DATE: OBSER\	VER:	April 1, 2015 Julien Deviss				COMMENC		106 November 16, 2014
ARRIVAL TIMES: 7:15 10:00 14:00 17:15 19:20  WEATHER CONDITIONS: WAVE HEIGHT AND DIRECTION: WIND SPEED & DIRECTION: SEAWARD PIPE OUTFALL LOCATION: LANDWARD PIPE OUTFALL LOCATION: COMPLETED FILL SECTIONS: PROGRESS MADE IN LAST 24 HRS.:				Clear  NE 3-5 ft  E 15-25 mph  674+00  674+00  753+00 to 676+00  LENGTH: 25 L	DEPARTURE TIMES: 9:50 13:30 17:00 19:00 19:40 Clear NE 3-5 ft E 15-25 mph 674+00 (at the time of 753+00 to 676+00		ast observation)	137
				OBSE	RVATIONS			
1. 2. 3.	Is the	e contractor using construction was	rning signs ir	ved construction accesse n place? as fenced off to prevent p			Y / N / NA  Y Y Y Y Y	<u>NOTES</u>
4. 5. 6.	Are t Are t Has	the permits loca the contractor p	plans and solution plans and solution plans and notice the level provided the level plans and solution plans	pecifications located at the ces displayed at the proje Daily Reports for the prev list deficiencies (QC Rep	ct site? vious day?		Y Y Y	
Project S	<u>Site</u>						_	
7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	Are of Are	dunes being cor Location: e contractor using the natural dunes of public being kend ramps constand dikes being removal (Rocation: ere any materia a. Describe the	structed? Sta. 674+ ng approved as and vegeta ept out of the astructed and g constructed oved prior to ck Picker) be within the file alack of sedi		as (marked, ne cess to the bea	ach?	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y N N	Observation #1
17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	Does Are of Are of Is ar	material? (If yo construction states the construction states the construction escarpments be grading and dre Location: acceptance su Location: any environme	ractor take a es, explain in kes in place on berm appe ing leveled? essing operati Sta. 676+ rvey for a co Sta. 676+ ntal monitori	ction to avoid non-confor n notes) for the fill station? ear level and uniform alor ions of completed fill sect 00 mpleted section being co 00 ng (turbidity, turtle, bird, .	ng the graded become some series of the graded become	nducted today?	N/A N/A  Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y	
	If	f yes, describe:	Piping Plo	ver and Red Knot observ	rations			
		and Sampling	_					
23.	Wer		sand sampl Sta. 676+	es collected today? 00			Y	
24.	QC I	Plan? If not, ple	ase explain.	e range of acceptable limited to meet the plans and			Y	
25. 26.		the constructed :Measurement e anv photograp		ieu to meet me pians and	i specifications	<u> </u>	N	

