May 29, 1992

# 0QMAY92*004384 

Dr. Andrew J. Kemmerer
Regional Director
National Marine Fisheries Service
9450 Koger Boulevard
St. Petersburg, Florida 33702
Dear Andy:
In preparation for considering preseason adjustments for catches in the coastal migratory pelagics fishery, the Gulf and South Atlantic Councils convened their joint Stock Assessment Panel, their Scientific and Statistical Committees, and Advisory Panels (reports attached). The Councils, meeting separately, recommend the following catch limits and adjustments to be implemented under the framework procedure for the 1992-1993 fishing season.

1. For Atlantic group king mackerel the South Atlantic Council recommends a Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of 10.5 million pounds. This is the same TAC as set for the 1991-1992 season and is within the bounds of $A B C$ ( 8.6 million pounds- 12.0 million pounds with the most likely point of ABC at 10.0 million pounds) recommended by the Stock Assessment Panel. Utilizing the formula prescribed for allocation in the FMP, the allocations would be:

- Atlantic Group Mackerel TAC $=\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ million pounds
- Commercial Allocation ( 37.1 percent) $=3.90$ million pounds
- Recreational Allocation ( 62.9 percent) $=6.6$ million pounds $/ 7.91$ pounds $=834 \mathrm{~K}$ fish
- Bag Limit for Southern area (Florida) = Forida bag limit not to exceed 5 fish per person per day
- Bag Limit for Northern area (Georgia - New York) $=5$ fish per person per day

2. For the Gulf group king mackerel the Gulf Council recommends a TAC of 7.8 million pounds and a range of $A B C$ of 4.0 to 10.7 million pounds.

The Stock Assessment Panel in its report had recommended a most likely estimate of ABC to be 4.5 million pounds in a range of 3.6 to 6.1 million pounds. At the upper level of 6.1 million pounds there would be a 50 percent chance of exceeding the fishing mortality rate target in the 1992-1993 fishing year specified by the Councils for stock recovery.

The Council requested results of another procedure used by the panel in previous years to calculate $A B C$ and to characterize the risk of exceeding the Council's target fishing mortality rate. This method was considered and rejected this year by the panel, and the results were not included in their report; however, upon request of the Council, the estimates were supplied to the Council. The estimates using that method gave a most likely value of ABC as 5.1 million pounds with a 16 percent chance that a TAC of 4.0 million pounds would exceed the Council's fishing mortality rate target and an 84 percent chance that a TAC of 10.7 million pounds would exceed the target.

On advice of the General Counsel, it was determined that the Council has the responsibility to determine the risk factor associated with determining the range of ABC.

Noting that the panel's report points out that from 5 to 25 percent of the winter fish taken from the mixing zone in Southeast Florida may actually be Gulf group fish while the entire catch is counted as that group, the Council opted to use the alternative procedure and for a TAC of 7.8 million pounds which represents a risk level of more than 50 but less than 84 percent that they will exceed their target. The Council also opted to define the upper end of the range of ABC ( 10.7 million pounds) as the point where there would be an 84 percent chance of exceeding their fishing mortality rate target, the level that was used by the panel to define the upper end of the ABC range in the last three prior years. The TAC also falls below the 84 percent risk level of the procedure utilized by the panel in its report. Using the formula prescribed for allocation in the FMP, the allocations would be:

- Gulf Group King Mackerel TAC $=7.8$ million pounds
- Commercial Allocation ( 32 percent) $=2.5$ million pounds

Eastern Zone ( 69 percent) $=1.73$ million pounds
Western Zone ( 31 percent) $=0.77$ million pounds

- Recreational Allocation ( 68 percent) $=5.3$ million pounds $/ 7.42$ pounds $=715 \mathrm{~K}$ fish
- Bag Limit = 2 fish per person per day

3. For Atlantic group Spanish mackerel the South Atlantic Council recommends a TAC for 1992-1993 of 7.0 million pounds which is unchanged from the 1991-1992 fishing year. The most likely number for $A B C$ is 6.0 to 7.0 million pounds in a range of 4.9 to 7.9 million pounds with a 50 percent chance of exceeding the upper level recommended by the panel. The FMP formula for allocation would yield:

- Atlantic Group Spanish Mackerel TAC $=7.0$ million pounds
- Commercial Allocation ( 50 percent) $=3.5$ million pounds
- Recreational Allocation ( 50 percent) $=3.5$ million pounds $/ 1.38$ pounds $=\mathbf{2} .536$ million fish
- Bag Limit for Northern area (Georgia - New York) $=10$ fish per person per day
- Bag Limit for Southern area (Florida) = Florida bag limit not to exceed 10 fish per person per day

4. For Gulf group Spanish mackerel the Gulf Council recommends a 1992-1993 TAC of 8.6 million pounds, unchanged from the 1991-1992 TAC. The most likely point of ABC is 8.0 million pounds. The upper range of $A B C$ with a 50 percent chance of exceeding it is 9.8 million pounds. The FMP allocation formula yields:

- Gulf group Spanish Mackerel TAC $=8.6$ million pounds
- Commercial Allocation ( 57 percent) $=4.9$ million pounds
- Recreational Allocation ( 43 percent) $=3.7$ million pounds $/ 1.13$ pounds $=3.274$ million fish
- Bag Limit = State limit not to exceed 10 fish per person per day

5. The Gulf Council also recommend adjustment of the MSY for cobia from 1.0 million pounds to 2.2 million pounds as recommended by the Stock Assessment Panel. The current MSY is a crude estimate based only on commercial landings. The combined U.S. recreational and commercial landings have remained stabile for greater than a generation period at about 2.2 million pounds. The South Atlantic Council took no formal action.

## Dr. Andrew J. Kemmerer

May 29, 1992
Page Three

The Councils respectfully request implementation of these recommendations as seasonal framework adjustments.

Sincerely,

H. Gilmer Nbx ZR Chairman, Gulf Council


Susan Shipman $\not{H R L}$.
Chairman, South Atlantic Council
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## INTRODUCTION

Executive Order 12291 "Federal Regulations" establishes guidelines for promulgating new regulations and reviewing existing regulations. Under these guidelines each agency, to the extent permitted by law, is expected to comply with the following requirements: (1) administrative decisions shall be based on adequate information concerning the need for and consequences of proposed government action; (2) regulatory action shall not be undertaken unless the potential benefit to society for the regulation outweighs the potential costs to society; (3) regulatory objectives shall be chosen to maximize the net benefits to society; (4) among alternative approaches to any given regulatory objective, the alternative involving the least net cost to society shall be chosen; and (5) agencies shall set regulatory priorities with the aim of maximizing the aggregate net benefit to society, taking into account the condition of the particular industries affected by regulations, the condition of the national economy, and other regulatory actions contemplated for the future.

In compliance with Executive Order 12291, the Department of Commerce (DOC) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) have determined that this proposed notice action for changes in the total allowable catch (TAC), allocations and bag limits for king and Spanish mackerel reflect important DOC/NOAA policy concerns and are the object of considerable public interest. In such a case, DOC/NOAA require the preparation of a Regulatory Impact Review (RIR). The RIR provides a comprehensive review of the level and incidence of impacts associated with the proposed or final regulatory actions. The analysis also provides a review of the problems and policy objectives prompting the regulatory proposals and an evaluation of the major alternatives that could be used to solve problems. The purpose of the analysis is to ensure that the regulatory agency systematically and comprehensively considers all available alternatives so that the public welfare can be enhanced in the most efficient and cost effective way.

## COASTAL MIGRATORY PELAGICS PLAN

The Fishery Management Plan for the Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic (FMP) was prepared jointly by the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic Fishery Management Councils (Councils). The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (Assistant Administrator) approved the FMP on April 1, 1982, and the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) implemented final regulations on February 4, 1983, under the authority of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act, as amended (Magnuson Act). Amendment 1 to the FMP was prepared jointly by the Councils, approved on July 26, 1985 by the Regional Director, NMFS, and implemented September 22, 1985. Amendment 2 was submitted on April 1, 1987 and implemented in July, 1987. Amendment 3 was submitted on March 14, 1989 and approved measures were implemented on August 14, 1989; disapproved measures were resubmitted on January 15, 1990 and implemented on April 13, 1990. Amendment 4 was submitted on May 22, 1989 and was implemented on October 19,1989. Amendment 5 was submitted on March 19, 1990 and implemented August 20, 1990.

The FMP manages king and Spanish mackerel off coastal states in the Atlantic south of the New York/Connecticut border and throughout the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. Cobia is managed off southeastern states from the Virginia/North Carolina border to the U.S./Mexico border. The remaining coastal migratory pelagic fishes (cero, dolphin, little tunny, and in the Gulf only, bluefish) are not currently managed. Within the mackerel stocks, Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic migratory groups are distinguished for both species. Amendments 1 and 2 provide for annual assessments and adjustment of acceptable
biological catch (ABC), total allowable catch (TAC), and bag limits for king and Spanish mackerels, both of which have within them one or more overfished migratory groups.

## PROBLEMS BEING ADDRESSED

## 1. Gulf King Mackerel and Gulf Spanish Mackerels Are Overfished

The 1992 report of the mackerel Stock Assessment Panel states that until the risk of recruitment overfishing is no longer a concern, both Gulf groups of king and Spanish mackerel should be considered overfished. The criterion for any of the four mackerel groups (Gulf king and Spanish mackerel and Atlantic king and Spanish mackerel) to be considered overfished is when the estimated spawning potential ratio (SPR) is less than 30 percent relative to maximum SPR potential. Current SPR estimates for the Atlantic groups of king and Spanish mackerel are above the 30 percent criterion, and are therefore considered not overfished. In previous years, the Atlantic group of Spanish mackerel had been considered overfished. For the Gulf groups, the current SPR estimates are 19 percent and 29 percent for king and Spanish mackerel, respectively. Both Gulf stocks experienced a relatively substantial improvement in SPR levels over the period of the last year. Last year, the estimated SPRs for the Gulf stocks were 22 percent for Spanish mackerel and 12 percent for king mackerel. Although Gulf Spanish mackerel is very close to the target SPR, the Stock Assessment Panel still considered it overfished due to the perceived risk of recruitment overfishing.

