

MINUTES

SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD REGULAR MEETING

JUNE 11, 2014
9:00 AM

PRESENT: Vice Mayor Paulette Burdick, Chair
Commissioner Mary Lou Berger, Vice Chair
Commissioner Hal Valeche, Secretary
Commissioner Steven Abrams, Member
Commissioner Shelley Vana, Member (present at 9:04 AM)
Commissioner Jess Santamaria, Member
Mayor Priscilla Taylor, Member

ABSENT: None

STAFF: Mark Hammond, Executive Director
Dan Pellowitz, Managing Director
Sandra Vassalotti, Clerk to the Authority
Paul Dumars, Chief Financial Officer
Mark Eyeington, Chief Operations Officer
Marc Bruner, Chief Administrative Officer
Ramana Kari, Chief Engineer
John Archambo, Director of CIS
Jim Mize, General Counsel

Vice Mayor Burdick called the regular meeting of the Solid Waste Authority Board to order at 9:00 AM in the Solid Waste Authority Auditorium, 7501 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach.

Call to Order

The Clerk verified a quorum was present.

Roll Call

Mr. Hammond provided the invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Invocation/Pledge to the Flag

Mr. Hammond advised of the following revision to the agenda:

Additions/Deletions

Item 7.1: Public Hearing – Proposed 2014/2015 Budget
[Page 8 revised, pages 20 and 21 added]

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS AMENDED. SECONDED BY MAYOR TAYLOR AND CARRIED WITH A 6-0 VOTE (Commissioner Vana absent).

**MOTION:
Approve Agenda as amended**

MOTION BY MAYOR TAYLOR TO APPROVE BOARD MEETING MINUTES FROM APRIL 9, 2014 AS PRESENTED. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS AND CARRIED WITH A 6-0 VOTE (Commissioner Vana absent).

**MOTION:
Approve Board Minutes from 4/9/14**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS TO APPROVE CAC MEETING MINUTES FROM JUNE 4, 2014 AS PRESENTED. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BERGER AND CARRIED WITH A 6-0 VOTE (Commissioner Vana absent).

MOTION:
Approve CAC Min.
from 6/4/14

- Item 5.A: Sole Source Procurements
- Item 5.B: Environmental Investigations Unit – Status Report
- Item 5.C: Keep Palm Beach County Beautiful Agreement
- Item 5.D: SWMF Permit: GPRA Thoroughbred Training Center, Inc./Palm Meadows
- Item 5.E: SWMF Permit Entity Change: Action Enterprises, LLC to Forever Recycling
- Item 5.F: ILA between SWA and PBC for Future Supply of Fill Material from County Projects

CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION BY MAYOR TAYLOR TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BERGER AND CARRIED WITH A 6-0 VOTE (Commissioner Vana absent).

A. Larson

- Opposed to any more bonds or spending large amounts of money.

Item: 6

Matters by the Public

A. Kuhl

- Referenced and quoted publications found on the internet confirming her comments at the April Board meeting that pharmaceutical waste is hazardous waste.

Mr. Hammond stated that the purpose of the hearing is to establish the rates for the TRIM Notice with the final hearing in August. He informed that the budget includes a recommendation that the Board consider a \$1 increase in a single-family home equivalent next fiscal year. This \$1/SFH increase also means an increase across the board for commercial and other types of residential units.

Item: 7.1

Public Hearing:
Proposed 2014/15
Budget

Mr. Dumars presented the proposed budget with highlights as follows:

- Renewable Energy Facility #2 (REF#2) will come on line in May 2015 resulting in an increase in operational expenditures with revenue offsetting that increase.
- Elimination of Compost Division. East Central Regional Facility (ECR) will partner with the Pelletizer and will no longer bring biosolids to the Compost Facility.
- \$1/SFH increase (currently \$174) will generate approximately \$1MM. Moneys will be used to fund debt defeasance and General Reserve (i.e., hurricanes, etc.).
- General Reserve Contribution will decrease \$7.7MM.
- Debt service coverage will increase to 1.65.

Mr. Dumars stated that the General Reserve will be used to offset any approved rate increase. He reminded the Board that staff is in the process of performing a Salary Study and will bring back the results and recommendations to the Board in August.

A. Larson

- Not supportive of eliminating the Compost Facility.
- Questioned how staff arrived at \$1MM being generated from a \$1/SFH increase when there are only 600K trash units.

