor of the proposed building will be 1423 knocked out, therefore, allowing for one large apartment across the top of what is now going 1424 to be one building. With that, staff would be acceptable to the accessory use requirements of 1425 the zoning, and therefore would be permitted. Otherwise, the second floor of the building 1426 addition would have to be considered unfinished storage area in order to meet the parking 1427 requirement as it exists now. This information just came to staff this morning, so there was an 1428 additional condition added to our Addendum regarding the use of the second floor of the 1429 addition. Mr. Pradhan, the owner, is here today and can speak more to the apartment issue, 1430 but if he is going to create just one apartment, we would no longer need the additional 1431 condition that is listed in your Addendum, and we will just have to re-annotate the floor plan 1432 accordingly to note that change. Finally, due to the addition of new parking along the eastern 1433 property line, some of the existing landscaping along the existing wall will have to be The applicant has indicated to staff that he is committed to providing that 1434 removed. 1435 landscaping elsewhere on the property, and it is important to note that the existing landscaping

1436 along the wall that is on the neighborhood side will not be removed and, if possible, 1437 supplemental landscaping will be provided there according to the applicant. In general, the 1438 applicant and his engineer have worked with staff to address all outstanding concerns, and with 1439 the revised plan before you today, including the annotation regarding the use or elimination of 1440 the second floor of the addition, staff can recommend approval of this project. The applicant 1441 and his engineer are here today if you have any questions and I will be happy to answer any 1442 questions as well.

1443

1444 Mr. Vanarsdall - Are there any questions for Mr. Cooper?

1445

1446 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Mr. Cooper, you noted that the parking would be expanded and 1447 some of the landscaping lost, but could be supplemented with additional landscaping. How 1448 much room will it be between the proposed parking and the wall?

1449

Between the proposed parking and the wall, I would have to say 1451 is probably somewhere around four feet. The back of curb and the property line is 10 feet to 1452 meet the Code requirement, but I believe that the narrowest point between the wall and the 1453 back of curb for the parking along that line would be a matter of 3 to 4 feet, which would 1454 allow for planting.

1455

1456 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - OK, I think there should be an effort made to provide for planting 1457 there and there would be additional supplemental planting provided. Thank you.

1458

1459 Mr. Archer - Mr. Secretary, were you suggesting an additional condition in the 1460 addendum?

1461

1462 Mr. Silber - Yes, we could do it that way. I think not knowing how much 1463 space is there, it may be difficult, but I think a condition can be added that to the extent 1464 possible that supplemental planting will be provided between the curb and the existing wall. 1465 We could add that, Mr. Archer, as No. 38.

1466

1467 Mr. Archer - OK, since it looks like we are eliminating the current 38 that is 1468 on the addendum, this could supplant it.

1469

1470 Mr. Silber - Yes, sir. I guess 38 is now coming off, Mr. Cooper.

1471

1472 Mr. Archer - How would you want to word that again, Mr. Secretary?

1473

1474 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - To the extent feasible, supplemental planting shall be provided 1475 between the proposed parking and the existing wall.

1476

1477 Mr. Archer - Have you got that, Mr. Cooper?

1478

1479 Mr. Cooper - Yes, sir.

1480

1481 Mr. Archer - All right. Thank you.

1482

1483 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any more questions for Mr. Cooper?

1484

1485 Mr. Archer - I don't believe so, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to hear from the 1486 applicant and we do have opposition.

1487

1488 Mr. Vanarsdall - Will the applicant come down, please?

1489

Mr. Keith - Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I am Jeff Keith with Keith Engineering, representing the applicant, Harry Pradhan, owner of the project. We feel 1492 we have satisfied most of the concerns that the County has had concerning this project. The 1493 owner is aware of the condition of the single apartment, and we are in agreement that he can 1494 only have one apartment up there, if we can rearrange the second floor to meet that 1495 requirement. As far as the landscaping issue, Mr. Cooper is correct. It is roughly about four 1496 feet we have back there, so we have adequate space to replace landscaping back in that area. It 1497 is my understanding that a portion of that landscape requirement on that wall was due to the 1498 lighting from the canopy, and we are doing away with the canopy on that side of the building, 1499 which should also help that situation, but I can try to answer any questions you may have 1500 concerning the project.

