

Overview of Environmental and Hydrogeologic Conditions at Fort Yukon, Alaska

By Allan S. Nakanishi and Joseph M. Dorava

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Open-File Report 94-526

Prepared in cooperation with the
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION



Anchorage, Alaska
1994

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Gordon P. Eaton, Director

For additional information write to:
District Chief
U.S. Geological Survey
4230 University Drive, Suite 201
Anchorage, AK 99508-4664

Copies of this report may be purchased from:
U.S. Geological Survey
Earth Science Information Center
Open-File Reports Section
Box 25286, MS 517
Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225-0425

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Background	1
Location.....	1
History and socioeconomic.....	2
Physical setting	2
Climate	2
Vegetation	6
Bedrock geology	6
Surficial geology and soils	6
Hydrology	7
Surface water	7
Floods	8
Ice-jam floods	8
Flood-protection measures	9
Ground water.....	10
Ground-water and surface-water interaction.....	10
Simulation of ground-water movement.....	11
Drinking water.....	15
Present drinking-water supplies	15
Quality of present supplies	15
Alternative drinking-water sources	15
Quality of alternative sources	16
Summary	16
References cited.....	17
Appendix 1. Data, assumptions, justifications, and data sources used in the MODFLOW packages	A-1
Appendix 2. Example output file of the U.S. Geological Survey Modular Finite-Difference Ground-Water Model	A-2
Appendix 3. Public Health Service well driller's logs, aquifer test data, and ground-water quality for Fort Yukon, Alaska	A-3
Appendix 4. U.S. Geological Survey well drillers' logs, aquifer test data, and ground-water quality for Fort Yukon, Alaska	A-4
Appendix 5. Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation ground-water quality data from the public water supply system at Fort Yukon	A-5
Appendix 6. U.S. Geological Survey water-quality data for the Yukon River at Rampart...	A-6

FIGURES

1. Map showing location of Fort Yukon.....	2
2. Map showing village of Fort Yukon and location of FAA facilities	4
3. Hydrograph of mean discharge for the Yukon River at Rampart, water years 1956-66	11
4. Map showing water table contours and estimated shallow ground-water flow direction with low lakebed conductance.....	13
5. Map showing water table contours and estimated shallow ground-water flow direction with high lakebed conductance	14

TABLES

1. Mean monthly temperature, precipitation and snowfall for the combined periods, 1922-33 and 1935-87, Fort Yukon Alaska	5
2. Peak discharges of the Yukon River near Fort Yukon for various recurrence intervals	8

CONVERSION FACTORS, VERTICAL DATUM, AND ABBREVIATIONS

Multiply	By	To obtain
millimeter (mm)	0.03937	inch
meter (m)	3.281	foot
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile
square kilometer (km^2)	0.3861	square mile
liter per second (L/s)	15.85	gallon per minute
liter per day (L/d)	0.2642	gallon per day
cubic meter per second (m^3/s)	35.31	cubic foot per second
degree Celsius ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	$^{\circ}\text{F} = 1.8 \times ^{\circ}\text{C} + 32$	degree Fahrenheit ($^{\circ}\text{F}$)

Sea level:

In this report "sea level" refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

Other abbreviation used in this report:

mg/L, milligram per liter

Overview of Environmental and Hydrogeologic Conditions Fort Yukon, Alaska

By Allan S. Nakanishi and Joseph M. Dorava

Abstract

The village of Fort Yukon along the Yukon River in east-central Alaska has long cold winters and short summers. The Federal Aviation Administration operates airway support facilities in Fort Yukon and is evaluating the severity of environmental contamination and options for remediation of such contamination at their facilities. Fort Yukon is located on the flood plain of the Yukon River and obtains its drinking water from a shallow aquifer located in the thick alluvium underlying the village. Surface spills and disposal of hazardous materials combined with annual flooding of the Yukon River may affect the quality of the ground water. Alternative drinking-water sources are available from local surface-water bodies or from presently unidentified confined aquifers.

INTRODUCTION

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) owns and (or) operates airway support and navigational facilities throughout Alaska. At many of these sites, fuels and potentially hazardous materials such as solvents, polychlorinated biphenyls, and pesticides may have been used and (or) disposed of. To determine if environmentally hazardous materials have been spilled or disposed of at the sites, the FAA is conducting environmental studies mandated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA or "Superfund Act") and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). To complete these environmental studies, the FAA requires information on the hydrology and geology of areas surrounding the sites. This report is the product of a compilation, review, and summary of existing hydrologic and geologic data by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the FAA, and provides such information for the FAA facility and nearby areas at Fort Yukon, Alaska. Also presented in this report is a description of the history, socioeconomics, and physical setting of the Fort Yukon area.

BACKGROUND

Location

Fort Yukon is located in the eastern interior of Alaska near latitude $66^{\circ}34'10''$ N. and longitude $145^{\circ}14'52''$ W. Fort Yukon (fig. 1) lies within the Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge at the confluence of the Yukon and Porcupine Rivers, 13 km north of the Arctic Circle, and approximately 380 km northeast of the city of Fairbanks. Fort Yukon is the largest community in the area, and its airport, a 1,770 m gravel airstrip north of the village, is used by all area residents for year-round transportation.




Figure 1. Location of Fort Yukon.

History and Socioeconomics

Fort Yukon is the center of the territory that is the historical home of the Gwich'in Athabaskan Indian people. The village of Fort Yukon was founded in 1847 as an outpost by Canadians in what was then Russian territory. It later became an important trade center for the Indians (Darbyshire and Associates, 1990). The growth of the non-Native population in the region has been motivated by the abundance of natural resources such as, trapping, mineral exploration, and mining (Alaska Transportation Consultants, 1983).

Between 1950 and 1980, the Fort Yukon population varied from 446 to 701 persons (Darbyshire and Associates, 1990). According to U.S. Bureau of Census, the 1990 population was 580, of which approximately 85 percent were American Indian or Eskimo (Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs, 1993). In August 1943, the Fort Yukon Airport was established (Alaska Transportation Consultants, 1983) and in 1954 the Fort Yukon Air Force Station (AFS) was established (Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1989). The Fort Yukon AFS is still in existence, although activity has been reduced to a minimal level (Alaska Transportation Consultants, 1983). Fort Yukon was incorporated as a second-class city in 1959 and provides municipal services including public safety and fire protection, public works, water, and museum services. The only municipally owned utility is the water service. Fort Yukon is accessible only by air and water transportation. Most goods and people are transported by air, while river barges and boats provide additional service during the summer months. Barges are limited to a few trips each season when the Yukon River is free of ice (Darbyshire and Associates, 1990).

The Fort Yukon economy is highly dependent on village, state, and Federal agencies and Native corporation employment, but the community has a higher proportion of private sector employment than most villages in the region (Darbyshire and Associates, 1990). Fort Yukon residents have strong cultural traditions which place a high value on subsisting from the land.

The FAA facilities at Fort Yukon include navigational aids and living quarters concentrated around the airport (fig. 2). The FAA facilities are described in detail in a report by Ecology and Environment (1992) and are maintained by personnel stationed in Fairbanks.

PHYSICAL SETTING

Climate

The continental climate of Fort Yukon is characterized by low precipitation, low cloudiness, low humidity, large diurnal and annual temperature variations, and light surface winds (Hartman and Johnson (1984). Freezing of the Yukon River typically occurs in October and break-up occurs in mid-May (Fountain, 1984; Fountain and Vaughn, 1984). The mean annual temperature is -6.4 °C but temperatures range from a July mean maximum of 22.3 °C to a January mean minimum of about -33.1 °C. Mean annual precipitation is about 168 mm; approximately 1,070 mm of snow falls annually (Leslie, 1989). Most rainfall occurs in July and August. Mean monthly temperature, precipitation, and snowfall data are summarized in table 1.




Figure 2. Village of Fort Yukon and location of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) facilities (modified from Ecology and Environment Inc., 1992).

Table 1. Mean monthly temperature, precipitation, and snowfall for the combined periods 1922-33 and 1935-87, Fort Yukon
 [Modified from Leslie (1989); °C, degree Celsius; mm, millimeter]

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Annual
Temperature (°C)													
Mean maximum	-23.4	-20.3	-10.1	1.2	13.2	21.3	22.3	18.7	10.3	-2.4	-16.2	-23.9	-0.8
	(Record maximum 36.1°C, July 1929)												
Mean minimum	-33.1	-31.5	-24.3	-13.3	-0.1	8.8	10.4	6.9	0.0	-10.4	-24.7	-32.7	-12.0
	(Record minimum -57.2 °C, December 1935)												
Mean	-28.1	-25.9	-17.2	-6.1	6.6	15.1	16.4	12.8	5.2	-6.4	-20.4	-28.3	-6.4
Precipitation (mm of moisture)													
Snowfall (mm)	170.2	144.8	114.3	55.9	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.2	182.9	182.9	154.9	1069.3

Vegetation

Viereck and Little, (1972) describe the vegetation north of the Arctic Circle near Fort Yukon as consisting of closed spruce-hardwood along the rivers and widespread open, low-growing spruce. Closed spruce-hardwood forests consist of white and black spruce, paper birch, aspen, and balsam poplar located on moderate to well-drained sites. Open, low-growing spruce forests consist primarily of black spruce with sporadic stands of paper birch, and willows with some locally interspersed treeless bogs.

Near the Fort Yukon airport, the land cover is generally treeless to the northeast and southwest. The small stands of black spruce that are visible on aerial photographs taken in springtime are concentrated along the shoreline of Hospital Lake and the banks of the Yukon River.

Bedrock Geology

The nearest outcrops of bedrock are in the mountains and hills surrounding the Yukon Flats area, which is a broad lowland encompassing the large bend in the Yukon River near Fort Yukon. The Yukon Flats area drains the Porcupine Plateau to the northeast, the Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands to the northwest, and the Yukon-Tanana Upland to the south (Wahrhaftig, 1965). A well-exposed belt of metamorphic rocks lies to the north of the Yukon Flats. It consists of quartz-biotite schist containing some small, localized outcrops of coarse, crystalline limestone. The schist is cut by granite porphyry and gneissoid porphyritic rocks of granitic and dioritic composition. The age of the metamorphic rocks is early Paleozoic or possibly Precambrian (Williams, 1962; Wahrhaftig, 1965).

The bedrock of the marginal upland and parts of the surrounding highland is a complex group of sedimentary, volcanic, and associated intrusive igneous rocks. Shale, chert, quartzite, and minor amounts of crystalline limestone, chlorite schist, and schistose conglomerate are present. These rocks are intruded by dikes and sills, and small bodies of igneous rocks that range from gabbro, diorite, and diabase to quartz diorite and granite (Williams, 1962). Continental sedimentary rocks occur in the highland regions that border the Yukon Flats district, and occur locally in the marginal upland bordering the Yukon Flats. The deposits consist of folded soft shale and lignitic coal (Williams, 1962).

The village of Fort Yukon is situated near the center of the Yukon Flats area on thick alluvial deposits overlying bedrock. The depth to bedrock and its thickness are currently unknown: at Fort Yukon a well was drilled to a depth of 134 m without reaching bedrock (Williams, 1962). In mid-June 1994, a USGS global change drilling project bored to a depth of more than 380 m at Fort Yukon and found no bedrock (Thomas A. Ager, USGS, oral commun., 1994). Preliminary drill logs from this project do not indicate the depth of the aquifer or the depth to bedrock.

Surficial Geology and Soils

Early studies done on the surficial geology and soils of Yukon Flats area include a reconnaissance level engineering geology study by Weber and Péwé (1961), a reconnaissance level geology study by Williams (1962), a soil temperature investigation by the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (1962), and a statewide soil classification by Rieger and others (1979).

The Yukon Flats, where Fort Yukon is situated, consists of marshy lake-dotted flats rising from 90 m in elevation on the west to elevations ranging from 180 to 270 m on the north and east. Cliff-forming silt and gravel-covered marginal terraces rise 45 to 180 m in height above the flats and slope gradually upward to elevations of about 450 m, where they merge with the base of surrounding uplands and mountains. The marginal terraces are capped with gravel on which rests a layer of wind-borne silt.

According to Williams (1962), flood-plain and low terrace alluvium consists of well-stratified layers and lenses of coarse to fine well-sorted gravel and minor amounts of sand and silt, mantled by as much as 8 m of well-stratified layers and lenses of silt, sand and organic matter. The thickness of alluvium at Fort Yukon is about 30 m.

Eolian sand deposits consist of massive well-sorted homogeneous sand and silty sand ranging from 2 to 20 m in thickness (Williams, 1962). Permafrost is generally present with sporadic ground-ice masses.

Alluvial-fan and related terrace deposits consist of well-stratified layers and lenses of well-sorted coarse to fine-grained gravel containing minor amounts of sand and silt and a few layers or lenses of organic material. This alluvium is predominantly pebble to boulder gravel deposited by the Yukon River and its larger tributaries. Gravelly deposits are mantled by silt, sand, and organic material as thick as 8 m (Williams, 1962). The total thickness of this deposit is not known, but is estimated to exceed 30 m.

According to Ferrians (1965), Fort Yukon lies within a region of discontinuous permafrost. However, because the region is so far north and near the border of the continuous permafrost zone, permafrost probably underlies most of the area. Exceptions are under rivers, recently abandoned meander belts, and large thaw lakes. A U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (1962) study of ground temperatures at Fort Yukon showed that the maximum seasonal depth of thaw was about 2.4 m, below which the temperature remained below freezing. Ground-ice masses form wedges 0.5 to 1 m thick in a polygonal network and are found in local areas where the silt mantle is greater than 2.5 m thick (Williams, 1962).

HYDROLOGY

Surface Water

The Yukon Flats area is drained by the Yukon River, which is Alaska's largest river and the fifth largest river in North America in terms of drainage area and runoff (Feulner and others, 1971). The Porcupine River enters the Yukon River less than 3 km downstream from the airport (fig. 1). Most other tributaries to these rivers drain surrounding uplands and mountains, and have meandering courses through the flats. Thaw lakes are abundant throughout the flats and are common on the marginal terraces. Runoff rates are very low and the chemical quality of surface waters is generally good (Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1989).

Surface-water bodies within a 4-km radius of Fort Yukon include the Yukon River, Porcupine River, Hospital Lake, Yllota Slough, and Laura Lake (fig. 1). Hospital Lake to the northwest of the runway is used as a float plane base and for recreational boating, fishing and waterfowl hunting. The lake is directly connected to the Yukon River by a 0.7 km long outlet.

Floods

Most of the Fort Yukon townsite is subject to flooding except the southeastern part, called "Crow Town," which sits on a low ridge (fig. 1). Flooding usually results from ice jams along the Yukon River in the vicinity of Fort Yukon or on the Porcupine River near its mouth. Flooding caused by rainfall has not been recorded in recent history (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1992).

Food frequency for rainfall floods on the Yukon River near Fort Yukon can be obtained using a graph of discharge to drainage area for the Yukon River developed by Jones and Fahl (1994, fig. 10). Downstream from the mouth of the Porcupine River, the Yukon River has a drainage area of about 471,900 km² and upstream from the mouth of the Porcupine River, the drainage area is about 326,800 km². For these two sites, the discharge is given for various recurrence intervals in table 2. The flood frequency curves of Jones and Fahl apply only to floods generated from rain and snowmelt runoff and are not applicable to ice-jam floods, the primary source of flooding at Fort Yukon.

Table 2. Peak discharges of the Yukon River near Fort Yukon for various recurrence intervals

[Discharge is in cubic meters per second]

Site location relative to mouth of Porcupine River	Recurrence interval						
	2 years	5 years	10 years	25 years	50 years	100 years	500 years
Upstream	8,900	11,000	12,500	14,400	15,900	17,400	21,200
Downstream	12,600	15,000	16,700	18,700	20,700	22,400	26,500

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1992) reported that the discharge value for the 100-year flood is approximately 20 percent higher than that computed using that of Jones and Fahl (1994). The Corps of Engineers, however, used a less rigorous evaluation of flood frequency that computed a more conservative estimate of discharge used in their evaluation of flood protection measures. The difference in discharge values is reduced to about 12 percent when a comparison is made between the 10-year floods.

Ice-Jam Floods

Historically, flooding within the village of Fort Yukon occurs in mid-May during the break-up of the Yukon River. Flood hazards for Fort Yukon are considered high by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1993). Approximately 100 houses are within the 100-year flood zone. The airport has a flood hazard rating of very high. The primary cause of flooding at Fort Yukon is backwater from ice jams. Previous major floods occurred in 1949, 1972, 1982, and 1989. In 1949, flood waters were over 2 m deep on Main Street (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1993).

Flooding at Fort Yukon not only damages structures and roads, but also causes contaminants on the surface of the land to mobilize and move into inadequately sealed wells. Even for wells that are effectively sealed, flood water may move contaminants into previously uncontaminated surfaces, where the contaminants can then infiltrate into the aquifer.

Ice-jam flooding occurs when river ice broken during spring thawing is transported downstream and its downstream movement is blocked in locations where a constriction, a sandbar, or other obstruction such as a sharp meander bend exists (Beltaos, 1990). The blockage prevents ice movement and restricts water flow as the ice jam builds in thickness and length. This subsequently slows the water velocity and produces a rise in water level or backwater effect that propagates upstream from the ice jam. When the ice jam releases, a flood wave propagates downstream.

The inhabitants of Fort Yukon relocated to higher ground, Crow Town, because of extensive flooding of the original townsite in the first half of this century (Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1980). The most damaging flood in recent times occurred in 1949 as a result of ice jams on the Porcupine River (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1969 and 1992). About one-third of the buildings in the village were destroyed, and the remaining two-thirds of the buildings were severely damaged by the crushing effect of the ice rafts carried into the village by floodwaters (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1992). Erosion caused by the swiftly moving water and scouring effects of the ice can cause considerable damage to structures bordering the riverbanks (Alaska Transportation Consultants, 1983).

An evaluation of flood frequency and stage probabilities includes a peak annual stage frequency curve that can be used to determine river stage for both ice-jam and open-water floods (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1992, fig. 2). Their flood frequency curves include the effects of ice-jam floods and indicate that a 100-year-flood resulting from an ice jam would have a stage of about 132.6 m, which is more than 1.5 m higher than an open-water 100-year-flood. This relation of increased stage for ice-jam floods relative to open-water floods is similar for all recurrence intervals but not always with the same difference. For example, a 10-year ice-jam flood would have a stage about 1 m higher than a 10-year rainfall flood.

Flood Protection Measures

Several flood-protection measures were built, attempted, or designed at Fort Yukon: a slough closure dike was built in 1967, ice sanding or dusting was done in 1968 and 1969 to speed ice melt, and a ring-dike was designed in 1992 to protect the village.

In 1967, the State of Alaska completed a slough closure dike to alleviate an erosion problem along the south side of village (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1969, 1987, 1993; and Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1980). The planned protective life of the project was 5 years, enough time for river bars to form and divert flow from the slough to the main channel. (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1969, 1992)

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1969) conducted a research project called "Operation Dusty" in an attempt to increase ice-melt rates and reduce the frequency of ice jams in historical jam areas by using large-scale river ice-dusting operations. The dusting operation involved the aerial spraying or dusting of the river ice with a thin layer of dark sand which would then increase the ice-melt rate by increasing the solar absorption of the ice. A strip of ice was dusted in April 1968 and again in April 1969 on the Yukon River near Fort Yukon as a measure to prevent the development of an ice jam. No serious ice jam or flooding problems occurred in either 1968 or 1969.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1992) described a design for a floodwater protection dike for the village of Fort Yukon. Hydrologic studies determined that an earthfill ring levee, 2,210 m in length and 2 to 2.5 m in height, could be constructed to protect two-thirds of the village from the 100-year-flood. The levee would tie into the higher ground at Crow Town, on the southeastern side of the village. It was determined that the levee would provide protection against the 100-year-flood at a benefit/cost ratio of 1.3 and a capital cost of about \$4.25 million.

Ground Water

Ground-water recharge to the Fort Yukon area occurs from precipitation, infiltration, and normal ground-water movement from areas near the slopes of the surrounding highlands. Ground-water discharge takes place into local surface-water streams and sloughs which drain into the Yukon River. Flow paths for ground-water movement are influenced by impermeable lenses or layers of permafrost acting as a barrier to horizontal and vertical movement of the ground water. The areawide variability in the presence of permafrost accounts for the local occurrence of sub-, intra-, and supra-permafrost ground water. Previous studies done on the subject of ground water and permafrost include reports by Cederstrom and others (1953), Hopkins and others (1955), and Williams and Waller (1963).

Alluvium is probably unfrozen beneath the bed of the Yukon River throughout its course in Alaska. Most of the wells in villages on the Yukon River from Canada to the Bering Sea are along the riverbank where the warming effect of the river affects the thickness of frozen ground (Smith, 1986). Water levels, where observed in these wells, fluctuate with the stage of the river (Williams, 1970). In general, ground water flows toward the Yukon River and then northwestward in the direction of the flow of the river (Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1989; Ecology and Environment, 1992). The depth to water from the ground surface is approximately 3 to 3.5 m, based on drill log data for the Fort Yukon municipal well and on data from a site contamination study by Woodward-Clyde Consultants (1989).

Ground-Water and Surface-Water Interaction

Adjacent to the river, shallow ground-water can flow into and out of the riverbanks depending on the elevation of water in the river relative to the water table. Seasonally, the Yukon River at Fort Yukon fluctuates from a maximum discharge in late May or early June to a minimum flow in late April or early May. The discharge of the Yukon River at Fort Yukon is probably similar to the reported discharge at a USGS gaging station on the Yukon River at Rampart (fig. 3) (U.S. Geological Survey, 1957-76). The Rampart gaging station, 250 km downstream from Fort Yukon, has a drainage area of about 516,400 km², which is 9 percent larger than that at Fort Yukon. The river also rises during late-summer rainstorms. The water table generally rises and falls in response to these river fluctuations. The water table fluctuations, however, are attenuated with distance from the river. This flow of water into and out of the aquifer in response to changing stage of the river is termed "bank storage effects" (Linsley and others, 1982). Bank storage effects have not been studied at Fort Yukon. Because the airport facilities and village utilities are adjacent to the river, bank storage effects could have a significant impact on ground-water flow in the Fort Yukon area.