## 2. New Recruits into the Gulf Spanish and King Mackerel Stocks Need Protection to Allow for an Increase in the Spawning Stock Biomass

There is evidence of some increase in recruitment in most recent years. The latest (1990) estimates of recruitment of age 0 fish reflect the highest estimates since 1979 for both Gulf groups of king and Spanish mackerel. The Stock Assessment Panel felt there was potential for the increased recruitment to contribute to recovery of the spawning biomass as well as increased catch levels. However, conservative fishing mortality rates are still needed as there is considerable uncertainty in the strength of the newest year classes. Female Spanish mackerel may begin spawning at age 1, and age 2 females make a significant contribution to the spawning potential of the stock. Female king mackerel are sexually mature at age 4.

## OBJECTIVES

1. To restore the spawning stock biomass of Gulf Spanish and king mackerel.
2. To protect new recruits in the mackerel fishery so they can add to the spawning biomass and thereby allow for larger catches in the future.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL KING AND SPANISH MACKEREL FISHERIES

King and Spanish mackerel are important to both recreational and commercial fishermen in the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic waters. The following provides a brief description of the conditions in both
of these fisheries. A more complete description exists in the Coastal Migratory Pelagics Fishery Management Plan (FMP). Quotas, allocations, and catches are tabulated at the end of this document.

Recreational anglers are estimated to have caught 4.15 (3.00) ${ }^{1}$ million pounds (MP) of Atlantic and 3.91 (4.94) MP of Gulf king mackerel in the 1991/1992 fishing year (ending March 31 or June 30, 1992, depending on the stock). Anglers also took 1.37 (1.78) MP of Atlantic and 2.0 (1.97) MP of Gulf Spanish mackerel. The majority of the recreationally caught king mackerel were taken by charter and private boat anglers with a small percentage being caught from man-made structures. Recreational catches of Spanish mackerel were more evenly distributed between charter boat, private boat, and man-made structures than were king mackerel catches. In the 1991/1992 fishing year, the recreational quota was reached for only the Gulf group of king mackerel which closed on January 13, 1992. This condition parallels the previous year's performance when only the Gulf king mackerel recreational quota was exceeded. The last three years' recreational catches present as a stark contrast to those of the 19881989 season when all, but the Gulf Spanish mackerel, recreational quotas were exceeded and the fishery closed before the normal end of the fishing season.

Commercial landings of Atlantic and U.S. Gulf king mackerel were 2.42 (2.34) and 1.84 (1.65) MP, respectively, for the 1991/1992 fishing season. Spanish mackerel commercial landings for the Atlantic and U.S. Gulf groups were 4.02 (3.46) and 1.80 (2.00) MP, respectively. King mackerel were caught mostly with hooks and lines (about 80 percent) and gill nets (about 16 percent). The use of purse seines and drift nets has been prohibited for the overfished mackerel species, namely, Gulf king and Spanish mackerel and Atlantic Spanish mackerel. Since Atlantic Spanish mackerel is no longer considered overfished, the use of purse seine may be reconsidered in the future. However, in re-evaluating the use of purse seines in this fishery, the Council will need to determine if stock recovery is at such a level as to produce MSY and that traditional fishermen are not taking their allocation. The Atlantic group of king mackerel may be harvested with purse seine, but not with drift nets, although no purse seine catches were taken in the last three fishing years. Spanish mackerel were caught almost exclusively with gill nets and in the 1990/1991 fishing year over 72 percent of the commercial fishery occurred in Florida. In the 1991/1992 season, there were 3,069 mackerel permits issued of which 1,623 were for commercial harvest, 938 for charter boats, and 549 for both commercial and charter purposes. Most commercial permits were issued to hook and line vessels for all groups of mackerel (Raulerson, 1991). Similar to the 1990/1991 fishing year, the 1991/1992 commercial quotas for Gulf king and Atlantic Spanish mackerel were taken before the normal end of the fishing season. The commercial fishery for Gulf Spanish and Atlantic king mackerel remained open throughout the season. The commercial quota for the Gulf king mackerel was met in the Western Zone four months after the season opened (Oct. 29, 1991). It took about six months after the season began before the commercial quota for the Eastern Zone was met (Jan. 31, 1992). Similar closures occurred the previous year for these species. In the 1988/1989 fishing season, the commercial fishing season for Gulf king mackerel was about 2 months longer in the Eastern Zone but a few weeks shorter in the Western Zone. Commercial fishery for the Atlantic Spanish mackerel closed about eight months after the season began (Dec. 17, 1991), with large catches being made shortly after the Florida season began in December 1991. The fishing season for this segment of the fishery is about one month shorter than that of the previous year's. For the past three fishing years, the commercial quotas for Atlantic king and Gulf Spanish mackerel were not met, with these segments of the fishery remaining open throughout the fishing year (ending March 31 for Atlantic king and June 30 for Gulf Spanish mackerel). Reports had it then that fishermen in the Florida

[^0]Keys prosecuting Gulf Spanish mackerel refused to continue fishing sometime during the second half of the 1989/1990 fishing season because of low dockside prices for this stock group. However, some limited fishing resumed until the season ended when price agreements between harvesters and fish houses were concluded. No incidence of that nature has been reported for the 1990/1991 fishing season. Reports have it, though, that for the 1990/1991 season, fish were not schooled and moved to deeper waters, and thus made it difficult to catch them in large amounts. As of May 11, 1992, the commercial sector filled only about 71 percent of its 1991/1992 quota allocation. None of the past reasons for not filling the commercial quota has been reported for the 1991/1992 fishing season. It may be noted that in the 1988/1989 fishing season, the Gulf Spanish mackerel commercial fishery closed about three months before the normal end of the season (April 7, 1989).

## METHODOLOGY AND FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS

The alternatives considered are described below. For this Notice Action the choice of TAC cannot exceed the upper ranges of ABC as estimated by the Stock Assessment Panel. There would be no relevance in comparing these alternatives to a hypothetical unregulated fishery since "no regulation" is not an option under Notice Action.

Ideally, the expected present values of net yield streams over time associated with the different alternatives would be compared in evaluating impacts. Net yield streams in the present context mean producer and consumer surpluses in both the commercial and recreational sectors of the mackerel fishery. Unfortunately, estimates of the yield streams and their associated probabilities are not available. The approach taken in analyzing alternative TACs and allocations is to describe and/or quantify the changes in short-term benefits in terms of changes in ex-vessel values for the commercial sector with qualitative discussions on changes in fishing costs, changes in consumer surplus to the recreational anglers, and changes in profits to the charter boats (Raulerson, 1991). The baseline scenario consists of the respective commercial and recreational quotas/bag limits for the 1991/1992 fishing season. The most likely ABC value estimated by the Stock Assessment Panel was the focal point in Council deliberations leading to the setting of TAC for the 1992/1993 fishing season. On this account, the most likely value, if not the TAC chosen, is regarded as the rejected alternative. Although the 1991/1992 TACs are also viable alternatives, they are taken as basis for determining the impacts of the chosen TACs, and are thus implicitly incorporated in the analysis of impacts. Although the data to compare long term effects of various possible TAC levels within ABC are not available, the expected direction and possible magnitude of economic impacts are discussed. Effects of closures related to allocations are evaluated where appropriate.

## IMPACTS OF PROPOSED AND ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS

## Gulf Group King Mackerel

There are some differences in this year's estimation of ABC ranges relative to that of the previous years. In the 1990 and 1991 stock assessments, the Stock Assessment Panel (Panel) employed a "Delta" method procedure to estimate the most likely ABC. The ABC range was established by bounding the most likely estimate by the 16th and 84th percentiles of a lognormal distribution. In the 1991 assessment, an alternative method, a Monte Carlo simulation, was also conducted to estimate the most likely ABC for the king mackerel stocks. Upon examination of results, the Panel observed that the range of ABC's
for the Gulf and Atlantic king mackerels were close to the lognormal approximation, and so decided to continue using the Delta method in determining the most likely ABC estimate with the 16th and 84th percentile bounds. For the current year, the Panel experimented with both methods to estimate the most likely ABC's. The Panel concluded that the Monte Carlo method allowed for better characterization of the uncertainty in recent levels of recruitment, and decided to use estimates generated through this technique. However, the Panel also felt that continued use of the lognormal model was still an appropriate approximation, if Monte Carlo experiments have not been done and/or recent recruitment levels are not indexed. The Panel also discussed at length the probability levels that would be most appropriate to characterize the range of ABC. In establishing ABC ranges, by defining upper and lower bounds about the most likely estimate, the Panel maintained the 16 percent "probability level" for the lower bound but adopted a 50 percent "probability level" for the upper bound. In effect, the Panel has chosen a lower risk factor in determining the upper limit of the ABC range for this year's stock assessment.

The Panel concluded that the U.S. Gulf resource appeared to have continued to respond toward recovery. In its report, the Panel recommended an ABC range of 3.6 MP - 6.1 MP, with the most likely estimate of 4.5 MP. These estimates were generated using the Monte Carlo method with 16 percent and 50 percent probability levels for defining the lower and upper limits, respectively. The Panel also estimated an ABC range of $4.0 \mathrm{MP}-10.7 \mathrm{MP}$, with the most likely estimate of 5.1 MP , using the Delta method. The lower and upper limits of this ABC range correspond, respectively, to the 16th and 84th percentile of the lognormal distribution. In the process of selecting a TAC for the 1992/1993 season, the General Counsel advised the Council that it has the responsibility of deciding on the risk factors in determining the ABC range. Cognizant of the attendant risk factor, the Council opted to use the latter ABC range estimated by the Panel. The Council chose a TAC within such range. Allocations between user groups remain at 32 percent commercial and 68 percent recreational. The commercial allocation is sub-divided into 69 percent eastern zone and 31 percent western zone.