1501

1502 Mr. Archer - I just want to make sure that everybody understands that 1503 condition No. 38 that we are removing, that this has to be something that is actually done. We 1504 don't want to just say we are doing it in terms of the apartment upstairs, because it is not 1505 allowed, and I have a couple more things that we will probably have to address, but I think I 1506 will do best if we hear from the opposition first, and then you will have an opportunity to 1507 respond to what they have to say.

1508

Good morning. I am Terry Vaughan with the Bank of Essex, 1510 which is next to the convenience store and we have strong concerns about the traffic flow with 1511 the additional parking spaces being added. That load through there is very tight now, and if a 1512 proposed Subway is going in there, they are typically very busy, and if they are, then there 1513 will be a lot of traffic in there parking in and out, and it would interfere possibly with our 1514 traffic from the bank, because that is an exit and entrance way to our bank.

1515

1516 Mr. Archer - I am hearing you, sir. I am just looking down.

1517

1518 Mr. Vaughan - That is all right. That is OK. Our concern is because of the 1519 traffic pattern.

1520

1521 Mr. Archer - We had, I can't call it a companion case, but one that is next door 1522 to the bank that was in last month, I believe, and there was also a concern there about traffic 1523 circulation, and I think we have resolved that issue by designing a turnaround for trucks to not 1524 come out through the parking that you are talking about. They wouldn't have to cross behind 1525 it. The concern that you are raising now, I don't have an answer there, and I guess Mr.

1526 Jennings could maybe speak to that or the applicant could address it. As it stands right now, 1527 does some of the bank traffic exit behind Mr. Pradhan's store?

1528

1529 Mr. Vaughan - Yes, sir. They come in and out through that entranceway, and we 1530 also have one off of Brook Road, as well.

1531

1532 Mr. Archer - I understand that, but are you saying that this plan is going to 1533 reduce the size of, I don't think it will. Will it? Will it actually reduce the size of that 1534 entrance way or exit?

1535

1536 Mr. Vaughan - I don't know that it will reduce it, necessarily, but I think it will 1537 make it more congested with the traffic pattern of people coming in and out. You can put 1538 those parking spaces right along the wall there, which means people will be pulling in, backing 1539 out, and interfere with the flow of traffic.

1540

1541 Mr. Archer - Mr. Jennings, could you help us out here, maybe?

1542

1543 Mr. Jennings - Good morning.

1544

1545 Mr. Archer - Can you point out to us, Mr. Jennings, what the area is he is 1546 talking about? It flows out into JEB Stuart Parkway.

1547

1548 Mr. Jennings - Do you see this drive aisle right through here, I guess he is 1549 concerned with adding parking along there, but to accommodate his concern, they have 1550 designed it as a 30-foot wide drive aisle, but the standard is only 24-foot wide.

1551

1552 Mr. Archer - They didn't reduce it. It is going to increase it.

1553

1554 Mr. Jennings - It increased it and along their site they have got a 24-foot drive 1555 aisle with two-way parking along that same drive aisle. I feel they have adequately addressed 1556 his concerns by making a 30-foot drive aisle. They are not changing the entrance on the JEB 1557 Stuart Parkway at all, and they have maintained a 30-foot wide two-way drive aisle through 1558 there.

1559

1560 Mr. Vaughan - We are not parking in that road though. We are parking on the 1561 side of the road, but not on the road that is entering into. We have a turn lane for drive-thru 1562 banking, but we don't have parking on the very back of the building.

1563

The plan that Mr. Pradhan showed me had parking along that 1565 drive aisle.

1566

1567 Mr. Vaughan - We have parking on the side of the building but not at the rear of 1568 the building, where the road goes.

1569 Mr. Jennings - OK. He is correct, but this will function like any shopping 1570 center. As you can see from the aerial photo, Mr. Pradhan, this was not built this way. What 1571 Mr. Pradhan just handed me was a proposal for a new POD for this site, and if they did come 1572 with this proposed building, they would add parking along that two-way drive aisle, but 1573 currently they do not have parking along there, as the bank currently exists, but, as I was 1574 saying, it would function as a shopping center through here, a two-way drive aisle, which we 1575 do allow parking on, and maybe I should increase it to 30-foot wide, where the minimum is 1576 24.

1577

1578 Mr. Silber - So, Mr. Jennings, you are saying that based on your experience 1579 and expertise, there is parking that is being proposed that would be backing out into this 1580 driveway and could create some conflict and slow down some traffic, but you believe by 1581 widening it from 24-feet to 30-feet helps that situation and you are not overly concerned with 1582 the number of parking spaces they have that would be backing out onto this driveway.