In response to the Chair, Mr. Hammond explained that the Compost Facility has been in operation since the early 1990s and was successful from the standpoint that it was able to take wastewater treatment plant residuals, combined with yard waste to create a product that was given unrestricted use. The dilemma faced included: finding a market/outlet for the material; it was expensive at \$100/ton; and it creates odor. Compost operations is the largest contributor to odors. By eliminating the compost facility and moving the wastewater treatment plant residuals to the pelletizer, the Authority is able to: save money, since it is half the cost to operate compared to the compost facility; reduce one of the major contributors to odor on site; and the pelletizer operator is responsible for marketing the product.

Proposed 2014/15
Budget (cont'd)

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER BERGER TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Approve the proposed budget]. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER VALECHE AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

**MOTION:
Approve Proposed
Budget**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER BERGER TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Approve the assessment and tip fee rates for the required notice]. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

**MOTION:
Approve assessment
and tip fee rates**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER BERGER TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Continue the Public Hearing until August 20, 2014]. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

**MOTION:
Continue public
hearing until
August 20, 2014**

Mr. Bruner explained that staff is seeking revisions to Rule IV including reducing the permit fee from \$10K to \$500, and give the Board authority to change the permit fee as part of the budget.

Item: 7.2

**Revisions to Rule IV
Roll-Off Collection**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER VALECHE TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Approve revisions to Rule IV as shown in attached rule version. Establish permit fee of \$500 for new and renewing roll-off permits]. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BERGER AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

**MOTION:
Approve Revisions
and Establish \$500
Permit Fee**

Mr. Hammond stated that the last time the Employee Handbook was updated with significant amendments was in 2009. Staff is proposing revisions both with and without budgetary impacts. Some of the changes with budgetary impact include: premium pay practices for lead worker pay, double-duty pay, shift-differential, and revisiting compensation for higher education, degrees, or special license.

Item: 9.A.1

Employee Handbook

Ms. Laster, Director of Human Resources, explained that staff was seeking Board authorization to be able to reclassify positions internally, similar to the County. She would make recommendations based on studies which would then be approved by the Executive Director. She anticipates the salary survey will result in significant reclassifications. These will need to be reviewed from a budget standpoint and brought back to the Board as a separate item.

Mr. Pellowitz further explained that staff was seeking to create a policy that would give the Executive Director authorization to approve future reclassifications as needed, not as it relates to the outcome of the Salary Survey. It is hoped that as a result of the Salary Survey the Authority can make necessary changes so it is competitive with the market, and going forward there should not be a need to make significant reclassifications.

Employee Handbook
(cont'd)

He continued to say that the 2014/15 budget includes funds to make initial adjustments based on the salary survey consultant's recommendation.

Commissioner Berger stated that she would have preferred that the salary survey coincide with the budget process rather than possibly needing a budget adjustment after the 2014/15 budget has already been approved.

Board Direction

In response to Chairman Burdick and Commissioner Abrams, Mr. Pellowitz stated that the consultant would be directed to include in its report a discussion of the bonus program. He also reminded the Board that at its April meeting, staff was directed to bring back the bonus program for Board review and consideration.

Chairman Burdick requested the consultant determine if the entities surveyed have a bonus program as compensation. Also, bring back to the Board as a receive and file any future policy or procedure amended in the Employee Handbook that does not result in a budgetary impact.

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER VANA TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Approve the revisions to the Employee Policy Manual – Employee Handbook – as summarized, with an effective date of October 1, 2014; authorize Executive Director to amend and revise any future policy or procedure in the Employee Handbook that does not result in a budgetary impact] WITH THE ADDITION TO NOTIFY THE BOARD OF ANY CHANGES TO FUTURE EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK POLICIES AND PROCEDURES BY A RECEIVE AND FILE MEMO AT ITS NEXT BOARD MEETING. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BERGER AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

MOTION:
Approve Employee Handbook;
authorize Ex. Dir. to amend/revise future policies & procedures that do not impact the budget;
bring back a R&F of revisions made

Mr. Hammond stated that pursuant to Board direction in April, an RFP has been developed for the delivery of supplemental combustible waste to the Renewable Energy Facility #2 (REF#2). No decision is being made today as to whether supplemental waste will be accepted. He highlighted some of the points of the RFP as follows:

Item: 9.E.1
RFP for Delivery of Supplemental Combustible Waste to REF #2

- No hazardous or non-combustible waste will be acceptable.
- Request for assurances that material is not hazardous and will be subject to inspection and protocol currently in existence.
- RFP is strictly for waste deliveries to the new REF#2. If the facility is unable to accept the material, it will not be landfilled. The deliverer will need to find another outlet for the material.
- RFP includes a \$15/ton tip fee for livestock waste to determine if there is interest. At any time during the year, the Board has the ability to change tip fees for items not included as part of the assessment.

