Enter Sandbox: Android Sandbox Comparison

Sebastian Neuner, Victor van der Veen,

Martina Lindorfer, Markus Huber, Georg Merzdovnik, Martin Mulazzani and Edgar Weippl



Overview

- In a nutshell
 - Static analysis
 - Dynamic analysis
 - Combined approach
- Motivation
- Contributions
 - Evaluated sandboxes
 - Interdependency
 - Sandbox effectiveness
- Summary



Analysis in a Nutshell - Static

- Static Analysis
 - Check code against rules
 - Source is available or
 - Application is disassembled
 - Pros
 - Fast
 - No execution, no risk
 - Con
 - Does not detect runtime specifics



Analysis in a Nutshell - Dynamic

- Dynamic analysis
 - Execute target application
 - Analyse behaviour
 - Observe environment
 - Pro
 - Find runtime specifics (e.g. temporal infos)
 - Cons
 - Complex
 - Risky
 - Code coverage



Combined Approach

- More effective analysis
 - Static + dynamic (hybrid)
 - Example:
 - Static analysis of suspicious sample
 - Build callgraph
 - Detect GUI elements
 - → Trigger GUI elements (not randomly but targeted)
 - \rightarrow Taint analysis on base of callgraph



Combined Approach

- More effective analysis
 - Static + dynamic (hybrid)
 - Example:
 - Static analysis of suspicious sample
 - Build callgraph
 - Detect GUI elements
 - → Trigger GUI elements (not randomly but targeted)
 - \rightarrow Taint analysis on base of callgraph



Combined Approach

- More effective analysis
 - Static + dynamic (hybrid)
 - Example:
 - Static analysis of suspicious sample
 - Build callgraph
 - Detect GUI elements

→Trigger GUI elements (not randomly but targeted)
→Taint analysis on base of callgraph



Sandbox

- Analysis environment for unknown software
 - Virtualized
 - Mostly hybrid
 - Watch network traffic, syscalls and other activities
 - Possible harms in case of malware (for host and guest system)



Motivation

- 1 billion Android devices expected in 2017
- SMSZombie: 500.000 infections (China)
- Too many sandboxes out there
 - Not enough coverage
 - No comparison



Why Compare?

- A lot of sandboxes
 - Which work and are available
 - How are they reused -> Interdependency
- Some sandboxes provide novel features

No Swiss-Army-Knife



Contributions

- Comparison of 16 available sandboxes
 - Level of introspection
 - Functionality
 - Interdependency
- Discussion of methods to detect and probe dynamic analysis frameworks



Contributions

- Effectiveness of 8 sandboxes
 - Just online (no source downloaded and run)
 - Public malware
 - Master Key vulnerabilities

ecure a-research.org

16 Sandboxes

Framework	src	WWW	Framework	src	www
AASandbox [10]			ForeSafe		•
AppIntent [48]			Joe Sandbox Mobile		•
ANANANS [40]			Mobile Sandbox [44]		•
AndroTotal [30]		•	SandDroid		•
Andrubis [42]		•	SmartDroid [46]		
AppsPlayground [47]	•		TaintDroid [36]	•	
CopperDroid [45]		•	TraceDroid [43]		•
DroidBox [39]	•		vetDroid [38]		
DroidScope [41]	•		VisualThreat		•

Table 1: Framework availability

Types of Introspection

	Implementation Details			
Framework	Android Version	Inspection Level		
AASandbox	_	Kernel		
AppIntent	2.3	Kernel		
ANANANS	2.3-4.2	Kernel		
Andrubis	2.3.4	QEMU & Dalvik		
AppsPlayground		Kernel		
CopperDroid	2.2.3	QEMU		
DroidBox	2.3-4.1	Kernel		
DroidScope	2.3	Kernel & Dalvik		
ForeSafe	?	?		
Joe Sandbox Mobile	4.0.3 / 4.0.4	Static Instrumentation		
Mobile Sandbox	2.3.4	Dalvik		
SandDroid	?	?		
SmartDroid	2.3.3	Kernel		
TraceDroid	2.3.4	Dalvik		
vetDroid	2.3	Kernel & Dalvik		
VisualThreat	?	?		