Figure 3. Mean discharge for the Yukon River at Rampart, water years 1956-66.

Continuous records of water-table elevation are not available for Fort Yukon. A further study of seasonal changes in water table and river stage would be required to determine the extent of ground-water/surface-water interaction at Fort Yukon. The variations in river stage at Fort Yukon will generally follow the pattern of the discharge hydrograph for the Yukon River at Rampart (fig. 3).

Simulation of Ground-Water Movement

A mathematical ground-water model approximates the directions and rates of water movement through an aquifer system. Partial-differential equations thought to represent the physical processes of ground-water flow are solved by the model and require that the hydraulic properties and boundaries be defined for the modeled area. The aquifer system was overlain by a grid, which was extended in the third dimension to form blocks or "cells." The cells form rows, columns, and layers. Each cell in the model grid represents a block of permeable material within which the hydraulic properties are assumed to be uniform. Any specific cell may be referenced by citing its row, column, and layer location. The limits of the modeled area were selected to include or nearly coincide with natural flow boundaries. The "boundary surface" of the flow region corresponds to identifiable hydrogeologic features at which some characteristic of ground-water flow can be described. For the conceptual model, these features could be a drainage divide, river bank, or other similar feature, and may be natural or artificially induced (such as a pumped well). In cases where there are no apparent natural flow boundaries, such as in an open flood plain, the model grid was extended far enough away from the area of study so the error created from the artificial boundary is minimized.

Ground-water flow in the Fort Yukon area was simulated using a computer program MODFLOW (McDonald and Harbaugh, 1988), as a simple steady-state conceptual model. Under steady-state conditions, the recharge to the system is equal to the discharge from the system: no water is derived from storage and there is no change in head with time. Output from MODFLOW was graphically presented using METAZ, a contouring program specifically designed for MODFLOW and developed by S.A. Leake and R.T. Hanson (U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1993). The conceptual model requires that the hydraulic head at the aquifer boundaries is known, all recharge and discharge is assumed to occur at the river, flow is horizontal, and the aquifer materials are homogeneous and isotropic. The data, assumptions, justifications, and data sources used in the model packages are summarized in appendix 1. An example output file of the model is shown in appendix 2. The purpose of undergoing a mathematical ground-water simulation was to identify hydrologic features that may have a significant influence on the ground-water flow direction in the Fort Yukon area. Two ground-water flow simulations were used to identify features having the greatest influence on ground-water flow direction.

The westward-sloping surfaces of the Yukon and Porcupine Rivers are important factors in establishing the general westward direction of ground-water flow. The water table is strongly influenced by the surface-water gradients of the rivers, which were measured by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1981). The hydraulic continuity of the alluvium away from the river is expected to have a profound influence on the directions of ground-water flow. Little information however, is available on the presence of permafrost in the area, north and east of the village. If the permafrost in the area is discontinuous, the unconsolidated alluvium will behave like an aquifer. If it is continuous, the unconsolidated alluvium will act as a confining layer. The ground-water model assumes that permafrost is not a barrier to ground-water flow. Although permafrost has a significant influence on ground-water flow, continuous permafrost was not assumed in the model because the existing data on subsurface conditions at Fort Yukon are inadequate to define the presence and continuity of permafrost. A ground-water model that assumes continuous permafrost conditions would resemble a narrow "strip-aquifer" along the riverbanks with ground-water flow that is parallel to the flow of the rivers.

Both simulations of ground-water movement indicate that shallow ground water flows to the northwest towards Hospital Lake and the lake outlet. Ground water infiltrates upward through the lakebed and eventually flows through the Hospital Lake outlet and into the Yukon River. The aquifer transmits water to Hospital Lake at a rate which is dependent on the vertical hydraulic conductance of the lakebed. Higher lakebed conductance increases the quantity of water transmitted from the aquifer to Hospital Lake, resulting in an increase of the influence of the lake on ground-water flow direction.

Calibration of the ground-water model was not attempted. Calibration is a procedure where differences between observed and simulated head values are minimized so that the model will replicate the behavior of aquifer(s) during steady-state conditions. Because specific information on aquifer characteristics for the site was limited and the available data are confined to a small area relative to the model grid, meaningful model calibration is not possible.

Two model simulations, each using different assumptions of the conductance of the lakebed, illustrate the importance of the hydraulic connection between Hospital Lake and the aquifer: the effects of an assumed lower value of lakebed conductance (fig. 4) and those of a higher conductance (fig. 5). Without field data, shallow ground-water flow directions can not be ascertained exactly, but can only be described generally on the basis of assumed boundary conditions.




Figure 4. Simulated water-table contours and estimated flow direction of shallow ground water with low lakebed conductance in the Fort Yukon area.




Figure 5. Simulated water-table contours and estimated flow direction of shallow ground water with high lakebed conductance in the Fort Yukon area.

DRINKING WATER

Present Drinking-Water Supplies

The village of Fort Yukon operates and maintains public sewage collection and water distribution systems, which service nearly all area residents. The water obtained by Fort Yukon for its drinking-water supply comes from shallow wells. Two shallow wells, drilled to a depth of approximately 10 m into unfrozen alluvium along the riverbanks, receive water mainly by infiltration from the Yukon River (Ecology and Environment, 1992; appendix 3). These wells are located at the southeast end of the village near the riverbank. Water from the wells is piped about 70 m to a pumphouse through an above-ground, insulated utilidor. The water is chemically treated, filtered, and stored in above-ground steel storage tanks. Most of the houses in Fort Yukon are connected to a piped water distribution system that has about 200 service connections. Houses not connected to the village water system haul water from a central watering point, such as the washeteria in the Fort Yukon Community Center (Darbyshire and Associates, 1990). The Fort Yukon AFS is not on the village water system and obtains its water supply from a single shallow well drilled to a depth of 11.5 m (Ecology and Environment, 1992).

Water-use withdrawals were estimated for Fort Yukon using the 1990 population of 580. The village water system supplied an estimated 120 L/d per person for domestic and commercial users (Solley and others, 1993). This compares with an average water use per person of 1,950 L/d estimated for all uses for the entire State of Alaska in 1990.

Quality of Present Supplies

Records on the water quality in the Fort Yukon area are available from the U.S. Public Health Service for the periods 1975-80 (appendix 3), from the USGS for the periods 1968-73 (appendix 4) and from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation for the periods 1980-94 (appendix 5). Analyses of untreated water samples taken from the village drinking water supply had an average hardness as CaCO_3 of 147 mg/L and an average iron content of 2.3 mg/L. Hardness may create scale in plumbing and boilers but is of little health concern. The iron content is higher than the 0.30 mg/L secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) regulations set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1993) for drinking water, but does not prohibit this water from being used for drinking.

Alternative Drinking-Water Sources

The FAA requested information on alternative drinking-water sources that could be used if the present drinking-water source became contaminated. The aquifer system at Fort Yukon has not been mapped in sufficient detail to define individual aquifers and confining layers. It is possible that a permafrost confining layer is sufficiently impermeable to protect deep ground water from contamination by the shallow aquifer. Uncertainty about the area-wide permeability of the permafrost prohibits the conclusion that subpermafrost water is an alternative supply to the shallow aquifer.

The Yukon River is Fort Yukon's greatest alternative water source, whether water is obtained from it indirectly through infiltration wells or directly by intake systems at the riverbanks. Infiltration wells use the riverbank itself to filter out much of the sediment in the river water. Water taken directly from the Yukon River would require a filtering system for sediment removal. A direct intake system is also susceptible to damage from bank erosion and moving ice masses.

Another possible source of water could be from local lakes such as Hospital Lake. The lake does not completely freeze in the winter and could be a viable source of water. Other surface-water bodies in the Fort Yukon area are not considered reasonable alternatives to ground-water as a drinking water source because of their distance to the population or their inadequate size. Many sloughs and lakes near Fort Yukon are too shallow and freeze completely in the winter.

Quality of Alternative Sources

The chemical quality of the surface-water bodies in the area is good. Summer river flows, which tend to be much greater than winter flows, commonly contain high concentrations of sediments. These fine-grained sediments must be removed by a water-treatment system if these sources are used for drinking water (Smith, 1986).

Lakes may be a good source of water depending on the size of the lake and the severity of the climate (Smith, 1986). For small, shallow lakes during the winter, impurities such as salts and dissolved organics concentrate in the water as ice is formed. Water that may be potable in the summer may exceed drinking-water standards in the winter. A report by Alaska Transportation Consultants (1983) suggested that Hospital Lake may be contaminated from air-fuel spills from float-planes and seepage from septic waste. However, water-quality data for Hospital Lake are not available.

In 1980, the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation sampled water from the Yukon River at Fort Yukon (appendix 3). Analysis of this sample showed that the water quality was within Federal drinking-water regulations. A greater amount of water-quality information is available for the Yukon River at Rampart. The Rampart water-quality data, however, were typically obtained from samples collected during the open-water period from late March to late September, and do not include samples for the winter period. Iron concentration at Rampart is typically below the 0.30 mg/L SMCL (appendix 6). Hardness as CaCO_3 ranged from a low of 62 mg/L to a high of 162 mg/L and averages about 114 mg/L. Sediment concentrations had a maximum of 420 mg/L and minimum of 2 mg/L and averaged about 182 mg/L.

SUMMARY

Fort Yukon serves as the transportation, government, and commercial center for the Yukon Flats region. Its remote location makes it dependent on the airport or the river for transportation. The subsistence lifestyle of the Native residents makes them dependent upon a sustainable environment. Frequent ice-jam flooding is hazardous to residents and their property, and high water from flooding may move surface contaminants into previously uncontaminated areas or directly into inadequately sealed wells. Fort Yukon currently obtains its water supply from shallow infiltration wells located near the banks of the Yukon River. A deep subpermafrost well may be an alternative water supply, but no definition of the extent of existing aquifers is currently available. Surface-water bodies, such as Hospital Lake or the Yukon River, are available alternative drinking-water sources if acquisition, distribution, and treatment systems are developed.

REFERENCES CITED

- Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs, 1993, Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs database, Fort Yukon, Alaska: Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs, Research and Analysis Section, Municipal and Regional Assistance Division, Juneau, Alaska, 11 p.
- Alaska Transportation Consultants, Inc., 1983, Airport development & land use plans, Fort Yukon Airport: Anchorage, Alaska, variously paged.
- Beltaos, Spyridon, 1990, Breakup jams, *in* Ryan, R.L., and Crissman, R.D., eds., Cold regions hydrology and hydraulics: American Society of Civil Engineers Monograph, p. 485-510.
- Cederstrom, D.J., Johnston, P.M., Subitzky, S., 1953, Occurrence and development of ground-water in permafrost regions: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 275, 30 p.
- Darbyshire and Associates, 1990, Fort Yukon: Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs Community Map--Fort Yukon, 1 sheet.
- Ecology and Environment, Inc., 1992, Environmental compliance investigation report, Fort Yukon FAA station Fort Yukon, Alaska: Anchorage [Draft report available from Federal Aviation Administration, Alaskan Region], variously paged.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1980, Flood insurance study, Fort Yukon, Alaska: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, 13 p.
- Ferrians, O.J. Jr., 1965, Permafrost map of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Map Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-445, 1 sheet (reprinted 1981).
- Feulner, A.J., Childers, J.M., and Norman, V.W., 1971, Water resources of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 1971, 60 p.
- Fountain, A.G., 1984, Yukon River breakup--The 82-year record: *The Northern Engineer*, v. 16, no. 1. p. 20-24.
- Fountain, A.G., and Vaughn, B.H., 1984, Yukon River ice--Freeze up data (1883-1975): U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-601, 51 p.
- Hartman, C.W., and Johnson, P.R., 1984, Environmental atlas of Alaska: University of Alaska Fairbanks, Institute of Water Resources/Engineering Experiment Station, 95 p.
- Hopkins, D.M., Karlstrom, T.N.V., and others, 1955, Permafrost and ground water in Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 264-F, p. 113-146.
- Jones, S.H., and Fahl, C.B., 1994, Magnitude and frequency of floods in Alaska and conterminous basins of Canada: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 93-4179, 122 p.
- Leslie, L.D., 1989, Alaska climate summaries (2d ed.): University of Alaska Anchorage, Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, Alaska Climate Center Technical Note 5.
- Linsley, R.K., Kohler, M.A., and Paulhus, J.L., 1982, Hydrology for engineers (3d ed.): New York, McGraw Hill Book Company, 508 p.
- McDonald M.G., and Harbaugh, A.W., 1988, A modular three-dimensional finite-difference ground-water flow model: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 6, chap. A1, 576 p.
- Rieger, Samuel, Schoephorster, D.B., and Furbush, C.E., 1979, Exploratory soil survey of Alaska: Soil Conservation Service report, 213 p.
- Smith, D.W., 1986, Cold climate utilities manual: Canadian Society for Civil Engineering, variously paged.
- Solley, W.B., Pierce, R.R., and Perlman, H.A., 1993, Estimated use of water in the United States in 1990: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1081, 76 p.
- U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, 1962, Ground temperature observations--Fort Yukon, Alaska: U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Technical Report 100, 14 p.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1969, Yukon River flood control, Fort Yukon, Alaska: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Copy No. 23, 20 p.
- _____, 1987, Interim water resources study, Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers, Alaska: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, 104 p.
- _____, 1992, Section 205 reconnaissance report for flood damage reduction, Fort Yukon, Alaska: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, 28 p.
- _____, 1993, Alaskan communities flood hazard data: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, 335 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1993, Drinking water regulations and health advisories: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency report, 11 p.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1957-62, Quantity and quality of surface waters of Alaska. Annual reports as follows: water years 1954-56, Water-Supply Paper 1486; water year 1957, Water-Supply Paper 1500; water year 1958, Water-Supply Paper 1570; water year 1959, Water-Supply Paper 1640; and water year 1960, Water-Supply Paper 1720.
- _____, 1971, Surface-water supply of the United States, 1961-65, Part 15, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1936.
- _____, 1976, Surface-water supply of the United States, 1966-70, Part 15, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2136.
- Viereck, L.A., and Little, E.L. Jr., 1972, Alaska trees and shrubs: U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 410, 265 p.
- Wahrhaftig, Clyde, 1965, Physiographic divisions of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 482, 52 p.
- Weber, F.R., and Péwé, T.L., 1961, Engineering geology problems in the Yukon-Porcupine Lowland, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 424-D, p. D-371 to D-373.
- Williams, J.R., 1962, Geologic reconnaissance of the Yukon-Flats District, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1111-H, p. 289-331, 1 map sheet.
- _____, 1970, Ground water in permafrost regions of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 696, 83 p.
- Williams, J.R., and Waller, R.M., 1963, Occurrence of ground water in the permafrost regions of Alaska: Purdue University, Indiana, International Conference on Permafrost, 19 p.
- Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1989, Installation restoration program, Phase II--Confirmation/quantification, Stage 1, Campion, Fort Yukon, Galena, Indian Mountain, Murphy Dome, Cold Bay, Sparrerohn Air Force Stations, Alaska [final report]: Anchorage, Alaska, variously paged.

APPENDIX 1

Data, assumptions, justifications, and data sources used in the MODFLOW packages

Ground Water at Fort Yukon, Alaska - Modflow Notes

BAS Package

Packages Used: BAS, BCF, OC, PCG2, RCH, RIV

Single-layer, Steady state model

Grid size: 70 columns x 80 rows

IBOUND: All cells west of river cells set at no-flow (0)

 all cells at column 70 set at constant head (-1)

 all others set at variable head (1)

Anisotropy: 1.00

BCF Package

Layer thickness: 200 ft (230 ft above MSL)

DELR: 528 ft (0.1 mile)

DELС: 528 ft

Hydraulic Conductivity (K) along Rows and Columns: 80 ft/day

RCH Package

Net annual recharge (recharge minus evapotranspiration): 0.2300E-03 ft/day (1 inch/year)

RIV Package

Number of River Reaches (cells): 210

Yukon River

Slope: 0.00032, obtained from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) (1981).

Lowest River Stage: 420 ft, estimated from USGS Fort Yukon C-3 1:63,360 map (r34, c11).

Profile extrapolated above and below the original COE (1981) study area

River Conductance: 5.600E+06 ft²/day (K = 20 ft/day = 7.1E-03 cm/s)

Reach Length (L): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach Width (W): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach riverbed depth (D): 1 ft

Conductivity Equation: (LW/D)K

Bottom elevation is estimated to be 70 ft lower than river stage height

Porcupine River

Slope: 0.00017, obtained from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) (1981).

Lowest River Stage: 420 ft, estimated from 1" to mile USGS topo, (r34, c11).

Profile was extended by extrapolating the slope above and below COE (1981) study area

River Conductance: 5.600E+06 ft²/day (K = 20 ft/day = 7.1E-03 cm/s)

Reach Length (L): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach Width (W): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach riverbed depth (D): 1 ft, estimated

Conductivity Equation: (LW/D)K

Bottom elevation is estimated to be 40 ft lower than river stage height

Yllata Slough

Slope: 0.00032, obtained from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1981).

River Conductance: $1.800E+06 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ ($K = 20 \text{ ft/day} = 7.1E-03 \text{ cm/s}$)

Reach Length (L): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach Width (W): 175 ft, estimated from air photos and USGS Fort Yukon C-3 1:63,360

Reach riverbed depth (D): 1 ft, estimated

Conductivity Equation: (LW/D)K

Bottom elevation is estimated to be 15 ft lower than river stage height

Northwest Slough

Slope: 0.00017, obtained from FEMA Report by the Corps of Engineers (1981).

River Conductance: $5.600E+06 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ ($K = 20 \text{ ft/day} = 7.1E-03 \text{ cm/s}$)

Reach Length (L): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach Width (W): 175 ft, estimated from air photos and USGS Fort Yukon C-3 1:63,360

Reach riverbed depth (D): 1 ft, estimated

Conductivity Equation: (LW/D)K

Bottom elevation is estimated to be 15 ft lower than river stage height

Hospital Lake

Slope: 0.00

Stage: 421.2 ft, estimated from river stage at drainage point.

Conductance:

model run #1: $5.600E+04 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ ($K = 0.20 \text{ ft/day} = 7.1E-05 \text{ cm/s}$)

model run #2: $5.600E+05 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ ($K = 2.00 \text{ ft/day} = 7.1E-04 \text{ cm/s}$)

Reach Length (L): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach Width (W): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach riverbed depth (D): 1 ft, estimated

Conductivity Equation: (LW/D)K

Bottom elevation is estimated to be 25 ft lower than stage height

Hospital Lake Drainage

Slope: 0.00

Lowest River Stage: 421.2 ft, estimated from river stage at drainage point.

Profile extrapolated based on slope above and below the original COE (1981) study area

River Conductance: $5.600E+06 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ ($K = 20 \text{ ft/day} = 7.1E-03 \text{ cm/s}$)

Reach Length (L): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach Width (W): 528 ft, based on unit cell size

Reach riverbed depth (D): 1 ft, estimated

Conductivity Equation: (LW/D)K

Bottom elevation is estimated to be 15 ft lower than river stage height

APPENDIX 2

Example output file of the U.S. Geological Survey

Modular Finite-Difference Ground-Water Model

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY MODULAR FINITE-DIFFERENCE GROUND-WATER MODEL

TWO DIMENSIONAL MODEL OF GROUND-WATER FLOW AT FORT YUKON
 1 LAYERS 80 ROWS 70 COLUMNS
 1 STRESS PERIOD(S) IN SIMULATION
 MODEL TIME UNIT IS DAYS

1 layer, 80 rows, 70 columns, 0.1 mile grid

I/O UNITS:

ELEMENT OF IUNIT: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
 I/O UNIT: 31 0 0 34 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 42 39 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

BAS1 -- BASIC MODEL PACKAGE, VERSION 1, 9/1/87 INPUT READ FROM UNIT 5
 ARRAYS RHS AND BUFF WILL SHARE MEMORY.

START HEAD WILL BE SAVED

50554 ELEMENTS IN X ARRAY ARE USED BY BAS
 50554 ELEMENTS OF X ARRAY USED OUT OF 350000

BCF2 -- BLOCK-CENTERED FLOW PACKAGE, VERSION 2, 7/1/91 INPUT READ FROM UNIT 31
 STEADY-STATE SIMULATION

CELL-BY-CELL FLOWS WILL BE RECORDED ON UNIT 21
 HEAD AT CELLS THAT CONVERT TO DRY= 0.00000E+00

WETTING CAPABILITY IS NOT ACTIVE

LAYER AQUIFER TYPE

1 1
 11201 ELEMENTS IN X ARRAY ARE USED BY BCF
 61755 ELEMENTS OF X ARRAY USED OUT OF 350000

RIV1 -- RIVER PACKAGE, VERSION 1, 9/1/87 INPUT READ FROM UNIT 34
 MAXIMUM OF 210 RIVER NODES

CELL-BY-CELL FLOWS WILL BE RECORDED ON UNIT 21
 1260 ELEMENTS IN X ARRAY ARE USED FOR RIVERS
 63015 ELEMENTS OF X ARRAY USED OUT OF 350000

PCG2 -- CONJUGATE GRADIENT SOLUTION PACKAGE, VERSION 2, 5/1/88

MAXIMUM OF 50 CALLS OF SOLUTION ROUTINE

MAXIMUM OF 10 INTERNAL ITERATIONS PER CALL TO SOLUTION ROUTINE

MATRIX PRECONDITIONING TYPE : 1

26400 ELEMENTS IN X ARRAY ARE USED BY PCG
 89415 ELEMENTS OF X ARRAY USED OUT OF 350000

TWO DIMENSIONAL MODEL OF GROUND-WATER FLOW AT FORT YUKON

1 layer, 80 rows, 70 columns, 0.1 mile grid

BOUNDARY ARRAY FOR LAYER 1 WILL BE READ ON UNIT 61 USING FORMAT: (70I2)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	-1
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	-1
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	-1
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1

AQUIFER HEAD WILL BE SET TO 999.00 AT ALL NO-FLOW NODES (IBOUND=0).

INITIAL HEAD FOR LAYER 1 WILL BE READ ON UNIT 64 USING FORMAT: (15F6.1)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70

1	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
80	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0
	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0	430.0

HEAD PRINT FORMAT IS FORMAT NUMBER 3 DRAWDOWN PRINT FORMAT IS FORMAT NUMBER 3

HEADS WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 20 DRAWDOWNS WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 0

OUTPUT CONTROL IS SPECIFIED EVERY TIME STEP

COLUMN TO ROW ANISOTROPY = 1.000000

DELR WILL BE READ ON UNIT 31 USING FORMAT: (10F5.0) 3

528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00

DELC WILL BE READ ON UNIT 31 USING FORMAT: (10F5.0) 3

528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00
528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00	528.00

HYD. COND. ALONG ROWS = 80.00000 FOR LAYER 1

BOTTOM = -80.00000 FOR LAYER 1

SOLUTION BY THE CONJUGATE-GRADIENT METHOD

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CALLS TO PCG ROUTINE =	50
MAXIMUM ITERATIONS PER CALL TO PCG =	10
MATRIX PRECONDITIONING TYPE =	1
RELAXATION FACTOR (ONLY USED WITH PRECOND. TYPE 1) =	0.10000E+01
PARAMETER OF POLYNOMIAL PRECOND. = 2 (2) OR IS CALCULATED :	0
READ CHANGE CRITERION FOR CLOSURE =	0.10000E-01
RESIDUAL CHANGE CRITERION FOR CLOSURE =	0.10000E-01
PCG HEAD AND RESIDUAL CHANGE PRINTOUT INTERVAL =	1
PRINTING FROM SOLVER IS LIMITED(1) OR SUPPRESSED (>1) =	0

STRESS PERIOD NO. 1, LENGTH = 1.000000

NUMBER OF TIME STEPS = 1
 MULTIPLIER FOR DELT = 1.000
 INITIAL TIME STEP SIZE = 1.000000

210 RIVER REACHES

LAYER	ROW	COL	STAGE	CONDUCTANCE	BOTTOM ELEVATION	RIVER REACH
1	1	68	426.6	0.5600E+07	386.6	1
1	2	67	426.6	0.5600E+07	386.6	2
1	2	66	426.5	0.5600E+07	386.5	3
1	3	65	426.4	0.5600E+07	386.4	4
1	3	64	426.3	0.5600E+07	386.3	5
1	4	63	426.2	0.5600E+07	386.2	6
1	5	62	426.1	0.5600E+07	386.1	7
1	5	61	426.0	0.5600E+07	386.0	8
1	5	60	425.9	0.5600E+07	385.9	9
1	5	59	425.8	0.5600E+07	385.8	10
1	6	58	425.7	0.5600E+07	385.7	11
1	7	57	425.7	0.5600E+07	385.7	12
1	7	56	425.6	0.5600E+07	385.6	13
1	8	55	425.5	0.5600E+07	385.5	14
1	8	54	425.4	0.5600E+07	385.4	15
1	8	53	425.3	0.5600E+07	385.3	16
1	9	52	425.2	0.5600E+07	385.2	17
1	9	51	425.1	0.5600E+07	385.1	18
1	9	50	425.0	0.5600E+07	385.0	19
1	9	49	424.9	0.5600E+07	384.9	20
1	9	48	424.8	0.5600E+07	384.8	21
1	9	47	424.8	0.5600E+07	384.8	22
1	9	46	424.7	0.5600E+07	384.7	23
1	9	45	424.6	0.5600E+07	384.6	24
1	9	44	424.5	0.5600E+07	384.5	25
1	9	43	424.4	0.5600E+07	384.4	26
1	9	42	424.3	0.5600E+07	384.3	27
1	10	41	424.2	0.5600E+07	384.2	28
1	11	40	424.1	0.5600E+07	384.1	29
1	12	39	424.0	0.5600E+07	384.0	30
1	13	38	423.9	0.5600E+07	383.9	31
1	14	38	423.9	0.5600E+07	383.9	32
1	15	37	423.8	0.5600E+07	383.8	33
1	16	37	423.7	0.5600E+07	383.7	34
1	17	36	423.6	0.5600E+07	383.6	35
1	18	36	423.5	0.5600E+07	383.5	36
1	19	36	423.4	0.5600E+07	383.4	37
1	20	35	423.3	0.5600E+07	383.3	38
1	21	34	423.2	0.5600E+07	383.2	39
1	22	33	423.1	0.5600E+07	383.1	40
1	23	32	423.1	0.5600E+07	383.1	41
1	23	31	423.0	0.5600E+07	383.0	42
1	24	30	422.9	0.5600E+07	382.9	43
1	24	29	422.8	0.5600E+07	382.8	44
1	24	28	422.7	0.5600E+07	382.7	45
1	24	27	422.6	0.5600E+07	382.6	46
1	24	26	422.5	0.5600E+07	382.5	47
1	24	25	422.4	0.5600E+07	382.4	48
1	24	24	422.3	0.5600E+07	382.3	49
1	24	23	422.2	0.5600E+07	382.2	50
1	23	22	422.2	0.5600E+07	382.2	51
1	23	21	422.1	0.5600E+07	382.1	52
1	23	20	422.0	0.5600E+07	382.0	53
1	24	19	421.9	0.5600E+07	381.9	54
1	24	18	421.8	0.5600E+07	381.8	55
1	23	17	421.7	0.5600E+07	381.7	56
1	23	16	421.6	0.5600E+07	381.6	57
1	23	15	421.5	0.5600E+07	381.5	58
1	22	14	421.4	0.5600E+07	381.4	59
1	22	13	421.3	0.5600E+07	381.3	60
1	22	12	421.3	0.5600E+07	381.3	61
1	22	11	421.2	0.5600E+07	381.2	62
1	22	10	421.1	0.5600E+07	381.1	63
1	23	9	421.0	0.5600E+07	381.0	64
1	24	9	420.9	0.5600E+07	380.9	65
1	25	9	420.8	0.5600E+07	380.8	66
1	26	9	420.7	0.5600E+07	380.7	67
1	27	10	420.6	0.5600E+07	380.6	68
1	28	11	420.5	0.5600E+07	380.5	69
1	29	11	420.4	0.5600E+07	380.4	70
1	30	11	420.4	0.5600E+07	380.4	71
1	31	11	420.3	0.5600E+07	380.3	72
1	32	11	420.2	0.5600E+07	380.2	73
1	33	11	420.1	0.5600E+07	380.1	74
1	34	11	420.0	0.5600E+07	380.0	75
1	35	12	420.0	0.5600E+07	350.6	76
1	35	13	420.2	0.5600E+07	350.7	77
1	36	14	420.3	0.5600E+07	350.7	78
1	36	15	420.5	0.5600E+07	350.8	79
1	37	16	420.7	0.5600E+07	350.9	80
1	37	17	420.8	0.5600E+07	350.9	81
1	38	18	421.0	0.5600E+07	351.0	82
1	39	18	421.2	0.5600E+07	351.2	83
1	40	19	421.4	0.5600E+07	351.4	84
1	41	20	421.5	0.5600E+07	351.5	85
1	42	20	421.7	0.5600E+07	351.7	86
1	43	21	421.9	0.5600E+07	351.9	87

1	44	22	422.0	0.5600E+07	352.0	88
1	44	23	422.2	0.5600E+07	352.2	89
1	44	24	422.4	0.5600E+07	352.4	90
1	44	25	422.5	0.5600E+07	352.5	91
1	44	26	422.7	0.5600E+07	352.7	92
1	44	27	422.9	0.5600E+07	352.9	93
1	44	28	423.0	0.5600E+07	353.0	94
1	44	29	423.2	0.5600E+07	353.2	95
1	45	30	423.4	0.5600E+07	353.4	96
1	46	30	423.5	0.5600E+07	353.5	97
1	47	31	423.7	0.5600E+07	353.7	98
1	48	31	423.9	0.5600E+07	353.9	99
1	49	32	424.1	0.5600E+07	354.1	100
1	50	33	424.2	0.5600E+07	354.2	101
1	50	34	424.4	0.5600E+07	354.4	102
1	50	35	424.6	0.5600E+07	354.6	103
1	51	35	424.7	0.5600E+07	354.7	104
1	52	35	424.9	0.5600E+07	354.9	105
1	53	36	425.1	0.5600E+07	355.1	106
1	54	37	425.2	0.5600E+07	355.2	107
1	55	38	425.4	0.5600E+07	355.4	108
1	55	39	425.6	0.5600E+07	355.6	109
1	56	40	425.7	0.5600E+07	355.7	110
1	57	40	425.9	0.5600E+07	355.9	111
1	58	41	426.1	0.5600E+07	356.1	112
1	60	41	426.3	0.5600E+07	356.3	113
1	61	41	426.4	0.5600E+07	356.4	114
1	62	42	426.6	0.5600E+07	356.6	115
1	63	43	426.8	0.5600E+07	356.8	116
1	64	44	426.9	0.5600E+07	356.9	117
1	65	45	427.1	0.5600E+07	357.1	118
1	65	46	427.3	0.5600E+07	357.3	119
1	65	47	427.4	0.5600E+07	357.4	120
1	66	48	427.6	0.5600E+07	357.6	121
1	66	49	427.8	0.5600E+07	357.8	122
1	67	50	427.9	0.5600E+07	357.9	123
1	68	50	428.1	0.5600E+07	358.1	124
1	69	50	428.3	0.5600E+07	358.3	125
1	70	49	428.4	0.5600E+07	358.4	126
1	71	48	428.6	0.5600E+07	358.6	127
1	72	47	428.8	0.5600E+07	358.8	128
1	73	47	429.0	0.5600E+07	359.0	129
1	74	48	429.1	0.5600E+07	359.1	130
1	75	48	429.3	0.5600E+07	359.3	131
1	76	49	429.5	0.5600E+07	359.5	132
1	77	49	429.6	0.5600E+07	359.6	133
1	78	50	429.8	0.5600E+07	359.8	134
1	79	50	430.0	0.5600E+07	360.0	135
1	80	51	430.1	0.5600E+07	360.1	136
1	59	42	427.1	0.1800E+07	412.1	137
1	58	42	426.9	0.1800E+07	411.9	138
1	57	42	426.8	0.1800E+07	411.8	139
1	56	42	426.6	0.1800E+07	411.6	140
1	55	42	426.4	0.1800E+07	411.4	141
1	54	42	426.3	0.1800E+07	411.3	142
1	53	42	426.1	0.1800E+07	411.1	143
1	52	41	425.9	0.1800E+07	410.9	144
1	51	41	425.7	0.1800E+07	410.7	145
1	40	41	425.6	0.1800E+07	410.6	146
1	49	41	425.4	0.1800E+07	410.4	147
1	48	40	425.2	0.1800E+07	410.2	148
1	47	39	425.1	0.1800E+07	410.1	149
1	46	38	424.9	0.1800E+07	409.9	150
1	46	37	424.7	0.1800E+07	409.7	151
1	45	36	424.6	0.1800E+07	409.6	152
1	45	35	424.4	0.1800E+07	409.4	153
1	45	34	424.2	0.1800E+07	409.2	154
1	44	33	424.1	0.1800E+07	409.1	155
1	44	32	423.9	0.1800E+07	408.9	156
1	44	31	423.7	0.1800E+07	408.7	157
1	44	30	423.5	0.1800E+07	408.5	158
1	27	26	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	159
1	28	27	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	160
1	29	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	161
1	29	25	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	162
1	29	27	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	163
1	29	28	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	164
1	30	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	165
1	30	26	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	166
1	30	27	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	167
1	30	28	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	168
1	30	29	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	169
1	31	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	170
1	31	28	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	171
1	31	29	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	172
1	31	30	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	173
1	32	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	174
1	32	30	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	175
1	33	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	176
1	33	30	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	177
1	33	31	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	178
1	34	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	179
1	34	23	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	180
1	34	30	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	181
1	35	22	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	182.
1	35	23	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	183
1	35	29	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	184
1	35	30	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	185
1	36	23	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	186

1	36	24	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	187
1	36	25	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	188
1	36	26	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	189
1	36	27	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	190
1	36	28	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	191
1	36	29	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	192
1	37	25	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	193
1	37	25	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	194
1	37	25	421.2	0.5600E+05	396.2	195
1	35	19	421.2	0.2800E+05	406.2	196
1	36	19	421.2	0.2800E+05	406.2	197
1	36	20	421.2	0.2800E+05	406.2	198
1	36	21	421.2	0.2800E+05	406.2	199
1	36	22	421.2	0.2800E+05	406.2	200
1	37	18	421.2	0.2800E+05	406.2	201
1	24	15	420.4	0.1800E+07	405.4	202
1	25	15	420.4	0.1800E+07	405.4	203
1	26	16	420.4	0.1800E+07	405.4	204
1	27	16	420.3	0.1800E+07	405.3	205
1	28	16	420.3	0.1800E+07	405.3	206
1	29	15	420.3	0.1800E+07	405.3	207
1	30	14	420.2	0.1800E+07	405.2	208
1	30	13	420.2	0.1800E+07	405.2	209
1	30	12	420.1	0.1800E+07	405.1	210

5 CALLS TO PCG ROUTINE FOR TIME STEP 1 IN STRESS PERIOD 1
33 TOTAL ITERATIONS

MAXIMUM HEAD CHANGE FOR EACH ITERATION:

HEAD CHANGE	LAYER,ROW,COL						
-9.998	(1, 34, 11)	0.7831	(1, 79, 64)	0.2435	(1, 52, 56)	0.1387	(1, 42, 58)
0.5073E-01	(1, 37, 62)	-0.2774E-01	(1, 24, 47)	-0.1529E-01	(1, 80, 66)	-0.9997E-02	(1, 63, 56)
0.4784E-02	(1, 64, 58)	-0.2537E-02	(1, 34, 63)	0.7308E-02	(1, 41, 52)	0.5761E-02	(1, 35, 55)
0.2310E-02	(1, 22, 58)	-0.1002E-02	(1, 47, 59)	-0.6764E-03	(1, 31, 61)	-0.2269E-03	(1, 22, 64)
-0.1532E-03	(1, 17, 65)	-0.8548E-04	(1, 15, 65)	-0.4560E-04	(1, 12, 66)	0.2145E-04	(1, 76, 61)
-0.8398E-05	(1, 35, 58)	-0.6224E-05	(1, 27, 58)	-0.3689E-05	(1, 20, 62)	-0.2370E-05	(1, 11, 65)
-0.1501E-05	(1, 36, 52)	-0.7764E-06	(1, 16, 64)	-0.3898E-06	(1, 20, 62)	0.1645E-06	(1, 10, 66)
-0.1722E-06	(1, 32, 52)	-0.6593E-07	(1, 49, 59)	0.4485E-07	(1, 30, 59)	0.4747E-07	(1, 36, 57)
-0.1534E-07	(1, 39, 59)						

MAXIMUM RESIDUAL FOR EACH ITERATION:

RESIDUAL	LAYER,ROW,COL	RESIDUAL	LAYER,ROW,COL	RESIDUAL	LAYER,ROW,COL	RESIDUAL	LAYER,ROW,COL
0.2121E+05	(1, 40, 41)	9400.	(1, 40, 41)	-5311.	(1, 2, 69)	3429.	(1, 23, 15)
2207.	(1, 24, 18)	1460.	(1, 24, 18)	881.4	(1, 24, 18)	518.2	(1, 24, 18)
293.5	(1, 24, 18)	174.8	(1, 24, 18)	982.7	(1, 24, 15)	560.9	(1, 24, 15)
330.5	(1, 24, 15)	164.7	(1, 24, 15)	76.87	(1, 24, 15)	41.97	(1, 24, 15)
23.80	(1, 24, 15)	13.28	(1, 24, 15)	6.353	(1, 24, 15)	2.991	(1, 24, 15)
2.569	(1, 24, 15)	1.661	(1, 24, 15)	0.9411	(1, 24, 15)	0.5373	(1, 24, 15)
0.3130	(1, 24, 15)	0.1519	(1, 24, 15)	0.7781E-01	(1, 24, 15)	0.4247E-01	(1, 24, 15)
0.2141E-01	(1, 24, 15)	0.1247E-01	(1, 24, 15)	0.1021E-01	(1, 24, 15)	0.6238E-02	(1, 24, 15)
0.5090E-02	(1, 24, 15)						

HEAD/DRAWDOWN PRINTOUT FLAG = 1 TOTAL BUDGET PRINTOUT FLAG = 0 CELL-BY-CELL FLOW TERM FLAG = 21

OUTPUT FLAGS FOR ALL LAYERS ARE THE SAME:

HEAD DRAWDOWN HEAD DRAWDOWN
PRINTOUT PRINTOUT SAVE SAVE

1 0 1 0
CONSTANT HEAD" BUDGET VALUES WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 21 AT END OF TIME STEP 1, STRESS PERIOD 1
FLOW RIGHT FACE" BUDGET VALUES WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 21 AT END OF TIME STEP 1, STRESS PERIOD 1
FLOW FRONT FACE" BUDGET VALUES WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 21 AT END OF TIME STEP 1, STRESS PERIOD 1
RIVER LEAKAGE" BUDGET VALUES WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 21 AT END OF TIME STEP 1, STRESS PERIOD 1

HEAD IN LAYER 1 AT END OF TIME STEP 1 IN STRESS PERIOD 1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70					
1	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
2	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
3	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0
	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0	999.0

HEAD WILL BE SAVED ON UNIT 20 AT END OF TIME STEP 1. STRESS PERIOD 1

VOLUMETRIC BUDGET FOR ENTIRE MODEL AT END OF TIME STEP 1 IN STRESS PERIOD 1

CUMULATIVE VOLUMES	L**3	RATES FOR THIS TIME STEP	L**3/T
<hr/>			
IN:		IN:	
<hr/>			
STORAGE =	0.00000E+00	STORAGE =	0.00000E+00
CONSTANT HEAD =	0.76188E+06	CONSTANT HEAD =	0.76188E+06
RIVER LEAKAGE =	0.65119E+06	RIVER LEAKAGE =	0.65119E+06
TOTAL IN =	0.14131E+07	TOTAL IN =	0.14131E+07
OUT:		OUT:	
<hr/>			
STORAGE =	0.00000E+00	STORAGE =	0.00000E+00
CONSTANT HEAD =	0.00000E+00	CONSTANT HEAD =	0.00000E+00
RIVER LEAKAGE =	0.14132E+07	RIVER LEAKAGE =	0.14132E+07
TOTAL OUT =	0.14132E+07	TOTAL OUT =	0.14132E+07
IN - OUT =	-133.38	IN - OUT =	-133.38
PERCENT DISCREPANCY =	-0.01	PERCENT DISCREPANCY =	-0.01

TIME SUMMARY AT END OF TIME STEP 1 IN STRESS PERIOD 1		SECONDS	MINUTES	HOURS	DAYS	YEARS
TIME STEP LENGTH		86400.0	1440.00	24.0000	1.00000	0.273785E-02
STRESS PERIOD TIME		86400.0	1440.00	24.0000	1.00000	0.273785E-02
TOTAL SIMULATION TIME		86400.0	1440.00	24.0000	1.00000	0.273785E-02

APPENDIX 3

Selected well drillers' logs, aquifer test data,
and ground-water quality data for Fort Yukon, Alaska
from U.S. Public Health Service village files

SUBSURFACE SOIL INVESTIGATION
FOR
U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
FT. YUKON, ALASKA



CONSULTANTS, INC.

ANCHORAGE
FAIRBANKS
JUNEAU

249 EAST 51ST AVENUE • P.O. BOX 6087 • ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503 • TELEPHONE 907-279-0483 • TELEX 090-35419

March 31, 1976

R & M No. 612508

Mr. Charles P. Cummiskey
U. S. Public Health Service
P. O. Box 7-741
Anchorage, Alaska 99510

Re: Soil Investigation: Sites 2, 3, and 4, Sewer Lagoon Location
Public Law 86-721 Project, Ft. Yukon, Alaska

Dear Mr. Cummiskey:

We are submitting herewith four copies of the results of test borings drilled at the subject sites. The work has been performed in accordance with your letter of March 4, 1976. Your reference number for the project was given as A-PC (243-76-0168).

Also included with this letter are two location diagrams which present the locations of the test holes.

Should you have any questions with regard to the enclosed information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James W. Rooney".

James W. Rooney
Vice President

JWR/DM/tk

Enclosures

HILL STREET

T.H.2
T.H.1

SITE 2

EAST FIRST AVENUE

R.O.W. (Typ.)



EAST THIRD AVENUE

R.O.W. (Typ.)

T.H.5 T.H.3
 T.H.4


SITE 3

NOTE: ALL TEST HOLE LOCATIONS WERE PLACED
AS SPECIFIED BY U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH
SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE.

J R M
RAM CONSULTANTS, INC.

(43)

BORING LOCATIONS
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA



SITE 4



NOTE: ALL TEST HOLE LOCATIONS WERE PLACED
AS SPECIFIED BY U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH
SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE.