A. Laron

- Start with fining the illegal dumpers.
- Opposed to delivery of out-of-county waste and construction of new WTE facility.

A. Kuhl

- Opposed to delivery of out-of-county waste.
- Concerned about toxins; expressed no confidence in load inspections.

D. Webber (Ironhorse Resident)

- Opposed to the RFP and delivery of out-of-county waste.
- Operating permit does not allow for objectionable odors; SWA is not allowed to differentiate between fees charged to municipalities.

- Government is putting money over the concerns of the public.

D. Webber (continued)

- Requested the following: stoppage of RSM, parity in pricing; acknowledge that the Compost Facility and Vegetation operation will close this year; install landscaping on Jog Road; install new wells at the landfill; commitment from the Board that every effort will be made to eliminate odor.

RFP for Delivery of Supplemental Combustible Waste to REF#2 (cont'd)

J. Passon (Ironhorse Resident and Retired Law Professor)

- Importing out-of-county waste is a violation of Florida law and Rules of Governance. Quotes excerpts from the Florida Statutes.
- SWA Board has a fiduciary responsibility to the residents of the County.

A. Lourie (Ironhorse Resident)

- Commissioners are elected to serve and represent the best interest of the people who live in Palm Beach County.

L. Fintzy (WPB Resident and Presenting of City Watch)

- Questioned how and where the out-of-county loads will be inspected.
- Opposed to RFP and delivery of out-of-county waste.

S. Faso (North County Neighborhood Coalition, President)

- Opposed to RFP and delivery of out-of-county waste.
- Expressed concern about the county's "brand" if waste is imported.
- Revisit the economics behind the tipping fee.

A. Ciklin (Representing Southern Waste Systems)

- Supported issuing the RFP.
- No downside to issuing RFP to determine what kind of proposals could be received; excess capacity at REF#2 for 5-8 years; traffic generated by proposal is approximately 60 trips/day; no odor issue will result from supplemental waste since it is going to the REF#2; residents and local businesses will benefit.

E. Harrison (Ironhorse Resident)

- Natural beauty of the County must be considered.

J. Gould-Hansen (Ironhorse Resident)

- Defined "fly ash".
- Opposed to RFP and delivery of out-of-county waste.
- Requested blowers be left on 24/7 to diffuse odors.

T. Goldstein (Ironhorse Resident)

- Opposed to RFP and delivery of out-of-county waste.
- Presented an alternative: Retrofit REF#1 and divert that garbage to REF#2 for the required test period.

A. Shaller

- Return Logistics – a responder to the Request for Expression of Interest – said their largest expense is operating costs, yet they are interested in 850 miles roundtrip to deliver material to the Solid Waste Authority; anticipate dumping 50 tons/week of prescription drugs; bring in material from Oregon, Alabama, Louisiana, NY, North Carolina and Georgia.
- Questioned why leachate is running off the west side of the landfill and entering the stormwater drains on Jog Road.

A. Shaller (continued)

- The Authority is paying an outside firm \$3MM to dig up and move a pile of asbestos that has been at the Class III landfill for 20 years. Questioned what provisions are being provided to Ironhorse residents and others to not have the asbestos pile blown into their yards. A new asbestos pile is also being created on the south side of the landfill.

K. James (City of West Palm Beach Commissioner)

- Opposed to RFP and delivery of waste from out-of-county.
- Primary benefit proposed by Authority staff is the \$10/household/year rate reduction.
- Importing waste reduces quality of life, and increases truck traffic, odor and strewn debris on roadways.
- Price differential in RFP could present a legal issue.

J. Greene (Ironhorse Resident)

- SWA Board's integrity on the line for allowing discussion of importing waste to continue.

J. Muoio (City of WPB, Mayor)

- Supported the new REF#2 but opposed importing waste from out-of-county/state.
- City Commission passed a resolution in March 2014 urging the Authority to not move forward with importing waste.
- City of WPB will need to hire additional maintenance personnel for strewn debris on Northlake Boulevard and other surrounding areas.

P. Riccio (Acreage Resident)

- Opposed RFP and delivery of waste from out-of-county.

A. Ballweg (Acreage Resident)

- Quoted studies on the negative impact of lead in the air. Science does not fully understand the allowable level of toxins.

