

## ARTICLE II. VOLUSIA GROWTH MANAGEMENT COMMISSION CONSISTENCY CERTIFICATION RULES AND ORGANIZATION

### DIVISION 1 – DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE

#### Sec. 90-31. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

*Adjacent jurisdiction* means a local government whose territorial boundaries are physically contiguous to the land to be affected by a comprehensive plan or amendment thereto for which an applicant jurisdiction has applied to the commission for a certification or certificate. Notwithstanding any other provision of this article, which requires the commission to publish notice of receipt of an application pursuant to section 90-35(c), an adjacent jurisdiction, as defined in this subsection, shall have 28 days after receipt of an application by the commission to file any objections or comments on or request that a public hearing be held to consider an application.

*Applicant jurisdiction* means a local government which has applied to the commission for a certification or certificate regarding a comprehensive plan or amendment thereto.

*Area* and *area of jurisdiction* mean the total area qualifying under the provisions of F.S. § 163.3171, as amended from time to time, whether this be all of the lands lying within the limits of an incorporated municipality, lands in and adjacent to an incorporated municipality, unincorporated lands within the county, or areas comprising combinations of lands in incorporated municipalities and unincorporated areas of the county.

*Certification* and *certificate* mean a letter, resolution or other written document from the commission determining consistency or inconsistency of a comprehensive plan, element, plan amendment or portion thereof with other applicable plans.

*Charter* means the county Home Rule Charter, as amended.

*Commission* means the Volusia Growth Management Commission, a governmental entity created by the Charter.

*Comprehensive plan* means a plan that meets or is intended to meet the requirements of F.S. §§ 163.3177 and 163.3178.

*Large scale comprehensive plan amendment* means any plan amendment that requires a transmittal and adoption hearing and does not qualify for adoption pursuant to F.S. § 163.3187 as amended from time to time.

*Small scale comprehensive plan amendment* means any plan amendment that only requires an adoption hearing and qualifies for adoption pursuant to F.S. § 163.3187(1)(c) as amended from time to time.

*Written or in writing* means a piece of correspondence or document, as context dictates, that must be provided on paper and delivered by either hand delivery, U.S. Mail or courier service. Electronic transmissions by themselves are not sufficient to be deemed “written” or “in writing” and must be followed up with a hard copy transmittal delivered by either hand delivery, U.S. Mail or courier service.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 2, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 92-87, § 1, 10-8-92; Ord. No. 93-13, § 1, 5-20-93; Ord. No. 2007-05, § 1, 2-22-07; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

### **Sec. 90-32. Interpretation of article.**

In the interpretation and application of this article, all provisions shall be:

- (1) Considered as minimum requirements;
- (2) Liberally construed in favor of the commission;
- (3) Deemed not to limit or repeal any other powers granted by other state statutes, the Charter, county ordinances or commission resolutions; and
- (4) Interpreted in a manner consistent with Section 202.3 of the Volusia County Charter and the Community Planning Act (F.S. § 163.3161 et seq.).

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 14, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

## **DIVISION 2 – Volusia Growth Management Commission Consistency Certification Rules**

### **Sec. 90-33. Findings, purpose and intent.**

In adopting this article, the county council makes and expresses the following findings, purpose and intent:

- (1) In accordance with section 1303 of the county Charter, the 1985-1986 county Charter review commission was formed to prepare necessary amendments to the Charter.
- (2) In consideration of the rapid growth of the county in recent years and the adoption of landmark comprehensive planning legislation in the state, the Charter review commission determined that growth management was a top priority among its objectives.

(3) As a result of information, evidence and testimony received at numerous public meetings and hearings, the Charter review commission proposed the creation of the Volusia Growth Management Commission to determine the consistency of the municipalities' and the county's comprehensive plans and any amendments thereto with each other.

(4) The citizens of the county voted at a referendum held on November 4, 1986, to adopt Charter amendments creating the commission and granting certain powers to the commission.

(5) The main purpose of the commission is to provide an effective means for coordinating the plans of municipalities and the county, in order to provide a forum for the several local governments in the county to cooperate with each other in coordinating the provision of public services to and improvements for the citizens of the county, and create incentives to foster intergovernmental cooperation and coordination.