BORING LOCATIONS
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA
(44)

SOILS
CLASSIFICATION, CONSISTENCY AND SYMBOLS

CLASSIFICATION: Identification and classification of the soil is accomplished in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Normally, the grain size distribution determines classification of the soil. The soil is defined according to major and minor constituents with the minor elements serving as modifiers of the major elements. For cohesive soils, the clay becomes the principal noun with the other major soil constituents used as modifier; i.e. silty clay, when the clay particles are such that the clay dominates soil properties. Minor soil constituents may be added to the classification breakdown in accordance with the particle size proportion listed below; i.e. sandy silt w/some gravel, trace clay.

no clay - 0 - 3% trace - 3 - 12% some - 13 - 30%

SOIL CONSISTENCY - CRITERIA: Soil consistency as defined below and determined by normal field and laboratory methods applies only to non-frozen material. For these materials, the influence of such factors as soil structure, i.e. fissure systems, shrinkage cracks, slickensides, etc., must be taken into consideration in making any correlation with the consistency values listed below. In permafrost zones, the consistency and strength of frozen soils may vary significantly and unexplainably with ice content, thermal regime and soil type.

<u>Cohesionless</u>		<u>Cohesive</u>		
	N*(blows/ft)	Relative Density	T - (tsf)	
Loose	0 - 10	0 to 40%	Very Soft	0 - 0.25
Medium Dense	10 - 30	40 to 70%	Soft	0.25 - 0.5
Dense	30 - 60	70 to 90%	Stiff	0.5 - 1.0
Very Dense	- 60	90 to 100%	Firm	1.0 - 2.0

*Standard Penetration "N": Blows per foot of a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches on a 2-inch OD split-spoon except where noted.

DRILLING SYMBOLS

WO: Wash Out	WD: While Drilling
WL: Water Level	BCR: Before Casing Removal
WCI: Wet Cave In	ACR: After Casing Removal
DCI: Dry Cave In	AB: After Boring
WS: While Sampling	TD: Total Depth

Note: Water levels indicated on the boring logs are the levels measured in the boring at the times indicated. In pervious unfrozen soils, the indicated elevations are considered to represent actual ground water conditions. In impervious and frozen soils, accurate determinations of ground water elevations cannot be obtained within a limited period of observation and other evidence on ground water elevations and conditions are required.

STANDARD SYMBOLS

ORGANIC MATERIAL		COBBLES & BOULDERS		IGNEOUS ROCK		SANDY SILT
CLAY		CONGLOMERATE		METAMORPHIC ROCK		SILT GRADING TO SANDY SILT
SILT		SANDSTONE		ICE, MASSIVE		SANDY GRAVEL, SCATTERED COBBLES (ROCK FRAGMENTS)
SAND		MUDSTONE		ICE - SILT		INTERLAYERED SAND & SANDY GRAVEL
GRAVEL		LIMESTONE		ORGANIC SILT		SILTY CLAY w/TR. SAND


SAMPLER TYPE SYMBOLS

t..... 1.4" SPLIT SPOON WITH 47 # HAMMER
 s..... 1.4" SPLIT SPOON WITH 140 # HAMMER
 i..... 2.5" SPLIT SPOON WITH 140 # HAMMER
 h..... 2.5" SPLIT SPOON WITH 340# HAMMER
 x..... 2.0" SPLIT SPOON WITH 140 # HAMMER
 z..... 1.4" SPLIT SPOON WITH 340# HAMMER
 p..... 2.5" SPLIT SPOON, PUSHED
 s..... 1.4" SPLIT SPOON DRIVEN WITH AIR HAMMER
 i..... 2.5" SPLIT SPOON DRIVEN WITH AIR HAMMER

Ts..... SHELBY TUBE
 Tm.... MODIFIED SHELBY TUBE
 Pb.... PITCHER BARREL
 Cs.... CORE BARREL WITH SINGLE TUBE
 Cd.... CORE BARREL WITH DOUBLE TUBE
 Bs.... BULK SAMPLE
 A.... AUGER SAMPLE
 G.... GRAB SAMPLE

OTE: SAMPLER TYPES ARE EITHER NOTED ABOVE THE BORING LOG OR ADJACENT TO IT AT THE RESPECTIVE SAMPLE DEPTH.

TYPICAL BORING LOG



EXPLANATION OF ICE SYMBOLS

Percentage of visible ice has been grouped for the purpose of designating the amount of soil ice content. These groups have arbitrarily been set out as follows:

0%	No Visible Ice
1% - 10%	Little Visible Ice
11% - 20%	Occasional Visible Ice
21% - 35%	Some Visible Ice
>35%	Considerable Visible Ice

The ice description system is based on that presented by K. A. Linell, and C. W. Kaplar (1966). In this system, which is an extension of the Unified Soil Classification System, the amount and physical characteristics of the soil ice are accounted for. The following table is a brief summary of the salient points of their classification system as modified to meet the needs of this study.

ICE DESCRIPTIONS

GROUP SYMBOL	ICE VISIBILITY & CONTENT	SUBGROUP	
		DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL
N	Ice not visible	Poorly bonded or friable	N _f
		Well-bonded ice No excess ice Excess ice	N _b N _{bn} N _{be}
V	Ice visible, <50%	Individual ice crystals or inclusions	V _x
		Ice coatings on particles	V _c
		Random or irregularly oriented ice formations	V _r
		Stratified or distinctly oriented ice formations	V _s
ICE	Ice visible, >50%	Ice with soil inclusions	ICE + soil type
	Individual layer >6" thick *	Ice without soil inclusions	ICE

* In some cases where the soil is ice poor a thin ice layer may be called out by special notation on the log, i.e. 2" ice lens at 7'.


R & M

CONSULTANTS, INC.

EXPLANATION OF ICE SYMBOLS

T.H.
3-18-76


All Samples A



R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(48)




BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(49)

T.H. 3
3-19-76

All Samples A




BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION-SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(50)

T.H. 4
3-19-76

All Samples A




(51)

BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

T.H. 5
3-19-76



All Samples A



BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(52)


T.H. 6
3-20-76



R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA


(53)




BORING LOG
 SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
 PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
 FT. YUKON, ALASKA
 (54)


T.H. 8
3-20-76

All Samples A




BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(55)



BORING LOG
 SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
 PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
 FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(56)




BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(57)

T.H. II
3-21-76

All Samples A




* DRY CAVE-IN OR WET CAVE-IN AT APPROX. 65'
COULD NOT IDENTIFY WATER TABLE
CONDITION DUE TO CAVE-IN



BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION-SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA
(5B)

T.H. 12
3-21-76

All Samples A




BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(59)

T.H. 13
3-21-76

All Samples A




R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA
(60)

T.H. 14
3-21-76

All Samples A

0'




BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(61)

T.H. 15
3-21-76


All Samples A



BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION - SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(62)

T.H. 16
3-21-76



R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

BORING LOG
SOIL INVESTIGATION-SEWER LAGOON LOCATION
PUBLIC LAW 86-121 PROJECT
FT. YUKON, ALASKA

(63)

PROJECT NO. 612508 (616508)
PROJECT NAME Public Health Service
Ft. Yukon PL-86-121

R & **M** CONSULTANTS, INC.

DATE March 25, 1976 PAGE NO. 1

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

NOTE: SIEVE ANALYSIS = PERCENT PASS

REMARKS: _____

Wen-Jen Lin

APPROVED

W.M. - J.E.M. Lin

APPROVED

REMARKS:

NOTE: SIEVE ANALYSIS = PERCENT PASSIA

LAB NO.	BORING NO.	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	1/16"	1/8"	3/16"	1/4"	1/2"	1"	1 1/2"	WET	DRY	DENSITY	DENSITY	MOISTURE	CONTENT	CLASS		
3	1	5-6	10-11								100	95				26.4	7.2		
3	2	5-6	15-16								100	99	89	78	67	44	31	25	5
4	4	20-21	15-16													7.4	12.3		
4	5	25-26	30-31													6.4	7.4		
4	6	30-31	35-36													7.0	7.7		
4	7	35-36	39-40													5.4	6.1		
4	8	39-40	4													28.2	8.4		
5	1	5-6	10-11													28.2	5.4		
5	2	20-21	15-16													6.1	6.3		
6	3	30-31	35-36													7.4	6.4		
6	4	35-36	39-40													7.7	6.3		
7	5	25-26	30-31													10.5	6.1		
7	6	30-31	35-36													7.0	7.4		
7	7	35-36	39-40													7.4	7.7		
8	8	39-40	4													5.4	6.1		

(4)

PROJECT NO. 612508 (616508)	DATE March 25, 1976	PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE	CONSULTANTS, INC.	SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA	PARTY NO. Ft. Yukon PL-36-121	PAGE NO. 2
-----------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------

PROJECT NO. 612508 (616508)
Public Health Service
PROJECT NAME Ft. Yukon PL-86-121

R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

DATE March 25, 1976
PARTY NO. _____ PAGE NO. 3

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

LAB NO.	BORING NO.	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	4	10	40	200	.02	.005	.002	FINE SPG	L.L.	P.I.	WET DENSITY	DRY DENSITY	MOISTURE CONTENT	CLAS
	5	1	5-6																		28.1	
		2	10-11																		9.3	
		3	15-16																		7.3	
		4	20-21																		6.2	
		5	25-26																		6.8	
		6	30-31																		6.9	
		7	35-36																		7.8	
		8	38-39																		7.4	
(69)		7	1	5-6																	20.0	
			2	10-11																	6.8	
			3	15-16																	7.7	
			4	20-21																	7.5	
		8	1	5-6																	26.9	
			2	10-11																	5.9	
			3	15-16																	6.8	
			4	22-23																	9.0	

NOTE: SIEVE ANALYSIS = PERCENT PASS

REMARKS: _____

APPROVED

Wei-Jen Lin

PROJECT NO. 612508 (616508)
 Public Health Service
 PROJECT NAME Ft. Yukon PL-86-121

R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

DATE March 25, 1976

PARTY NO. _____ PAGE NO. 4

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

LAB NO.	BORING NO.	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	4	10	40	200	.02	.005	.002	FINE SPG	L.L.	P.I.	WET DENSITY	DRY DENSITY	MOISTURE CONTENT	CLA
	9	1	5-6																		6.1	
		2	10-11																		7.4	
		3	15-16																		4.8	
		4	20-21																		7.7	
		5	24.5-25.5																		16.5	
(29)	10	1	4.5-5.5						100	99	99	37									19.9	
		2	10-11																		11.9	
		3	15-16	100	98	88	76	61	39	30	26	3									8.4	
		4	20-21																		7.0	
		5	24.5-25.5																		5.5	
	11	1	4.5-5.5																		24.1	
		2	10-11																		13.3	
		3	25-26																		10.1	
	12	1	5-6																		6.8	
		2	10-11																		3.5	
		3	15-16																		7.0	
		4	25-26																		9.1	

NOTE: SIEVE ANALYSIS = PERCENT PAS

REMARKS: _____

APPROVED

Wei-Ten Lin

PROJECT NO. 612508 (616508)
Public Health Service
PROJECT NAME Ft. Yukon PL-86-121

R & M CONSULTANTS, INC.

DATE March 25, 1976
PARTY NO. _____ PAGE NO. 5

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

LAB NO.	BORENG NO.	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	4	10	40	200	.02	.005	.002	FINE SPG	L.L.	P.I.	WET DENSITY	DRY DENSITY	MOISTURE CONTENT	CLASS
	13	1	5-6																		8.8	
(89)		2	10-11		100	97	79	67	44	30	22	6									5.3	
		3	15-16																		8.2	
		4	20-21																		8.3	
		5	25-26																		7.9	
	14	1	5-6																		9.7	
		2	10-11																		16.7	
		3	15-16																		11.2	
		4	20-21																		7.7	
		5	25-26																		7.3	
	15	1	5-6																		4.3	
		2	10-11																		6.7	
		3	15-16																		8.0	
		4	20-21																		7.4	
		5	25-26																		8.5	

NOTE: SIEVE ANALYSIS = PERCENT PASSIN

REMARKS: _____

Wel-Jen Lin

APPROVED

Swan Drilling Co.

4 Mile Steese Hwy.
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701

WELL LOG

STATE SCHOOL PUMP HOUSE
FORT YUKON, ALASKA
1974

8" WELLS

WELL # 1
(Closest to Pump House)

0' - 2' Silt & Sand (frozen)
2' - 9' Silt & Sand
9' - 12' Black Mud
12' - 30' Gravel, Sand & Water

WELL # 2

0' - 2' Silt & Sand (frozen)
2' - 11' Silt & Sand
11' - 29' Gravel, Sand & Water

Water Static Level 13'

Water Temperature 33

Pumps Make 60 GPM each

Pump Test Well #1 & #2 for 1 hour at 60GPM each, Water Static Level remained at 13'

Well #1 & #2 have 15' Johnson's Screen (Stainless Steel) #60 Slot Extended casing 4' 8" above ground level on both Wells

Installed WEBTROL 708TC154-3ph Turbine Pumps

Pumps set 21' from top of casing

Installed 15' Copper Heat Cables down Well #1 & #2
#EZ-CU-15-2

300W 120V 15' 2.5AMPS

2½" Pipe between Wells & Pump House has two (2) separate Heat Cables which are Auto-Trace Self-Limiting.

Fort Yukon School
Contract P-786
DB 131-4-29140

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT FORM

3/15/74

Well Report to: ASST HAMPTON, ADMIN. OFFICER
 OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
 P. O. BOX 7-741
 ANCHORAGE, AK 99510

C 708

MAR 07 1975

NAME OR LOCATION: Fort Yukon Community SystemCOLLECTED BY: John Thomas DATE 2/14/75 HOUR: 5:00 PMWATER SYSTEM

1. Well Type Drilled Depth 250' Gallons per minute 60
2. Surface Water: Temporary Permanent
3. Number of Homes Served: School - Comm. Center - State Office Bld.
4. Treatment: Yes No New or Existing Source Existing

PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS

1. Water Approval for Building Permit. (Column 1)
 2. Routine Analysis. (Column 1 & 2)
 3. Special: Check Specific Items for Analysis (Columns 1,2,3)

COLUMN 1

COLUMN 2

COLUMN 3

Analysis	Limits
Iron (Fe)	0.3
Fluoride (F)	1.5
Chloride (Cl)	250
(PO ₄)	.05 good
Phosphate	30 poor
Total Hardness	50 soft
	300 hard
Temporary Hardness	0
	6.5
pH	8.5
Specific Conductance	

Anal. Limit
Magnesium (Mg)
Calcium (Ca)
Turbidity
Color
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)
Carbonate
Alkalinity
Total Dissolved Solids

Analysis	Limits
Sodium (Na)	200
Potassium (K)	
Sulfate (SO ₄)	250
Sulfite (SO ₃)	5
Nitrate (NO ₃)	10
Suspended Solids	
Arsenic (As)	1.0
Copper (Cu)	1.1
Cyanide (Cn)	1.0
Phenols	1.0
Zinc (Zn)	1.5
Barium (Ba)	1.1
Cadmium (Cd)	0
Lead (Pb)	0
Silver (Ag)	0
Mercury (Hg)	0
Manganese (Mn)	0
Oil	0.0

Read 2/20/75

COMMENTS: Had report of oil taste

Oil could be present but sample was too small - require 1 qt for oil test. 201/4

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Rinse container several times in water source to be sampled.

2. Place cap on sample container firmly.

3. Place sample in carton mailer, and forward to: (70)


Public Health Laboratory

500, Medical Arts Bldg.

Juneau, AK 99801

2/26/75 OP/Sherer

ANCHORAGE
ATLANTA
LOS ANGELES
MONTGOMERY
BILLINGS
BOCA RATON
BOSTON
CHICAGO
CINCINNATI
FLAMINGO
DENVER
FARGO
HONOLULU
WHITE PLAINS



DAMES & MOORE

CONSULTANTS IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND APPLIED SCIENCE INDUSTRIES

WILMINGTON
KNOXVILLE
LAUREL
MANHATTAN
NEW YORK
PHOENIX
PORTLAND
SALT LAKE CITY
SAN FRANCISCO
SANTA BARBARA
SEATTLE
SYRACUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

POST OFFICE BOX 80725 • FAIRBANKS, ALASKA 99701 • (907) 479-2238
CABLE: DAMEMORE TELEP: 1000 35467

June 30, 1976

Office of the Service Unit Director
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Alaska Native Health Center
528 5th Room 210
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701

Attention: Tom Hartrich

Dear Mr. Hartrich:

The two water samples received by Dames & Moore on June 23, 1976 have been completed. The requested parameters and their respective values appear below.

Parameter	Value			
	Untreated Well Water		vs 65	Treated Well Water
pH, pH units	7.7	6.5		7.8
Total Alkalinity, mg/l as CaCO ₃	134	143	136	135
Bicarbonate, mg/l as CaCO ₃	134	143	166	135
Carbonate, mg/l as CaCO ₃	0	0		0
Total Hardness, mg/l as CaCO ₃	147	200	150	147
Calcium, mg/l	43.5	51.6	44	43.5
Magnesium, mg/l	9.3	13.6	9.8	9.3
Iron, mg/l	2.3	2.7	2.2	0.1
Sodium, mg/l	2.7	1.6	2.6	2.7
Manganese, mg/l	0.41		.48	0.41
Chloride, mg/l	2.1	11.0	0.8	3.4
Fluoride, mg/l	0.29		0.1	0.31
Total Phosphate, mg/l PO ₄ -P	0.17		0.08	0.08
Sulfate, mg/l	23.1	46.1	23	23.1
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/l	127	227		93

(71)

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Value</u>	
	Untreated Well Water	Treated Well Water
Σ Cation, me/l	3.15	3.05
Σ Anion, me/l	3.26	3.30

Insufficient untreated sample was provided to allow for an accurate nitrate determination. Tentative nitrate concentrations were determined as 44.7 mg/l NO₃-N for the untreated sample and 21.9 mg/l NO₃-N for the treated sample.

If you have any questions regarding these data, or if we can be of further service to you, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,
Dames & Moore



Frederick L. Smith
Project Manager

FLS/vah

cc: Mercedes Russell

Mail Report to: ARCH HAMMETT, APT#11, OFFICER
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
P.O. BOX 7-741
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99510

$\{i_1, i_2\}$

Date: 03-02-77

Name of Village: FT. YUKON, Alaska 99740

Name of Source: FT-Yuletide Anthology

Sampling Site: Egyptian Community Well

Collected By: John Thomas E.H.T.

-- WATER SOURCE (circle one) C-860

Well, Spring, Lake, River, Creek, Ditch, Slough, Other:

Sample Description: Raw, Treated, Other: (Describe) Direct from well

PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS

1. Water Approval for Building Permit. *Water* (Column 1)
 2. Routine Analysis. *Water* (Columns 1 & 2)
 3. Special: Circle Specific Items for Analysis. (Columns 1, 2, 3)

COLUMN 1

COLUMN 2

COLUMN 3

	Limits	Anal.	Limit	Analysis Limits
Iron (Fe)	0.08	0.3		
Fluoride (F)	0.1	1.5		
Chloride (Cl)	25	250		
(PO ₄)	0.00	.05 good		
Phosphate	0.00	30 poor		
Total Hardness	59	50 soft 300 hard		
Detergents	0	1 0		
pH	6.8	6.5 8.5		
Specific Conductance	141			
Magnesium (Mg)		5.2	125	Sodium (Na)
Calcium (Ca)		14.5	300	Potassium (K)
Turbidity		6	5	Sulfate (SO ₄)
Color		6	15	Sulfite (**SO ₃)
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)		*	25 good 500 poor	Nitrate (NO ₃)
Carbonate		*	350	Suspended Solids
Alkalinity		*	350	Arsenic (As)
Total Dissolved Solids		118	500	Copper (Cu)
				Vanide (Cn)
				Phenols
				Zinc (Zn)
				Barium (Ba)

Rec'd 3/4/77

Comments: Insufficient sample for complete analysis.

3/9/77

3/1/11
121 pages

Instructions:

- 1. Rinse container several times in water source to be sampled.

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT FORM
York County or Tom H. Vanesky Illness Suspect

Mail Report To: Dept Environmental Conservation Explain: Town Water Well
Box 1601 Fairbanks, Alaska
99701

C 615

Name SWAN DRILLING CO INC T. YOUNG, WEL. Collected By SWAN DRILLING CO INC. Date 12-26 Hour Legal Address of Property: Lot V Block U.S. Survey #

WATER SYSTEM

1. Well Type 6" C PILED P Depth 75' Gallons per Minute 10 G.PM
 2. Surface Water: NONE Temporary Permanent
 3. Number of Homes Served 500+
 4. Treatment: Yes No

PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS

1. Water Approval for Building Permit. (Column 1)
 2. Routine Analysis. (Columns 1 and 2)
 3. Special: Check Specific Items for Analysis. (Columns 1, 2 and 3)

(1)	Analysis	Limits
Iron (Fe)	0.00	0.3
Fluoride (F)	0.08	1.5
Chloride (Cl)	4	250
Phosphate (PO ₄)	0.05 good 0.00 poor	
Total Hardness	124	50 soft 300 hard
Detergents	0	0.
pH	7.81	6.5 - 8.5
Specific Conductance	248	

(2)	Analysis	Limits
Magnesium (Mg)	22	125
Calcium (Ca)	33	300
Turbidity	1	5
Color	5	15
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	138	25 good 500 poor
Carbonate	0	350
Alkalinity	113	350
Total Dissolved Solids	168	500

(3)	Analysis	Limits
Sodium (Na)	3	200
Potassium (K)	1	
Sulfate (SO ₄)	22	250
Sulfite *(SO ₃)		5.0
Nitrate (NO ₃)	0.78	10.
Suspended Solids	0.5	
Arsenic (As)	0.00	0.0
Copper (Cu)		1.0
Cyanide (Cn)	out Dated	0.0
Phenols	out Dated	0.0
Zinc (Zn)	insufficient	5.0
Barium (Ba)	sample	1.0
Cadmium (Cd)		0.0
Lead (Pb)		0.0
Silver (Ag)		0.0
Mercury (Hg)		0.0
Manganese (Mn)	0.112	0.0

Rec'd 1/13/75

SANITARIANS COMMENTS:

RECEIVED

FEB 13 1975

6 Dan Gandy & Schubay

6 68 1/21/75

DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSERVATION
NRO

(74)

Instructions on Back

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
DOUGLAS LABORATORY FACILITY

11

VILLAGE SAFE WATER PROGRAM

TO BE COMPLETED BY SAMPLER

TO BE COMPLETED BY SAMPLER					
Sample Source or Location Yukon River		Collected by John Thomas			
Address River water in front of town		Sample Number 19JT80			
Village Fort Yukon	State Ak	Zip Code	Sample Date 4/7/80		

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Ground Water |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untreated Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Treated Water |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine Sample | <input type="checkbox"/> Special Purpose Sample |

Laboratory Analysis Number 80040931	Received by Parry Mothershead	Date 4/9/80
--	----------------------------------	----------------

	Limit	Mg/l			
Arsenic	(0.05)	.			T R
Boron	(1)	0	-	1	1
Cadmium	(0.010)	.			N D
Chromium	(0.05)	.			N D
Iron	(0.3)	0	-	3	6
Lead	(0.05)	.			T R
Manganese	(0.05)	0	-	5	4
Mercury	(0.002)	.			N D
Selenium	(0.01)	.			N D
Silver	(0.05)	.			N D
Sodium	(250)			.	T R
Calcium			5	0	
Magnesium			1	0	2
Potassium			1	.	5

ND=Not Detected

TR=Trace Detected

					Mg/l	T	R
Chloride							
Fluoride	(2.4)					T	R
Nitrate - N	(10.)					N	U
Sulfate					2	8	.
Carbonate Alkalinity					1	2	0
TFR @ 180° C					1	6	6

NTU
PCU
Mantos/cm

pH		7	.	7
Turbidity		1	.	7
Color		1	5	.
Conductivity @ 25° C		2	7	2


Date Analysis Completed 5/7/80	Signature of Laboratory Supervisor <i>J.O. Tullis</i>	Date Reported 5/8/80
-----------------------------------	--	-------------------------

Special Information

Lab # 80040931

Sample # L-17-A
village of Upper Yukon
at Fort Yukon

1. Specify the exact sample location so there is no confusion about where the sample was collected. Include a written description and a map or sketch.



2. Describe the physical appearance of the water including:

Color clear

Taste good

Odor none

Turbidity none

3. Describe the area immediately surrounding the sample site including nearby honey bucket dumps, oil storage areas, landfills, ect.

4. Label each sample to include:

Name of Collector John Thomas

Time and date of collection 04-07-80 800 Am

Date sample mailed 04-07-80

Water temperature _____

Sample depths/distance from shore (for surface sources) _____

Tidal influence (if any) _____

Approximate flow rate _____

Depth of well _____

Pumping rate and drawdown of well _____

pH _____

Raw water

Treated water

Comments taken from the Yukon River at Fort Yukon

APPENDIX 4

Selected well drillers' logs, aquifer test data
and ground-water quality data for Fort Yukon, Alaska
from U.S. Geological Survey village files

DATE: 01/18/94

GROUND WATER SITES WITHIN 10 MILE RADIUS FT. YUKON, AK (Lat 663400 Long 1451600)

PAGE 1a

SITE-ID	LOCAL WELL NUMBER	CONSTRUCTED	ALTITUDE		WATER LEVEL (FEET)	DISCHARGE (GPM)	LOCATION MAP NAME	LOCATION MAP SCALE	TYPE OF LOG AVAILABLE	
			DATE WELL CONSTRUCTED	DEPTH OF WELL (FEET)						SURFACE (FEET)
663337145143201	FA02001217BBCC1001	51574	--	--	--	--	--	--	-	
663332145124701	FA02001217DAAD1	001	01-01-56	42.0	425.00	--	30.00	FORT YUKON C-3	63360	-
						--				-

DATE: 01/18/94 GROUND WATER SITES WITHIN 10 MILE RADIUS FT. YUKON, AK (Lat 663400 Long 1451600) PAGE 1b

LOCAL WELL NUMBER	OWNER	ASSIGNOR OF OTHER IDENTIFIER	OTHER IDENTIFIER
FA02001217BBCC1001 51574	--	--	--
FA02001217DAAD1 001 USAF FT YUKON	--	UNKNOWN	UNCONSOL
	--	FT YUKON AFS	WELL 1
	--	AKRG	50009

AKRG refers to AlasKa ReGister, an inventory number assigned to wells when "Well Schedules" were filed in a paper data base.

DATE: 01/20/94 PAGE

(b) (5)

C001	Site ID (station number)	663332145124701
C002	Type of site	W
C003	Record classification	U
C004	Source agency code	USGS
C006	District code	02
C007	State code	02
C008	County code	250
C009	Latitude	663332
C010	Longitude	1451237
C011	Lat-long accuracy code	T
C012	Local well number	FA02001217DAAD1 001
C013	Land-net location	NENESES17 T020N R012E F
C014	Name of location map	FORT YUKON C-3
C015	Scale of location map	63360
C016	Altitude of land surface	425.00
C017	Method altitude determined	M
C018	Altitude accuracy	25
C019	Topographic setting	U
C020	Hydrologic unit code	19040204
C021	Date well constructed	19560101
C023	Primary use of site	W
C024	Primary use of water	P
C027	Hole depth	42.0
C028	Depth of well	42.0
C040	Date site record last updated	19930803
C303	Date site record created	19860227
C712	Data availability in other Ground Water files	YYYYNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
C802	Station-type codes	NNNNNYN
C803	Agency use of site code	A
C815	Locator sequence number	01
C900	Station name	FA02001217DAAD1 001
C060	Date of construction	1956
C063	Name of contractor	UNKNOWN
C064	Source of construction data	S
C065	Method of construction	D
C066	Type of finish	T
C723	Record number for construction subrecord	1
C754	Record type for CONS subrecord of CONS file	CONS
C755	Last update for CONS subrecord of CONS file	19860314
C059	Parent seq. num. for HOLE subrecord of CONS file	1
C073	Depth to top of this interval	.00
C074	Depth to bottom of this interval	42.00
C075	Diameter of this interval	6.00
C724	Record number for hole subrecord	1
C756	Record type for HOLE subrecord of CONS file	HOLE
C757	Last update for HOLE subrecord of CONS file	19860314
C077	Depth to top of this casing string	.00
C078	Depth to bottom of this casing string	29.00
C079	Diameter of this casing string	6.00
C725	Record number for casing subrecord	1
C758	Record type for CSNG subrecord of CONS file	CSNG
C759	Last update for CSNG subrecord of CONS file	19860314
C901	Parent seq. num. for CSNG subrecord of CONS file	1
C147	Record sequence number	1
C148	Date discharge measured	19670807
C150	Discharge	30.00
C151	Source of discharge data	S
C152	Method discharge measured	R

C702 Last update -disch 19860314
C703 Discharge type P
C159 Date of ownership 1956
C161 Owner USAF FT YUKON
C718 Sequence number for OWNR subrecord of MISC file 1
C768 Record type for OWNR subrecord of MISC file OWNR
C769 Last update for OWNR subrecord of MISC file 19860314
C190 Other identifier UNCONSOL
C191 Assignor of other identifier UNKNOWN
C736 Sequence number for OTID subrecord of MISC file 1
C770 Record type for OTID subrecord of MISC file OTID
C771 Last update for OTID subrecord of MISC file 19860314
C190 Other identifier WELL 1
C191 Assignor of other identifier FT YUKON AFS
C736 Sequence number for OTID subrecord of MISC file 2
C770 Record type for OTID subrecord of MISC file OTID
C771 Last update for OTID subrecord of MISC file 19860314
C190 Other identifier 50009
C191 Assignor of other identifier AKRG
C736 Sequence number for OTID subrecord of MISC file 3
C770 Record type for OTID subrecord of MISC file OTID
C771 Last update for OTID subrecord of MISC file 19860314
C115 Begin year of data collection 1958
C116 End year of data collection 1972
C117 Source agency for network data USGS
C118 Frequency of data collection I
C120 Type of analyses - QW network B
C706 Network data type -miscellaneous QW
C730 Sequence number for SPEC subrecord of MISC file 1
C780 Record type for NETW subrecord of MISC file NETW
C781 Last update for NETW subrecord of MISC file 19860314
C184 Remark-date 19860227
C185 Remarks -misc GRAVEL AQUIFER
C311 Sequence number for RMKS subrecord of MISC file 1
C788 Record type for RMKS subrecord of MISC file RMKS
C789 Last update for RMKS subrecord of MISC file 19860314



IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
A-32 Washington Auditorium
Washington 25, D.C.

October 2, 1957

Mr. Roger Waller
Ground Water Branch
U.S. Geological Survey
Box 259
Anchorage, Alaska

Dear Roger:

While picking through all the little pencilled notes and scraps of paper with tid-bits of information picked up during the summer, I note one that mentions a botanist or some such person running off with the samples collected from the Fort Yukon well drilled by the Alaska District CE. I wonder if you have succeeded in tracing the man's name. I am sorry I didn't see Jim Roy at the meetings, and that I didn't allow time to see him afterwards.

Also sorry to have missed the little get-together you had after the meetings.

Cleo and I left Snowshoe Lake on the 23rd of Sept after a few days of snow, had a good flight out and are getting settled back into our usual rut again. This year we get to see the fall colors twice-- as it is still a balmy 75 or so in D.C. and the foliage is still more or less intact.

I appreciate any lead you can give me as to the identity of the man who made off with the samples from the Fort Yukon well. I believe it is important to try to contact him about getting a split if one remains or if he is a specialist trying to get the desired information as to the age and mode of deposition of this material.

Any help you may need from me in the Copper River or Yukon Flats, just ask-- you may not get much help if you ask where to drill for water, but perhaps my notes would be helpful.

Sincerely,

John R. Williams
Geologist

(B1)

Fort Yukon - ~~water~~
samples

Oct - 1957

Mr. James Roy
Geology Section
Alaska District, Corps of Engineers
Elmendorf A.F.B.
Anchorage, Alaska

Dear Mr. Roy:

Roger Waller of our Ground Water Branch has recently checked with you about the identity of the botanist who collected samples of the DE well at Fort Yukon, Alaska.

In turn, Roger/ has turned the name over to me, and I am enclosing a copy of my letter to him for your information

Thank you very much for your help. I hope we can either recover some samples from this botanist, or perhaps obtain the information we need on the age and depositional environment of the blue silt from the Fort Yukon well.

Sincerely,

John R. Williams
Geologist

Yours, Roger.
Frank

A-32 Washington Auditorium

October 10, 1957

Dr. George Tulloch
Department of Biology
Brooklyn College
Bedford Avenue and Avenue H
Brooklyn 10, N.Y.

Dear Dr. Tulloch:

I have been informed by Mr. James Roy of the Geology Section, Alaska District, Corps of Engineers, that you obtained samples from a water well the Engineers were drilling at Fort Yukon, Alaska, in the fall of 1954.

In 1948-1950 the Geological Survey made a reconnaissance study of the Quaternary deposits of the Yukon Flats, the vast alluvial lowland surrounding Fort Yukon. I am now preparing the final maps and reports. Mr. Roy has kindly supplied the driller's log of this well for use in this report, but cannot furnish any samples of the materials encountered. This well is important (a) because it is the only well in the region that penetrates the surficial alluvial gravel and (b) because it extends to within 20 feet of present-day sea level without encountering hard rocks like those which form the hills surrounding the lowland.

From what we know ~~from~~ from the driller's log, we can only postulate that the deposits of blue silt which lie beneath the alluvial gravel are quiet water deposits, possibly of marine, estuarine, or lacustrine origin. As far as age is concerned, the deposits might range from Quaternary to as far back as late Mesozoic.

It would be of great interest to me to learn whether your examination of these samples has enabled you to determine the environment of deposition (estuarine, marine, lacustrine), and whether there are microfossils that might give a clue as to the age of the blue silt from the Fort Yukon well.

Sincerely yours,

CC: files 2
James Roy
Roger Waller

John R. Williams
Geologist

Fort Yukon

John A. Williams, Military Ecology
Washington, D. C.

October 8, 1957

Roger A. Waller, Ground Water
Anchorage, Alaska

Botanist who st^de the samples!

I just called Jim Hoy and he gave me the name of the man who took the samples at Fort Yukon. He said that the man may not be at this address as it was a couple years ago.

The man was a botanist.

Dr. George Tulloch
Department of Biology
Brooklyn College
Bedford Ave. & Avenue H
Brooklyn 10, N. Y.

I hope your search for the information will be successful. Let me know if I can be of further help.

I envy you and your seeing the fall colors twice. It is my favorite time of the year. We are having beautiful weather now. Nice and nippy every morning.

Thanks for your offer of help-if I may need it-on the Yukon flats or Copper River. I hope I have the opportunity to ask for it.

*Best regards
Roger*

FORT YUKON

The site at Fort Yukon is near the village and east of the air strip. Hospital Lake lies near the location.

1/13/58
The Fort Yukon area is described in professional paper 264-F. Additional information adds to the knowledge of the area, as follows:

A deep hole was drilled about 2½ miles east of the village. Permafrost extended from the surface to 320 feet. Water-bearing silt (?) occurred from 390-425 feet. The material was too fine to permit developing a well. The hole was extended to 440 feet before abandoning. A test pit dug a thousand feet away from the well (near a slough) encountered thawed sand and gravel near the surface. The 20-foot pit produced 6-10 gallons per minute on testing in November and March of the 1954-55 winter. A 30-foot well was constructed near this location in 1955 and has been operating successfully since.

It appears that water might be found at shallow depths near Hospital Lake (an abandoned meander?) or similar bodies of water. Digging or jetting a well under these conditions seems feasible.

The reported 237-foot hole (p. 125 of professional paper 264-F) that encountered bedrock at Fort Yukon seems in error from more recent information, as given above. No additional information is available on this report.

The school at Fort Yukon has a water-intake structure in the channel of the Yukon River. Apparently much difficulty has been encountered in keeping the water from freezing and protecting the structure from flood damage. They are reported to be thinking of trying for shallow-water wells. They are located about 1000 feet from the Hospital Lake also.

USE ANCHORAGE ADDRESS FOR

DIVISION OF AVIATION
GLOVER BLDG., ROOM 202
ANCHORAGE, ALASKADIVISION OF AIR TERMINALS
P.O. BOX 6-243
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

P.O. BOX 1361

JUNEAU, ALASKA

RICHARD A. DOWNING - COMMISSIONER

December 18, 1961

USE JUNEAU ADDRESS FOR

DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

DIVISION OF BUILDINGS ✓

DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS

DIVISION OF WATER & HARBORS

DIVISION OF PROPERTY & SUPPLY

DIVISION OF EQUIPMENT OPERATION

SOUTHEASTERN REGION

Re: Fort Yukon School Well

Mr. Roger Waller
 U. S. Geological Survey
 501 Cordova Building
 555 Cordova Street
 Anchorage, Alaska

Dear Mr. Waller:


This office is interested in the future development of a deep well for the school at Fort Yukon. As you may know, the original school obtained water through an infiltration well; however, when high water in 1959 eroded the bank, the water source was lost. An investigation revealed that there is a shallow well in the area, apparently in an old slough bed, approximately 1500 feet from the school. Sufficient potable water is available from this well; however, there has been a considerable problem of transmitting the water the approximately 1500 feet to the school.

FY-5
or
new school
plan

We are, therefore, interested in exploring the feasibility of a deep well on the school site, especially as related to the future expansion of the school, probably next year. We are unable to find any data indicating a well might be drilled or the probability of water at depth. We have a copy of the U. S. Geological Survey Circular No. 169 entitled "Summary of Ground Water Development in Alaska, 1950"; however, this report does not cover the Fort Yukon area. We would accordingly appreciate being advised of any information that you may have on the availability of water in the Fort Yukon area and also whether or not any subsequent publications have been issued.

Your cooperation will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,


 Leonard Lowell, Director
 Division of Buildings

LL:sew

(86)



UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Central Alaska
IN REPLY REFER TO:
Fort Yukon
Forwarded

Water Resources Division
Room 501, Cordova Building
Anchorage, Alaska

December 29, 1961

Leonard Lowell, Director
Division of Buildings
Department of Public Works
P. O. Box 1361
Juneau, Alaska

Dear Mr. Lowell:

This letter is in answer to yours of December 18 regarding the feasibility of obtaining ground water from a deep well on the school site at Fort Yukon and whether there are any publications on water for that area.

Only one deep well attempt has been made in the Fort Yukon area. The Corps of Engineers drilled a hole at their site east of the village of Fort Yukon. The subsurface conditions found there probably prevail under the school site. Their report shows that ground was frozen to a depth of 390 feet. Water-bearing silty sand was encountered in the interval from 390 to 425 feet. Fifteen feet of non-water-bearing silt was encountered below. They could not develop a well in the water-bearing formation as 85% of the material passed through a 100-mesh screen. The hole was abandoned.

This test does not rule out the possibility of encountering coarser grained material at a similar depth, or one at a greater depth, at the school site. The fact that a water-bearing formation is present is encouraging. An experienced driller with adequate equipment should be able to produce a well from this formation. Sand or gravel packing a well solves similar situations in other parts of the U. S. If coarser material is present, a normal screened well would be adequate.

Shallow dug wells or gallery-type wells, such as was originally constructed and the one evidently supplying the school now, seem to be more practical in that area. However, they have to be constructed near the bank of the river or a side channel with the hazard of flooding and bank erosion. It is believed that the original installation was "doomed" because of removal of the vegetal cover in the area--which

permitted the permafrost to thaw--which permitted the bank to erode faster. Perhaps another undisturbed site upstream (?) a little ways might provide a more economical installation to construct than trying for a deep well. Of course, a successful deep well should be less costly to operate and maintain.

There are no publications on water potential of this area that we are aware of.

Sincerely,

Roger M. Waller
Geologist in Charge
Ground Water Branch



P.L. Yukon
IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Water Resources Division
Room 501, Cordova Building
Anchorage, Alaska

January 15, 1962

Leonard Lowell, Director
Division of Buildings
Department of Public Works
P. O. Box 1361
Juneau, Alaska

Dear Mr. Lowell:

In reference to your letter of January 12 on ground water at Fort Yukon:

Enclosed is a copy of the Corps of Engineers well log as copied from their files by us. They gave us permission to release it to you and stated there is no report, other than the log, which would be useful to you. Mr. Willard Knopps reported the above.

The District and we believe permafrost was not encountered at the 425-foot level. Regardless, the water would not be in a "pocket", because it was not highly mineralized. Generally, all "fresh" ground water can be said to have a source of recharge and a point of discharge with varying slow rates of movement between.

Sincerely,

Roger M. Waller
Geologist in Charge
Ground Water Branch

Enclosure

USE ANCHORAGE ADDRESS FOR

DIVISION OF AVIATION
GLOVER BLDG., ROOM 202
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

DIVISION OF AIR TERMINALS
P.O. BOX 6-243
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

P. O. BOX 1361

JUNEAU, ALASKA

RICHARD A. DOWNING - COMMISSIONER

USE JUNEAU ADDRESS FOR

DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

DIVISION OF BUILDINGS

DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS

DIVISION OF WATER & HARBORS

DIVISION OF PROPERTY & SUPPLY

DIVISION OF EQUIPMENT OPERATION

SOUTHEASTERN REGION

January 12, 1962

Re: Deep Well, Fort Yukon School

Mr. Roger M. Waller
Geologist in Charge, Geological Survey
Ground Water Branch, Water Resources Div.
Room 501, Cordova Building
Anchorage, Alaska

Dear Mr. Waller:

This will acknowledge your informative letter dated December 29 pertaining to the feasibility of the deep well in the Fort Yukon area. We note that the Corps of Engineers drilled a deep well at their site east of the village at Fort Yukon and indicated that the ground was frozen to a depth of 390 feet, with a layer of water-bearing silty sand from 390 to 425 feet.

We are wondering at this time whether or not the ground was frozen below the 425 foot depth indicating perhaps that the water-bearing strata was in a pocket or whether there was any perma frost below this level. We would also appreciate being advised on whom to contact in the Corps of Engineers to determine whether or not a report is available and in particular a copy of the well driller's log.

Any information that you could further furnish will be most helpful.