P. Curry (Acreage Resident)

- Opposed to issuance of RFP.
- Questioned how it is sustainable and environmentally sound to import waste from out-of-state.

M. Perry (Attorney Representing Ironhorse POA)

- Opposed to RFP as it relates to importing waste.
- Took exception to Attorney Ciklin's comment about traffic only coming from the south of Jog Road and not passing Ironhorse.
- Issue: Satisfying the need to operate REF#2 at full capacity at the outset by bringing in out-of-county waste at a "preferred" rate rather than an "equal" rate to what everyone else is paying. Objection: Importing waste.
- If the intent of the Board is to move forward and exercise the opportunity to generate additional revenues by taking in additional waste, make sure the additional waste comes in at the same price. Eliminate the use of RSM, which is source of odor, and instead use clean fill. Use the additional money to implement landscaping strategies, reemphasize efforts on litter control, and make the site more palatable to those living around the facility.

D. Martin (Conservation Chair for Loxahatchee Sierra Club)

- Defined "zero" waste; encouraged businesses to recycle; seek no solution and not incineration.

H. Caspri (Baywinds Resident)

- Measuring risk against a “poultry sum of money”.
- Opposed importing waste.

RFP for Delivery of
Supplemental
Combustible Waste
to REF#2 (cont'd)

Commissioner Santamaria stated that this is a battle of money versus quality of life.

In response to Commissioner Valeche, Mr. Hammond explained that the RFP provides the minimum criteria staff will be seeking. The proposers will pencil in the fee that they feel is appropriate, those fees will be evaluated, and the proposals will be brought to the Board.

Commissioner Valeche stated that \$45MM is not a trivial amount. He requested an independent party be contracted with to vet some of the claims raised by the public, particularly as it relates to the science.

Commissioner Abrams supported an independent study. He added that there appears to be two issues:

1) Ironhorse issues that have nothing to do with importing waste, but rather landfill odors, vegetation, landscaping along Jog Road, recovered screen material (RSM), and installing additional wells on the landfill).

2) Importing waste, which the impacts will remain the same except it will generate additional revenue.

Commissioner Abrams proposed moving forward with the RFP and having an independent company look at the RFP in order to satisfy everyone regarding the technical issues; and, use some of the funds from the money \$45MM expected to be generated to address Ironhorse's concerns.

In response to Mayor Taylor's question, Mr. Hammond explained that by burning the organics at the new REF#2, it keeps the organics from degrading in the landfill and creating odor.

Mayor Taylor expressed concern about Mayor Muoio's comment regarding debris being strewn from trucks at present.

Mr. Hammond responded that the Authority takes great effort to make sure the roads surrounding its facilities are clean. The Authority picks up debris everyday and has a hotline for all neighboring communities to call. It has adopted the Beeline Highway from Northlake Boulevard to Haverhill Road, as well as stretches of 45th Street and I-95/45th Street.

Mayor Taylor stated that a lot of the concerns raised by the public are untrue. She explained that the Authority is a quasi-governmental agency and competes with businesses. When businesses take it upon themselves to put out unsubstantiated information in an effort to sway the communities, those businesses should be held accountable. The erroneous information is putting a negative light on the beautiful communities surround the Authority. She expressed support for the RFP.

Commissioner Vana expressed appreciation to Authority staff for bringing forth the option to generated \$45MM, but she was not supportive of the RFP or importing waste. When REF#2 was approved, the Board did not envision waste being imported. If the motion passes in support of the RFP, she requested that out-of-county haulers be required to pay at least the same tip fee as PBC municipalities.

Commissioner Santamaria stated that the elected officials should represent the people.

Commissioner Berger questioned if the Authority has the right to throw out any and all bids, and if legal counsel is comfortable in the legality of what the Authority is doing.

RFP for Delivery of
Supplemental
Combustible Waste
to REF#2 (cont'd)

Attorney Mize stated the Board has the right to throw out any and all bids. Whatever legal issues might exist will be clarified when responses to the RFP are received and the materials proposed to be delivered. Some of the issues raised may not be issues at all once staff sees the materials being proposed to be brought to REF#2.

Commissioner Berger questioned if it is true that a 6-month test period is required at 100% capacity.

Mr. Hammond stated that the facility must be tested at capacity. Material would need to be stockpiled or diverted from REF#1.

Commissioner Berger supported Commissioner Valeche's recommendation regarding a study, and also suggested it be done in tandem with the issuance of an RFP so that both are provided to the Board at one time.