## 1. Preferred Alternative: Set TAC at 7.8 MP .

| Commercial allocation | 2.50 MP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eastern Zone | 1.73 MP |
| Western Zone | 0.77 MP |
| Recreational allocation | $3.91 \mathrm{MP}=0.574 \mathrm{M}$ fish |
| Bag limit $=$ | 2 fish person per day (reverts to zero in EEZ when allocation |
|  | is filled). |

The TAC for Gulf king mackerel has been gradually increased over the last six years, and yet the fishery closed before the normal end of the fishing year. In all those years, the TAC has been exceeded partly because of open fishery in some Gulf states when fishing in the EEZ was closed. For the 1986/1987 through 1990/1991 fishing years, total catch amounted to an average of 143 percent of TAC. Preliminary data for the 1991/1992 season showed that a little over 100 percent of the TAC was taken. In four of the last six years, total catches also exceeded the upper limit of the estimated ABC ranges. It appears though that in the immediate past year, the upper limit of the ABC range was not exceeded. The fish stock, nonetheless, has shown signs of recovery with SPR increasing over the past few years as noted in the report of the Stock Assessment Panel. For example, the current SPR estimate is 19 percent, which is a 58 percent increase from the past year's SPR level of about 12 percent.

The proposed TAC falls within the Council's recommended ABC but reflects higher risk than the upper limit of $A B C$ recommended by the Panel that the true ABC will be exceeded. The Council, however, has almost consistently chosen a TAC (higher than the estimated most likely ABC) reflecting a risk factor greater than 50 percent but less than 84 percent of exceeding the Council's target fishing mortality. The proposed TAC may be deemed to reflect a higher probability of retarding the recovery of the stock. Analysis of potential net benefit trade-off over time is essential in this respect, but the information to conduct such analysis is not available. Thus, the following determination of impacts consider only the short-term consequences of the proposed TAC and associated commercial/recreational allocation.

The proposed TAC is 2.05 MP above the preceding year's TAC, and thus would enable the different user groups to experience higher benefits. The distribution of benefits is not expected to be substantially altered, since the percentage allocation among user groups remain the same. However, if Amendment 6 to the Coastal Pelagics FMP is approved, with a possible implementation date of November 1992, the distributional impacts between commercial and recreational sectors will substantially change. With Amendment 6, the recreational bag limit will not revert to zero when the quota is filled. The distributional impacts within the recreational sector is expected to be only slightly altered by the elimination of the differential bag limits for charter boats. The current bag limit for charter boats is 2 fish per person per day when including captain and crew or 3 fish per person per day when excluding captain and crew, except Florida charter boats which are subject to the daily bag limit of 2 fish per person.

The commercial fishery has experienced early closures in the last seven years. Over this period the fishing season closed 4 to 5 months in the Western Zone and 5 to 6 months in the Eastern Zone after the start of the fishing year despite a yearly increase in quota. Last year's commercial quota was filled and the fishery closed September 29, 1991 and January 30, 1992 in the Western and Eastern Zone, respectively. The proposed TAC means an increase in commercial quota of 0.66 MP , or 36 percent, from last year's quota. This increase is not expected to substantially lengthen the season. Ex-vessel demand for king mackerel has been estimated to be price inflexible; that is, price decreases less than proportional to increases in harvest (Prochaska, 1978). Relative to the baseline, the proposed TAC and associated commercial quota would generate an additional $\$ 0.7$ million of ex-vessel revenues to the commercial sector. Fishing costs are not expected to materially increase. It is also expected that new entrants or re-entrants into the commercial fishery will not be a major concern. Profitability of those currently in the fishery may then slightly increase as a result of the increased quota. The impacts on processors and consumers cannot be quantified, but are expected to be positive due a decrease in price as harvest increases.

The fact that the recreational quota was fully taken is a strong indication of a high recreational demand for king mackerel. Milon (1991) related the importance of king mackerel as a keeper in determining the recreational demand for the species, an additional fish caught translates to an increase in recreational benefits. Caught and released fish also improves the fishing experience of anglers (Milon, 1991). The proposed TAC means an increase in recreational allocation of 1.39 MP ( $=0.19 \mathrm{M}$ fish), or 36 percent, from last year's quota. Despite this relatively large increase in quota, the recreational fishery is expected to experience some closure. A bag limit analysis done on Gulf king mackerel showed that with a 2 fish bag limit the recreational catch would amount to about 5.34 MP assuming no increase in recreational effort (Powers and Parrack, 1992). Raulerson (1992) indicated that the direction of change of major factors affecting effort points to an increase in fishing effort for the Gulf king mackerel fishery. The entire increase in recreational allocation may then be considered as an effective increase in
recreational catch. Since the bag limit is maintained at 2 fish per person per day, the increase in benefit to the recreational anglers will arise from more successful fishing trips. Additional benefits, in terms of consumer surplus, amounting to $\$ 1.35$ million would be realized by the recreational anglers. The increase in recreational quota, even if the bag limits remain the same, would also increase the number of trips taken by anglers through charter boats. These increased trips would generate additional profits to the charter boats amounting to $\$ 0.12$ million.

## 2. Rejected Alternative: Set TAC at 6.1 MP

This TAC reflects a 50 percent risk level that the true ABC is below this level as estimated by the Panel using the Monte Carlo technique. Relative to the proposed TAC, this alternative promotes lesser probability that the stock recovery will be impeded. In terms of short-run impacts, this alternative differs from the preferred one only in terms of magnitudes of effects. Percent allocation among user groups and recreational bag limits are identical to those of the preferred option. At this TAC level, allocation would be:

| Commercial allocation | 1.95 MP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eastern Zone | 1.35 MP |
| Western Zone | 0.60 MP |
| Recreational allocation | 4.15 MP $=0.559 \mathrm{M}$ fish |
| Bag limit $=$ | 2 fish person per day (reverts to zero in EEZ when allocation |
|  | is filled) |

This TAC is 1.70 MP , or 22 percent, lower than the proposed TAC. However, it is 0.35 MP , or 6 percent, higher than last year's TAC. As with the proposed TAC, this quota is expected to be fully taken in the current fishing season. Commercial closure and bag reversion to zero would be earlier in the season relative to the proposed TAC. The distributional impacts of this TAC would not substantially differ from those of the proposed alternative. Relative to last season's TAC, this option would generate additional $\$ 0.114$ million of ex-vessel revenues to the commercial sector, $\$ 0.17$ million of consumer surplus to the recreational anglers, and $\$ 0.02$ million of profits to the charter boat industry.

Under current FMP provisions, the recreational bag limit reverts to zero in the EEZ when the quota is filled. Once Amendment 6 passes and is implemented, bag limits would not revert to zero. The NMFS Regional Director (RD), however, has the option to change the Council's proposed bag limit if it is determined that the quota will be exceeded. A bag limit analysis (Powers and Parrack, 1992) showed that a TAC of 6.1 MP will be exceeded by a bag limit of 2 fish per person per day. Given that condition, the bag limit will drop to at most 1 fish per person per day in order that the quota will not be exceeded. Under this scenario, the recreational sector will be adversely impacted even more than as outlined above.

## Atlantic Group King Mackerel

The stock assessment report states that there appears to be an adequate spawning biomass present for the Atlantic king mackerel. There appears to be significant amounts of recruitment coming into the fishery, but again high fishing mortality rates could reduce the size of these year classes. This stock is not currently considered to be overfished because the fishing mortality rate does not presently appear to be exceeding $\mathrm{F}_{30}$, and the spawning stock biomass does not appear to be low enough to affect
recruitment. Employing the Monte Carlo technique to characterize uncertainty, the Stock Assessment Panel estimated a most likely ABC of 10.0 MP over the range of $\mathbf{8 . 6} \mathrm{MP}$ to 12.0 MP . The Panel allowed for a 50 percent chance of the ABC being less than 12.0 MP and a 16 percent chance of it being less than 8.6 MP . The fixed allocation ratio remains at 62.9 percent recreational and 37.1 percent commercial.

## 1. Preferred Alternative: Set TAC at $\mathbf{1 0 . 5} \mathbf{~ M P}$.

Commercial allocation Recreational allocation Bag Limit $=$
3.90 MP $6.60 \mathrm{MP}=0.834 \mathrm{M}$ fish
5 fish per person per day throughout the range, except in Florida which should conform to that state's bag limit but not to exceed 5 fish per person per day

This TAC is within the ABC range and slightly above the most likely value that allows a fishing mortality rate that is consistent with maintaining the stock at a healthy level. This TAC is the same as the previous year's. Also, the allocation by user groups remains the same. Relative to status quo (i.e., 1991/1992 TAC), this choice of TAC is therefore not expected to have any positive or negative impacts on fishery participants.

Except for the 1988/1989 fishing season, neither the commercial nor the recreational allocation has been filled, and for the last three consecutive fishing years no closure of either sector ever occurred. In the 1988/1989 fishing season, the total catch from both sectors amounted to about 7.5 MP, and this amount has never been exceeded in more recent years. The inclusion of the Mid-Atlantic Council's area of jurisdiction for mackerel management has not materially affected the both commercial and recreational catches vis-a-vis their respective allocation. In addition, last year's increase in bag limit to 5 fish per person per day did not result in the recreational sector filling its quota. The potential re-allocation (mentioned in the previous RIR) that could occur if there was a commercial quota under-run and recreational quota over-run as a result of the increase in bag limits did not materialize. For the current year, the choice of TAC and corresponding allocations are expected to have minimal impacts on both the commercial and recreational sectors.

## 2. Rejected Alternative: Set TAC at $\mathbf{1 0 . 0} \mathbf{~ M P}$, the most likely estimate of ABC

The only difference between this alternative and the preferred one is the magnitude of TAC. Percentage allocation among user groups and recreational bag limits are identical to those of the preferred option. At this TAC level, allocation would be:

Commercial allocation $\quad 3.71 \mathrm{MP}$
Recreational allocation
6.29 MP $=0.795 \mathrm{M}$ fish

Bag Limit =
5 fish per person per day throughout the range, except in Florida which should conform to that state's bag limit but not to exceed 5 fish per person per day

This alternative provides the highest likelihood of realizing a fishing mortality rate of $F_{30}$. This quota is 0.5 MP , or 5 percent, less than the proposed and last year's TAC. Combined commercial and recreational catches totaled about 5.3 MP and 6.6 MP, respectively, in the 1990/1991 and 1991/1992 fishing years. Very likely about the same catch level would be taken in the current year. Thus this
alternative choice of TAC is not expected to result in negative impacts on both commercial and recreational sectors.