Very truly yours,


Leonard Lowell, Director
Division of Buildings

LL:ij

cc: Department of Education

State	1 2	County	3 4	Lat.	0 1 11 NS	Long.	0 1 11	18
Seq. No.	19	Date Coll.	20 25	Sampling Depth	26 29	Type ^c	30	
Well Location	Ft. Myers, Florida				Collected by			
Owner	/500000				Appearance	WBF		
Specific Conductance				HCO ₃	Total Alkalinity 50 mEq			
R (KCl)	337	2.22	62 65	as HCO ₃ 166				
R Sample	1102		166	as CaCO ₃ 136				
H	36 38	Temp °F	39 41	as CO ₃ 82				
Silica	547	42 44	54					
Calcium	TH	3.20		SO ₄ 10 mEq	2.40	36 38		
Magnesium	45 49	2.39	02	1.31	.90	!		
Sodium % Na	50 53	9.9		1.15	68 26	39 41		
Potassium	54 58	2.1		0.16	73 78	Iron	Total .67	
	59 61	0.9		Cl 50 mEq	1.16	194	42 (dis) 4	
	!6	02		Data Sourced	79 Card Q Q	Mn	46 45	
				F 10 mEq	26 28	Cu	50 51	
				NO ₃ 2.1	29 32	Pb	53 54	
				1.000	33 35	Zn	55	
				PO ₄		Dissolved Solids	58 Residue 6	
						64 Calc 6	70 71	
						16	18	
						Total	74 75	
						8.50	16	
						3.20	13.6	
						HCO ₃ (0.82)		
						Non-Carb	74 75	
						Color	78 79	
						Card R	21	
						R		
		epm cations	epm anions	% of Error	2.4			

Ind Water Analysis
logical Survey - Anchors 19

Lab No. 1454 68-93

Date _____ County _____ Lat. 0 1 11 NS Long. 0 1 11 18
12 10 11

1. No. 19 Date Coll. 10/12/68 Sampling Depth 26 Type c 30
20 25

11 Location Fort Yukon Surface Collected by WBF
1200 hrs from Tug
20°C Appearance

Specific Conductance

R (KCl) 351

R Sample 1157

31 35
303

36 38 Temp °F 39 41
715

42 44
555

Ca TH 3.02

45 44 49
2.20

Mg 10
1.82

Li % Na

33.5 54 58
1.12

K 38.5 59 61
0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

F_3 Only

GW

ANALYSIS NOTES

Lab. No. 13266

445P115

Source City Well -

Location Ft. Yukon

— $\frac{1}{4}$ — $\frac{1}{4}$ — $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. _____ T _____ R _____ Merid. Field/Office No. _____

Date coll. 1-20-70 Time _____ Well Type _____ Use _____

Coll. by Gladfelter Depth (ft.) _____ Cased to (ft.) _____

Field detns: Temp. (°C) _____ pH _____ Diam. (in.) _____ Date drilled _____

Sp. cond. (μ mhos) _____ Eh _____ Water level (ft.) _____

Appearance _____ Discharge _____

Remarks: W. B. F. _____

Owner _____

Percent Sodium

SAR

Free CO_2

--	--	--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Copper (Cu)



Zinc (Zn)  

Figure 1. A 10x10 grid of squares. The top row contains 10 squares. The bottom row contains 10 squares. The middle row contains 10 squares. The rightmost column contains 10 squares. The leftmost column contains 10 squares.

Checklist ACE

Analyst D. Mylow

Date started 3-2-70 Date completed 3-2-70

Checked by _____ ACF _____

U.S. Public Health Service
Fort Yukon, Alaska 99790

G.W.

ANALYSIS NOTES

Lab. No. 13211-69-241 (VH)

ASPH

Source	Fort Yukon City System	
Location	Fort Yukon	
	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
	sec	T
	R	
	Merid. Field/Office No	
Date coll.	1-9-70	Time 1100 am
Coll. by	John Thomas	
Field detns: Temp. (°C)		
Sp. cond. (μmhos)		
Eh		
Appearance		
Remarks:		
Owner		
Percent Sodium		
SAR		
Free CO ₂		
Copper (Cu)		
Zinc (Zn)		
Analyst	WILLIAM K. SMITH	
Date started	JAN 21 1970	Date completed JAN 23 1970
Checked by	H.H.	ACF

INVENTORY OF RURAL SANITATION SERVICES

JAN / 1979
 Month / Year



Village: FORT YUKON

Population: 714

Class: 2ND

Region: DOYON LTD.

Number of Homes: 147

I. WATER SUPPLY

A. Domestic Water Use

Present Supply: DPW INFILTRATION GALLERY/
 RAPID SAND FILTRATION/ FLOCCULATION
 WATERING POINT/TRUCK DELIVERS TO
 SOME HOUSES/50 GPM FROM TWO WELLS

Adequacy of Present Supply:
 GOOD QUALITY AND QUANTITY DRINKING
 WATER/RAW WATER Fe 2.3 ppm/TDS 127
 ppm/HARDNESS 147 ppm/TREATED WATER
 Fe .1 ppm/HARDNESS 147 ppm/TDS 193 ppm

Planned Improvements in Water Supply: PHS HAS PRELIMINARY PLANNING MONEY BUT
 PROJECT IS STALLED BECAUSE OF EASEMENT PROBLEMS IN VILLAGE

History of Water Supply Projects: 1973 - WATERING POINT CONTAMINATED WITH
 FUEL OIL - 2 NEW WELLS WERE DRILLED

B. Industrial & Institutional Use

Describe User


MILITARY
 RESTAURANTS
 SCHOOL
 CLINIC
 STORES (2)
 OFFICE (2)
 CHURCHES (2)

Present Supply
 OWN SUPPLY/COMMUNITY WATERING
 POINT FOR ALL OTHERS

Adequacy
 SEE ABOVE

II. WASTE DISPOSAL

- A. Solid Waste Disposal Method(s): DUMP ONE MILE OUT OF TOWN/MAINTAINED AND COVERED BY CITY
- B. Domestic Sewage Disposal Method(s): FEW HONEY BUCKETS/MANY PRIVIES
- C. History of Waste Disposal Projects: NO DATA



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER ANALYSIS

2GW

Location Fort Yukon County _____
 Source _____ Depth (ft) _____ Diam (in.) _____
 Cased to (ft) _____ Date drilled _____ Point of coll. _____
 Owner _____
 Treatment _____ Use _____
 WBF _____ WL _____ Yield _____
 Temp (°F) _____ Appear. when coll. _____
 Collected Feb 6, 1973 By _____
 Remarks _____

	mg/l	me xx/1		mg/l	me apx/1
Silica (SiO_2) dissolved	9.2		Bicarbonate (HCO_3)	85	1.39
Aluminum (Al)			Carbonate (CO_3)	0	.00
Iron (Fe) dissolved	.009				
Manganese	.340		Sulfate (SO_4)	140	2.91
			Chloride (Cl)	2.7	.07
			Fluoride (F)	.1	.006
Calcium (Ca)	49	2.44	SAR	.1	
Magnesium (Mg)	13	1.07	Nitrate (NO_3) as N dis	.06	.005
Sodium (Na) Diss	4.3	.18	Phosphate dis ortho	.00	
Potassium (K) Diss	2.5	.06	Sodium percent	5	
			Phos ortho dis as P	.00	
Total	3.76		Total		4.39

	mg/l	Specific conductance (micromhos at 25° C)	417
Dissolved solids: Calculated	263	pH	6.7
Residue on evaporation at 180° C		Color	1
Hardness as CaCO_3	180		
Noncarbonate	110		
Alk as CaCO_3	70		

Lab. No. Col 16439

Field No.

Project USPHS

(97)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
CENTRAL LABORATORY, DENVER, COLORADO

WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS
LAB ID # 212020 RECORD # 24137

SAMPLE LOCATION: FA02001117BCBB001 51574
 STATION ID: 663337145143201 LAT.LONG. SEQ.: 043337 1451432 01
 DATE OF COLLECTION: BEGIN-760622 END--
 STATE CODE: 02 COUNTY CODE: 250 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION: 470200370
 DATA TYPE: 2 SOURCE: GROUND WATER GEOLOGIC UNIT:
 COMMENTS:
 FT YUKON ALASKA MUNICIPAL WELL

MAIL TO FAIRBANKS;
 SCHEDULES USED: 1
 NUMBER OF DETERMINES:
 COST OF ANALYSIS:
 SUBMIT CORRECTIONS:
 WITHIN 15 DAYS
 CENTRAL LAB ID #
 WRD-QW FILE STORAGE
 STATION HEADING
 HEADER FILE WAS

	CATIONS	(MG/L)	ANIONS	(MEQ/L)
CALCIUM DISS	44	2.196	BICARBONATE	2.721
MAGNESIUM DISS	9.8	0.807	CHLORIDE DISS	0.023
POTASSIUM DISS	1.8	0.047	FLUORIDE DISS	0.006
SODIUM DISS	2.6	0.114	SULFATE DISS	0.479
			NO2+N03 AS N D	0.018
ALK, TOT (AS CACO3)	136	MANGANESE DISSOLVED	UG/L	480
ARSENIC DISSOLVED	6	NO2+NO3 AS N DISS	MG/L	0.25
BAKTRIUM DISSOLVED	UG/L	PHOS ORTHO DISS AS P	MG/L	0.08
BICARBONATE	200	PHOSPHATE DISS ORTHO	MG/L	0.25
CADMUM DISSOLVED	166	POTASSIUM DISS	MG/L	1.8
CALCIUM DISS	0	RESIDUE DISS CALC SUM	MG/L	180
CHLORIDE DISS	44	RESIDUE DISS TON/AFT	0.24	0.1
CHROMIUM DISSOLVED	0	SAR		
COLOR	18	SELENIUM DISSOLVED	UG/L	0
COPPER DISSOLVED	UG/L	SILICA DISSOLVED	MG/L	12
FLUORIDE DISS	MG/L	SILVER DISSOLVED	UG/L	0
HARDNESS NONCARB	MG/L	SODIUM DISS	MG/L	2.6
HARDNESS TOTAL	MG/L	SODIUM PERCENT		4
IRON DISSOLVED	UG/L	SP. CONDUCTANCE LAB		301
LEAD DISSOLVED	UG/L	SULFATE DISS	MG/L	23
MAGNESIUM DISS	MG/L	ZINC DISSOLVED	UG/L	240

(98)

UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 19 Field No. 227

Record by Office No.

Source of data *Construction 1948 Drives. N.W. Corner Arctic Subarctic area*

1. Location: State County

Map 122 ft E of CAA Alexander Stn Bldg

$\frac{1}{4}$ sec. T N S R E W

2. Owner: Address

Tenant Address

Driller Address

3. Topography flat - Survey


4. Elevation 417 ft. above
below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 7/11/1946

6. Depth: Rept. 22 ft. Meas. ft.

7. Casing: Diam. 2" in., to type in.,

Depth ft., Finish



8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.

Others

9. Water level ft. rept. 19 above
meas. below

which is ft. above surface
below

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.

Power: Kind Horsepower

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.

Adequacy, permanence

13. Quality Temp °F.

Taste, odor, color Sample Yes

No

Unfit for

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.)

0 - 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ silt loam

1 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 5 loam

5 - 6 silt loam

6 - 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ gravel + sand

7 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 10 sand + gravel

~~10 - 12~~ sand

~~10 - 22~~

frozen ground @ 5.2 feet

UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Fort Yukon

Date 19 Field No. 25

Record by Office No.


Source of data DE 111116 Aug 55 - Deplus

1: Location: State Tolson Sta. County Ft. Yukon
 Map Highway 1 near airport parking area
1/4 sec. T N R E W

2. Owner: U.S.A.C. AddressTenant Tolson Sta. Address Ft. Yukon

Driller Address

3. Topography

4. Elevation ft. above
below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19

6. Depth: Rept. 75 ft. Meas. ft.

7. Casing: Diam. in., to in., Type

Depth ft., Finish

Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.

Others

9. Water level ft. rept. 19 above
meas. below

which is ft. above ft. below surface

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.

Power: Kind Horsepower

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.

Adequacy, permanence

13. Quality Temp °F.

Taste, odor, color Sample Yes No

Unfit for

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) CLSCV

0-1½ ft silty sand & silt
 1½-6 ft Thawed non-frost
 susceptible silty sand
 6-75 ft frozen non-frost. susceptible
 silty sand.

9-186
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date March 18, 1959, 19 Field No. FY-2
Record by P.R.Lord Office No.
Source of data data copied from D.E.files-RW

- 1: Location: State Alaska County Central
Map Fort Yukon (C-3)
____ N sec. T _____ N R. _____ E W
2. Owner: U.S. Army (D.E.) Address Fort Yukon
Tenant _____ Address 2½ miles from village
Driller District Engineers Address _____
3. Topography terrace (?)
4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below _____ Summer 54
5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19.54
6. Depth: Rept. 440 ft. Meas. _____ ft.
see back
7. Casing: Diam. _____ in., to _____ in. Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____
8. Chief Aquifer Water From 370 ft. to 425 ft.
Others _____
9. Water level _____ ft. rept. 19 above
meas. below which is _____ ft. above
below surface
10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.
Power: Kind Horsepower _____
11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping G. M.
12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
Adequacy, permanence W-B material too fine to develop
13. Quality Temp. °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
Unfit for _____
14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) (Over) Hole abandoned
Pellec samples collected - See info file

Well located near site of powerplant
Log:

Material	Thickness	Depth
Silty sand	46	46
Frozen sandy gravel	102	148
(Frozen) impervious silt	172	320
Impervious silt	70	390
Silty sand (water)(heaved 100' in casing)	35	425
Silt (water shut off)	15	440

at 115' casing froze tight-24 hrs. to thaw out
at 210' drive sample-grey silt with thin clear ice
lenses.

Started hole with 6" casing - couldn't drive beyond 335'
Second hole 8" casing to 148'
6" casing to 380'
4" casing to 425'

Water-bearing material mechanical analysis: 85% pass #100 (#200)
15% #200
" " 0029'

Hole abandoned.

9-186
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date March 18, 1959, 19 Field No. FY-3
Record by P.R.Lord Office No.
Source of data data copied from DE files-RW 2/12/58

1: Location: State Alaska

County Central

Map

N

S sec.

T

E

S R.

W

2. Owner: U.S. Army (DE)

Address Fort Yukon, Alaska


Tenant

Address

Driller District Engineers

Address

3: Topography ~~sloping bank~~ slough



4: Elevation ft. above

below

5: Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted Nov 1954

6: Depth: Rept. 20 ft. Mean

7: Casing: Diam. in., to in., Type

Depth ft., Finish

8: Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.

Others

X 9: Water level 8 ft. above
rept. meas. 19 ft. below

which is ft. above surface
below

10: Pump: Type Capacity G. M.

Power: Kind Horsepower

X 11: Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown 3 ft. after 12 hours pumping 10 G. M.

12: Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. test pit

Adequacy, permanence

13: Quality Temp 33°F.

Taste, odor, color Sample Yes DE # 10219

No Unfit for

14: Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) Over

new

Location: 900' SSE of deep hole, 10' north of slough,
 $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles downstream from junction of slough
and river

Log:

Material

Thickness

Depth

Silt, tan (vegetal material to 4') 5' 4" 5' 4"
Silt, blue-grey (thawed) 3 8' 4" 3
Coarse gravel with cobbles ($\frac{1}{4}$ " ave.size) 3' 3" 11' 7"
(probed) sand, fine 8' 5" 20'

(Froze to 16" and from 4' to 5' 4")

Hit water at 9' 6"


Land surface is 15-25' above slough bed.

March 1955, test pit reopened.

Water level 8 1/3', 1 1/3' dd after 15 hours @ 6 1/2 gpm.
recovered in few minutes. Temperature 33°F.

Conclusions that shallow well feasible near slough
where thawed areas are in the coarse material.

Evident that gravels are present throughout the
Ft. Yukon area and on the river bottom.



9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date May 22, 1959, 19 Field No. FY-4
Record by P.R.Lord Office No.
Source of data RW notes 6/12/57 pers obs.

1. Location: State Alaska County

Map 1/4 sec. T N R S E W

2. Owner: Alaska Dept. of Ed. Address Ft. Yukon

Tenant Address

Driller C. J. N. ? Address

3. Topography River bank

4. Elevation ft. above below get 55

5. Type Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted Nov 19

6. Depth: Rept. 15± 6 ft. Meas. ft.

7. Casing: Diam. 3± in., to in., Type

Depth ft., Finish Gravel filled caisson

8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.

Others

9. Water level 19 ft. ft. rept. 19 above

meas. below which is ft. above surface

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.

Power: Kind Horsepower

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock PS, RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.

Adequacy, permanence Frozen up 1956-57 - UNUSUAL

13. Quality Temp °F.

Taste, odor, color Sample Yes


No Unfit for

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) Log, analyses, submitted

11-1-57 Dept. of Ed. (ISAAAC) says river ice

threatening

5/21/59 left 100% washed out last week repte Lowell (Ed.)



UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

9-186
(October 1960) DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

UNITED STATES


DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

WELL SCHEDULE
Date _____, 19____ Field No. _____
Record by _____ Record No. _____
Source of data _____

Location: State _____ County _____
Map _____

Map _____ N sec. _____ T _____ S R. _____ E
W

2. Owner: _____ Address _____
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller _____ Address _____



3. Topography _____
4. Elevation 4,318 ft. above sea level

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19 ft.

6. Depth: Rept. 44 ft. Meas. 44 ft.
(P06) Casing: Diam. 4 in., to 4 in., Type _____
Depth 44 ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Others _____

9. If water level _____ ft. rept. 19 ft. above
meas. 19 ft. below surface which is _____ ft. above
below surface _____ G. M. _____

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.
Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS, RR, Ind., Irr., Obs. _____
Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
No _____

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) 6 - 2 shafts, 10 x 10 ft.
each, 12 in. thick masonry walls
2 1/2 ft. deep fine gravel
2 1/2 ft. deep to 8 ft. bedrock

Well number

WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

Field number

WELL SCHEDULE

Date _____, 19____ Field No. _____

Record by _____ Record No. _____ Office No. _____

Source of data _____

Location: State _____ County _____
Map _____

Map _____ N sec. _____ T _____ S R. _____ E
W

2. Owner: _____ Address _____
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller _____ Address _____

3. Topography _____
4. Elevation 4,318 ft. above sea level

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19 ft.

6. Depth: Rept. 44 ft. Meas. 44 ft.
(P06) Casing: Diam. 4 in., to 4 in., Type _____
Depth 44 ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Others _____

9. If water level _____ ft. rept. 19 ft. above
meas. 19 ft. below surface which is _____ ft. above
below surface _____ G. M. _____

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.
Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS, RR, Ind., Irr., Obs. _____
Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
No _____

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) 6 - 2 shafts, 10 x 10 ft.
each, 12 in. thick masonry walls
2 1/2 ft. deep fine gravel
2 1/2 ft. deep to 8 ft. bedrock

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE
Date Nov. 21, 1961 Field No. FY-5?
Record by RMW Office No.
Source of data USGS Sources used by USAF in Alaska

1. Location: State Alaska County CENTRAL
Map Fort Yukon (C-3)

X	X	sec.	T	N S	R E

2. Owner: USAF Address Ft. Yukon
Tenant Address
Driller ? Address

3. Topography Terrace(?)

4. Elevation 412 ft. above sea level

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 10

6. Depth: Rept. 18 ft. Meas. ft.

7. Casing: Diam. in., to in., Type
Depth ft., Finish

8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.
Others

9. Water level ? ft. rept. 19 above
meas. below which is ft. above surface

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.
Power: Kind Horsepower

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS, RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
Adequacy, permanence

13. Quality Temp °F.
Taste, odor, color Sample Yes
Unfit for

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) No other info (see also sec. 11)

0-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE
Date 2-12 (data obtain) 1958 Field No. FY-5
Record by RMW Office No.
Source of data Russell (C-3)

1. Location: State Alaska County Western
Map Fort Yukon (C-3)

X	X	sec.	T	N S	R E

2. Owner: U.S. Air Force Address
Tenant Address
Driller Address

3. Topography Slough bank

4. Elevation ft. above
below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted NOV 1957

6. Depth: Rept. 60 ft. Meas. ft.

7. Casing: Diam. in., to in., Type
Depth ft., Finish

8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.
Others

9. Water level ft. rept. 10 above
meas. below which is ft. above surface

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.
Power: Kind Horsepower

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock PS, RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. ~~for drinking~~
Adequacy, permanence Lots of water AC FW

13. Quality Temp °F.
Taste, odor, color Sample Yes
Unfit for

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) located in vicinity of C-3
but not (FY-3)
all thawed

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 12-27, 1961 Field No. _____
Record by R. M. Walker Office No. _____
Source of data letter from Dept. of Ed 12-

1: Location: State Alaska County Central
Map Fort Yukon (C-3)

1/4 sec. ? T N R E
S W


2. Owner: _____ Address _____

Tenant _____ Address _____

Driller _____ Address _____

3: Topography _____

4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below _____



5. Type: Dug) drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19

6. Depth: Rept. Shallow ft. Meas. _____ ft.

7. Casing: Diam. _____ in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Others _____

9. Water level _____ ft. rept. _____ 19 _____ above
meas. below which is _____ ft. above
below surface

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS, R.R., Ind., Irr., Obs. Supply school

Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.

Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes _____

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) 1500' from school

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date _____, 19_____ Field No. _____

Record by _____ Office No. _____

Source of data _____

J. Yukon

1. Location: State _____ County _____

Map _____
____/____ sec. T _____ N _____ E _____
S _____ R _____ W _____

2. Owner: Don Schulz Address Broadmoor Acres
Tenant _____ Address _____

Driller: K Hatch Address _____

3. Topography: _____

4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19...

6. Depth: Rept. 147 ft. Meas. _____ ft.

7. Casing: Diam. 3 in., to _____ in., Type _____

Depth 147 ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Others _____

9. Water level _____ ft. rept. 19 above
meas. _____ below
which is _____ ft. above surface
below

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. _____

Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.


Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes _____

No _____

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) 120 ft. treat to pt.

0-8 gravel sand
8-10 gravel sand water
10-116 frozen gravel sand
116-146 sand water
146-147 sand gravel water




UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 19 Field No. 22
 Record by Office No.
 Source of data driller

St Yukon

1. Location: State County
 Map
 $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. T N R E
 S W
 2. Owner: J. P. Rego Co. Address Lt 16 Blk 3
 Tenant Address St Yukon
 Driller: K. Hatch Address 2x
3. Topography
 4. Elevation ft. above
 below
 5. Type: Dug, ~~drilled~~, driven, bored, jetted 8/24/1963
 6. Depth: Rept. 7.2 ft. Meas. ft.
 7. Casing: Diam. 4 in., to in., Type
 Depth ft., Finish
 8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.
 Others
 9. Water level 9 ft. rept. 19 above
 meas. below
 which is ft. above
 below surface
 10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.
 Power: Kind Horsepower
 11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
 Drawdown ft. after hours pumping 20 G. M.
 12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
 Adequacy, permanence
 13. Quality Temp °F.
 Taste, odor, color Sample Yes
 No
 Unfit for
 14. Remarks: (Log Analyses, etc.)