In response to Commissioner Abrams, Attorney Perry clarified that Ironhorse is opposed to the RFP and the importing of waste from out-of-county. If a majority of the Board intends to go forward with the importation, then at the very least the Board should devote some of that additional revenue toward mitigation strategies that would deal with all of the other issues raised.

Commissioner Vana stated that the Board should address the concern that municipalities have regarding the tipping fee price point.

Commissioner Valeche concurred with Attorney Perry in that some of the incremental revenue stream expected to be generated should be diverted to Ironhorse's concerns. As part of the study, he requested that the issue of whether or not RSM contributes to odor be a priority. He suggested that all of the questions raised in a recent Palm Beach Post news article should be answered by someone who is impartial, well-informed, and a scientist. He concurred with Commissioner Berger that the study and issuance of an RFP be done concurrently. He clarified that the issuance of an RFP does not mean the Board is awarding contracts.

Mr. Bruner suggested, as an option, utilizing the Hinkley Center for Solid and Hazardous Waste Management, University of Florida. The Center was created by the Legislature in 1988 with a mission to conduct research and perform studies within the State of Florida, and it is an impartial research center.

Commissioner Berger suggested that in conjunction with Commissioner Valeche's suggestion about moving forward with the study, she questioned if the Board would want to extend the proposal deadline an additional 30 days.

Mr. Hammond stated that the Authority should be able to follow the existing time schedule without the 30-day extension.

Mr. Bruner clarified that the RFP submittals would be useful by the Hinkley Center for its analysis. The recommendations by Hinkley Center may need to be moved from August to October.

Chairman Burdick requested staff define "post recycling municipal solid waste" which is a new waste classification that appears in the RFP.

Mr. Bruner explained that “post recycling municipal solid waste” is municipal solid waste (MSW) that either goes through a process at a materials recovery facility or comes out of a recovered materials processing facility. There are two ways that MSW can be recycled: all waste can be brought into a facility and recyclables separated from it, or curbside material can be taken to a facility and the solid waste pulled out of that.

RFP for Delivery of
Supplemental
Combustible Waste
to REF#2 (cont'd)

Chairman Burdick questioned if any of the material has to be separated outside of Palm Beach County, and do any of the current vendors/proposers have existing sites in Palm Beach County with permits to do the separation.

Mr. Bruner stated that if there is a hauler in Dade or Broward County that has either a recovered materials processing or materials recovery facility, that they would take that material to their facility and process it within the county it being collected. It would not have to subsequently go through another facility in Palm Beach County.

Chairman Burdick questioned if the RFP specifically states that all the material must be recycled outside of Palm Beach County.

Mr. Bruner responded that it does not have that language.

Chairman Burdick question if a company has a permit in Palm Beach County to bring trash from out-of-county and has an existing permit in one of their locations, are they allowed to take it to their Palm Beach County facility, empty their trucks, separate the material, reload the trucks, and then bring it to the Authority's facility.

Mr. Bruner stated that there are no permitted materials recovery facilities in Palm Beach County that can accept MSW and recover the recyclable materials from it.

Mr. Pellowitz stated that if there is a concern, staff will incorporate clear language in the RFP that specifies that post-recycled MSW processing will take place outside of Palm Beach County.

Chairman Burdick stated that the projection is to accept out-of-county waste for 5-7 years. If the County and municipalities do a great job recycling and separating, it is conceivable that the term of the contract could up to 20 years.

Mr. Pellowitz stated that it is possible if the waste stream is not there, however, the Authority is aggressive in its recycling efforts and its projections into the future are fairly aggressive, so it is not likely that it will not extend that long. It is more likely than not that it will not be as long.

Chairman Burdick requested clarification on the requirement for trucks to be tarped.

Mr. Pellowitz stated that DOT, Sheriff's Department and City of West Palm Beach Police are very active on the roadways surrounding the Authority's facilities. Loads that are not properly secured are ticketed. The Authority also charges a tarp fee to customers who egregiously violate the law by coming into the facility with unsecured loads.

Mr. Hammond explained that he was surprised by Mayor Muoio's comment that the City is expending funds to pick up debris on the roadways because the Authority expends a lot of funds to pick up the debris. The Authority picks up debris along Jog Road and 45th Street every day.