## Gulf Group Spanish Mackerel

The Stock Assessment Panel found evidence of some increase in recruitment and spawning stock biomass in the most recent years. The Panel felt there was potential for the increased recruitment to continue to contribute to recovery of the spawning biomass if the Councils protected new recruits. Employing the Monte Carlo technique to characterize uncertainty, the Stock Assessment Panel estimated a most likely ABC of 8.0 MP over the range of 5.1 MP to 9.8 MP . The Panel allowed for a 50 percent chance of the ABC being less than 9.8 MP and a 16 percent chance of it being less than 5.1 MP . The fixed allocation ratio remains at 43 percent recreational and 57 percent commercial.

## 1. Preferred Alternative: Set TAC at $\mathbf{8 . 6} \mathbf{M P}$, the most likely estimate of ABC

Commercial allocation
Recreational allocation
Bag limit =
4.9 MP
$3.7 \mathrm{MP}=3.274 \mathrm{M}$ fish
State limit not to exceed 10 fish per person per day (reverts to zero in EEZ when allocation is filled)

This TAC is within the ABC range and slightly above the most likely value that allows a fishing mortality rate that is consistent with maintaining the stock at a healthy level. This TAC is the same as the previous year's. Also, the percentage allocation by user groups remains the same. Relative to status quo (i.e., 1991/1992 TAC), this choice of TAC does not have any impact on fishery participants. Considering, however, that there is an increase in bag limits, some increase in benefits to the recreational sector will arise. Again, it may be noted that if Amendment 6 gets implemented, there will be no reversion to zero bag limit when the quota is filled.

In the past three years, the commercial allocation has not been filled. In terms of actual landings, however, last year's commercial catch ( 3.5 MP ) was the highest in three years. In fact, last year's landings were about 76 percent higher than those of the prior year. Low landings in the 1989/1990 and 1991/1992 fishing years were partly attributed to depressed ex-vessel prices and widely dispersed fish. Preliminary data for calendar year 1991 showed ex-vessel prices averaged about 42 cents a pound which is about similar to those of the two prior years. Thus there appears to be no perceptible improvement in the ex-vessel market for Gulf Spanish mackerel. It may be noted, however, that only about 71 percent of last year's commercial quota was filled. Unless a very unlikely situation occurs this year whereby the economics of the fishery significantly improves, the commercial allocation is unlikely to be filled.

Only about 55 percent of the recreational allocation was filled last year although actual catches were the highest in the last three years. Last year's recreational catch ( 2.018 MP ) was about 18 percent more than that of the prior year. The proposed increase in bag limit will affect only anglers in Texas and Florida since the bag limits off of other states are already 10 fish per person per day. There will be a projected increase in benefits to both anglers and the for-hire sector in Texas and Florida once these states raise their respective bag limits. It cannot be determined whether the recreational allocation will be actually filled by such an increase in bag limits. However, since Florida has historically registered the most recreational catches of Gulf Spanish mackerel, it is likely that there will occur a substantial increase in recreational catch provided Florida raises its bag limit. It appears though that no closure
will occur in the current fishing year, since for such closure to occur, the combined Texas and Florida catches should increase by about 1.68 MP relative to the past year's catch. Given such condition, the possible implementation of Amendment 6 by November 1992 is not expected to affect the bag limits as proposed here.

## 2. Rejected Alternative: Set TAC at $\mathbf{8 . 0} \mathbf{~ M P}$, the most likely estimate of ABC

Commercial allocation
Recreational allocation
Bag limit =
4.56 MP
$3.44 \mathrm{MP}=3.04 \mathrm{M}$ fish
State limit not to exceed 10 fish per person per day (reverts to zero in EEZ when allocation is filled)

This TAC is within the ABC range and is the most likely value that allows a fishing mortality rate that is consistent with maintaining the stock at a healthy level. This TAC is 0.6 MP , or 7.5 percent, less than the proposed and last year's TAC. Although in principle this alternative would result in reduction in benefits, the commercial sector is unlikely to be affected due to historically low catches of this sector. There is only a very slight possibility that the recreational sector will be negatively affected by this alternative. The proposed increase in bag limits which will affect anglers and for-hire vessels in Texas and Florida is expected to result in substantial increase in recreational catch provided these states raise their respective bag limits. For this alternative TAC to negatively impact the recreational sector, catches should exceed 1.08 MP relative to last year's recreational catches. This possibility appears to be quite remote. Thus the effects of this alternative on the recreational sector may be expected to be almost similar to those of the proposed alternative. Although Amendment 6 will introduce some possibly alter the impacts on the recreational fishery as just described. However, it is still difficult to determine at this stage if the implementation of this amendment will affect the bag limits under this alternative.

## Atlantic Group Spanish Mackerel

The report of the Stock Assessment Panel notes increases in spawning biomass which are expected to speed the stock toward recovery. The year class strengths of zero-year old in 1988 and 1989 appear to be larger for previous years of the data (except 1989), and these year classes are now entering the spawning biomass and fishery at this time. The ABC range for this group is estimated to be 4.9 MP to 7.9 MP with the most likely value estimated at 6.0 MP to 7.0 MP . The allocation formula adopted in 1989 under Amendment 4 called for greater share to the recreational sector for any TAC increase until a TAC of 6.6 MP is reached after which the recreational and commercial shares are equalized. The proposed TAC for this year, which is the same as that of last year, allows the equalization of the two shares; thus the proposed allocation is 50 percent commercial and 50 percent recreational. It may also be noted that for the current year this migratory group is no longer considered overfished.

## 1. Preferred Alternative: Set TAC at 7.0 M , the most likely estimate of ABC

Commercial allocation
Recreational allocation
Bag Limit $=$
3.5 MP
$3.5 \mathrm{MP}=2.536 \mathrm{M}$ fish
10 fish per person per day throughout the range, except in Florida which should conform to that state's bag limit but not to exceed 10 fish per person per day

The preferred TAC is the most likely ABC , and therefore allows fishing mortality to be at a rate consistent with maintaining the stock at a healthy level. Relative to status quo (i.e., 1991/1992 TAC), this alternative does not have any impact on fishery participants.

For the last five fishing seasons, the commercial fishery closed about 9 to 10 months after it opened. The 1991/1992 commercial allocation was filled and the fishery closed on December 17, 1991. In the previous fishing year, the commercial fishery closed on January 26, 1991. During the 1989/1990 fishing year over 80 percent of the commercial fishery occurred in Florida and 72 percent of the landings were taken there within one month of the appearance of the fish. Florida's share of the 1990/1991 commercial harvest, however, decreased to 60 percent (down 0.68 MP ) due to inclusion of Mid-Atlantic catches ( 0.551 MP , mainly in Virginia: 0.49 MP ) in the quota monitoring program, and also to a 0.25 MP increase in the North Carolina catch. The proposed commercial quota is the same as that of the previous year. Noting quota overruns in this fishery for the last three years, the proposed commercial allocation will be likely filled. Thus, even if the stock is no longer considered overfished, the high likelihood that traditional hook and line fishermen can fill the quota obviates the possibility of the Councils re-evaluating the use of purse seines in the fishery.

The recreational fishery did not fish out its allocation in the past four fishing seasons. In the 1989/1990 fishing season, only about half of the quota was filled. Part of the explanation comes from the fact that there was a large increase in recreational allocation for the 1989-1990 season. Another possible reason is the lagged reaction of anglers to quota overruns and controversial fishery closure in the previous year (1988/1990). This is partly borne out by the fact the total recreational catch in the 1989/1990 fishing season was only about 62 percent of that of the $1988 / 1989$ season. Positive reaction of anglers to management actions picked up in the 1990/1991 fishing season when about 96 percent of recreational quota was taken. However, the 1990/1991 recreational quota was 0.9 MP less than its 1989/1990 level although actual catch was about 65 percent more than that of the previous year. In the 1991/1992 fishing year the recreational allocation was a substantially increased and was about 88 percent more than that of the previous year. Recreational catch about leveled for this year, and was less than 50 percent of the recreational quota. If Florida raises its bag limits, there will be a strong possibility of a surge in recreational catch, and this could result in increased benefits to private anglers and for-hire sector. Despite however such possibility, it is still very unlikely that the recreational quota as currently proposed for the 1992/1993 fishing year will be filled.

## Maximum Sustainable Yield for Cobia

Preliminary estimate from the Coastal Pelagic Management Plan set the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) for cobia at 1 MP. Upon the recommendation of the Stock Assessment Panel, the Council recommends to change the cobia MSY to 2.2 MP. The original MSY estimate was based on historical commercial landings and did not account for catches of the recreational sector, since data were not available at that time. The report of the Stock Assessment Panel notes that landings of cobia by both the commercial and recreational sector have stabilized at about 2.2 MP for greater than a generation period. Recreational catches are found to be more variable than commercial catches. The Panel concluded that the original MSY estimate might have been low since a stable catch above MSY is unlikely. Since catches are stable, no change from the current bag limit for cobia is recommended.

This recommendation does not have immediate impact on either the recreational or commercial sectors of the fishery. The recognition by the scientific panel that MSY for cobia is at the recommended level
implies that the long-term benefits from the fishery will not be impaired by current and past levels of catch.

## Government Costs of Regulation

Federal government costs of this action were associated with meetings, travel, calculation of ABC's, preparation of various documents and reviewing all documents. Other sources of additional costs include extraordinary research specifically done for the purpose of this particular action, additional statistics costs, and additional enforcement costs resulting from the action. In the latter cases, no additional costs are anticipated.

| Prepare and implement action | $\$ 30,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Research | None additional required |
| Statistics | None additional required |
| Enforcement | None additional required |

## SUMMARY AND EXPECTED NET IMPACT OF PROPOSED ACTION

The notice action being addressed constitutes changes in management for four distinctive groups of king and Spanish mackerel. These changes are considered as four independent actions, and there is no justification to attempt a net benefit statement for all four actions considered as a unit. Therefore, this summary proceeds on the basis of the four groups of mackerels being considered as distinct fisheries. The major emphasis of the summary is on the expected economic impact of the preferred alternatives. It may be noted that for each fishery the alternatives considered are generally the preferred alternative, mainly the most likely value of ABC , and implicitly the previous year's quotas.

