

CCWD Root Cause Analysis Procedure

Employee / Incident Information:			
Employee Name:			
Total Years in Job classification: Shift Start Time: Shirt Start Sta	ft End Time		
Exact location of incident (Bldg/Level/Area):			
Type of activity at time of incident (i.e., concrete cleanup):			
Specific task at time of incident (i.e., finishing, sweeping):			
How long had the worker been performing specific task:			
Typical task for the week:			
Typical task for the day:			
Turing / Tiller and Turk and Africa			
Injury / Illness Information: Dev of Week Time of Incident	at.		
Date of Incident: Day of Week: Time of Incident			
Type of Injury: Part of bo			
Employee's Direct Supervisor:			
Name of Witnesses			
(list):			
PPE worn at time of incident			
(list):			
Include Pertinent Photos of Incident Scene			

Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 2 of 9

Interview Forms


T
Interviewee #:
Name (Interviewee):
Job Title:
Date of Interview:
Name (Interviewer):
Job Title:
Note: Interviews should be structured to allow full discussion of incident and to provide as much information as possible, relevant to defining root causes. The following questions should be used as guidelines to encourage discussion during the interview.

Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 3 of 9

Incident Timeline:

Describe in detail the sequence of events involving this incident in as much detail as possible. The timeline should include when the incident started and what constituted the start and include



Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 4 of 9

Equipment:					
Equipment: This is to find out possible causes resulting from equipment or materials used.					
1. Was there an equipment failure? If yes, what caused the equipment to fail?					
	Comments:				
2.	2. Was all personal protective equipment used?				
3.					
4.					
5.	Was the incident related to the equipment or equipment selection?				
6.	1 1 1 1				
7.	Was the employee informed of the potential or existing conditions and the job				
	procedures for completing the task?				
8.	Was there an equipment inspection to detect the conditions?				
9.	Was the correct equipment or tools readily available?				
10.	Did the employee know where to obtain equipment required for the job?				
Fn	vironment:	Yes	No		
	s is used to find out what the physical conditions in the environment were like	103	110		
	s is used to find out what the physical conditions in the environment were like				
	e v				
dur	ing the time of the accident.				
dur 1.	ing the time of the accident. Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident?				
dur	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain:				
dur 1. 2.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments:				
dur 1.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain:				
dur 1. 2.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred?				
1. 2. 3.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments:				
1. 2. 3.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was				
dur 1. 2. 3.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.?				
dur1.2.3.4.5.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested?				
dur1.2.3.4.5.6.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident?				
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the				
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the incident?				
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the incident?				
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the incident?				
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the incident?				
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the incident?	Yes	No		
dur 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Did temperature or weather have an effect on the incident? Was poor housekeeping a problem? If yes, explain: Comments: What were the physical conditions of the area when the accident occurred? Comments: Was there another activity in the area that contributed to the way the work was conducted, how work was scheduled, or altered the way tasks were done, etc.? Was the work area congested? Did noise, lighting, dust etc. have any effect on the incident? Were there any other hazards from the surrounding area that played a part in the incident? Intributing Factors:	Yes	No		

