#### **Appendix B: Sample Interview Assessment Booklet**

This template, designed for the position of Assistant Deputy Minister, may be adapted to suit any occupational group or level and the specific assessment needs of your organization. Among the different proposed parts, choose those that are best suited to the position that you are assessing. For instance, the behavioural indicators for the Key Leadership Competencies can be replaced by the appropriate level of leadership by copying and pasting the behaviours for each competency from the <a href="Key Leadership Competencies">Key Leadership Competencies</a> Website. For other specific groups or levels, both the competencies and behaviours can be replaced.

Note that this Assessment Booklet corresponds to the Note-taking Booklet presented in Appendix A, for the related qualifications and questions.

## INTERVIEW ASSESSMENT BOOKLET

	Position Title, Group and Level: Assistant Deputy Minister
	Selection Process Number:
	Applicant's Name/ID #:
	Date:
Interv	ew Board Members
1	
2	
3	

#### Assessment

To assess the applicant's qualifications, the interview board members will need the following:

- Notes taken during the interview in the Interview Note-taking Booklet.
- This Interview Assessment Booklet, which includes the competencies and behavioural indicators assessed during the interview.

After each interview, interview board members first assign an independent rating for the first qualification assessed, for each applicant. The board then engages in a discussion to determine a final consensus rating for that qualification and proceeds in the same manner with the other qualifications, one at a time. The following seven steps are recommended to assist the board in reaching a single consensus rating for each of the competencies:

- **Step 1:** All members of the board review the definition of the first qualification to be assessed and its behavioural indicators.
- **Step 2:** The board members independently review their notes and determine which of the behavioural indicators associated with the qualification under review were demonstrated in the interview. Board members should record examples of the applicant's demonstration of the behavioural indicators.
- **Step 3:** Each board member independently rates the applicant on the first qualification.
- **Step 4:** The board members then discuss their individual ratings for that qualification, with the goal of determining a single group rating for the applicant. This discussion continues until a consensus is reached.
- **Step 5:** The lead interviewer ensures that the final consensus rating is recorded on the Final Rating Form. The rationale for the rating in terms of the behaviours that the applicant did or did not demonstrate is also recorded.
- **Step 6:** Having reached consensus on the first qualification, the board then proceeds to rate the remaining competencies in turn.
- **Step 7:** The final group ratings and notes for the rationale are documented in the applicant's file, along with the Interview Note-taking Booklets.

#### Assigning a rating

Each interview question is designed to assess one or more qualifications. However, during the interview, interviewers may observe that an applicant's response to a

question targeting one qualification also provides behavioural evidence for another. When deriving their qualification ratings, interview board members should use all the behavioural evidence provided by the applicant, even if the evidence appears in responses to questions targeting other competencies.

Each qualification will be assessed using the following rating scale:

Does not meet the qualification			N	leets the qualification	
	Very Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/Below Average	Moderate/Average	Strong/Above Average	Very Strong/Excellent
	Offacceptable			Average	Strong/Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5

The purpose of the rating scale is to assess:

- The demonstration of the scope of the behaviours that constitute the qualification; and
- The demonstration of the depth to which the behaviours were demonstrated during the interview.

The scope of the behaviours refers to how many of the behaviours for the given competencies were evident in the applicant's responses.

The depth of the observed behaviours refers to how they were demonstrated. It may be evaluated by the degree of complexity, soundness and precision with which the behaviours were demonstrated by the applicant.

Here are some tips for the board to consider when evaluating the quality and breadth of the information that has been gathered from the structured interview:

- Try not to place undue weight on isolated incidents. Rather, look for overall patterns in the evidence. Also, consider the possibility that the applicant's performance may have been influenced by situational factors.
- Consider the quality of the provided information, especially if you suspect that the applicant is not being entirely frank or if there is inconsistent information.
- Ensure that responses are weighted in the same way for all applicants.
- Document the behavioural examples that were gathered during the interview.
- Be aware of rater biases and common rater errors, such as central tendency (see Common Assessment Errors).

## **Key Leadership Competencies Definitions**

# Values & Ethics Integrity & Respect

Public service (PS) leaders serve Canadians, ensuring integrity in personal and organizational practices, and respect people and PS principles, including democratic, professional, ethical and people values. They build respectful, bilingual, diverse, and inclusive workplaces where decisions and transactions are transparent and fair. They hold themselves, their employees, and their organizations accountable for their actions.

# Strategic Thinking Analysis & Ideas

PS leaders advise and plan based on analysis of issues and trends and how these link to the responsibilities, capabilities, and potential of their organization. They scan an ever-changing, complex environment in anticipation of emerging crises and opportunities. They develop well-informed advice and strategies that are sensitive to the various needs of multiple stakeholders and partners, reflect the strategic direction of the PS, and position the organization for success.

# **Engagement**People, Organizations, and Partners

PS leaders engage people, organizations, and partners, in developing goals, executing plans, and delivering results. They lay the groundwork by building coalitions with key players. They mobilize teams, building momentum to get things done by communicating clearly and consistently, investing time and energy to engage the whole organization. They use their negotiation skills and adaptability to encourage recognition of joint concerns and collaboration and to influence the success of outcomes. They follow and lead across boundaries to engage broad-based stakeholders, partners and constituencies in a shared agenda and strategy.

### **Management Excellence**

**Action Management, People Management, and Financial Management** 

PS leaders deliver results by maximizing organizational effectiveness and sustainability. They ensure that people have the support and tools they need, and that the workforce as a whole has the capacity and diversity to meet current and longer-term organizational objectives. They align people, work, and systems, with the business strategy to harmonize how they work and what they do. They implement rigorous and comprehensive human and financial resources accountability systems, consistent with the MAF. They ensure that the integrity and management of information and knowledge are a responsibility at all levels and a key factor in the design and execution of all policies and programs.

	Va	lues and Etl	hics- Inte	grity and	Respect		
Behavioural indicators  Demonstrates Values Integrates Values an Reflects a commitme Provides fearless ad Builds departmental/ Builds and promotes policies Builds and promotes Models transparency Interview question(s):	s and E d Ethic ent to ci vice an agency a bilin a safe y and fa	ethics, including the s, including the contizens and clients d acts with the convalues into branch gual, diverse, inclusion, healthy, respectful	e Code, in peode, into bran in own and burage of their h policies an sive branch,	ersonal behanch practices oranch activity convictions disprograms based on of	ies ies ficial language al		. ,
		Inde	ependent	Rating			
Does not meet t	he qua	lification		Me	ets the qualifica	tion	
Very Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/	Below Average	Moderate/A	Average	Strong/Above Average		Very Strong/Excellent
1		2	3		4		5
		Co	nsensus I	Rating			
Interviewer 1		Intervie 2	wer	Into	erviewer 3		Consensus Rating
/5		/5		/5		/5	
		Exar	mples/Cor	nments			

Strategic Thinking- Analysis								
Behavioural indicators (choose the indicators specific to the position):								
□ Frames questions a								
□ Seeks DM, governr	ment, nation	al, regional,	global and techn	ical pe	erspectives on sector i	issue	es	
□ Recognizes critical	or sensitive	issues and	makes links to pr	iorities	S			
□ Distinguishes between	een critical a	and trivial fac	ctors in making ju	dgme	nts			
□ Analyzes setbacks	and seeks l	nonest feedb	ack to learn from	mista	akes			
Interview question(s)	): #2							
			ndependent	Ratir	ng			
Does not meet t	the qualific	ation			Meets the qualificat	ions	<b>.</b>	
Very Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/Belov	_	Moderate/Avera	age	Strong/Above Avera	ge	Very Strong/Excellent	
1		2	3		4		5	
			Consensus F	Ratin	g			
Interviewer 1	•	Interviewer 2			Interviewer 3		Consensus Rating	
/5			/5		/5		/5	
		E	xamples/Con	nmer	nts			

Strategic Thinking- Ideas							
Behavioural indicators (	choose the indicators specific to	o the positior	າ):				
☐ Provides quality judgm	nent and policy advice to	the DM					
□ Contributes to the elab	poration of the collective/l	DM vision					
□ Articulates the vision i	n terms of own mandate						
□ Develops innovative s	olutions to non-traditional	l problems	3				
□ Develops strategies to	manage the scope and	pace of ch	ange				
□ Develops strategies th	nat are effective in the sho	ort and lon	iger term				
□ Encourages construct	ive questioning of policies	S					
☐ Envisions possibilities	without detailed informat	ion					
☐ Exercises sound profe	essional judgment based	on analysi	is and consultati	on, as neede	ed .		
□ Teaches and learns fr	om others						
Interview question(s): #	2						
	Inde	epender	nt Rating				
Does not meet th	ne qualification		Mee	ts the qualif	ication		
Very Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/Below Average	Moderate	e/Average	Strong/A Avera		Very Strong/Excellent	
1	2		3 4		90	5	
	Coi	nsensus	Rating				
Interviewer 1	Interviewe 2	er	Interviewer 3		Consensus Rating		
/5	/5		/5		/5		
	Exan	nples/C	omments				

	ENG	GAGEMENT —	People	e, Organiza	ations, Partr	ers	
Ве	havioural indicators (cho	pose the indicators specific t	o the position	on):			
	Cultivates effective relationships and networks with central agencies, other organizations, clients, citizens, unions, central and regional employees and other levels of government						
	Provides communication	links up, down and th	roughout	the organization	n and networks		
	Communicates with, and	l encourages, candour	and clari	ty			
	Communicates and inter	prets external contexts	s to positi	on branch, tea	m and individual	contri	butions
	Demonstrates and elicits	trust by seeking and p	oroviding	honest and co	nstructive feedba	ack	
	Actively listens to and ur	nderstands impact on o	others				
	Influences outcomes by	negotiating win-win so	lutions ar	nd compromise	and resolving co	onflict	
	Tailors approach to cont	ext, e.g., collaborative	for conse	ensus building,	directive in crisis	3	
	Inspires a commitment to	o excellence by demor	nstrating p	oassionate pers	sonal commitme	nt	
	Works with the department	ental/agency and PS-w	ide ADM	community to	deliver on share	d obje	ctives
	Creates an open, positiv	e environment to stimu	ılate oper	n discussion			
In	terview question(s): #1						
		Ind	epende	nt Rating			
	Does not meet the	qualification		М	eets the qualifi	cation	ı
Ve	ery Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/Below Average	Moderate/Average		Strong/Above Average		Very Strong/Excellent
	1	2		3	4		5
		Co	nsensu	s Rating			
	Interviewer 1	Interviewer 2	r	Interviewer 3		Consensus Rating	
	/5	/5			/5		/5
		Exa	mples/0	Comments			

ı	Man	agement Exc	ellence	— Action N	Managen	nent	
Behavioural indicators	(cho	ose the indicators sp	pecific to th	e position):			
☐ Leads change that ma	ximiz	es results in the bra	anch, organ	izationally and	in the sector	•	
☐ Acts as a steward for	the er	ntire department/age	ency, not ju	st their own bra	anch		
☐ Identifies and communication performance agreement			nes, timelin	es, performanc	e measures	, clear acc	ountabilities and
☐ Designs and manages	s a str	rategic risk managei	ment frame	work			
□ Coordinates national a	and re	egional priorities, pla	anning and	performance			
☐ Aligns priorities and re	sour	ces					
☐ Follows through on the reporting	e brar	nch business plan fr	om plannin	g, implementin	g, monitorin	g and eval	uating through to
□ Integrates comptroller	ship,	MAF, federal legisla	ation, regula	ations and polic	ies into bran	ich practic	es
□ Fulfils obligations of b	ranch	management acco	untabilities				
☐ Integrates HR, finance	e, IT, I	IM and communicat	ions issues	into planning a	and actions		
□ Revises goals and pla	ns to	reflect changing pri	orities or co	onditions			
□ Commits to a course of	of acti	on, if required, des	pite incomp	olete information	n		
☐ Makes decisions, initia	ates u	rgent actions and re	emains calr	n in crisis situat	tions		
□ Recognizes and ackno	owled	lges errors and mak	es correcti	ons			
Interview question(s):	#2						
		Ind	lepender	nt Rating			
Does not meet t	he qu	ıalification		Mee	ets the qual	ification	
Very Poor/	Poo	r/Below Average	Moderate	/Average	Strong/A		Very
Unacceptable 1		2		3	Avera 4	age	Strong/Excellent 5
		Co	nsensus	Rating			
Interviewer 1		Interview 2	er	Intervi 3		C	Consensus Rating
/5 /5 /5					/5		
Examples/Comments							

P	/lanagement Exc	ellen	ce— People i	Manage	ment		
Behavioural indicators	choose the indicators sp	ecific to	the position):				
□ Develops HR strategy	•		,				
□ Creates expert teams	to address specific or cris	sis issue	es				
☐ Sets clear expectation	s, monitors, evaluates, re	ewards a	and develops perfo	rmance			
☐ Recognizes people's a	accomplishments and bes	st efforts	3				
☐ Gives honest feedbac	k, encourages learning ar	nd mana	ages non-performa	nce			
□ Deals with HR probler	ns decisively and effective	ely					
□ Recognizes the import	ance of and supports the	DG co	mmunity				
☐ Balances complement	ary strengths in teams						
☐ Builds leadership thro	ughout the branch						
☐ Guides and develops	people through appraisals	s, caree	r planning and dev	elopment			
☐ Consults with support	services prior to changing	g HR pr	otocols				
☐ Implements rigorous F	IR systems and fulfils obl	igations	of HRM accounta	bilities			
Interview question(s): #	3						
	Ind	lepend	lent Rating				
Does not meet the	<u> </u>	1		ets the qu			
Very Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/Below Average	Moder	ate/Average	Strong/ Aver		Very Strong/Excellent	
1	2		3	4		5	
	Co	nsens	sus Rating				
Interviewer 1	Interviewer 2		Interviewer 3			Consensus Rating	
/5	/5		/5			/5	
Examples/Comments							

	lanagement Exc	ellence— Financia	al Management				
Behavioural indicators (choose the indicators specific to the position):							
□ Allocates resources t	☐ Allocates resources transparently and establishes a culture of ongoing reallocation						
☐ Aligns business drive	rs with financial manage	ment regime					
□ Promotes innovative	approaches to deal with	fiscal restraint					
□ Integrates comptrolle	rship processes and pror	motes due diligence					
☐ Reviews and reacts t	o the results of audits and	d evaluations					
☐ Continuously monitor	s performance and seek	s efficiencies					
☐ Fulfils obligations of a	accountabilities for brancl	h financial management					
□ Provides objective, c	edible and timely reporting	ng to the DM					
☐ Consults with suppor	t services prior to changi	ng financial protocols					
Interview question(s):	#4						
	In	dependent Rating					
Does not meet t	•		ets the qualification				
Very Poor/ Unacceptable	Poor/Below Average	Moderate/Average	Strong/Above Average	Very Strong/Excellent			
1	2	3	4	5			
	С	onsensus Rating					
Interviewer	Interviewe 2	r Intervio	ewer	Consensus Rating			
1	2	/5 /5 /5					
<u>'</u>				/5			
<u>'</u>	/5			-			

### **FINAL RATING SUMMARY**

Applicant:		
Date:		
Interview board	Name	Signature
Interviewer 1:		
Interviewer 2:		
Interviewer 3:		

		Results		
Competencies	Consensus rating	Meets	Does not meet	
Values and Ethics	/5			
Strategic Thinking- Analysis	/5			
Strategic Thinking- Ideas	/5			
Engagement	/5			
Management Excellence- Action Management	/5			
Management Excellence- People Management	/5			
Management Excellence- Financial Management	/5			