DATE:	April 1, 2015	OBSERVATION REPORT NO.: _	106	
		<del>-</del>		

#### **Detailed Observations:**

- 1. Beach fill operations resumed at approximately 08:15 but ceased at approximately 19:00 due to rough seas. Beach fill progress during the past 24 hours was 25 feet. The landward outfall was located within the beach berm area near Sta. 674+00 and the seaward outfall was located within the beach slope near Sta. 674+00. Beach fill progress to date is approximately 7,900 feet (Sta. 753+00 to 674+00). Approximately 12,420 feet remain to complete the project which includes approximately 9,245 feet to reach the end of the taper at the south end of the project (Sta. 674+00 to 581+80) and an additional 3,200 feet to complete the north end (Sta. 753+00 to 785+00).
- 2. The landward shore parallel containment dike was located between approximate Sta. 674+00 to 672+50 and had a crest elevation of approximately 4 to 7 feet NAVD. The seaward shore parallel containment dike was located between approximate Sta. 674+00 to 672+50 and had a crest elevation of approximately 4 to 6 feet NAVD.
- 3. One (1) sand sample was collected today from the berm crest. Sample NTB076 was collected at 17:35 at Sta. 676+00, Rng. 1+05 (N 34.460436, W 77.484564 and E 2456801, N 262052). The representative sand sample taken at this location had a wet Munsell color of 2.5Y-6/1 (Gray) and a dry Munsell color of 2.5Y-7/1 (Light Gray). The native beach composite has a wet Munsell color value of 2.5Y-5/2 (Grayish Brown) and a dry Munsell color value of 2.5Y-7/2 (Light Gray). The size of the sediment for sample NTB076 appeared to be fine to medium with little shell hash (approx. shell content 10-15%) and appeared to have less than 5% silt. (Unified Soil Classification System Descriptive Terms: Trace = 1%-10%, Little = 10%-20%, Some = 20%-35%).
- 4. A sample of the discharge from the outfall was collected at 17:30 in a plastic bottle to determine the relative silt content; documentary photographs were taken at the 5-minute and 15-minute marks. After settling for 5 minutes the air/water interface was near the 5.1" line, the water/silt interface was near the 2.5" line, and the silt/sand interface was near the 0.3" line. After settling for 15 minutes the air/water interface was near the 5.1" line, the water/silt interface was near the 1.3" line, and the silt/sand interface was near the 0.3" line.
- 5. All dredge data submitted was reported within the 15 second required interval for dredging conducted on March 31, 2015.
- 6. Dredge records for 3/31 showed that the dredge Charleston was within the permitted borrow area limits. There was 1 occasion where the cutterhead extended below the maximum disturbance elevation. The total time in violation lasted less than 3 minutes and was not indicative that systematic dredging below the maximum disturbance elevation occurred.
- 7. During dredging operations, the rock boxes were installed on both the seaward and landward outfalls to capture rock that is being discharged within the beach fill area. NDC emptied the material from the rock boxes and placed the material on the seaward side of the landward shore pipeline near Sta. 677+00. An excavator was utilized to scoop up rock from the outfalls that overflowed from the rock boxes. The installation of the 1.5 inch mesh was installed on the large rock box today at the Gray Street staging area. The 1.5 inch rock box screen was welded on top of the 2 inch mesh, resulting in a mesh size that is smaller than 1.5 inches. See Discussions #1 and #3.
- 8. The rock picker was not operational today. Approximately 5 cy of rocks previously collected by the rock picker are being stockpiled near Sta. 721+50, approximately 8 cy of rocks are being stockpiled near Sta. 739+00 and approximately 36 cy of rocks are being stockpiled near Sta. 694+00 on the seaward side of the shore pipeline. See Discussion #2.
- No rock was relocated off-site for NDC today. There are 3 stockpiled areas of rock box material within the beach fill area, near Sta. 694+00, 687+00 and 680+00.
- 10. A post hole digger was utilized to dig 3 holes, approximately 3 feet deep and 8 inches wide, within the constructed berm area at Station at 676+00. The holes were constructed to determine the quantity of rocks up to 3 feet below existing grade. The quantity of rocks within the hole at the landward portion of the constructed berm between 0-1 feet below existing grade was 0, between 1-2 feet was 1 and between 2-3 feet was 1. The quantity of rocks within the hole at the center of the constructed berm between 0-1 feet below existing grade was 3, between 1-2 feet was 4 and between 2-3 feet was 2. The quantity of rocks within the hole at the seaward portion of the constructed berm between 0-1 feet below existing grade was 3, between 1-2 feet was 2 and between 2-3 feet was 1.
- 11. TI Coastal conducted an AD survey at Sta. 676+00.
- 12. Hold harmless agreements have not yet been provided for the properties at 464 Topsail Road and 538 Ocean Drive.
- 13. Contractor performed Piping Plover and Red Knot monitoring between 08:35-08:50 and noted that no birds or nests were observed.
- 14. Tigerhole Landscaping commenced rock picking operations up to 36 inches below the constructed grade of the berm area between Sta. 720+00 and 715+00. The Town's off-road truck was utilized to relocate 3 truck loads of rock (36 cy) that were removed by the rock picker from the constructed berm area between Sta. 720+00 and 715+00. See Discussion #4.