## Gulf Group King Mackerel

The preferred alternative (set TAC at 7.8 MP with no change in bag limits) establishes a TAC higher than that of the previous season, and is expected to generate additional benefits of $\$ 0.7$ million in exvessel revenues, $\$ 1.35$ million in consumer surplus, and $\$ 0.12$ million in charter boat profits. The impacts on the recreational sector may possibly change if Amendment 6 gets implemented. The distributional effects of the preferred alternative on various user groups would be similar to those of the previous year's TAC. The preferred alternative would also generate higher benefits than the rejected alternative, primarily because the former has a higher TAC.

## Atlantic Group King Mackerel

Relative to the status quo, the preferred alternative does not have any impact on fishery participants. Commercial and recreational quotas under the preferred alternative are unlikely to be filled. The rejected alternative in this case would mean negative impacts on fishery participants since TAC under this alternative is lower than that of last year.

## Gulf Group Spanish Mackerel

The recommended TAC is 8.6 MP , which is the same as that for last year, does not entail any impacts on fishery participants. In principle, the distributional impacts of this alternative will not be different from those of last year, mainly because the percentage allocation between the commercial and recreational sectors remain the same and also because the respective allocations are unlikely to be filled. However, it may be noted that the commercial sector has the capacity to fill the quota if and when market and environmental conditions are favorable. Although the recreational quota is also unlikely to be filled, a potential increase in recreational catch, and hence on recreational benefits, arises if Florida and Texas raise their respective bag limits.

## Atlantic Group Spanish Mackerel

The preferred alternative sets TAC at 7.0 MP , which is the as that of last year and is also the most likely $A B C$ estimate. With the expectation that the commercial quota will be filled, the possibility of purse seines being permitted in the fishery (due to the stock being now formally declared as not overfished, appears to be remote. The recreational quota is not expected to be fully taken. However, an increase in catch over that of last year is a strong possibility if Florida raises its bag limit.

## Government Costs

Government costs for preparing and implementing this action are estimated at $\$ 30,000$. There are expected to be no additional costs from data collection, research or law enforcement from this action.
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| H0471 | II | LA | HS | AL | Total | $\underset{\text { Potal }}{\text { Can }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { Quota } \\ & (0.12 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 90 | 272 | 170,123 | 1,083 | 171 | 171,919 | 111,949 | 11 |
| August | 1,050 | 166,900 | 218 |  | 168,168 | 310,117 | 81 |
| Septenber |  | 145,950 | 104 |  | 146,054 | 186,171 | 116 |
| October Closed |  | 111,053 |  |  | 141,053 | 627,224 | 119 |
| llovenber |  |  |  |  | 0 | 627,224 | 149 |
| Decenber |  | 10,702 |  |  | 10,702 | 631,926 | 152 |
| Jnauary 91 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 631,926 | 152 |
| Tebruary |  |  |  |  | 0 | 631,926 | 152 |
| barch |  |  |  |  | 0 | 631,926 | 152 |
| Ipril |  | 305 |  |  | 305 | 631,231 | 152 |
| bas |  | 312 |  |  | 342 | 653,573 | 152 |
| Jue |  | 610 |  |  | 670 | 639,213 | 152 |



| Potal | 300,688 | 694,053 | 991,691 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 last lone | ${ }^{308}$ | 908 |  |
| 8 Galfride | 188 | 428 | 618 |
| Catch after c | 12 <br> 12,811 | 2,009 | 14,806 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |





1990/91.

1969/90 COEMJICISL (ROOTA 1.364 )

| H01TP | II | 4 | US | LL | Total | Cun. Total | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { Quota } \\ & (0.120) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 89 | 1,245 | 79,518 | 905 | 911 | 82,519 | 12,579 | 20 |
| Auguat | 91 | 132,377 | 1,123 |  | 133,581 | 216,114 | 51 |
| Septenber | 121 | 140,434 | 390 | 510 | 141,461 | 357,631 | 65 |
| Octaber Closed | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 0 / 25 / 88 \end{gathered}$ | 167,415 | 65 |  | 181,488 | 545,131 | 130 |
| Iovenber |  | 111,069 | 158 |  | 111,247 | 656,311 | 156 |
| Decenber |  |  |  |  | - | 656,311 | 156 |
| Janaary 90 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 651,317 | 156 |
| Tebruary |  | 1,017 |  |  | 1,017 | 651.894 | 159 |
| Masc! |  |  |  |  | 1 | 851,984 | 159 |
| April |  |  |  |  | 1 | 651,384 | 159 |
| Va) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 651,304 | 157 |
| June |  | 61 | 43 |  | 107 | 651,501 | 151 |


| M0itil | PLOIID |  | Potal | Cas. <br> Potal | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Poota } \\ \text { (0.911) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nest | Jast |  |  |  |
| July 88 | 2,913 | 571 | 3,514 | 3,544 | 0 |
| Lugut | 1,171 |  | 1,171 | 5,015 | 1 |
| Septester | 5,109 | 31 | 5,140 | 10,155 | 1 |
| October | 23,405 |  | 23, 105 | 33,560 | 1 |
| lorenber | 22,911 | 122,501 | 145, 171 | 178,031 | 19 |
| Decenber | 111,639 | 162,340 | 293,319 | 472,384 | 50 |
| Janairy 90 | 683,162 | 60.519 | 661,241 | 1,136,661 | 121 |
| Closed | 9/90 |  |  |  |  |
| Pebruary | 31, 111 | 635 | 21,312 | 1,166,143 | 124 |
| Uurct | 5,251 | 136 | 6, 181 | 1,172,131 | 125 |
| April | 1,387 |  | 1,317 | 1,173,517 | 125 |
| May | 2,760 |  | 2,760 | 1,176,211 | 125 |
| June | 458 |  | 458 | 1,116,185 | 125 |


| fotal | 1,462 | 651,914 | 2,644 | 1,121 | 857,501 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vest lose | 08 | 998 | 08 | 04 |  |
| Gulfude | 08 | 368 | 08 | 08 | 368 |
| fter closar | catch | 148,44 | 214 | 0 | 141,861 |


| Potal | 809,303 | 367,432 | 1,176,735 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Past lose | 698 | 112 |  |
| \% Galfaide | 44 | 208 | 18 |
| Ifter closure catch: |  |  |  |
| Pounds: | 36,613 | 1,411 | 4,414 |


| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1919/90 } \\ \text { Honfi } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Potal dat | Con. Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y Quota } \\ & \text { (2.891) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11 | U | US/LL |  |  |  |
| Jol 89 | 110,796 | 13,42 | 67,093 | 191,311 | 191,371 | 1 |
| Aus | 121,560 | 13,244 | 67,193 | 201,859 | 393,228 | 14 |
| Sep | 31,407 | 11,143 | 53, 917 | 85,322 | 481,550 | 17 |
| Oct | 31,107 | 18,143 | 58,912 | 95,322 | 503,812 | 20 |
| lor |  |  | 10,260 | 18.251 | 594,132 | 21 |
| Dec |  |  | 11,264 | 11,261 | 604, 398 | 21 |
| Jan 90 |  |  |  | 1 | 601,392 | 21 |
| Peb |  |  |  | 1 | 601,392 | 21 |
| Mar | 2,683 | 221 | 2,412 | 5,316 | 618,148 | 21 |
| Apr | 1,311 | 221 | 2,412 | 1,016 | 616,118 | 21 |
| May | 24,516 |  | 11,391 | 35,989 | 852,665 | 23 |
| Jut | 31,526 | 375 | 11,391 | 43,292 | 695,917 | 24 |
| Clored 5 | 5/21/30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potal | 351,212 | 17,649 | 309,256 | 615,977 |  |  |
| \% Meat lone | 518 | 78 | 424 |  |  |  |
| \% Gulfride | 11\% | 1\% | 98 | 218 |  |  |


| H017! | FLOAID |  | Potal | Con. Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& Quota } \\ & (2.8914) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hent | fat |  |  |  |
| Jul 8 | 71,184 |  | 11,104 | 11,104 | 2 |
| lat | 70,364 |  | 11,304 | 141,54 | 5 |
| Sep | 314,081 |  | 314,086 | 455,608 | 16 |
| Oct | 313,972 |  | 313,912 | 169,500 | 21 |
| Ior | 119,314 | 102,81 | 222,205 | 981,765 | 34 |
| Dec | 119,431 | 118,470 | 225,918 | 1,211,605 | 12 |
| Jas 91 | 15,922 | 111.414 | 139,201 | 1,351,011 | 11 |
| Ieb | 16,251 | 112,271 | 121,531 | 1,473,421 | 51 |
| Uar | 205, 059 | 111,078 | 323,135 | 1,012,555 | 12 |
| Apr | 244,711 |  | 214,121 | 2,001,277 | 69 |
| لا | 364,811 |  | 314,818 | 2,312,086 | 11 |
| Jun | 301,148 |  | 341,118 | 2,616,884 | 11 |
| Closed 5/21/84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potal | 2,059,112 | 557, 212 | 2,11,94 |  |  |
| 8 Pist Zone | 198 | 218 |  |  |  |
| \% Gulfuide | 624 | 17\% | 195 |  |  |


| Potal | Can. fotal | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { Qeots } \\ & \text { (2.891) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 262,555 | 262,555 | g |
| 272,221 | 534,178 | 19 |
| 419,302 | 941,158 | 33 |
| 401,291 | 1,353,452 | 11 |
| 235,415 | 1,585,917 | 55 |
| 236,160 | 1,822,077 | 63 |
| 133,216 | 1,055,203 | 41 |
| 121,531 | 2,103,013 | 12 |
| 32,451 | 2,412,264 | 83 |
| 211,731 | 2.623,985 | 91 |
| 311,786 | 2,981,711 | 103 |
| 348,180 | 3,312,361 | 115 |

Ifter closare catch:
Pounda: $\quad 188,135$
Pounda: 188,135

3,312,961

| 1989/80 | COMBIIED Conaracial ild mermploial (fac 4.254) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \text { FIC } \\ & (4.254) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | II | 4 | MS/Ll | Potal |  |
| c04 307 | 1,482 | 651,914 | 4,105 | 657,501 | 15 |
| PIC \%ot | 358,212 | 17,849 | 289,856 | 695,911 | 16 |
| GIA1 901 | 359,154 | 699,763 | 293,961 | 1,353,170 | 32 |
| I Hest hose. |  | 52\% | 228 |  |  |
|  |  | 14 | ${ }^{6}$ | 26\% |  |


|  | TLORIM |  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { IIC } \\ (4.251) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mest | Sast |  |  |
| COU TOT | 809,313 | 367,432 | 1,176,785 | 28 |
| BIC fot | 2,058,172 | 557,212 | 2,016,984 | 62 |
| Gnall 109 | 2,869,075 | 924,644 | 3,193,719 | 89 |
| * Last lone | 768 | 2 |  |  |
| * Gulfride | 562 |  | 748 |  |


| Potal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% IIC } \\ & \text { (1.251) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,834,236 | 43 |
| 3,312,961 | 18 |
| 5,147,197 | 121 |
| IABC $=2.7-$ |  |


Whole Veight Is Pounds (E688-89;05/20/92: Fif ,

WISTIM 2011

| 1988/89 COWERECLAL (9007L 1.098) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H0171 | II | U | HS | 4 | Potal | Con. Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Qoota } \\ & (0.341) \end{aligned}$ |
| July 88 | 388 | 105,240 | 1,206 | 1,844 | 100,678 | 108,618 | 32 |
| Lugent | 4,058 | 60,635 | 855 | 911 | 66,465 | 175,141 | 32 |
| Septeaber | 173 | 20,511 | 108 | 301 | 21,162 | 196,316 | 58 |
| October | 105 | 49,116 | 1,152 | 914 | 51,581 | 211,893 | 13 |
| Morenber |  | 155,065 | 875 | 543 | 156,4t3 | 414,316 | 119 |
| Closed 12/3/08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Janary 89 |  | 939 |  |  | 939 | 456,152 | 134 |
| Pebrary |  | 111 | " |  | 111 | 456,863 | 134 |
| barch |  |  |  |  | 0 | 456,463 | 134 |
| April |  |  |  |  | 1 | 456,863 | 134 |
| May |  |  |  |  | 1 | 456,063 | 134 |
| June |  |  | 265 | 51 | 322 | 451,165 | 134 |
| fotal | 4,124 | 113,120 | 1,162 | 1,519 | 457,185 |  |  |
| \$ Hent lone | 18 | 975 | 18 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 4 Gulfilde | 81 | 38 | 08 | 18 | 338 |  |  |
| Ifter Closure Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pounds: | 0 | 1,058 | 265 | 51 | 1,372 |  |  |

1988/69 RICREATIOML (ROOTL 2.31I) (atock aseesmant data)

| M017! | 71 | 4 | US/LL | Potal | Cus. Potal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& Puota } \\ & \text { (2.311) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 16 | 105,093 | 129,036 | 207,225 | 522,156 | 522,158 | 21 |
| logat | 106,014 | 11,536 | 15,119 | 135,699 | 651,655 | 21 |
| Septesber | 3,678 | 1,761 | 94, 174 | 99,913 | 157,760 | 33 |
| October | 3,678 | 1,161 | 28,169 | 33,608 | 711.376 | 34 |
| loreaber |  |  |  | 0 | 181,376 | 31 |
| closed 12/17/88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jua 89 |  |  |  | 0 | 191,346 | 34 |
| feb |  |  |  | 1 | 191,376 | 31 |
| Har | 217 |  | 2,248 | 2,535 | 193,911 | 31 |
| Lpr | 2,072 |  | 2,248 | 4,326 | 198,231 | 35 |
| Hay | 13,337 |  | 13,712 | 27,019 | 125,316 | 31 |
| Jas | 25,590 |  | 13,142 | 39,382 | 164,612 | 31 |
| Potal | 259,779 | 141,896 | 456,967 | 861,612 |  |  |
| 4 Heat zone | 311 | 188 | 554 |  |  |  |
| 8 Golfride | 68 | 4 | 118 | 22x |  |  |
| After Closura Pounds: | re Catcl 15,696 | 0 | 18,238 | 33,931 |  |  |


| TOMID |  |  |  | Cun. Potal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Goota } \\ & 12.31111 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOMII | Nest | Rast | Potal |  |  |
| Jaly 68 | 361,042 |  | 188,192 | 361,942 | 18 |
| Lugat | 241,230 |  | 215,23 | 618,172 | 21 |
| Septesber | 407, 807 |  | 417, 117 | 1,025,913 | 4 |
| October | 861,569 |  | (114,511 | 1,066,588 | 82 |
| lloverber |  | 311,471 | 311,171 | 2,181,045 | 15 |
| Decesber | 8.598 | 321,471 | 358,065 | 2,524,151 | 118 |
| Closed 12/11/88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 88 | 26,503 | 5,917 | 32,210 | 2,561,201 | 111 |
| Pob | 26,503 | 1,614 | 14,143 | 2,581,413 | 112 |
| Bur | 21,114 | 121,038 | 147,151 | 2,735,553 | 118 |
| Ipr | 21,114 |  | 21,114 | 2,757,861 | 119 |
| lay | 251,111 |  | 251,111 | 3,014,374 | 130 |
| Ju | 251,769 |  | 251,76 | 3,272,101 | 112 |
| fotal | 2,501,156 | 167,391 | 3,212,081 |  |  |
| 4 lunt gone | 814 | 258 |  |  |  |
| 4 Gulfrido | 828 | 19\% | 817 |  |  |
| Ifter Closure Catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pounds: | 351,945 | 134,303 | 486,318 |  |  |


| fotal | Cun. <br> Iotal | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { Root } \\ & \text { (2.311 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191, 198 | 691,098 | 39 |
| 301,981 | 1,276,027 | 55 |
| 501,128 | 1,763,717 | 11 |
| 691, 197 | 2,571,941 | 118 |
| 311, 411 | 2,949,121 | 129 |
| 351,065 | 3,319,128 | 144 |
| 32,210 | 3,351,636 | 145 |
| 34.143 | 3,365,719 | 141 |
| 141,885 | 3,531,464 | 153 |
| 25,134 | 3,555,988 | 154 |
| 363, 198 | 3,839,684 | 168 |
| 291,011 | 1,136,729 | 179 |

( $4,131,129$

After Closare Catct
Poundr 521,262

| monfi | Ploitod |  | Potal | Cun. <br> Potal | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { of } \\ \text { Quota } \\ (0.754) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Neat | last |  |  |  |
| Jaly 88 | 4,521 |  | 4,521 | 1,521 | 1 |
| Ingost | 1,151 |  | 1,758 | 6,205 | 1 |
| Septenber | 2,815 |  | 2,845 | 9,130 | 1 |
| October | 9, 290 |  | 1,298 | 10.121 | 2 |
| loreaber | 1,801 | 106,411 | 113,24 | 131,668 | 18 |
| Deconber | 458, 188 | 366,969 | 705,391 | 807,065 | 120 |
| Closed 12/31/88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junary 69 | 1,595 | 5,121 | 14,718 | 011,71 | 122 |
| Pebrairy | 2,132 | 305 | 2,131 | 914,516 | 122 |
| barch | 6,116 | 339 | 6,515 | 921,833 | 133 |
| Aprll | 2,018 |  | 2,418 | 023,051 | 123 |
| Ma] | 859 | 3,199 | 4,158 | 921,189 | 124 |
| Jone | 616 |  | 616 | 927,755 | 134 |
| fotal | 502,161 | 424,994 | 921,155 |  |  |
| 1 last lone | 518 | 408 |  |  |  |
| \$ Gulfuide | 314 | J1\% | 818 |  |  |
| Ifter Closare Catcl |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pounds: | 21,126 | 1,564 | 10,681 |  |  |


| Potal | Cun. <br> Potal | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { of } \\ & \text { fuota } \\ & \text { (1.091 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113,205 | 113,205 | 11 |
| 68,224 | 181,429 | 11 |
| 21,107 | 205, 436 | 19 |
| 60,011 | 266,313 | 21 |
| 269,131 | 536,044 | 19 |
| 816,834 | 1,352,817 | 124 |
| 15,655 | 1,368,533 | 126 |
| 2,048 | 1,371,381 | 128 |
| 6,515 | 1,377,898 | 128 |
| 2,011 | 1,379,914 | 127 |
| 4,058 | 1,303,912 | 187 |
| 361 | 1,364,941 | 181 |

$1,384,941$

Ifter Closare Catch
Ponide 32,06t


|  | 71 | U | US/LL | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \& \pi L C \\ & (3.404) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COE 901 | 1,124 | 413,420 | 9,041 | 457,185 | 13 |
| PRC $90 \%$ | 259,179 | 147,696 | 456,969 | 861,642 | 25 |
| gran tot | 264,503 | 591,316 | 466,008 | 1,321,829 | 39 |
| 4 Hest lone | - 204 | 45t | 358 |  |  |
| \& Gulfuide | 58 | 114 | 88 | 24 |  |


|  | florion |  | Potal | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% TLC } \\ (3,401) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nost | last |  |  |
| COH fOP | 502,761 | 424,994 | 927,155 | 21 |
| PLC fof | 2,504,150 | 767,317 | 3,272,081 | 96 |
| G1al for | 3,007,511 | 1,192,331 | 4,199,042 | 124 |
| 1 labt lone | 727 | 288 |  |  |
| - Gulfuide | S48 | 228 | 76\% |  |


| Total | $\begin{aligned} & \& \text { ELC } \\ & 13.401 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,384,940 | 41 |
| 4,136,129 | 122 |
| 5,521,669 | 162 |