Chairman Burdick urged anyone who sees a truck spewing debris to take a photo and send it to the Solid Waste Authority. She added that the purpose of taking out-of-county waste was to reduce taxpayer rates, but now the Board is thinking about taking some of those funds and using it for other purposes for communities that are impacted. She stated that the Authority should be doing everything it can to extend the life of the existing landfill because the future cost for a new solution for a landfill or a new technology in 2045 could be billions of dollars.

RFP for Delivery of Supplemental Combustible Waste to REF#2 (cont'd)

Chairman Burdick summarized by saying she supported Commissioner Valeche's request to step back, do an analysis, get the economist to come in, look at all of the impacts discussed, and try to come to a better understanding from the various opinions from lawyers throughout the State.

Commissioner Valeche clarified that the analysis cannot be done properly unless the consultant knows what type of material is being proposed to be brought in. He supported doing the study and issuing the RFP concurrently. The \$45MM figure was estimated by using the \$25/ton fee. The incremental amount spent on Ironhorse's concerns will be diminimus compared to the revenue taken in.

Commissioner Vana recommended the Board set the tonnage rate to be charged rather than leaving it to the proposers. She supported a \$45/ton rate, not \$21-22/ton.

Commissioner Berger questioned if "local preference" points could be incorporated in the RFP.

Mr. Pellowitz stated that the local preference points can be found on page 21/53 of this Board memo Item 9.E.1.

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER VALECHE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE ISSUANCE OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL TO DELIVER SUPPLEMENTAL COMBUSTIBLE WASTE TO THE RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITY #2 AND INCLUDE A STUDY THAT LOOKS SPECIFICALLY AT THE ECONOMICS, FUTURE COST WHEN IT BUILDS OUT IN 2025, THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE ADVERTISEMENT PUBLISHED IN THE NEWSPAPER ARTICLE, PUBLIC COMMENTS MADE (i.e. additional odor, traffic, etc.), AND LEAGUE OF CITIES' CONCERNS. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER TAYLOR FOR DISCUSSION

MOTION:
Move forward w/RFP; include study to review specific issues raised

Regarding local preference, Commissioner Berger suggested a scale be established.

Discussion

Mr. Pellowitz stated that a scale for local preference could be 15pts for Palm Beach County, and the farther away a proposer is, the fewer points to be awarded.

MOTION AMENDED TO INCLUDE A SCALE BE ESTABLISHED FOR LOCAL PREFERENCE WITH 15 POINTS AWARDED TO PALM BEACH COUNTY PROPOSERS, AND IF THEY ARE FARTHER AWAY, THEY RECEIVE LESS AND LESS POINTS. SECONDER AGREED.

MOTION AMENDED:
Include scale for Local Preference

MOTION CARRIED WITH A 4-3 VOTE [Vice Mayor Burdick, Commissioner Vana, Commissioner Santamaria voting "NO"]

MOTION CARRIED

[Clerk's Note: Commissioner Abrams requested the Hinkley Center see if some of the revenue generated from supplemental waste deliveries can be used to pay off bonds. (Refer to Item 9.G.2)]

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Approve renewal of Operating Permit #AM 0032 VR91 Amended for a period of one (1) year]. SECONDED BY MAYOR TAYLOR AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

Item: 9.F.1

**SWMF Permit:
Amerigrow/Recycling
Delray**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER ABRAMS TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Authorize renewal of Operating Permit #DM 0066 VR03 to D.M.S. Wood Farms for a Yard Trash Recycling Facility until the October 2014 Board Meeting]. SECONDED BY MAYOR TAYLOR AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

Item: 9.F.2

**SWMF Permit:
D.M.S. Wood Farms**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER VALECHE TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Approve modifications of the Procurement Policy]. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BERGER AND CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

Item: 9.G.1

**Modifications to
Procurement Policy**

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER BERGER TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION [Adopt Resolution 2014-06 authorizing the defeasance of the October 1, 2015 maturity of the Series 2002B Bonds]. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER VALECHE FOR DISCUSSION

Item: 9.G.2

**Res. 2014-06
Defeasance of 10/1/15
Series 2002B Bonds**

Commissioner Abrams requested the Hinkley Center also review the utilization of some of the revenue generated from supplemental waste deliveries to pay off bonds.

MOTION CARRIED WITH A 7-0 VOTE

CONFIRMATION OF MEETING: Wednesday, August 20, 2014

**CONFIRMATION OF
MEETING**

MEETING ADJOURNED: 12:30 PM

ADJOURNMENT

ATTEST:

Vice Mayor Paulette Burdick, Chair

Sandra J. Vassalotti, Clerk to the Authority