1583

No, sir. It would function just like any other POD, that people 1585 back in the drive aisles. It may slow people down momentarily, but there are a couple of 1586 access points on to Route 1. This wouldn't be the only access point out of there. I mean, if it 1587 was the only access point, I would be concerned, maybe.

1588

Now, coming out of that back driving aisle, the only way you can 1590 go is the right-turn onto JEB Stuart Parkway. Is that correct? So, it would seem to me then, 1591 Mr. Vaughan, is it, the majority of your traffic would probably, well it does, right in off of 1592 Route 1. And the people who would access, would exit, unless they are going somewhere 1593 down JEB Stuart Parkway, which might be going to the shopping center or whatnot, that 1594 would be the extent of the traffic that exits onto JEB Stuart. In view of the fact that the drive 1595 aisle is being widened, it doesn't appear to me, that unless there is just a constant flow of 1596 traffic that it would be that much of an impediment to where it comes out there, provided that 1597 there is other access to get back to Brook Road.

1598

1599 Mr. Jennings - And plus with the approval of Best Buy, they will be able to go in 1600 the other direction, also, in the future.

1601

1602 Mr. Archer- Were you aware of that, Mr. Vaughan, that there has been 1603 another POD approval on the other side of the bank?

1604

1605 Mr. Vaughan – Yes.

1606

1607 Mr. Archer - OK.

1608

1609 Mr. Vaughan - This is just a strong concern that we had, but we are concerned 1610 about traffic flow because the bank's customers and traffic being created by Subway.

Well, I think we did help you out some with the last POD, the 1612 Best Buy POD, and the truck traffic, had it not been for that POD, would have been allowed to 1613 use that same exit way, and that POD we approved a plan that would prohibit them from going 1614 out, so that will lessen the impact probably even more so than this POD would, so, hopefully, 1615 we can make this work.

1616

1617 Mr. Vaughan - I hope so.

1618

1619 Mr. Archer - But we appreciate your concern and thank you for coming down 1620 to express it, sir.

1621

Mr. Cooper, the plan is correct in noting the minimum required parking of 46 parking spaces, and they are providing 46, so they are right at their minimum.

1624

1625 Mr. Cooper - That is correct, and actually now that the second floor will be just 1626 one apartment, the required amount would actually drop to 45, so they would be one space 1627 over.

1628

1629 Mr. Silber - So, if need be, they could take away one parking space on that 1630 driveway to perhaps alleviate some of his concern?

1631

1632 Mr. Cooper - Yes, sir. I believe we might have some options there.

1633

1634 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right. Anymore questions? All right, Mr. Archer.

1635

1636 Mr. Archer - OK, Mr. Chairman. This has been difficult to work on and Mr. 1637 Cooper has worked very hard on this and kept me informed as to what has been going on the 1638 whole time, and I am sure that Mr. Pradhan is aware of this, and Mr. Keith, there are some 1639 design constraints that are enforced, but I guess there is a Virginia Center Design Committee. 1640 I don't know exactly what the name of it is, but there are design guidelines that are a part of 1641 the covenants, I believe, for Virginia Center, and you will have to comply with those, sir, in 1642 the construction of your building, and, of course, that is something that this Commission 1643 doesn't have any purview over. That is between you and them, and hopefully, that will be 1644 done, but with the reduction in the building, the annotations on the plan, and the conditions 1645 that were added today, I think we have room for approval, and we hope everybody out there is 1646 going to be good neighbors and get along.

1647

1648 So, with that, Mr. Chairman, I will move approval of POD-26-05, Superstar, subject to the 1649 standard conditions for developments of this type, the additional conditions Nos. 23 through 37 1650 and 38 being the one that is on the addendum, being discarded, and then replaced with the 1651 condition that addresses supplemental planting, as stated by the Secretary.

1652

1653 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

- 1654 Mr. Vanarsdall The motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Vanarsdall. 1655 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.
- 1657 The Planning Commission approved POD-26-05, Superstar Bonnie M. Pradhan Addition 1658 Brook Road and J.E.B. Stuart Parkway (POD-95-00 Revised), subject to the standard 1659 conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional 1660 conditions:
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The entrances and drainage facilities on Brook Road (U.S. Route 1) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia
 Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning prior to any occupancy permits being issued.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-113C-88 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The developer shall install an adequate restaurant ventilating and exhaust system to minimize smoke, odors, and grease vapors. The plans and specifications shall be included with the building permit application for review and approval. If, in the opinion of the County, the type system provided is not effective, the Commission retains the rights to review and direct the type of system to be used.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
- The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to

1661

- prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that
- stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances
- to the car wash facility.
- 1703 35. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including
- 1704 HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and
- generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the
- by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 1708 36. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced only between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m.
- 1710 37. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- To the extent feasible, supplemental planting shall be provided between the proposed parking and the existing wall.

1713

1714 **SUBDIVISION**

1715

Bridlewood, Section 2 (March 2005 Plan)

Koontz-Bryant, P.C. for Bland L. Motley, Jr. and Virginia R. Motley: The 5.289-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 2 single-family homes is located at the northwest intersection of Shady Grove Road and Hames Lane (11010 Hames Lane) on parcel 742-772-9905. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District. Individual well and septic tank/drainfield. (**Three Chopt)** 2 Lots

1716

1717 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is anyone in the audience in opposition to Bridlewood, Section 2? 1718 Mr. Wilhite.

1719

This is a resubdivision of an existing lot on the corner of Shady 1721 Grove and Hames Lane. There is an existing dwelling on what will be lot 16 of this 1722 subdivision. Lot 17 on the corner would be the vacant lot. The water quality information and 1723 environmental site assessment has been provided to the County and is acceptable. The last 1724 issue was the amount of right-of-way dedication along Shady Grove Road. What is required is 1725 three feet of dedication, a total of 33 feet from the centerline of Shady Grove Road. 1726 Dedication would be done with the plat. However, there is no requirement for pavement 1727 widening or curb and gutter at this point. With that, staff can recommend approval of this 1728 plan.

1729

1730 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions?

1731

1732 Mr. Kaechele - What is that little area behind Section 17 there, is that all part of 1733 that lot or what?

1734 Mr. Wilhite - As shown, it was part of lot 16. Staff has recommended that the 1735 property line be adjusted and that strip of land to Shady Grove Road be eliminated and 1736 incorporated into lot 17.

1737

1738 Mr. Kaechele - It is a part of lot 17?

1739

1740 Mr. Wilhite - Yes, that is staff's recommendation.

1741

1742 Mr. Kaechele - Thank you.

1743

1744 Mr. Wilhite - Since there is a 25-foot planting strip easement that would be 1745 proposed to be added along Shady Grove Road with no ingress/egress, staff has recommended 1746 the elimination of that section.

1747

1748 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any more questions of Mr. Wilhite? If not, I will entertain a 1749 motion.

1750

1751 Mr. Branin - I make a motion that Bridlewood, Section 2 (March 2005 Plan)

1752 be approved, subject to the standard conditions for subdivisions not served by public utilities 1753 and added condition No. 11.

1754

1755 Mr. Archer - Second.

1756

1757 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Branin and seconded by Mr. Archer. All in 1758 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

1759

1760 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Bridlewood, Section 2 1761 (March 2005 Plan), subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for 1762 subdivisions not served by public utilities, and the following additional condition:

1763

The detailed plant list and specifications for the landscaping to be provided within the 25-foot-wide planting strip easement along Shady Grove Road shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to recordation of the plat.

1767

1768 APPROVAL OF MINUTES: February 23, 2005

1769

1770 Mr. Vanarsdall - We have the approval of minutes. Tommy, do you remember 1771 when you got sworn in, whether you were sworn in first before Bonnie-Leigh Jones, or both of 1772 you together?

1773

1774 Mr. Branin - We were both together.

1775

1776 Mr. Vanarsdall - Well, the reason I asked you that is because the newer members 1777 are required to read all of the minutes.

1779 Mr. Branin - Read all the minutes, which I did. And, Mr. Chairman, I did 1780 read all of the minutes and I didn't find any problems with them, sir. As a matter of fact, I 1781 found them quite humorous.

1782

1783 Mr. Archer - You should also know that whichever one of you raised your 1784 right hand first has seniority.

1785

1786 Mr. Branin - That would be her as well.

1787

1788 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Archer, I know you read them.