(6) The commission held an organizational meeting on February 25, 1987, and then, through its committee on growth management related issues, duly noticed and held further public hearings on May 18, 1987, and May 21, 1987, and held commission hearings on June 10, 1987, and June 24, 1987, to develop rules of procedure for and enforcement of the commission's consistency review within the time provided for under the Charter amendment.

(7) On June 24, 1987, the commission adopted Resolution No. 87-5, which recommended that county council adopt this article, which contains the rules of procedure for consistency review and enforcement as required by the Charter amendment.

(8) Since the Volusia County Council adoption of Ordinance No. 87-24, the Commission has undertaken a diligent process with numerous public hearings to consider amendments to the Commission's certification rules as codified in Volusia County Code Chapter 90, Article II. The Commission has addressed revisions to the procedures for submitting and processing applications and has acknowledge advances in technology recognizing the use of electronic communications in defined circumstances.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 1, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

**Sec. 90-34. Certificate of plan consistency required.**

A certificate of consistency is hereby established. No comprehensive plan, element of a comprehensive plan or amendment of a comprehensive plan adopted after November 4, 1986, shall be valid or effective unless and until such comprehensive plan, element of a comprehensive plan or amendment has been reviewed by the commission and has

been certified consistent in accordance with this article. This certificate of consistency will be required in addition to any other necessary licenses, permits and/or approvals applicable to land development.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 3, 7-23-87)

**Sec. 90-35. Application for certificate; procedure for issuance; public hearing requirements.**

(a) After November 4, 1986, all local governments who desire to adopt or amend a comprehensive plan or element or amendment thereof, in accordance with this article, shall submit an application on forms as the commission may prescribe, and shall submit such information as the commission may require. The commission may require such local government to submit any additional information reasonably necessary for proper evaluation of the application.

(b) An applicant jurisdiction shall, at a minimum, submit the following information and documents with any application filed with the commission:

(1) Information required by rule or order of the commission, which shall include, at a minimum, a detailed inquiry into:

a. The extent to which any plan, element, or plan amendment submitted proposes to create adjacent, incompatible land uses and the manner in which the adverse impact of these incompatible uses may be eliminated or mitigated; and

b. The extent to which any plan, element, or plan amendment proposes policies and/or physical improvements which may adversely impact the objective of promoting the coordination of infrastructure affecting more than one area of jurisdiction.

(2) An application shall, at a minimum, contain the following information in addition to that required in subsection (b)(1) of this section:

a. The application shall contain a list of all adjacent governments and units of local government.

b. For each entity listed in subsection (b)(2)a of this section, the application shall indicate the following:

1. Existing coordination mechanisms used in preparation of the plan, element, or plan amendment being submitted.

2. Any recommendations contained in the proposed plan, element, or plan amendment which affect the plans for land use or infrastructure contained in the plans of adjacent local governments within the county.

3. The facts supporting the recommendations contained in subsection (b)(2)b.2 of this section and the identification of recommended measures which may be used to mitigate or eliminate any adverse impacts resulting from these recommendations.

4. Identification of specific problems and needs within the comprehensive plans of said adjacent governments which would benefit from improved or additional intergovernmental coordination, and recommended solutions for resolving these potential problems and needs.

(c) The applicant jurisdiction shall submit one original and five copies of each application. The original application and two copies of each application and all supporting documents filed with the commission's administrative staff must be a hard copy in writing; the remaining copies may be in either hard copy or electronic format. The commission shall process all applications and shall cause public notice of receipt of all applications to be given as provided in this article. When the commission receives an application for approval of a comprehensive plan or amendment thereto, its administrative staff shall date-stamp the application. Within two days on which the VGMC office is open for business, the administrative staff shall conduct a completeness review of the application to ensure: the application is completely filled out; required signatures are present and notarized; required number of copies are included; notification to required jurisdictions and agencies as indicated on application has been accomplished; summary of amendment(s) is provided; verification of the acreage and location for map amendments; verification that staff reports, and current and proposed land use maps, where applicable, are included. If any of the foregoing information is incomplete, the administrative staff shall contact the applicant jurisdiction to obtain the necessary information. An application shall be deemed complete once all information is provided, either at the initial submission of the application or after receipt of all of the minimum requirements described in this subsection (c) based upon the determination of the administrative staff and such application shall have placed upon the written application an additional date designating such application as a complete application (the "complete application"). The administrative staff shall thereafter send a dated cover letter and a notice of the complete application to the applicant jurisdiction and direct that electronic versions of the complete application be sent by the applicant jurisdiction to all adjacent jurisdictions, and to such other persons and in such other manner as may be prescribed by the commission. The administrative staff shall also send a copy of the complete application to the commission's professional staff, and, within 10 days of the date of the complete application, shall cause notice of receipt of the complete application to be published one time only in a newspaper of general circulation in Volusia County. Such notice shall be in substantially the form provided below:

VOLUSIA COUNTY  
VOLUSIA GROWTH MANAGEMENT  
COMMISSION  
Notice of Application

- (1) The type of application (e.g., adoption of or amendment to a comprehensive plan);
- (2) A description and location of the subject matter or activity covered by the action, and the commission's case number, and the name and address of any person at the applicant jurisdiction to whom comments should be directed;
- (3) A copy of the complete application and accompanying material are available for public inspection at the commission's offices at commission's address;
- (4) The notice shall contain paragraphs which read substantially as follows:
  - a. Any substantially affected or aggrieved party shall have a right pursuant to the Volusia Growth Management Commission Comprehensive Plan Consistency Certification Rules to petition for a public hearing on the application. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be received by the commission at the address set forth above within 21 days of publication of this notice with such date being [insert date]. A copy of the petition must also be mailed at the time of filing with the commission to (the named contact person at the address indicated to whom comments should be directed at the applicant jurisdiction).
  - b. Failure to file a petition within 21 days of publication of this notice, that date being [insert date], constitutes a waiver of any right any person may have to a public hearing pursuant to the Volusia Growth Management Commission Comprehensive Plan Consistency Certification Rules and to participate as a substantially affected or aggrieved party. Any subsequent intervention will only be as allowed pursuant to section 90-38 of the Volusia County Code which codifies the Volusia Growth Management Commission Comprehensive Plan Consistency Certification Rules.
  - c. The petition shall contain the following information:
    - i. The name, address and telephone number of each petitioner; the commission's case number and the location of the proposed activity;
    - ii. A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the application;
    - iii. A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the proposed application;

iv. A statement of the material facts disputed by each petitioner, if any;

v. A detailed statement outlining the reasons why the proposed amendment violates the criteria for evaluating compatibility in Sec. 90-37; and

vi. A statement of relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action the petitioner wants the commission to take with respect to the pending application.

(d) All applications received by the commission shall be processed and all determinations of consistency shall be made as provided in this subsection unless a public hearing is held on an application. If the commission holds a public hearing on an application as allowed pursuant to this subsection, the commission shall determine consistency pursuant to the criteria provided in section 90-37.

(1) Review by commission.

a. Within 30 days after the date of the complete application, the commission's professional staff shall examine the complete application; determine whether any adjacent jurisdiction or any other person, including a substantially affected or aggrieved party as defined in this article, has commented or requested a public hearing; notify the applicant jurisdiction of any apparent errors or omissions; request any additional information pertinent to the application; and determine whether the applicant jurisdiction has addressed the conditions of approval of past commission resolutions and whether the application meets the consistency test as set forth in this article. If the commission's professional staff needs additional information to review the application, a request for additional information (RAI) shall be forwarded in writing to the applicant jurisdiction. A written request for additional information shall toll the running of the time provided by this article for the commission to act on the application until either: (i) the RAI response is deemed complete by the commission's professional staff; or (ii) the applicant jurisdiction provides written notice that no further information in response to the RAI will be provided and that the applicant jurisdiction desires to proceed to public hearing on the application. An applicant jurisdiction's failure to supply additional information shall not be grounds for denial of certification unless the commission's professional staff timely requests the additional information from the applicant jurisdiction in writing within 30 days after the complete application date on the application.

b. If the commission's professional staff determines that the applicant jurisdiction has not addressed the conditions of approval of outstanding commission resolutions, the commission shall hold a public hearing.

c. If the commission's professional staff determines that an application may be inconsistent under the test set forth in section 90-37, the commission shall hold a public hearing.

d. [Reserved]

(2) Adjacent jurisdictions. Within 28 days after the date of the complete application, any adjacent jurisdiction may:

- a. Submit written comments regarding the merits or the sufficiency to the commission regarding the complete application;
- b. Request a public hearing; or
- c. Request, for good cause shown in writing and submitted to the chairman of the commission with a copy to the applicant jurisdiction, one 21-day extension of time to comment on the complete application.

The chairman of the commission shall acknowledge in writing such 21-day extension requested by an adjacent jurisdiction. Once one adjacent jurisdiction has requested a 21-day extension, that extension shall apply to all adjacent jurisdictions and no additional extensions of time by any other adjacent jurisdiction to comment on the pending application shall be honored. However, once one request for an extension of time has been made that request shall toll all time periods provided in this subsection.

(3) When a public hearing is requested by either the commission's professional staff or by the applicant jurisdiction pursuant to subsection (d)(1)a. of this section or by an adjacent jurisdiction or a substantially affected or aggrieved party, the commission shall hold a public hearing on the complete application within 60 days after the public hearing is requested but in no event more than 90 days from the date of the complete application (less any tolled time), unless the commission shall not have a regular meeting scheduled or a quorum of the members of the commission shall not be obtained for the regular meeting, which shall by necessity extend the date of the public hearing beyond 90 days. At any public hearing held by the commission to determine whether the adoption of a comprehensive plan or amendment thereto is or can be made to be consistent through conditions, the commission shall comply with the criteria of section 90-37.

(4) Unless a public hearing is otherwise required pursuant to this article, no public hearing shall be held on any complete application received by the commission unless timely requested by the staff, by an adjacent jurisdiction or by



a substantially affected or aggrieved party. If no public hearing is requested by any adjacent jurisdiction, it shall be presumed that all adjacent jurisdictions approved the adoption of or amendment to the comprehensive plan of the applicant jurisdiction.

(5) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the submission of relevant evidence to the commission at any time up to and including a public hearing called by the commission pursuant to this article.

(e) Nothing contained in this article shall preclude the concurrent processing of applications for certification and the state's related review pursuant to the Community Planning Act (F.S. § 163.3161 et seq.), as amended from time to time. For large scale comprehensive plan amendments the application for certification by the commission shall be submitted to the commission simultaneously with, or prior to, transmittal of a proposed plan amendment to the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity ("DEO"). For small scale comprehensive plan amendments the application shall be submitted by the local government concurrent with the forwarding of the recommendations of the Local Planning Agency to the local governing body pursuant to F.S. § 163.3174(4)(a) as amended from time to time. The commission shall have 30 days from receipt of any application to make comments to the DEO. The commission shall have 30 days from the date of the complete application to make comments to the applicant local government. The commission certification shall be a prerequisite to any final public hearing on a comprehensive plan amendment by the applicant local government. The applicant local government's response shall be to both the commission and DEO and shall occur simultaneous with or prior to the applicant local government's response to the objections, recommendations and comments report by the DEO for the comprehensive plan amendment, if applicable.

(f) Every application shall be approved, conditionally approved, or denied within 90 days after the date of the complete application by the commission unless either: (i) the 90-day time period on a complete application has been tolled pursuant to subsection (d)(1) of this section or extended pursuant to subsection (d)(3), in which case the 90-day time period does not include that period from the date of commencement of the tolling until the tolling is stopped; or (ii) an extension is requested and granted as provided in subsection (d)(2) of this section; or (iii) if anytime on or after 60 days from the date of the complete application there occurs a force majeure event/emergency/natural disaster which disrupts normal governmental functions within any part of the county then there shall be an automatic extension of the 90-day time period for an additional 30 days. The chairman of the commission shall provide written notice to the applicant of implementation of an automatic extension under subsection (iii) above. Within 15 days after the conclusion of a public hearing held on the complete application, the applicant jurisdiction shall be notified if the complete application is approved, conditionally approved or denied. Failure of the commission to approve, conditionally approve or deny an application within the time period set forth in this subsection shall be deemed an approval of the application. For every conditional approval, the applicant local government shall comply with the requirements set forth in

the conditional approval including, but not limited to, incorporating into the proposed comprehensive plan amendment referenced in the application those changes recommended by the commission. Failure to incorporate the commission's recommended changes shall result in automatic revocation of the certificate thereby rendering both the complete application and the proposed comprehensive plan amendment of the applicant local government invalid and ineffective. For those conditional approvals granted prior to the effective date of this ordinance, revocation where provided shall occur in accordance with the terms of the resolution of certification. Continuances of hearings may be granted upon a request for a waiver by the applicant jurisdiction of the 90-day period referred to in this subsection, for up to an additional 90-day period as determined by the chairman of the commission. Any requests for continuances totaling longer than 90 days may only be granted by the commission at a noticed hearing.

(g) Within 30 days after final adoption pursuant to state law of any plan, element, or plan amendment previously certified by the commission, the local government adopting said plan, element, or plan amendment shall transmit a true and correct copy of said plan, element, or plan amendment to the commission.

(Ord. No. 87-24, §4,7-23-87; Ord. No. 89-39, § 1,9-7-89; Ord. No. 91-39, § 1,11-21-91; Ord. No. 92-87, § 2, 10-8-92; Ord. No. 93-13, § 2, 5-20-93; Ord. No. 98-17, § 1, 9-3-98; Ord. No. 99-16, §§ 1--3, 5-13-99; Ord. No. 2007-05, § 2, 2-22-07; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

**Sec. 90-36. Consultation with commission regarding application for certificate.**

The applicant or his representative may consult with the staff of the commission concerning the application for certificate under this article. However, any representation by the staff of the commission shall not relieve any person of any requirement of applicable special acts, general laws, articles, the Charter, this article or any other commission rules, regulations or standards, or constitute approval, express or implied.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 5, 7-23-87)

**Sec. 90-37. Criteria for issuance of certificate.**

(a) Consistency shall be determined and a certificate shall be issued to the applicant, upon such conditions as the commission may direct, if the applicant affirmatively provides the commission with reasonable assurance based upon competent, substantial evidence that the proposed plan, element, or plan amendment is consistent with the comprehensive plans of (a) all other local governments which are adjacent to the land to be affected by the applicant's proposed plan, element, or plan amendment, and (b) all other substantially affected and aggrieved local governments whose substantial interests are or will be affected by issuance of the certificate.

(b) For the purpose of subsection (a) of this section, a plan, element, or plan amendment shall be consistent if it is compatible with and in furtherance of such adjacent and substantially affected comprehensive plans when all such plans are construed as a whole. For purposes of this section, the phrase “compatible with” means that the plan, element, or plan amendment is not in conflict with such adjacent and substantially affected comprehensive plans. The phrase “in furtherance of” means to take action in the direction of realizing the goals or policies of such adjacent and substantially affected comprehensive plans. In addition to such requirements, consistency shall not be deemed to exist if the commission affirmatively determines that the plan, element, or plan amendment adversely affects intergovernmental cooperation and coordination.

(c) In determining whether a plan, element, or plan amendment adversely affects intergovernmental cooperation and coordination, the commission may, in its sole discretion, consider one or more of the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the plan, element, or plan amendment provides for areawide or central utility service solutions;

(2) The extent to which the plan, element, or plan amendment provides for areawide or regional transportation solutions;

(3) The extent to which the plan, element, or plan amendment causes or may reasonably be anticipated to cause significant adverse impacts on infrastructure beyond the boundaries of one jurisdiction;

(4) The extent to which the plan, element, or plan amendment causes or may reasonably be anticipated to cause significant adverse impacts on natural resources which extend beyond the boundaries of one jurisdiction;

(5) The extent to which the plan, element, or plan amendment provides for the coordination of the timing and location of capital improvements in a manner to reduce duplication and competition; and

(6) The existence of an agreement among all substantially affected local governments, substantially affected parties (if any) and the applicant local government which provides for all said governments’ consent to the application. If the commission determines that such an agreement exists for any given application, then it shall be rebuttably presumed that said application does not adversely affect intergovernmental cooperation and coordination.

(d) For purposes of determining consistency under this section, the plan, element, or plan amendment and the comprehensive plans against which it is compared and analyzed shall be construed as a whole and no specific goal and policy shall be construed or applied in isolation from the other goals and policies in the plans.

(e) The commission may deny certification where any applicant has failed to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, its entitlement under this article to the certificate.

(f) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this article, for any small scale comprehensive plan amendment which meets the review by commission requirements of section 90-35(d)(1)(a) shall be deemed consistent by the commission and a certification to this effect shall be issued within 40 days of the date of the complete application by the commission without the need to hold a public hearing, provided no written objections are timely issued or received by the commission. If a 21-day extension is requested pursuant to section 90-35(d)(2)c, then the small scale comprehensive plan amendment shall be deemed consistent by the commission if it meets the review by commission requirements of section 90-35(d)(1)(a), and a certificate issued within 60 days of the date of the complete application without any need to hold public hearing, provided no written objections are timely issued or received by the commission.