1991/92 contriclis (980TA 4.980)

| avaia | 1.5 | YRSPR $\rightarrow$ | anit | st | Pubat | iubal |  | suati | : buku:a Neat inval | :un. |  | Potal | Ent pyise <br> tat: <br> ? sta . | i |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ipro-9! |  |  |  |  | 3 - 9 | + | 1 | 4crit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 249,418 | 26,188 | 5 | 240,488 | -96180 | ; |
| ac, |  | : , 15 a | 728 | 1. 1911 | 3,007 | 9, 417 | ? | 807 | 20,16 | 659, 60: | $\geqslant$ | 4.808 | 2", a\% $^{\text {a }}$ | ; |
| linan |  | 1.2E9 | i65 | 1.322 | i. 351 | : 1.901 | 0 | Juas | 31,:08 | 204, 369 | 6 | 44,059 | vis.1:4 |  |
| joly |  | 2,342 | 377 | 12.348 | 15,597 | 27.388 | ! | 1017 99 | 21.234 | J28. 597 | 1 | 39, 831 | 155, jes | $i$ |
| dugas: | 18 | 6.508 | 1.001 | 33, 385 | 31,092 | 58, 180 |  | Sucisi | 33, 395 | 362, 412 | $?$ | 64,987 | \13.3: | : |
| Septeaber |  | 11.750 | 798 | -2.329 | 24.887 | 83. 367 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | jemotembar | 291. 428 | 586.917 | 17 | 223.397 | \%5s. 3 | : |
| iesontar |  | J.:48 | 2, i0: | 1, 8.9 .3 | 1.108 | - 44.475 | 2 | 0ntsotr | 374 ,698 | 316.610 | 17 | 385.806 | 337295 | \% |
| Bopesber |  | 1,10! |  | 1,507 | 2,612 | 93, 957 | 2 | Sovesider | 158,786 | 1,002,975 | 20 | 158, 578 | 1,396 J6\% | ! |
| Decestor |  | !95 |  | 50 | 235 | 93.322 | $?$ | bicosber | $\therefore 194,518$ | $2,147.192$ | 45 | 1,191,751 |  | 17 |
| Jabaery 98 |  |  |  | 16 | : 6 | 91, 398 | ? | January 78 | 813, 309 | 3,018,981 | 82 | 813, 325 | 2.110,619 | 3 |
| labratt |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 93,338 | , | [sbrast | 273,74 | 3,236,550 | 87 | 273,949 | - , 389,888 | 59 |
| Haect |  |  |  |  | 1 | 33, 338 | 2 | March | 95,280 | 3,391,910 | 59 | 35, 270 | 3,185,208 | :1 |
| Total | 11 | 35,225 | 3,174 | 54,321 | 95,598 |  |  | Total | 1,391,770 |  |  | 3, 485,108 |  |  |
| \# Mast | 0.1 | 15.8 | 5.5 | 58.0 |  |  |  | 4 \$35t |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Gelf | 0.1 | :. 1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 |  |  | 1 Gulf | 37.3 |  |  |  |  |  |








| 16978 | 11 | $\underset{t}{\text { UISTMI }}$ | $4^{8011}$ | 4 | Inta! | inn | An Quosd (2.39 3 ) | 6094 | pionids <br> xeat iodat | Cun. | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { or } \\ 700 \div 8 \\ 12.994! \end{gathered}$ | Tulalids |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pota 1 | Sal <br> ?ntal | \$ $9 \hat{i}$ incts : 19: |
| dpri: 3 a |  | 1,318 | 9,94i | 46, J04 | 59.493 | 37.69 | 2 | 4 pric 30 | \$17,954 | 217.894 | 7 | 275,14? | 275 : 1 ! | 3 |
| daj |  | ? 6 | : $5^{5}$ | 897 | 1,208 | 58. 19 ! | ? | $1 \%$ | 32, 56 | 258.11i | 9 | 39,685 | 314.912 | :. |
| 'nge |  | 12 |  | 1 | 48 | 69.147 | ¢ | inae | 13.872 | 275.693 | \% | 19,918. | :34, 37 | $\cdots$ |
| duly |  | 249 | 5.50 | 4, 0108 | 4.829 | 9\%, 3 io | 8 | Suly 83 | \% 3.38 | 358, 106 | 10 | 28,552 |  | ! |
| Astast |  | 409 | 29,829 | 200 | 21,452 | 84,728 | 3 | surcat | 22,5\% | 327.53 i | 11 | 50,37? | 125.463 | : 4 |
| jefrsater |  | 2,05? | 773 | 753 | 8,595 | 88, 30 | 3 | Septesber | 97, 839 | 4!7, 170 | $!1$ | 93, 134 | 50s : 7 \% | $!$ |
| Coctobar | 65 | 4,683 | 311 | 312 | 5, 191 | 93. 954 | 1 | Jecober | :23.330 | S10,809 | : 1 | :28.75: | 3.34 .354 | $3!$ |
| doreniber |  | 3,64? | 152 | 103 | 3,912 | 97. 595 | 3 | novenber | 182.636 | 723.092 | 21 | 186, 144 | 220, 513 | $9 ?$ |
| Decester |  | 407 |  |  | 401 | 38,973 | 3 | Dicesber | 634,76; | 967.?93 | 32 | 235, 158 | : 0.55 .306 | 15 |
| xsmary 31 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 98,476 | $?$ | jsamasy 31 | : 20,845 | 1, 076,531 | 58 | 729, 849 | $1,970.12$ | : |
| Pobruat |  | 5 |  |  | 5 | 98,101 | 3 | lebruary | 88,875 | 1.769,513 | 59 | 80, 880 | 1, 8.89 .594 | i? |
| March |  | 168 | :,971 | 1,685 | 2,304 | 100.305 | $?$ | Yarci | 147,903 | 1,315,116 | 64 | 150.207 |  | ; 1 |
| Potal | 85 | 13.094 | 19,802 | 59,434 | [30,385 |  |  | Pota! | 1,45s,418 |  |  | 2,315,301 |  |  |
| 8 Mast | 1.1 | 13.1 | 33.7 | 53.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Bulf | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 |  |  | 1 Gult | 38.8 |  |  |  |  |  |




| 1998/91 |  HISt131 1031 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GPLPHIDP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | :1 | U | -8/L |  | Potal | of <br> 16 <br> 94: |  | $\text { Hest }{ }^{\text {PLopind }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { of } \\ \text {.16 } \\ (6.261) \end{gathered}$ | Pous 1 | $\begin{aligned} & : 10 \\ & : i c \\ & : 0: 5 a \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { coy ic? } \\ j \in 0 \\ \text { one } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 90,441 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vdots 3,894 \\ & 12,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89,128 \\ \operatorname{sen}, \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 181,365 \\ & 42,145 \end{aligned}$ | $?$ | Coi fog | $:, 775,418$ | 38 34 |  | ${ }^{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { guit sot } \\ & \text { i Hact } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,198 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123,684 \\ 23.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 379,147 \\ \hline \$ 2.2 \end{array}$ | 9.0 | 525,527 | 11 |  | 3,208, 453 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 3,765,380 \\ (68 C C \\ =3.3-7.49) \end{gathered}$ | "! |

304 3009 salisi matim
 Whole idigat lo Pounde. (5668t-89;05/26/93)


| WOHTY | ! | risstat 4 | ${ }_{4 S}^{3018}$ | 4 | fotal | ine iotal | 8 of puota (2.851) | Y) | PTORIM üget Tiset | $i_{0}^{i n g}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \text { of } \\ (200 t 2 \\ (2.851) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Potal | Gownide <br> Su <br> :ots: | $\begin{gathered} 1 . \vdots \\ \text { ve: } \\ 12.68 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joly ds |  | 192 | 200 | 725 | 1,419 | i, 11! | , | July 89 | 5, 155 | 5.153 | 1 | 6,570 | 3.576 | ! |
| dayat |  | : 88 | ¢56 | 941 | :,681 | 3,698 |  | dizust | 6.273 | 11, 126 | 0 | 1,954 | 14. 624 | i |
| Sepreaber |  | 3,432 | 299 | 308 | -, 397 | 7,895 | 1 | Saptenter | 10,318 | 83,244 | 1 | 14, 1 15 | 23, 139 | ! |
| Detober |  | 5.101 | 134 | 1,739 | 11;034 | 18,129 | 1 | uetober | 121,450 | 126,704 | 1 | 115,494 | [14,939 | ! |
| Icrenter |  | 4,178 |  | 261 | (1,139 | 22, 568 | i | Roranter | 127,662 | 254, 188 | 9 | 132,101 | 2?8, 314 | 10 |
| Recreter |  | 1,461 |  |  | 1,662 | 24,023 | 1 | Pecerio: | 469,736 | 744,102 | 25 | 471,197 | 718:111 | 16 |
| Jabaety 99 |  | 63 |  |  | ${ }^{53}$ | 24.092 | 1 | Jasuary 19 | 1,763,839 | 2,487,929 | 31 | 1,763:890 | 2.512.325 | 8 |
| Pebraary |  |  | 19 | 31 | 39 | 24,161 | : | Postury | 501,972 | 2,399,901 | 105 | S 5 2, 04] | 3,914, ${ }^{\text {de2 }}$ | : 9 |
| Hasib |  | 180 | 116 | \$11 | 887 | 25,048 | ! | darel | [172, 136 | 3,161,987 | 111 | 172, 123 | 3.135, 886 | $\because$ |
| dyril |  | 454 | 22,953 | 22,770 | 45,919 | 76,025 | 2 | dpris | 31,288 | 3,253,115 | 114 | 137,155 | 3.124.142 | [1; |
| closed | 4/8/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Closed | (107/89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 |  | 30 | 6.888 | 8.131 | 3,334 | 80,36s | 3 | $y_{3}$ | 15,959 | 3,269.074 | 115 | 25,293 | 3, 349, 13 ! | ! |
| Juse |  | 1 |  | 158 | 168 | 04,525 | 3 | juse | 8,187 | 1,275, 211 | $!15$ | 6,333 | 3.355: 58 | : 8 |
| -0tal | $\checkmark$ | 19,598 | 30,861 | 30,268 | 80,525 |  |  | '0ta, | 2.275,24! |  |  | 3,355,766 |  |  |
| * Reat | 0.0 | 24.3 | 38.3 | 89.3 |  |  |  | 1 hast |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Gail | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.4 |  |  | \% Gull | 97.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| diter Ciotur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poseds: | 0 | 385 | 2i,310 | 28.054 | 4,749 |  |  |  | 67.115 |  |  | 112,484 |  |  |