DATE: <u>April 1, 2015</u> OBSERVATION REPORT NO.: <u>106</u>

#### Items Discussed with Contractor's Superintendent, Dump Shack Foreman, Regulatory Agencies, ... etc:

- 1. Chip Forbes (NDC) confirmed that the 1.5 inch rock box mesh will continue to be installed on top of the 2 inch mesh, resulting in a mesh size that is smaller than 1.5 inches. Chip indicated that the 1.5 inch mesh was installed on the larger rock box as this rock box already had a back plate that minimized overflow. The smaller rock boxes will need additional time compared to the larger rock box as they need to install both the 1.5 inch mesh and the additional screening on the back side to prevent overflow. Chip indicated that NDC has 4 welders working on the rock boxes and are attempting to complete all of the modifications as quickly as possible.
- 2. Chip Forbes (NDC) indicated that the PTO shaft had been repaired on the rock picker.
- 3. Jonathan Keever (NDC) indicated that 7 rock boxes were emptied today and that no down time was experienced due to the emptying of the rock boxes.
- 4. Tigerhole Landscaping indicated that they would provide a schedule update tomorrow after they have had sufficient time to estimate the time needed to rock pick up to 36 inches below the constructed grade of the berm area between Sta. 720+00 and 715+00.

### Were any issues identified today which may result in a field adjustment report, contract modification, request for interpretation, or noncompliance notice? If so, describe:

1. No issues were identified today.

#### **Equipment List:**

6 Bulldozers, 3 Front End Loaders, 2 Light Plants, "Dump" Shack, Survey Shack, 3 Rock Boxes, Rock Picker, Tractor, Excavator



Photo 1. View of the seaward outfall and rock box at 08:50 near Sta. 674+00, looking south.



Photo 2. View of the landward and seaward outfalls and rock boxes at 17:45 near Sta. 674+00, looking northeast.

DATE: April 1, 2015 OBSERVATION REPORT NO.: 106



Photo 3. View of the landward discharge area at 17:50 near Sta. 674+00, looking north.




Photo 5. View of the full rock box with the 1.5 inch mesh overlaid on top of the 2 inch mesh.



Photo 7. View of an excavator emptying a full rock box.



Photo 4. View of the full rock box with the 1.5 inch mesh overlaid on top of the 2 inch mesh.



Photo 6. View of the rock box with the 1.5 inch mesh overlaid on top of the 2 inch mesh.



Photo 8. An excavator being used to collect the material from the rock boxes within the discharge area.

DATE: April 1, 2015 OBSERVATION REPORT NO.: 106



Photo 9. View of the material from the rock boxes being stockpiled seaward of the landward shore pipeline near Sta. 680+00 and 677+00, looking north.



Photo 10. View of the rocks previously collected by the rock picker being stockpiled seaward of the shore pipeline near Sta. 694+00.



Photo 11. The quantity of rocks within the hole at the center of the constructed berm at Sta. 676+00 between 0-1 feet below existing grade was 3, between 1-2 feet was 4 and between 2-3 feet was 2.



Photo 12. Wave conditions at 18:40.



Photo 13. Discharge sample after settling for 5 minutes. The blue lines are used to show sand, silt, and water interfaces.



Photo 14. Discharge sample after settling for 15 minutes. The blue lines are used to show sand, silt, and water interfaces.

DATE: <u>April 1, 2015</u> OBSERVATION REPORT NO.: \_\_\_\_\_\_ 106



Photo 15. Tigerhole Landscaping commenced rock picking operations up to 36 inches below the constructed grade of the berm area between Sta. 720+00 and 715+00.



Photo 16. Tigerhole Landscaping emptying the rock picker hopper into a front end loader for removal.



Photo 17. The Town's off-road truck was utilized to relocate 3 truck loads of rock (36 cy) that were removed by Tigerhole Landscaping's rock picker from the constructed berm area between Sta. 720+00 and 715+00.



Photo 18. Tigerhole Landscaping's equipment being stored overnight near the shore pipeline in the vicinity of Sta. 720+00.



Photo 19. View of the material from Tigerhole Landscaping's rock picking operations being stockpiled at the lot 2 blocks south of the Gray Street access.



Photo 20. View of the material from the rock boxes being stockpiled at the lot 2 blocks south of the Gray Street access.