(g) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this article, for any small scale comprehensive plan amendment the failure to file a written objection to any such small scale comprehensive plan amendment shall be deemed a waiver of any right to intervene pursuant to section 90-38. If a written objection to any such small scale plan amendment is issued or received, then that plan amendment application shall be processed and reviewed in the same manner and subject to the same requirements as set forth in sections 90-35, 90-36 and 90-37.

(h) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this article, any modifications to the capital improvements element of a comprehensive plan done pursuant to F.S. § 163.3177(3)(b), which would otherwise be reviewable by the commission, and are not deemed to be amendments to the comprehensive plan pursuant to that statute, shall be exempt from further review by the commission.

(i) Each applicant has a continuing affirmative duty to submit the objections, recommendations and comments (ORC) report and any and all additional correspondence, notices, documentation, orders, proposed orders, agreements or other information except adversarially administrative pleadings in formal F.S. § 120.57(1) proceedings (collectively referred to in this section as “additional information”) prepared by, transmitted by, received from or agreed to by either the State of Florida Department of Economic Opportunity or the applicant, related to any comprehensive plan, element, or amendment previously certified as consistent by the commission. The commission shall have the right, power and authority to reopen and reconsider its decision to certify consistency and change or modify its conditions of certification applicable to any such plan, element, or amendment should the commission determine in its sole discretion that the additional information changes the facts and circumstances related to its prior certification until a final determination as to the validity of the plan, element of a plan, or plan amendment is made pursuant to the Community Planning Act (F.S. § 163.3161 et seq.), as amended from time to time. Should the applicant fail to submit to the commission a copy of any and all additional information within 30 days after receipt,

transmittal, execution or creation (as applicable) by the applicant, the commission shall likewise have the right, power and authority to reopen and reconsider said certificate of consistency. The commission may initiate any such reconsideration proceeding by sending written notice to the applicant/certificate holder, shall schedule and advertise such reconsideration proceeding as a public hearing no less than 60 days after the date of said notice, and may consider any issue and receive such evidence in said public hearing and its subsequent decision that it deems relevant. The commission shall render a written decision by resolution within 30 days from the date of said public hearing. Appeal from said decision shall be in the manner provided in this article for appeal of certifications of consistency.

(j) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary, an application for a certificate of plan consistency shall not be reviewed at a public hearing except as provided in section 90-35(d). When no public hearing is held, the chairman of the commission, based upon the recommendation of the professional staff of the commission, shall issue by letter a certificate of plan consistency as provided in section 90-35(d). This issuance of the certificate of plan consistency by letter is the final administrative action by the commission on the application. However, if a public hearing is called by the commission or is held pursuant to the request of an adjacent jurisdiction or a substantially affected or aggrieved party, the commission shall determine consistency pursuant to the criteria contained in this section; and the applicant jurisdiction shall be required to establish by competent, substantial evidence that its application meets the criteria specified in this section.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 6, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 90-46, § 1, 12-20-90; Ord. No. 91-39, § 2, 11-21-91; Ord. No. 92-87, § 3, 10-8-92; Ord. No. 93-13, § 3, 5-20-93; Ord. No. 2007-05, § 3, 2-22-07; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

### **Sec. 90-38. Intervention.**

Persons other than the original parties to a pending complete application under this article who are or may be substantially affected and aggrieved by the outcome of the proceeding may petition the commission for leave to intervene. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed in writing at least five days before the date of the public hearing, and should, at a minimum, contain the following:

- (1) The name and address of the intervenor, and an explanation of how its substantial interests may be substantially affected by the commission's determination;
- (2) If the intervenor intends to object to certification of consistency, a statement of all disputed issues of material fact, including specific objections to the pending application;
- (3) A demand for relief to which the intervenor deems itself entitled; and

- (4) Other information which the intervenor contends is material and relevant.