1984/39 inciasposal (8007 2.150)

| 80ifit | 71 | HSTHIL u | $\begin{gathered} 7011 \\ \text { us } / 41 \end{gathered}$ |  | Pobal | Cus. fotal | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { of } \\ & \text { grota } \\ & (2.154) \end{aligned}$ | H01\% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { of } \\ \text { (2004 } \\ (2.151) \end{gathered}$ | gubing |  | $\begin{gathered} 1: 8 \\ \text { inot3 } \\ i 5 y \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Hast }}^{\text {Hoil }}$ | Cas. <br> Potal |  | Potal | Can |  |
| daly 3 | 12,945 | 17.190 | 31,962 |  | 83,197 | 33.197 | 1 | Joly 88 | 87,688 | 87, 888 | 1 | 190,893 | 279,885 | A |
| tegat | : $: 1.145$ | 14,839 | 16,919 |  | 41,801 | [26,194 |  | layat | 178,501 | 266,177 | 12 | 229, 102 | 392,395 | 13 |
| septoribur | 399 | 1,662 | 51,549 |  | 30,941 | 180,705 | 3 | Septarbep | 61,242 | 327, 128 | 15 | 115,153 | 5198, 38 | i4 |
| Jctuber | 309 | 2,343 | 10,42i |  | 13,493 | 138,902 | 9 | petobar | 111,513 | 441,952 | 21 | 127,596 | 535.154 | ? |
| Horesber |  | 131 |  |  | 131 | 198,918 | 9 | Soraber | 31,094 | 471,954 | 22 | 31,155 | 522.565 | $3:$ |
| Decerber |  |  | 511 |  | 511 | 194,421 | 9 | Dgeation: | 11,615 | 491,591 | 2 | 12,146 | 365, 315 | 32 |
| jusaer 89 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 191, 224 | 9 | Januest $\mathrm{d}^{\text {d }}$ | 22,983 | 513,554 | 24 | 32,969 | 707.388 | 3 |
| Peberaty |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{9}$ | 154.424 | ${ }^{9}$ | Pebram | 22,989 | 536,517 | 25 | 32,985 | :30,211 | 34 |
| Yarci |  | 903 | 2,199 |  | 3,108 | 137,531 | g | Hares | 51,362 | 593,878 | 21 | 80, 162 | ${ }^{31} 91.123$ | $? ?$ |
| lprii |  | 937 | 8,191 |  | 3,184 | 200,651 | 9 | Spril | 99,362 | 851,311 | 39 | 60, 196 | 851,899 | 4 |
| 3 H |  | 1,391 | 3,328 |  | 5,159 | 205,815 | 19 | Yas | 79,547 | 121,018 | 34 | 75,934 | 927,933 | 43 |
| Jase |  | 1,999 | 1,326 |  | 5,123 | 218,938 | 10 | Juse | 10,847 | 192,863 | 39 | 75,970 | 1,693,009 | 17 |
| Pota: | 24,108 | 11,131 | 14,791 | 0 | 118,84 |  |  | Potal | 792,655 |  |  | 1,093,683 |  |  |
| \% Most | 11.1 | 34.9 | \$4.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S Gult | 2.5 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 0.6 | 21.0 |  |  | \% 60.1 | 79.0 |  |  |  |  |  |





Hacie Yaight Ia ?oonds. (S6Gsg-90;25/28/92)


| MSATH |  | $\underset{\vdots d}{\substack{\text { isfIII }}}$ | $x_{5}^{3011}$ | 4. | iotal | Con. iotd | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { of } \\ 20042 \\ \text { i2 } 990 \end{gathered}$ | M0ily | PContus hest Coast | Cus. <br> Potal | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { ot } \\ \text { (2006a } \\ (2.391) \end{gathered}$ | DSPIM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Potal | Cut | $\begin{gathered} \text { yen } \\ \text { igily } \end{gathered}$ |
| duly 39 |  | 583 | 2,678 | 35 | 3,302 | 3.302 | 0 | duly 39 | 1:, 157 | 11,457 | 0 | 14,759 | 14, 513 | : |
| sarus: |  | 1, 1788 | 1.153 | 1,:10 | 3,960 | ${ }^{\text {T }}$. 268 | ! | ! 108085 | 32, 298 | 13,756 | 1 | 26,259 | 51, 318 |  |
| Septarior |  | 0,016 | 373 | 2.336 | 9,819 | 17:31 | 1 | Saptaber | 37,698 | 8!,452 | 3 | 47.315 | 43, 319 |  |
| Ictober |  | 1:675 | 2,07? | 1,237 | 11,989 | 29, 370 | 1 | Catober | 89,08i | 170,539 | 9 | 105, 716 | 199.593 |  |
| Worester |  | 7.203 | 429 | 1,938 | 9,561 | 38,831 | 1 | Soresbes | :38,055 | 308,594 | 10 | :149,516 | 347,225 | $\because$ |
| becanbe: |  | 156 |  | 67 | 223 | 38,854 | : | Selezoop | :66,915 | 473,999 | 15 | [65,538 | 5:2,is3 | ! |
| Jaturiy 90 |  | 12 |  |  | 12 | 38.866 | , | - abasry $^{9} \mathrm{t}$ | 648.359 | 1,122, 268 | 37 | 648, 369 | 1.269,38 | 39 |
| Eebracy |  | 41 | 8 |  | 50 | 38,916 | 1 | Pobsaaty | 599,308 | 1,822,574 | 54 | 502.358 | 1,56:,490 | in |
| harci |  | 270 | :, 501 | 20,97 | 22,508 | 61, 121 | $?$ | Hazel | 278,525 | 1,901,099 | 64 | 361,033 | 1,382,523 | 35 |
| dpril |  | 1,348 | 9,941: | 46,004 | 51, 993 | 118, 917 | 4 | dprli | 211,854 | 2,188,953 | 11 | 275,147 |  | - |
| Hat |  | 76 | 135 | 997 | 1,108 | 119, 825 | 1 | yay | 38,597 | 2,151,510 | 72 | 39.645 | 2.671 .39 | T |
| June |  | 42 |  | 4 | 16 | 119,871 | 4 | Juba | 19, 178 | 2,171,392 | 33 | 19,918 | 2,297:65 |  |
| Pctsi | 0 | 24.829 | 20.283 | 14,359 | 119,891 |  |  | Potal | 2,171, 393 |  |  | 2.297,259 |  |  |
| 8 Heat | 3.1 | 20.1 | 18.1 | 82.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& Golf | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 5.6 |  |  | 8 folf | 94.8 |  |  |  |  |  |

1389/90 RLCBIITIOAH (QOOTA 2.261)

| YOFIT | II | itsitil | $4 \mathrm{~S} / 4 \mathrm{~L}$ | Total | Cos. ional | 1 of Guota (2.285) | H01f1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { of } \\ & \text { (100tit } \\ & (2.260\} \end{aligned}$ | BoadBoat | golerids |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {nest }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con. } \\ & \text { iotal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Potal | Cun. |  |
| joiy 9 | 1,968 | 28,921 | 11,326 | 100,211 | 100.218 | 1 | Juls 99 | 187,186 | 101,168 | 1 |  | 289, 381 | 287, 981 | : |
| tereat | 2,080 | 26,34 | 11,925 | 130,250 | 200,468 | 9 | infut | 189,189 | 314,359 | 17 |  | 207, 437 | Sti 921 | 2 |
| Septesbe: | 3,819 | 31,905 | 40,968 | 59.327 | 365,998 | 12 | Septentor | 123,725 | 501, 178 | 23 |  | 199,052 | Tis, 3 3 | 34 |
| Octobar | 3,649 | 24,109 | 40,969 | 69,329 | 339,122 | 15 | acrober | 129,905 | 833,783 | 28 |  | 198, 03: | $3 \% 2.905$ | 4 |
| Horeibep | 13 | 18,854 | 11,585 | 24,192 | 347. 514 | 16 | Povenber | 51,292 | 365.015 | 31 |  | 99,814 | 1.153, 533 | 4 |
| Decenter | 13 | 18,854 | 11.545 | 28,392 | 195,306 | 18 | Docantior | 51,265 | 738,281 | 31 |  | 73.657 | 1,136,130 | 30 |
| chasast 30 |  |  |  | - | 395,906 | 18 | jasarty 98 | 24,114 | 160,994 | 34 |  | 24,14 | 1,156,393 | $5!$ |
| foimary |  |  |  | 1 | 395,918 | 18 | Pabrury | 21,199 | 184,573 | 35 |  | 24,179 | : $1,180,19$ | 3 |
| larch |  |  | 31,264 | 31,364 | 427, 174 | : 1 | Varci | 136.452 | 321,025 | 41 |  | 187,719 | 1,348,195 | in |
| April |  | 37 | 31,264 | 31, 301 | 458,471 | 20 | dpril | 196,317 | 1,057, 102 | 47 |  | 187,878 | 1,915,9? | : |
| bap | 298 | 1,511 | 9,397 | 11,118 | 469,569 | 21 | Hay | 124,172 | -1,182, 114 | 52 |  | 135,888 | . 351191 | 3 |
| ing | 298 | 1,47 | 9,369 | 11,079 | 480,648 | 21 | Juse | 124,781 | 1,368,97\% | 58 |  | 135.871 | [,737,843 | ' |
| Stal | 11,387 | 139,998 | 328,782 | 480,868 |  |  | Potal | 1,368,972 |  |  | 1 | 1,987,85s |  |  |
| - tast | 2.5 | 29.1 | 68.4 |  |  |  | \$ Put |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 fait | 4.7 | 8.1 | 18.4 | 28.8 |  |  | \% Suif | 73.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


If6rm goti
GOLPHID

|  | if | 4 | 15/46 | Potal | $\$ 88$ isc (.251) |  | ${ }^{\text {Pbest }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 801 \\ \text { fif } \\ (5.251) \end{gathered}$ | foedDoat | Potal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COM 00 | 0 | 24.019 | 35,542 | 119,811 | 2 | C08 $90 \%$ | 8.177,408 | 41 | 1 | 2,297, 253 |  |
| 370 pot | 11,388 | 139,998 | 388,782 | 480,888 | 9 | BSC YOT | 1,306, 972 | 25 | $\theta$ | 1,717,698 | 14 |
| Gis1 :04 6 Hest | $\begin{array}{r} 11,884 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184,025 \\ 27.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 429.024 \\ 70.8 \end{array}$ | 600,587 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sinf oof } \\ & \text { P fint } \end{aligned}$ | 3: 484,354 | 6 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,084,891 \\ (18 C=4.3 \end{gathered}$ | 3 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Number in parenthesis is the corresponding catch for the immediately preceding fishing year.