1789

1790 Mr. Archer - I found nothing, Mr. Chairman.

1791

1792 Mr. Branin - You didn't trust me, Mr. Chairman?

1793

1794 Mr. Archer - I would like to say though that in my comments a little while ago, 1795 I said off of, and I know you know that is grammatically incorrect. So, I would like to correct 1796 that before the minutes are even typed. Never say off of.

1797

1798 Mr. Vanarsdall - So we need a motion for the minutes of February 23, 2005.

1799

1800 Mr. Archer - I move approval.

1801

1802 Mr. Branin - Second.

1803

1804 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Branin. All in 1805 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes. The minutes are approved.

1806

1807 Mr. Secretary, do you have anything?

1808

I have perhaps two comments, if you would allow me. You may 1810 recall that there is a scheduled work session with the Board of Supervisors and the Planning 1811 Commission. It was supposed to be a visioning session involving the County's work on the 1812 Comprehensive Plan. We were hoping to meet with the Board and the Planning Commission 1813 members to run some concepts by those bodies and to seek input and suggestions on how to 1814 move forward with the Comprehensive Plan. This is an opportunity to really get some ideas as 1815 to how we want to get this Comprehensive Plan to be completed. That was scheduled for 1816 March 31 and April 1. We have had to cancel that because of some scheduling conflicts. We 1817 will be attempting to reschedule that visioning session probably likely in June, so I just wanted 1818 to let you know, if you hadn't heard, it has been cancelled and we will be rescheduling.

1819

1820 The second comment I would just like to make is I believe you all are aware, but if not, I 1821 wanted to tell you sort of belatedly that the latest we know about John Marlles' situation is 1822 that... is everyone aware John Marlles was in the hospital in California? Maybe I don't need 1823 to elaborate, but we did not hear any news last night, so I don't have anything to report as of

1824 last night, but to the best of our knowledge, he is still in intensive care and is heavily sedated 1825 and is on a ventilator, so he is a very sick individual right now and we are praying and hoping 1826 that he will recover soon and fully, and that he can deal with this serious dilemma with 1827 leukemia. I just wanted to give you that update. With that I have no other comments. I 1828 understand that several of you had a nice trip to San Francisco and that the weather was warm 1829 and beautiful, sunny the entire time.

1830

1831 Mr. Vanarsdall - I would like to report that Mr. Kaechele behaved himself.

1832

I attended a lot of meetings, as well. I can tell some stuff on Mr. 1834 Vanarsdall, too. He was in a committee meeting out there and I don't know what they were 1835 studying, but anyway they worked all through the morning session and it was time for lunch, 1836 and then they decided they were going to work through, and they decided well, what are we 1837 going to have for lunch, and part of the discussion said maybe we'd have steak sandwiches and 1838 then they decided that was too much. The supervisors back home may not approve it. And 1839 then they thought they'd have Chinese food and they couldn't agree on that, because it might 1840 not sustain them through the afternoon, and somebody thought about getting a salad, and they 1841 could all split that, and they didn't think that was enough diversification, and so the upshot was 1842 that they didn't have any lunch. But they all agreed that it was a fair hearing process.

1843

1844 Mr. Vanarsdall - Ms. Goggin's behavior was pretty good there.

1845

1846 Mr. Silber - Mr. O'Kelly was in attendance as well.

1847

1848 Mr. Vanarsdall - It goes without saying that you know that Mr. O'Kelly behaved

1849 himself.

1850

1851 Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, it occurs to me that all of you talking about how

1852 well you behaved, sounds like that is a pre-emptive strike to me.

1853

1854 Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I would also like to state that I will be working on 1855 my motions, so I will get them down better for you, sir. Could you give me one second to get 1856 a second every now and then? I just wanted to second once.

1857

1858 Mr. Vanarsdall - I told you I would help you out when you get the next package.

1859 You and I are going to lunch together and I am going to go over it with you.

1860

1861 Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, with that I move for immediate adjournment.

1862

1863 Mr. Branin - Second.

1864

1865 Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Branin. All in 1866 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The meeting was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.

1867 On a motion by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Branin, the Planning Commission adjourned	
1868 its March 30, 2005, meeting at 10:38 a.m.	-
1869	
1870	
1871	
1872	
1873	Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Chairman
1874	
1875	
1876	
1877	
1878	Randall R. Silber, Secretary
1879	•
1880	
1881	
1882	
1883	
1884	
1885	
1886	
1887	
1888	
1889	
1890	