0-3 gravel - silt fill
 3-18 silt sand
 18-22 sand gravel
 frozen
 22-32 sand
 32-48 sand gravel
 48-59 gravel sand
 59-70 sand water wood leads
 70-72 sand gravel water

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date _____, 19_____. Field No. 132
 Record by _____ Office No. _____
 Source of data driller

Jt Yukon

1. Location: State _____ County _____

Map _____

1/4 sec. T N S R E W

2. Owner: Howard Graves Address Copper St. Jt Yukon

Tenant _____ Address _____

Driller K Hatch Address 2x

3. Topography _____

4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below _____5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted _____ 19.6. Depth: Rept. 132 ft. Meas. _____ ft.7. Casing: Diam. 4 in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Others _____

9. Water level _____ ft. rept. 19 above
meas. below _____which is _____ ft. above surface
below _____

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____

Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. _____

Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.

Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes _____
No _____

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) _____

0-17 sand silt

17-130 frozen sand gravel

130-132 sand gravel water

9-185
October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE
Date Sept 10 - 47 Field No. _____
Record by A Office No. _____
Source of data D. H. Ladd Loc.

1. Location: State Alaska County Fairbanks

M.B.P. 1/4 sec. T N.R. E
 S.P. 1/4 sec. T S.R. W
 Owner: J. P. A. G. Address: 1712 K St.

Tenant K. Hagg Address F&Y
Driller _____ Address _____

3. Topography

4. Elevation ft. above ft. below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted / z = 18.2

6. Depth: Rept. ____ / ____ ft. Meas. ____ ft.

卷之三

8 Chief Acquisition Department, London, England

Others

9. Water level 7 ft. rept. meas. ----- 19----- above
below -----

..... which is ft. above surface

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____
C. R. P. M.: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M. _____

1. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

2. *Use:* Dom., Stock, PS, R.R., Ind., Irr., Obs. *Time:* 11 A.M. *Duration:* 1 hour pumping.

Adequacy, permanence

3. Quality
Tested odor color
Temp. °F. Sample Yes

Unfit for _____
No. _____

4. Remarks: (Log Analyses, etc.)

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-12801-1

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 9/10/63, 19..... Field No.

Record by 4 Office No.

Source of data Drillers Log

1. Location: State ALASKA County Ft. Yukon

Map _____

1/4 sec. T N R E
1/4 sec. S R W

2. Owner: Dick Riley Address FT-7

Tenant _____ Address _____

Driller K. Hatch Address FRX

3. Topography _____

4. Elevation ft. above
ft. below _____

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 9/10/63

6. Depth: Rept. 66 ft. Meas. _____ ft.

7. Casing: Diam. in., to in., Type _____
Depth ft., Finish _____



8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.

Others _____

9. Water level 11 ft. rept. 19. above
meas. below which is ft. above
surface below _____

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.

Power: Kind Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.

Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality Temp °F.

Taste, odor, color Sample Yes
No _____

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: Log, Analyses, etc.)

0-42' THAWED - si
42-51' -- si - TR - ROCK
51-65' -- Rock - WATER
~~65-66'~~
65-66' -- Rock & WATER


PUMPED 1 hr. 10 GPM, CHEATED IN
10 min - good water

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 10/10/63, 10 Field No.
Record by VM Office No.
Source of data DR. H. L. LEES, loc.

1. Location: State ALASKA County Ft. Yukon
Map _____
Y sec. Y sec. T N R E
S W
2. Owner: J. P. A. Co Address Lot 605 Blk 3
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller R. Hatch Address FBX
3. Topography _____
4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below _____
5. Type: Dug, ~~drilled~~, driven, bored, jetted 9 1/4 19.43
6. Depth: Rept. 46 ft. Meas. _____ ft.
7. Casing: Diam. 4 in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____
8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Others _____
9. Water level _____ ft. rept. 19. above
meas. below which is _____ ft. above
surface below _____
10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.
Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____
11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.
12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
Adequacy, permanence _____
13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
No _____
- Unfit for _____
14. Remarks: Log, Analyses, etc.)



0-3' THAWED - 5'

3-5' - FROZEN - SD

5-10' - " SA-CR

10-16' - " SA-CR

16-36' - " SA-CR

36-42' - THAWED SA

42-45' - " SA

45-46' - " SA-CR - WATER

CHANGED UP IN 10 MIN 1200 GPM
PUMPED 3 hrs

60' HEAD TAPED


9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 5/10/63, 19 Field No. _____
Record by M Office No. _____
Source of data DRILLERS LOG

1. Location: State ALASKA County Ft. Yukon
Map _____
1/4 sec. T N R E
S W
2. Owner: J. P. Rigeo Address Lot 304, DRK 1
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller K. Hatch Address FBX
3. Topography _____
4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below _____
5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 8' 19'
6. Depth: Rept. 67 ft. Meas. _____ ft.
7. Casing: Diam. 4 in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____
8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Others _____
9. Water level 8 ft. rept. _____ 19 above
meas. below which is _____ ft. above
surface below _____
10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.
Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____
11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.
12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
Adequacy, permanence _____
13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes _____
No _____
Unfit for _____
14. Remarks: Log Analyses, etc.)



O-2' - THAWED - S
2-8' - FROZEN - SA-S
8-12' - " SA-GR
12-37' - " SA-63
37-53' - " SA-GR
55-62' - " SA
62-66' - " SA-GR
66-67' - THAWED SA-GR-WATER

Pumped 1200 GPH - no sand
static = 8'

9-185
(October 1960)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date 5/17/63, 19 Field No.

Record by M Office No.

Source of data DRILLERS LOG

1. Location: State ALASKA County Fox, Yukon


Map _____
1/4 sec. T N R E
S W

2. Owner: J. P. REGO Address Block 1-Lot 10

Tenant _____ Address _____

Driller K. HAGG Address FOX

3. Topography _____



4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below _____

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 4/28 1963

6. Depth: Rept. 46 ft. Meas. _____ ft.

7. Casing: Diam. 4 in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Others _____

9. Water level 8 ft. rept. 19. above
meas. below which is _____ ft. above
below surface

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp. _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
Unfit for _____ No

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) _____

Q-1' - THAWED - 3'
1-8' - FROZEN - 55
8-14' - " SA - GA
14-20' - " SA
20-24' - " GR-SA
24-29' - " SA - GR
29-35' - THAWED SA WATER
35-42' - " GR-SA WATER
PUMPED 15 hr MAKING SAND
42-46' - THAWED SA-GR WATER
CLEANED UP FAST, NO SAND
1200 GPH ~ 2 HEAD TAPES CHARGED TO
THIS WELL - 160' + 80'

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date Sept 10 1963 Field No.
Record by 14 Office No.
Source of data Driketters loc.

1. Location: State Alaska County Ft. Yukon

Map _____
____/4 sec. T N R E
S W

2. Owner: Don Schaeffer Address Dogwash Aska

Tenant _____ Address _____

Driller K. Hatch Address FDX


3. Topography _____

4. Elevation ft. above
below _____

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted 19

6. Depth: Rept. 147 ft. Meas. ft.

7. Casing: Diam. 3 in., to in., Type _____
Depth 147 ft., Finish _____



8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.

Others _____

9. Water level ft. rept. 10 above
meas. below which is ft. above
surface

10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M.
Power: Kind Horsepower

11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est.

Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs.
Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality Temp °F.
Taste, odor, color Sample Yes
No

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: Log Analyses, etc.)

0-8' THAWED GA-SA
8-10' - " GR-SA- WATER
10-116' - FROZEN GR-SA
116-146' - THAWED SA-WATER
146-147' - THAWED SA-GR - "

120' heat tape

9-1885
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date Sept 10 '63 19 Field No.
Record by M Office No.

Source of data Drik Lines Log

1. Location: State Alaska County Fairbanks
- Map Map K sec. 1/4 sec. T N R S W E
2. Owner: Homestead & Ranch Address Cooper St. P.O.-Y
Tenant Address
Driller K. H. Tech Address F BX
3. Topography
4. Elevation ft. above ft. below
5. Type: Dug, drilled driven, bored, jetted 19
6. Depth: Rept. 132 ft. Meas. ft.
7. Casting: Diam. 4 in., to in., Type
Depth ft., Finish
8. Chief Aquifer From ft. to ft.
Others ft. above ft. below
9. Water level ft. rept. ft. meas. 19 above ft. below which is ft. above surface
10. Pump: Type Capacity G. M. Horsepower Power: Kind Rept. Est.
11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. Drawdown ft. after hours pumping G. M.
12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS, RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. Adequacy, permanence
13. Quality Temp °F.
Taste, odor, color Sample Yes No
14. Remarks: Log Analyses, etc.

(118)

APPENDIX 5

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
ground-water quality data from the public water supply system at Fort Yukon

SECONDARY TEST RESULTS

PAGE 1

14 JUN 1994

PWSID. priname..... smpldate labnum... C... C.... F..... F... I... M.... O.. p.. S... S... T... Z.. LOCATION..

H	O	O	L	O	R	A	D	H	O	U	D	I
L	L	R	U	A	O	N	O	D	L	S	N	
O	O	R	O	M	N	G	R	I	F		C	
R	R	O	R	I		A		U	A			
I	S	I	N	N				M	T			
D	I	D	G	E				E				
E	V	E	-	S								
	I		A	E								
	T		G									
	Y		E									
			N									
			T									
			S									

Zero Records Processed

INORGANIC TEST RESULTS (UG/L)

PAGE 1

14 JUN 1994

PWSID. SYSTEM..... SAMPLE.. A.... B.... C.... C.... F.... M.... N.... N.... N N.. S.... 9.... 9.... location.....

NAME	DATE	R	A	A	H	L	E	I	I	I	E	O	O
	S	R	D	R	U	R	T	T	T	T	L	L	C
	E	I	M	O	O	C	R	R	R	R+R	E	E	O
	N	U	I	M	R	U	A	I	A	I	N	A	P
	I	M	U	I	I	R	T	T	T	T	I	D	P
	C		M	U	D	Y	E	E	E	E	U		E
			M	E						M			R

360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 03-11-80

360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 09-25-85 <5 200 <5 <50 110 <.2 200 <2 CITY BUILDING

360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 05-01-89 2.0 <50 <5 <5 1700 0.3 <500 5

360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 04-05-93 ND 191 0.1 ND 1080 ND 100 ND 100 6.0

360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 04-11-94 160 100 260 CITY BLDG

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS

ND = NOT DETECTED

NT = NO TEST

PAGE 1

14:19:42 14 JUN 1994

PWSID. SYSTEM NAME..... SAMPLE.... LAB..... LOCATION..... EPA.....

DATE NUMBER

METHOD

NUMBER

360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 08-12-91 A113251 GROUND WATER WELL 502.2
 360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 11-05-91 A115219 502.2
 360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 03-02-92 A116423 UNLISTED 502.2
 360256 Ft. Yukon Public Water System 06-22-92 A118338 CITY BUILDING 502.2

4 Records Processed

PAGE 1

14:19:45 14 JUN 1994

B.....	B.....	B.....	B.....	B.....	B.....	N.....	S.....	T.....	C.....	C.....	C.....
E	R	R	R	R	R	-	E	E	A	H	H
N	O	O	O	O	B	C	R	R	L	L	
Z (1)	M	M	M THM	M THM	M	U	-	T	B (1)	O (2)	O THM
E 5.0	O	O	O	F	O	T	B	-	O 5.0	R 100.	R
N	B	C	D	O	M	Y	U	B	N	O	O
E	E	H	I	R	E	L	T	U	-	B	D
N	L	C	M	T	B	Y	T	T	E	I	
Z	O	H		H	E	L	Y	E	N	B	
E	R	L		A	N	B	L	T	Z	R	
N	O	O		N	Z	E	B	R	E	O	
E	M	R		E	E	N	E	A	N	M	
E	O			N	Z	N	Z	C	E	O	
T	M			E	E	Z	H			M	
H	E				N	E	L			E	
A	T				E	N	O			T	
N	H					E	R			H	
E	A						I			A	
	N						D			N	
	E						E			E	
ND	ND	ND	.3	ND							
.3	ND										
ND	ND	ND	0.4	ND							
ND	ND	ND	0.3	ND							

4 Records Processed

PAGE 1 14:19:48 14 JUN 1994

C.....	C.....	C.....	1.....	O.....	P.....	D.....	1.....	M.....	O.....	D.....	1.....
H.....	H.....	H.....	2.....	-.....	-.....	I.....	4.....	-.....	-.....	I.....	1.....
L.....	L.....	L.....	D.....	C.....	C.....	B.....	D.....	D.....	D.....	C.....	D.....
O.....	O THM	O.....	I.....	H.....	H.....	R.....	I (1)	I.....	I (2)	H.....	I.....
R.....	R.....	R.....	B.....	L.....	L.....	O.....	C 75.0	C.....	C 600.	L.....	C.....
O.....	O.....	R.....	O.....	O.....	M.....	H.....	H.....	H.....	O.....	H.....	H.....
E.....	F.....	M.....	O.....	R.....	R.....	O.....	L.....	L.....	R.....	R.....	L.....
T.....	O.....	E.....	M.....	O.....	O.....	M.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....
H.....	R.....	T.....	O.....	T.....	T.....	E.....	B.....	R.....	R.....	D.....	R.....
A.....	M.....	H.....	3.....	O.....	O.....	T.....	E.....	O.....	O.....	I.....	O.....
N.....	A.....	C.....	L.....	L.....	H.....	N.....	B.....	B.....	F.....	E.....	
E.....	N.....	H.....	U.....	U.....	A.....	Z.....	E.....	E.....	L.....	T.....	
	E.....	L.....	E.....	E.....	N.....	E.....	N.....	Z.....	U.....	H.....	
		O.....	N.....	N.....	E.....		E.....	E.....	Z.....	O.....	A.....
		R.....	E.....	E.....				N.....	E.....	R.....	N.....
								E.....	E.....	M.....	E.....
										T.....	
										H.....	
ND	4.6	ND									
ND											
ND	10.0	ND									
ND	ND	11.0	ND								

4 Records Processed

PAGE 1 14:19:52 14 JUN 1994

1.....	1.....	C.....	T.....	D.....	1.....	1.....	2.....	1.....	1.....	1.....	
2.....	1.....	I.....	R.....	I.....	2.....	3.....	2.....	1.....	3.....		
D.....	D.....	S.....	A.....	C.....	D.....	D.....	D.....	D.....	D.....		
I (1)	I (1)	1 (2)	N (2)	H.....	I (2)	I.....	I.....	I.....	I.....		
C 5.0	C 7.0	2 70.0	S 100	L.....	C 5.0	C.....	C.....	C.....	C.....		
H.....	H.....	D.....	1.....	O.....	H.....	H.....	H.....	H.....	H.....		
L.....	L.....	I.....	2.....	R.....	L.....	L.....	L.....	L.....	L.....		
O.....	O.....	C.....	D.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....		
R.....	R.....	H.....	I.....	M.....	R.....	R.....	R.....	R.....	R.....		
O.....	O.....	L.....	C.....	E.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....		
E.....	E.....	O.....	H.....	T.....	P.....	P.....	P.....	P.....	P.....		
T.....	T.....	R.....	L.....	H.....	R.....	R.....	R.....	R.....	R.....		
H.....	H.....	O.....	O.....	A.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....	O.....		
A.....	Y.....	E.....	R.....	N.....	P.....	P.....	P.....	P.....	P.....		
N.....	L.....	T.....	O.....	E.....	A.....	A.....	A.....	E.....	E.....		
E.....	E.....	H.....	E.....	N.....	N.....	N.....	N.....	N.....	N.....		
N.....	N.....	Y.....	T.....	E.....	E.....	E.....	E.....	E.....	E.....		
		L.....	H.....								
ND											
ND											
ND											
ND											

4 Records Processed

PAGE 1 14:19:55 14 JUN 1994

E.....	E.....	F.....	H.....	I.....	P.....	N.....	N.....	S.....	1.....	1.....
T	T	L	E	S	-	A	-	T	1	1
H	H	U	X	O	I	P	P	Y	1	2
Y (2)	Y	O	A	P	S	H	R	R (2)	2	2
L 700.	L	R	C	R	O	T	O	E 100.	T	T
B	E	O	H	O	P	H	P	N	E	E
E	N	T	L	P	R	A	Y	E	T	T
N	E	R	O	Y	O	L	L		R	R
Z	-	I	R	L	P	E	B		A	A
E	D	C	O	B	Y	N	E		C	C
N	I	H	B	E	L	E	N		H	H
E	B	L	U	N	T		Z		L	L
R	O	T	Z	O			E		O	O
O	R	A	E	L			N		R	R
M	O	D	N	U			E		O	O
I	M	I	E	E					E	E
D	E	E	N	E					T	T
E	T	N	E	E					H	H
									A	A
									N	N
ND										
ND										
ND										
ND	ND	6.6	ND							

4 Records Processed

PAGE 1 14:19:58 14 JUN 1994

T.....	T.....	1.....	1.....	1.....	1.....	T.....	1.....	1.....	1.....	1.....
E	O	2	2	1	1	R	2	2	3	
T	L	3	4	1	2	I	3	4	5	
R (2)	U (2)	T	T	T (1)	T	C (1)	T	T	T	
A 5.0	E 1000.	R	R	R 200	R	H 5.0	R	R	R	
C	N	I	I	I	I	L	I	I	I	
H	E	C	C	C	C	O	C	M	M	
L	H	H	H	H	H	R	H	E	E	
O	L	L	L	L	L	O	L	T	T	
R	O	R	O	O	O	E	O	H	H	
O	R	O	R	R	R	T	R	Y	Y	
E	O	R	O	O	O	H	O	L	L	
T	B	B	B	E	E	Y	P	B	B	
H	E	E	E	T	T	L	R	E	E	
Y	N	N	N	H	H	E	O	N	N	
L	Z	Z	Z	A	A	N	P	Z	Z	
E	E	E	E	N	N	E	A	E	E	
E	E	E	E	E	E		E	E	E	
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	0.5	ND								
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

4 Records Processed

E 1
..... T.....
O
T
1) A (2)
.0 L 10000
-
X
Y
L
E
N
E
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
ND
ND
ND
ND

records Processed

TOLOGICAL RESULTS (PICOCURIES/LITER)

E 1
JUN 1994

ID. FILENO.....	SYSTEM.....	S SAMPLE..	C QTR1 DATE	QTR2 DATE	QTR3 DATE	QTR4 DATE	LAB....	G.....
NAME		A	DATE	O			NUMBER	R
		M		M				O
		P		P				S
		L		O				S
		E		S				-
		-		I				A
		T		T				L
		Y		E				P
		P		?				H
		E						A

1256 740.07.001 Ft. Yukon Public Water Syste 03-11-80
1256 740.07.001 Ft. Yukon Public Water Syste R 08-13-91 Y

00000
F109237 ND

APPENDIX 6

U.S. Geological Survey water-quality data for the Yukon River at Rampart

YUKON RIVER AT RAMPART

Chemical analyses, In parts per million, March 1954 to September 1954

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO_4)	Iron (Fe)	Cal-cium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO_3)	Sulfate (SO_4)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO_3)	Dissolved solids (residue on evaporation at 180°C)	Hardness as CaCO_3	Non-carbonate Calcium, Magnesium	Specific conductance (micro-mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
Mar. 21.....	112,700	6.5	0.03	38	8.0	2.5	0.7	128	25	0.6	..	0.2	145	128	23	256	7.3	-
June 1-10.....	5.2	.01	31	6.7	2.3	1.0	1.0	100	30	1.0	..	1.5	128	105	23	210	6.8	-
June 11-20.....	5.6	.01	35	1.1	4.5	106	52	5.0	133	48	48	282	6.6	-
June 21-30.....	6.8	.01	32	6.0	3.9	1.1	..	96	39	6.3	144	113	34	244	6.6	-
July 1-10.....	6.1	.01	31	7.3	2.5	1.0	1.0	103	30	1.0	130	107	23	222	6.5	-
July 11-20.....	6.2	.01	35	6.0	2.8	1.2	..	115	31	143	120	26	240	6.5	-
July 21-31.....	6.0	.01	35	6.2	3.0	1.1	..	111	33	3.6	146	121	30	250	6.8	-
Aug. 1-10.....	6.5	.08	33	9.2	5.2	113	31	3.3	146	120	28	249	6.8	-
Aug. 11-20.....	6.8	.08	33	6.8	4.0	1.5	..	116	27	1.1	0	2.2	141	119	24	245	6.8	-
Aug. 21-31.....	6.2	.08	35	7.9	5.8	1.1	..	114	31	1.0	..	5.6	150	120	26	266	6.8	-
Sept. 1-10.....	6.8	.04	34	7.9	4.3	1.2	..	116	30	1.0	..	3.6	169	117	22	251	8.0	10
Sept. 11-20.....	6.5	.02	36	7.8	6.0	1.1	..	112	32	1.0	..	9.4	170	119	28	258	7.9	10
Sept. 21-30.....	7.2	.01	33	7.8	4.9	1.1	..	109	31	1.0	..	9.0	163	114	25	248	8.0	10
1955																		
Apr. 5.....	210,600	6.1	.02	41	6.2	3.9	1.6	140	26	1.0	..	0	160	136	21	274	7.2	5
June 1-10.....	330,000	4.6	.04	23	6.1	4.1	..	76	29	1.1	111	91	28	186	7.8	40
June 11-20.....	309,000	6.2	.12	33	1.3	3.8	..	115	46	1.5	162	136	42	288	7.9	20
June 21-30.....	277,000	4.6	.10	26	8.4	3.5	..	82	35	1.1	122	99	32	209	7.7	60
Aug. 1-10.....	171,000	5.7	.00	31	7.4	3.0	1.6	140	26	1.0	..	0	131	109	20	227	7.7	5
Aug. 11-20.....	168,000	6.4	.03	33	7.8	5.0	..	108	28	1.1	142	114	26	247	7.3	10
Aug. 21-31.....	215,000	5.6	.05	28	6.0	3.9	..	91	31	3.6	147	103	28	223	7.9	15
Sept. 1-10.....	215,000	5.9	.05	32	1.1	3.7	..	109	41	2.4	152	125	36	260	7.4	10
Sept. 11-20.....	155,000	5.9	.05	30	7.2	4.6	1.0	100	28	1.1	131	104	22	231	7.6	15
Sept. 21-30.....	126,000	5.7	.05	29	6.3	3.9	1.2	94	28	6.7	129	106	29	229	7.8	8
Oct. 1-15.....	98,900	6.3	.06	32	7.6	3.7	1.0	104	26	0	133	111	26	237	7.0	8
1956																		
May 28-31.....	369,000	1.2	.00	21	6.0	2.2	1.0	63	22	111	77	25	169	6.3	85
June 1-5.....	297,000	1.1	.00	22	7.5	3.0	1.0	70	27	1.3	121	86	28	181	6.3	56
June 6-10.....	273,000	4.7	.00	29	9.0	3.5	..	93	37	1.0	133	109	31	230	7.3	20
June 11-12.....	270,000	4.0	.00	33	1.2	4.3	1.0	105	49	1.0	157	132	46	270	7.4	10
June 26-30.....	309,000	5.6	.00	33	10	3.6	1.1	105	41	1.6	147	123	37	257	7.1	20

* Discharge at time of sampling.