Administrative Procedure

IX-9: SAFETY INCIDENT INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE

Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 5 of 9

3.	Was fatigue a factor? How many hours have they worked in the past day and					
	past week? If yes, please explain.					
	Comments:					
4.	Was the injured worker authorized and qualified to do this operation?					
5.	id the way the work was conducted result in awkward postures, excessive					٦
	stress or other musculoskeletal risk factors?					_
6.	Was there enough time to do the task safely?					
7.	Assuming there was enough time to do the task safely, was there external				T	٦
	pressure to cut costs, cut time etc. that would have impacted safety?			'		_
Con	tributing Factors:					
			_	-1		_
	Procedure:)	Ye	S	N	0
	pertains to the actual work being performed at the time of the accident.	<u></u>			_	_
1.	Was a safe work procedure used?	Ш			<u> </u>	<u>_</u>
2.	Was there a written procedure?	Ш			<u> </u>	<u>_</u>
3.	Had conditions changed to make the normal procedure unsafe?				<u> </u>	
4.	Was there a job hazard analysis? Were the protective measures identified by				L	
	the job hazard analysis?	L				
5.	What was the injured worker doing at the time of the accident?	L			_	_
6.	Were approved procedures being followed?	Ш			<u> </u>	<u>_</u>
7.	Could the location of the work area or access to the work area been improved?				<u> </u>	
8.	Was the hazard identified in safety inspections?				<u> </u>	
9.	Did the worker(s) identify the hazards?				<u> </u>	
10.	Was training conducted?				<u> </u>	
11.	Were safety procedures reviewed prior to the start of the activity?				\bot	
12.	Was there adequate safety signage present?					
13.	Were the protective measures identified in safety inspections?					
14.	Were written plans or procedures for protection present or available?					
15.	Were the protective measures readily available?					
16.	Did the worker follow the established procedures or requirements?					
17.	Did the worker obey safety signs or barricades?					
18.	Did the worker use tools or equipment in a safe manner?					
19.	Was there an inadvertent error or not paying attention?]
20.	Did the worker recognize the hazard?					
21.	Did the worker perceive production pressures?	П			Ī]
Con	tributing Factors:					

Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 6 of 9

Mai	nagement:	Yes	No		
Management holds the legal responsibility for the safety of the jobsite; therefore we					
need	need to examine the role of both the supervisors and management systems in place.				
1.	Were safety rules pertinent to work performed communicated to and understood by all employees?				
2.	Were they being enforced?				
3.	Was there adequate supervision?				
4.	Was sufficient time allocated to perform the job/task safely?				
5.	5. Were workers trained to do the work?				
6.	Had hazards been previously identified?				
7.	Had procedures been developed to overcome them?				
8.	Were unsafe conditions corrected?				
9.	Are daily tailgate/tailboard topics and hazards being communicated in tailgate/tailboard meetings?				
10.	Is safety being fully discussed in the lead meetings or are these meetings being held at least weekly?				
11.	Is information from the lead meeting making it to staff?				
12.	Are site orientations not being conducted, not effective or otherwise not addressing the hazard? If yes to any, comment.				
13.	Are high hazard pre-task meetings being held?				
14.	Are sub pre-construction meetings being held for every subcontractor and safety adequately discussed?				
15.	Are items addressed in the safety inspections being addressed in a timely manner or otherwise being communicated to the correcting supervisor/manager?				
16.	Are workers taking the necessary steps to communicate hazards to supervisors or coworkers?				
17.	Are hazards being communicated to all affected parties in a timely manner?				
18.	Are there any other barriers to hazard communication on the project?				
Con	tributing Factors:				

Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 7 of 9

Root Cause Analysis Roll Up

Summary: Please go through the last five sections of the Root Cause Analysis Worksheet and summarize all						
of th	Contributing factors to the incidence contributing Factors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Due Date		
1.	Equipment:					
2.	Environment:					
3.	Personnel:					
4.	Job Procedure:					
5.	Management:					
Por	ot Cause:					
1.	or Cause.					
2.						

Effective: September 30, 2009

Page 8 of 9

Root Cause Analysis Final Report

Department:	
Injury Date:	
Jobsite of the Injured Worker:	
Occupation of Injured/Impacted Worker:	
Nature/Severity:	
Incident Summary:	
incident Summary.	
Interviewee(s) (and title(s)):	
Interviewer(s) (and title(s)):	
Person(s) injured:	
1 0100 n(0) ngaroa.	
Contributing Factor(s):	

Administrative Procedure IX-9: SAFETY INCIDENT INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE Effective: September 30, 2009 Page 9 of 9

Root Cause	(s):

Corrective Action Plan:	Person Responsible:	Due Date: