

Upward Performance Appraisal "Evaluation of the Boss"

This upward performance appraisal program provides an opportunity for supervisors to obtain feedback from those they supervise. The intent is to assist with further development of the supervisor in an environment where modern day managers are expected to be good leaders of people in order to achieve organizational goals and objectives. It is developmental in nature. The goal is to improve morale at all levels, increase productivity and efficiency, and support the development of greater customer satisfaction.

INSTRUCTIONS

There are several ways in which this appraisal form can be used to evaluate the boss but the recommended approach is the one presented below. This four step approach has been field tested and proven to be effective.

- STEP 1: Each Direct Report¹ individually completes the appraisal form.
- STEP 2: Direct Reports meet as a group and share individual ratings. One person serves as recorder and the individual responsible for sharing results with the boss.
- STEP 3: The designated Direct Report meets with the boss to discuss the group's rating on each performance standard.
- STEP 4: The boss meets with the Direct Reports as a group, responds to the ratings, asks questions of clarification and engages in effective and constructive dialogue.

PLEASE NOTE: The results of this upward appraisal are not to be shared with the boss = supervisor. It is considered a developmental document containing information deemed confidential between the rater(s) and ratee (boss).

Please keep in mind that there are some rating biases that can influence an appraisal. Some common biases are:

- o **Halo Error** occurs when you rate an individual favorably on all characteristics due to his/her outstanding ability on just one element.
- Leniency Error occurs when you give a favorable rating to an individual, regardless of his/her performance.
- o **Recency Error** takes place when you evaluate an individual's total performance based on the last or most recent behaviors you have observed.
- o **Central Tendency Error** occurs when you rate an individual as average.

AVOID MAKING THESE ERRORS!

¹Any person reporting to a Supervisor.

| Supervisor's Nam | ne | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Supervisor's Title | | | | | |
| Department | | | | Date | |
| Staff: 🔘 Individu | ıal (Group | | | | |
| Rater(s) is/are sup | ervised directly b | y this supervisor: () Y | es ONo | | |
| Instructions: comments as a | applicable. | | | ecting the appropriate ratin | |
| | I. Star | ndards of Perfo | rmance, Be | havior, and Role Mode | ling |
| | | andards demonstrat | | | |
| 1: Very High | ○ 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| what's expected | d of me.) | | | asurable performance standar | ds/expectations. (I know |
| 1: Very High Comments | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. Team Buildi i | ng: Level in whi | ch team building is _l | promoted amoi | ng staff. | |
| 1: Very High Comments | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| | | | | | |

| 4. Availability: | : Level of ease in | accessing/meeting | with superviso | r when needed. |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | 5: Very Low |
| Comments | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Cultural Ser racial backgrou | • | ness: Ability to com | municate effec | tively and interact with staff from different cultural/ethnic |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | 5: Very Low |
| Comments | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. Communica | ition: Quality of | interaction with em | ployees in the | department. (We are kept well informed.) |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | 5: Very Low |
| Comments | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | courtesy and respec | | |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low |
| Comments | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 8. Trust: Level | of confidence in | h his/her integrity an | d consistency o | of behavior. (The extent to which I can predict he/she will |
| | mise or commit | | | |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low |
| Comments | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | 5: Very Low | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | • | nent: Perception of age, race, sex, disabi | _ | h supervisor treats each staff membe veteran status. | r and "customer" fairly |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | ○ 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | II. Decision-N | Making Qua | lity and Timeliness | |
| 1. Problem So | lving: Level of d | lemonstrated ability | to reach solution | ons to problems in a timely manner. | |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Croativity/In | novation. Dog | roo to which ho/cho | displays creativ | o and innovative ideas | |
| 2. Creativity/iii | 2: High | 3: Moderate | 4: Low | e and innovative ideas. | |
| Comments | C 2. HIGH | () 3. Moderate | (4. LOW | () 3. Very LOW | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. Directing: C | larity of instruct | ions/directions whe | n giving assigni | ments. | |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Page 4 of 6 |

| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5. Openness: [| Degree to which | he/she gives serious | s consideration | to ideas and suggestions from staff. | |
| 1: Very High | ◯ 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | , | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | III. Contrib | utions to St | aff Achievements | |
| | | | pports staff to | develop/enhance skills through initiative, atter | ndance at |
| meetings, use c ○ 1: Very High | of tuition remissi | on, etc. | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | () 2.1 light | 5. Moderate | () 4. LOW | 3. Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. Coaching: L | evel of assistanc | e and guidance prov | vided when nee | eded. | |
| 1: Very High | ○ 2: High | 3: Moderate | ○ 4: Low | ○ 5: Very Low | |
| Comments | | 3. Moderate | () I. LOW | 3. Vely 2011 | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3 Customer Sc | ervice: Dearee t | o which he/she pror | notes the idea/ | concept of quality customer service. | |
| 1: Very High | 2: High | 3: Moderate | () 4: Low | 5: Very Low | |
| | C 2. High | () 5. Moderate | (4. LOW | 3. Very Low | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Page 5 of 6 |

| 1: Very High | ○ 2: High | 3: Moderate | | ○ 5: Very Low | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | IV. | Additional C | omments | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| L | | | | | |

Revised 09/29/2009