Furthermore, the petition shall include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or may be affected by the outcome of the proceeding. Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prohibit or prevent members of the public from being heard at the public hearing required by section 90-35.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 7, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

**Sec. 90-39. Revocation of certificate.**

If the commission's professional staff advises the commission that the applicant jurisdiction or its agent submitted false or inaccurate material information in its complete application or at a public hearing, the commission shall hold a public hearing and if the Commission shall vote to revoke a certificate of plan consistency such action shall invalidate the plan, element, or plan amendment certified thereby.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 8, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

**Sec. 90-40. Appeals.**

(a) Any substantially affected and aggrieved local government or other substantially affected and aggrieved party which has previously timely intervened pursuant to section 90-38 may contest the issuance, denial or revocation of a certificate of consistency by filing a petition for writ of certiorari along with a complete record of the proceeding(s) from which said certificate emanated so certified by the commission's records custodians, in the manner prescribed by the state appellate rules to the circuit court of the county, within 30 days after the date the commission's decision is filed with its secretary. The court shall not conduct a trial de novo. The proceedings before the commission, including the testimony of witnesses, and any exhibits, photographs, maps or other documents filed before them, shall be subject to review by the circuit court. The petition for writ of certiorari shall state how the commission erred and shall include all of the documents, papers, photographs, exhibits and transcripts constituting the record upon which the action appealed from was taken, or properly certified copies thereof in lieu of originals. The petition, along with the record, shall be filed in the circuit court within 30 days after the filing of the decision by the commission to which such petition is addressed. The court may extend the time for filing the record, including the transcript and exhibits, for good cause shown. The person filing the petition for certiorari shall be responsible for filing a true and correct transcript of the complete testimony of the witnesses.

(b) The petition for writ of certiorari shall be furnished to the original applicant, the owner of record of the subject property, to each attorney at law appearing for any

person at the hearing before the Volusia Growth Management Commission, and to the Volusia Growth Management Commission. The commission shall suspend the issuance of its permit until the court has ruled upon the petition.

(c) The Volusia Growth Management Commission shall be a necessary and indispensable party to any appeal of its decisions. Any other person including but not limited to an adjacent local government may intervene, pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.230, as a respondent in the certiorari proceeding authorized by this section.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 9, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 99-16, § 4, 5-13-99)

**Sec. 90-41. Enforcement.**

The commission may institute a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction to seek injunctive relief to enforce compliance with this article or any certificate issued pursuant to this article.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 10, 7-23-87)

**Sec. 90-42. Waiting period for reapplication for certificate.**

No local government shall have the right to file an application for certification pursuant to section 90-35 if the same plan, element, or plan amendment for which certification is applied has been the subject of an application before the commission within a period of six (6) months prior to the filing of the application. However, the applicant jurisdiction has the right to withdraw, without the penalty of the six (6) month waiting period, an application at any time up to fifteen (15) days before either (i) the issuance of a letter of certificate of plan consistency pursuant to section 90-37(j) or (ii) the date of the scheduled public hearing on the application pursuant to section 90-35(e). Such withdrawal of the application shall be made either electronically or in writing and delivered by either hand delivery, U.S. Mail or courier service to the commission. Electronic transmissions must be followed up by the applicant jurisdiction with a hard copy transmittal delivered to the commission as soon as possible.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 11, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

**Sec. 90-43. Article not to affect preexisting rights.**

Nothing in this article shall alter or affect rights previously vested or plans, elements, or plan amendments previously, finally and completely adopted in accordance with applicable state law prior to November 4, 1986.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 12, 7-23-87; Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)

**Sec. 90-44. Ratification of past agreements.**

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this article, the following agreements are hereby ratified and confirmed and the plans, elements, and plan amendments involved therein are certified consistent for purposes of this article:

- (1) Agreement between the City of Daytona Beach, Florida, and Gerald Berson dated March 1987.
- (2) Agreement between the City of Port Orange, Florida, DSC of Newark Enterprises, Inc., and the County dated January 8, 1987.
- (3) Agreement between the City of Port Orange, Florida, S.C.B. Development Inc., and the County dated January 8, 1987.
- (4) Agreement between the City of Edgewater, Florida, Radnor/Edgewater, Inc., and the County dated January 12, 1987.
- (5) Agreement between the City of Port Orange, Sandalwood Inc., and the County dated January 5, 1987.
- (6) Agreement between the City of Port Orange, Jennie M. Krol and the County dated January 5, 1987.
- (7) County Council Ordinance No. 87-19, approving, among other things, amending the County comprehensive plan amendments related to Mosquito Lagoon, Hontoon Island and the North Peninsula.

(Ord. No. 87-24, § 13, 7-23-87)

Secs. 90-45 thru 90-50 – Reserved

**DIVISION 3 – VOLUSIA GROWTH MANAGEMENT COMMISSION  
ORGANIZATION**

**Sec. 90-51. Member Appointments**

There shall be one voting member from each municipality within the county and five voting members from the unincorporated area of the county. The appointment of each voting representative shall be made by the governing body of each respective jurisdiction. A voting member of the Commission may be appointed to the Commission so long as the voting member at such time of the appointment: (i) is not a candidate for elective office and does not hold elective office with respect to any municipality in Volusia County or Volusia County; (ii) would not violate the dual-office holding provision



of the Florida Constitution, and (iii) maintains a residence within the boundary of the appointing jurisdiction or the unincorporated area of Volusia County. In the event clause (i) or (ii) shall apply to a voting member during the term of appointment, there shall be declared an immediate vacancy on the date such voting member officially files the paperwork as a candidate for elective office or the date the voting member assumes the position creating the dual-office. The Volusia County School Board and the St. Johns River Water Management District shall each designate one nonvoting member to serve on the Commission. All members will serve until successors are appointed and qualified. Nonvoting members shall serve at the pleasure of their appointing authorities. Any voting or nonvoting member may be reappointed.

### **Sec. 90-52. Membership Term**

All terms of the current members appointed by a municipality and Volusia County shall expire based upon the original three year term of appointment previously designated by the Commission. For the period July 1, 2013, to and including July 1, 2015, the term for members of the Commission appointed by a municipality and Volusia County shall be transitioned so that the terms shall expire on a bi-annual basis and the approximately one-half of the current weighted vote shall be subject to appointment on a bi-annual basis. Members appointed by a municipality to a term beginning on July 1, 2012, shall be appointed to a three year term expiring on June 30, 2015. Members that are appointed by a municipality, other than the City of Deltona, for a term beginning July 1, 2013, shall be appointed for a four year term, expiring on June 30, 2017. The member appointed by the City of Deltona for a term beginning July 1, 2013, shall be appointed for a two year term expiring on June 30, 2015. Members that are appointed by a municipality for a term beginning July 1, 2014, shall be appointed for a three year term expiring on June 30, 2017. All members that are appointed by a municipality for a term beginning on and after July 1, 2015 shall be appointed to a four year term. The current terms for the two Volusia County members expiring on June 30, 2013, shall initially be for two years expiring on June 30, 2015, and thereafter shall for a four year term. The current terms for the three Volusia County members expiring on June 30, 2014, shall initially be for three years expiring on June 30, 2017, and thereafter shall be for a four year term.

### **Sec. 90-53. Member Removal, Attendance and Vacancies**

A member or officer may be removed by a weighted vote of two-thirds of the Commission for the intentional failure to disclose a voting conflict of interest as required by Section 112.3143 of Florida Statutes or other applicable law, for misfeasance or malfeasance. Misfeasance shall be any lawful action which is performed on behalf of or in connection with the Commission which is found to have been done in an illegal or improper manner. Malfeasance shall be any action which is performed on behalf of or in connection with the Commission which is found to be an act of wrongdoing or intentional misconduct.

In order for the Commission to carry out its duties and responsibilities to the best of its

abilities, attendance at all regular meetings of the Commission is mandatory. If any member fails to attend three regularly scheduled Commission meetings during any calendar year ending December 31, the member's seat shall be deemed vacant. The Commission Chairman shall notify the member and appointing jurisdiction after two missed regular meetings. A vacancy on the Commission shall also occur upon the death of the Commission member, upon the member's resignation, upon the refusal of an appointee to accept a position as a member of the Commission, upon conviction of a felony, upon adjudication of the member by a court to be mentally incompetent.

Upon such removal or vacancy, the member's seat shall be deemed vacant and the Chairman of the Commission shall send written notification of the vacancy to the member and their appointing jurisdiction. A member may be reappointed by their respective jurisdiction if the seat is deemed vacant due to the failure to attend meetings of the Commission. Appointments to fill any vacancy shall be for the remainder of the unexpired term. The weighted vote apportioned to a vacant seat shall not be counted in determining whether or not a majority of the weighted vote is present and voting at a meeting of the Commission.

**Secs. 90-54 – 90-70. – Reserved.**

(Ord. No. 2012-16, § 1, 10-4-12)