YUKON RIVER AT RAMPART--Continued

Chemical analyses, In parts per million, March 1954 to September 1956--Continued

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO_4)	Iron (Fe)	Cal-cium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO_3)	Sulfate (SO_4)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO_3)	Dissolved solids (residue on evaporation at 180°C)	Hardness as CaCO_3	Non-carbonate Calcium, Magnesium	Specific conductance (micro-mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
1956																		
July 1-10.....	259,000	6.0	0.00	37	10	3.7	1.2	116	42	0.5	158	133	38	275	7.2	20
Aug. 1-10.....	172,000	7.4	.00	32	6.7	2.9	1.5	108	24	1.4	128	107	19	222	7.7	6
Aug. 11-20.....	250,000	6.5	.00	31	8.8	3.8	1.0	96	30	1.5	137	114	35	238	7.5	20
Aug. 21-31.....	215,000	7.6	.00	32	6.2	3.1	1.0	102	34	1.8	138	114	30	230	7.4	15
Sept. 1-10.....	171,000	7.3	.00	31	6.9	2.8	1.3	103	25	1.6	127	106	21	211	7.5	15
Sept. 11-20.....	141,000	6.8	.00	29	6.9	2.7	1.2	95	26	1.5	121	101	23	216	7.2	20
Sept. 21-30.....	172,000	6.9	.00	32	6.8	2.6	1.0	102	27	1.5	127	104	20	220	7.2	20

YUKON RIVER AT RAMPART--Continued

Temperature (°F) of water, water years 1954 to 1956

Day	1954			1955			1956				
	June	July	Aug.	June	Aug.	Sept.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
1	50	55	58	50	61	44	--	44	65	--	--
2	49	56	58	53	62	--	--	44	60	--	--
3	52	60	58	53	60	46	--	45	65	--	--
4	46	61	58	54	62	45	--	47	65	--	--
5	45	61	57	53	63	45	--	53	57	--	--
6	45	63	58	55	63	46	--	54	57	--	--
7	56	62	59	54	62	47	--	55	--	--	48
8	56	65	60	48	61	47	--	56	--	--	57
9	56	59	60	50	60	48	--	55	--	--	47
10	55	60	61	49	61	48	--	56	--	--	48
11	55	59	63	46	60	47	--	55	--	--	49
12	54	60	63	48	58	49	--	56	--	--	47
13	54	62	63	46	58	47	--	--	--	--	46
14	54	63	62	42	56	44	--	--	--	--	48
15	55	64	60	46	56	43	--	--	--	--	47
16	54	64	62	47	55	45	--	--	--	--	45
17	52	64	61	47	55	45	--	--	--	--	49
18	53	65	60	49	54	46	--	--	--	--	48
19	53	66	60	51	54	46	--	--	--	--	47
20	54	61	59	46	53	45	--	--	--	--	46
21	53	59	58	49	52	46	--	--	--	51	46
22	54	59	58	46	50	44	--	--	--	50	45
23	52	59	58	45	49	45	--	--	--	50	45
24	55	60	56	53	48	44	--	--	--	53	40
25	56	59	57	54	47	43	--	56	--	53	44
26	58	58	57	56	49	38	--	55	--	52	38
27	57	59	57	56	49	38	--	65	--	50	40
28	58	57	55	56	48	42	43	60	--	50	48
29	60	57	54	56	48	38	43	62	--	52	39
30	55	57	53	55	44	39	41	64	--	52	38
31	--	57	53	--	45	--	41	--	--	54	--
Average	54	60	59	50	55	44	--	--	--	--	--

Periodic determinations of suspended-sediment discharge, water year October 1954 to September 1955

Date	Discharge (cfs)	Suspended sediment	
		Mean concentration (ppm)	Discharge (tons per day)
1954 Oct. 9	93,000 *	97	24,400
1955 Apr. 5	10,600	2	57

Chemical analyses, In parts per million, water year October 1956 to September 1957--Continued

Date of collection	Discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO ₂)	Iron (Fe)	Cal- cium (Ca)	Magn- esium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potas- sium (K)	Bicar- bonate (HCO ₃)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO ₃)	Dissolved solids (residue on evap- oration at 180°C)	Hardness as CaCO ₃	Calcium, mag- nesium carbon- ate	Specific conduct- ance (micro- mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
July 31, 1957.....	1,100	11	0.08	20	7.5	4.6	1.2	63	37	0.3	0.3	2.1	116	81	28	166	7.4	50

FORTYMILE RIVER NEAR BOUNDARY

July 28, 1957.....	447	6.4	0.08	25	7.1	6.0	1.5	63	45	2.8	0.2	0.3	125	92	40	209	6.9	20
Mar. 23, 1957.....	13,000	6.6	0.00	28	11	2.6	1.3	114	24	0.5	0.2	0.4	131	115	22	231	7.5	5
June 18.....	229,000	6.2	.16	31	6.6	2.0	1.3	108	19	.5	.2	.4	120	104	16	211	7.5	10
July 31.....	165,000	6.7	.05	25	7.4	2.2	1.2	90	25	.2	.2	.3	112	93	19	169	7.7	5
Aug. 29.....	118,000	4.7	.03	27	9.5	3.4	1.7	106	27	.5	.0	.2	126	106	19	221	7.5	5

YUKON RIVER AT EAGLE

Oct. 1-10, 1956.....	*120,000	7.1	0.00	30	6.5	3.0	1.0	97	24	0.5	0.0	0.4	120	102	22	206	7.6	20
Oct. 11-20.....	*59,500	9.7	.00	38	8.6	5.0	1.3	134	29	.8	.0	.4	159	130	20	266	7.1	5
Oct. 21-28.....	*43,100	9.7	.00	45	11	4.8	1.8	159	35	1.2	.1	.1	167	158	21	315	7.1	0
Dec. 2.....	29,400	7.4	.00	27	6.6	2.2	1.0	46	20	.8	.2	.3	113	94	16	198	7.0	3
Mar. 22, 1957.....	16,800	7.0	.00	31	11	3.2	1.6	128	26	.1	.3	.4	123	16	18	253	7.3	0
July 27.....	198,000	6.1	.06	31	11	2.6	1.3	110	31	.2	.2	.3	140	123	32	238	7.7	5
Aug. 30.....	189,000	4.9	.03	28	10	3.0	1.1	104	29	.2	.1	.5	128	111	26	223	7.3	20

BIRCH CREEK AT CIRCLE

YUKON RIVER AT RAMPART

May 23, 1957.....	10	0.06	35	7.0	2.1	1.3	104	34	2.0	0.1	1.6	145	120	34	246	7.7	20
Aug. 1.....	8.7	.03	38	11	2.9	.9	133	35	2.2	.1	.2	163	140	31	278	7.4	5
Sept. 17.....	10	.00	43	11	3.2	.4	148	38	2.5	.1	.1	182	152	31	304	7.3	0
Aug. 30.....																	

LITTLE TOK RIVER NEAR TOK JUNCTION

LITTLE TOK RIVER NEAR TOK JUNCTION

* Daily mean discharge.

Chemical analyses, in parts per million, March to August 1960

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO_2)	Iron (Fe)	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO_3^-)	Sulfate (SO_4^-)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO_3^-)	Dissolved solids (calculated)	Hardness as CaCO_3		Specific conductance (micro-mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
														Calcium, mag-nesium	Non-carbonate			
Mar. 3, 1960	19,000	7.1	0.00	23	3.1	1.1	0.5	74	12	2.0	0.2	0.2	85	70	134	8.0	0	
Apr. 8	18,000	8.2	.00	39	10	3.9	1.7	144	21	4.5	.3	.1	160	138	20	282	8.1	5
May 27-29	253,000	6.4	.12	24	6.2	2.8	1.3	80	23	1.0	.2	.9	105	86	20	179	7.7	50
May 30, 31, June 1, 2 . .	285,000	7.0	.10	30	9.5	3.7	1.1	103	36	1.0	.1	1.2	141	114	30	235	7.7	50
June 3-9	207,000	9.0	.10	35	13	5.0	1.2	122	46	1.0	.2	.8	171	141	41	294	7.0	30
June 10-13	237,000	7.8	--	26	10	2.7	1.0	93	28	2.5	.2	1.0	125	108	30	198	7.8	40
June 18-27	246,000	8.0	.07	29	16	4.0	1.2	117	40	3.5	.0	1.0	161	138	42	258	7.5	20
July 1-3, 5-10	251,000	7.3	.10	30	10	3.5	1.0	106	34	1.0	.2	.9	140	116	29	235	7.8	25
July 11-12, 14-20	226,000	8.7	.02	34	10	4.1	1.1	116	36	2.5	.2	.7	154	126	31	253	7.7	10
July 21-31	199,000	8.7	.02	33	8.3	3.5	1.2	108	36	1.0	.2	.6	146	116	28	236	7.4	10
Aug. 1-10	277,000	8.6	.02	32	12	3.7	.9	111	39	1.5	.2	1.4	154	130	38	248	8.2	20
Aug. 11-20	230,000	7.6	.02	34	12	3.4	1.1	118	39	1.5	.2	1.2	158	134	38	258	7.7	20
Aug. 21-23	228,000	13	.02	37	13	4.7	1.2	128	44	1.0	.2	1.1	180	146	38	289	8.3	10

Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{F}$) of water, July to August 1960

/Once-daily measurement at 6 p.m./

Day	July	August	Day	July	August	Day	July	August
1	--	54	11	--	54	21	67	53
2	--	52	12	--	56	22	64	52
3	--	53	13	--	57	23	65	51
4	--	52	14	--	58	24	64	--
5	--	50	15	--	54	25	63	--
6	--	50	18	--	53	26	63	--
7	--	46	17	--	53	27	60	--
8	--	48	18	--	53	28	58	--
9	--	50	19	65	54	29	57	--
10	--	52	20	66	59	30	55	--
						31	54	--

129

30-4880, YUKON RIVER AT RANPART, ALASKA--Continued

Temperature (°F) of water, water year October 1963 to September 1964

Month	Day																													Aver- age		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	
October																																
November																																
December																																
January.....																																
February.....																																
March																																
April.....																																
May.....																																
June																																
July.....	53	52	51	53	54	54	53	53	54	52	53	54	54	54	53	54	54	53	54	52	52	52	51	50	50	51	50	49	--	52		
August.....	46	46	43	42	42	42	41	40	40	40	40	40	41	41	41	41	40	39	40	40	40	40	40	39	39	39	38	--	41			
September....																																

Periodic particle-size analyses of suspended sediment, water year October 1963 to September 1964
 (Methods of analysis: B, bottom withdrawal tube; C, chemically dispersed; D, decantation; N, in native water;
 P, pipet; S, sieve; V, visual accumulation tube; W, in distilled water)

Date of collection	Time (24 hour)	Water tem- per- ature (°F)	Sam- pling point	Discharge (cfs)	Sediment concen- tration (ppm)	Sediment discharge (tons per day)	Suspended sediment										Method of analysis		
							Percent finer than size indicated, in millimeters												
							0.002	0.004	0.008	0.016	0.031	0.062	0.125	0.250	0.500	1.000	2.000		
June 26, 1964....	1715	57		608000		420			21	26	33	44	55	74	87	98	100		SBWC

30-4680. YUKON RIVER AT RAMPART, ALASKA

LOCATION.--At gaging station on left bank at Rampart, 0.8 mile downstream from Squaw Creek, 1.2 miles downstream from Minook Creek, and 3.5 miles upstream from Russian Creek.

DRAINAGE AREA.--188,400 square miles, approximately.

RECORDS AVAILABLE.--Chemical analyses: June 1954 to September 1964.

Water temperatures: June 1954 to September 1964.

Sediment records: June 1962 to September 1963.

EXTREMES, 1954-56, 1960-63.--Water temperatures: Maximum, 67°F July 21, 1960.

Chemical analyses, in parts per million, water year October 1963 to September 1964

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO ₂)	Iron (Fe)	Cal-cium (Ca)	Mag-ne-sium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Po-tas-sium (K)	Bicar-bonate (HCO ₃)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluo-ride (F)	Ni-trate (NO ₃)	Dissolved solids (residue at 180°C)	Hardness as CaCO ₃		Specific conductance (micro-mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
														Calcium	Non-magne-carbon-alum			
June 1-15, 1964.....	816200	4.0	0.08	22	6.1	2.6	1.0	73	18	2.8	0.1	0.1	93	80	20	150	7.2	50
June 16-30.....	739300	5.8	.13	26	7.3	2.2	.6	85	24	1.4	.1	.2	110	85	25	186	7.2	40
July 1-31.....	433800	5.0	.08	31	12	3.6	.4	101	46	1.4	.1	.2	150	126	43	252	7.4	30
Aug. 1-31.....	290900	5.2	.04	31	10	3.8	.9	105	39	2.1	.2	.8	145	119	33	239	7.6	40
Sept. 1-30.....	203800	5.8	.07	32	9.2	2.8	1.6	108	28	1.4	.2	.4	136	118	29	233	7.7	20

30-4680. YUKON RIVER AT RAMPART, ALASKA

LOCATION.--At gaging station on left bank at Rampart, 0.8 mile downstream from Squaw Creek, 1.2 miles downstream from Minook Creek, and 3.5 miles upstream from Russian Creek.

DRAINAGE AREA.--188,400 square miles, approximately.

RECORDS AVAILABLE.--Chemical analyses: June 1954 to September 1965.

Water temperatures: June 1954 to September 1964.

Sediment records: June 1962 to September 1963.

Chemical analyses, in parts per million, water year October 1964 to September 1965

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO ₂)	Iron (Fe)	Cal-cium (Ca)	Mag-ne-sium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Po-tas-sium (K)	Bicar-bonate (HCO ₃)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluo-ride (F)	Ni-trate (NO ₃)	Dissolved solids (residue at 180°C)	Hardness as CaCO ₃		Specific conductance (micro-mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
														Calcium	Non-magne-carbon-alum			
Oct. 1-31, 1964..	103800	6.2	0.00	38	9.7	3.0	1.0	121	30	2.5	0.2	.3	149	130	29	249	7.7	10
Sept. 28, 1965...	201000	6.6	.04	24	8.0	6.0	1.4	92	28	.4	.3	.5	121	93	18	190	8.3	25

Periodic determinations of suspended-sediment concentration and particle-size analyses, water year October 1964 to September 1965
 (Methods of analysis: B, bottom withdrawal tube; C, chemically dispersed; D, decantation; N, in native water;
 P, pipet; S, sieve; V, visual accumulation tube; W, in distilled water)

Date of collection	Time (24 hour)	Water tem-per-ature ("F)	Sam-pling point	Discharge (cfs)	Sediment concen-tration (ppm)	Sediment discharge (tons per day)	Suspended sediment										Method of analysis
							Percent finer than size indicated, in millimeters										
July 19, 1965....	1800	--		266000	220		24	37	48	56	63	69	86	99	100		SBWC
Sept. 28.....	1000	38		195000	170							54	68	94	98	100	V

30-4680. TUKON RIVER AT RAMPART—Continued

Chemical analyses, in parts per million, May to August 1962

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO ₂)	Iron (Fe)	Cal- cium (Ca)	Mag- ne- sium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potas- sium (K)	Bicar- bonate (HCO ₃)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO ₃)	Dissolved solids (Calculated)	Hardness as CaCO ₃	Specific conduct- ance (micro- mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
MAY 28-31, 1962.....	618,000	4.7	.02	21	3.0	1.3	1.3	65	13	2.0	0.1	1.8	80	65	8.1	60	
June 1-10.....	742,000	5.5	.03	22	4.7	1.4	1.3	72	12	2.0	.1	1.3	84	141	7.4	55	
June 11-20.....	607,000	7.6	.02	24	4.3	1.6	1.0	62	15	1.5	.1	.8	96	163	7.6	30	
June 22-30.....	517,000	7.1	.02	28	6.3	2.1	1.1	98	20	1.0	.1	.9	115	195	7.7	25	
July 1-10.....	456,000	6.4	.02	29	6.8	2.2	1.2	100	22	1.5	.0	1.3	119	205	7.6	20	
July 11-20.....	347,000	6.4	.02	34	11	3.6	1.2	120	37	1.0	.1	1.8	155	264	7.7	19	
JULY 21-30.....	301,000	7.1	.02	35	13	4.7	.9	126	43	1.0	.1	1.4	158	241	7.9	10	
July 31, Aug. 1-8.....	317,000	8.5	.02	36	13	3.8	1.1	127	43	1.0	.1	1.9	170	245	7.6	15	
Aug. 9-17.....	305,000	7.8	.02	41	15	4.3	1.1	144	50	1.5	.1	1.0	193	262	7.5	22	
Aug. 24.....	249,000	6.0	.07	32	2.3	2.3	1.3	112	25	1.0	.2	1.2	131	221	6.9	23	
Aug. 25-29-31.....	284,000	6.1	.05	34	9.2	2.6	1.6	118	32	1.0	.2	1.2	124	239	8.0	20	
Sept. 1-7.....	345,000	7.5	.05	27	8.1	2.2	.7	93	29	1.5	.2	.6	123	202	8.3	40	

TUKON RIVER AT RAUPART--Continued

Chemical analyses, in parts per million, October 1960 to August 1961

Date of collection	Mean discharge (cfs)	Silica (SiO_2)	Iron (Fe)	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO_3)	Sulfate (SO_4)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO_3)	Dissolved solids (Calculated)	Hardness as CaCO_3	Specific conductance (micro-mhos at 25°C)	pH	Color
Oct. 10, 1960 ...	131,000	7.2	0.00	30	12	3.4	1.1	117	28	2.0	0.1	0.1	242	124	28	7.5	10
Dec. 9 ^a	24,200	9.0	.03	39	12	3.4	2.0	152	27	2.0	.2	.8	270	117	22	7.6	5
Jan. 21, 1961 ...	23,500	6.3	.02	36	12	2.6	1.8	144	27	1.0	.1	.3	161	110	22	7.6	0
Feb. 26 ^a	18,800	6.8	.07	39	9.5	5.4	2.5	144	22	1.0	.0	.0	160	116	18	7.6	5
May 26-26	333,000	6.8	.02	20	4.0	1.8	1.6	63	15	1.0	.1	.2	66	15	139	7.4	10
May 27-31, June 1-35, 6	335,000	7.5	.02	23	5.5	2.2	1.3	76	20	1.5	.1	1.0	99	80	18	7.6	50
June 6	463,000	6.1	.02	27	3.8	.9	.9	86	11	1.0	.1	.7	97	82	12	7.5	15
June 10-18	528,000	8.0	.02	26	5.0	2.4	1.4	88	117	1.5	.1	.9	105	86	11	7.9	10
June 19-24-30 ..	369,000	7.7	.02	36	9.0	3.7	1.8	112	38	1.0	.1	1.4	153	227	35	7.7	20
July 1-8, 10	318,000	7.9	.02	36	11	4.3	1.9	119	40	1.0	.1	1.1	161	135	38	7.6	10
July 11, 15-22 ..	234,000	8.1	.03	31	8.6	3.1	1.0	107	20	1.0	.1	1.7	137	113	26	7.4	30
July 23-31	211,000	8.2	.05	30	8.3	2.9	1.2	108	28	1.0	.1	1.0	134	109	20	7.8	30
Aug. 1, 3-7, 10 ..	212,000	11	.01	34	8.0	6.6	1.5	118	32	1.0	.1	1.2	151	118	21	7.7	10
Aug. 11-20	291,000	7.6	.02	34	6.3	4.1	1.6	106	20	1.0	.2	1.2	139	111	22	7.6	20
Aug. 21-26, 30, 31.	257,000	8.0	.02	42	9.5	5.1	1.1	136	40	1.0	.2	1.5	176	32	290	7.8	20

^a Discharge at time of sampling.