Table 2: Results. Part 1. "---" installable on any Android version. "?": Not possible to determine

Analysis Features

ž	Analysis Type		Analyzed Features			res	
Framework	Static	Tainting	GUI Interactions	File	Network	Phone	Native Code
AASandbox	•		•	•	•	•	
AppIntent	•	•	•				
ANANANS	•		•	•	•	•	•
Andrubis	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
AppsPlayground	•	•	•				
CopperDroid	•		•	•	•	•	•
DroidBox		٠		•	•	•	
DroidScope		•		•	•	•	•
ForeSafe	•		•	•	•		
Joe Sandbox Mobile	•		•	•	•	•	
Mobile Sandbox	•	•	•		•	•	•
SandDroid	•	٠	?	•	•	?	?
SmartDroid	•	•	•	•	•	•	
TraceDroid	•		•	•	•	•	
vetDroid	•	•	•	•	•	•	
VisualThreat	•			•	•	٠	•

Table 2: Results. Part 2

Probing

- Benign.apk
 - Unpack with apktool
 - Change min and target SDK version (5, 9, 11, 14, 19, 25)
 - Repackage with apktool
 - Verify new SDKVersion
 - A: android:minSdkVersion(0x0101020c)=(type 0x10)0x19
 - A: android:targetSdkVersion(0x01010270)=(type 0x10)0x19



Sandboxes leaking API level

E.g.

"Errors: Setup command ,_JBInstallAPK' failed: Installation failed: device is running API Level 15, but APK requires 19"




Interdependecy?

- Read documentations
- Read papers
- Emailed with authors
- Uploaded specific samples to see if something crashes :-D



Interdependency!



Effectiveness

- Chosen malware
 - Public available malware sets:
 - Contagio Mobile
 - Android Malware Genome Project
 - Master Key vulnerabilities
 - Weaknesses in ZIP fileformat handling within Android
 (→ APK)
 - Python bug for specific zeros in ZIP header




Master Key


- How these weaknesses influence interdependency?
 - Wrong handling in massive used software
 - \rightarrow Would affect every edge in contact

ecure a-research.org

So this would become...



...this



Sample Selection

- Coverage (regarding table V in [1]):
 - Remote control
 - Financial charges
 - Personal information stealing



 [1] ... Y. Zhou and X. Jiang, "Dissecting Android Malware: Characterization and Evolution," in Proceedings of the 33rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (S&P), 2012.

Sample Origin

- 6 samples from Malware Genome Project
- 2 sample from private contact
- 4 crafted helloWorld apps

- Obad
 - Kaspersky Labs: "[...] one of the most sophisticated mobile trojans to date [...]"
 - Part of botnet
 - 24 requested permissions
 - Send SMS
 - Send/receive data over network
 - ...
 - (Out of date) anti-emulation techniques
 - From: Malware Genome Project



- Geinimi
 - Sending SMS
 - Phone calls
 - Total remote control
 - From: Malware Genome Project



- DroidKungFu
 - Various privilege escalation techniques
 - RageAgainstTheCage
 - Reads IMEI and other sensitive data
 - Send data over network
 - From: Malware Genome Project



- Basebridge/Nyleaker
 - Invalid APK Manifest to evade Androguard
 - Successfully launched against a sandbox
 - From: Andrubis



Results (Again Tables)

Framework	Obad	Geinimi	DroidKungFu	Basebridge/ Nyleaker
Andrubis	• / •	• / •	• / •	• / 0
CopperDroid	- / -	• / -	- / •	- / -
ForeSafe	• / •	• / •	• / •	• / •
Joe Sandbox Mobile	• / •	• / •	● / ●	• / •
Mobile Sandbox	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -
SandDroid	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -
TraceDroid	• / •	• / •	• / •	• / •
VisualThreat	• / -	• / •	• / •	• / •

Table 3: Evaluation results with malware. Two samples per family

Tables, Tables, Tables...

Framework	Bug 8219321	Bug 9695860	Bug 9950697	Python ZIP Bug
Andrubis	•	-	-	•
CopperDroid	-	-	-	-
ForeSafe	•	•	•	•
TraceDroid	•	-	-	•
VisualThreat	•	•	-	•

Table 4: Evaluation results with Master Key vulnerabilities and the Python ZIP bug

Consequences

- Sandbox authors notified
 - Appreciated by authors
 - A lot of interesting discussions



Summary

- 1. Some sandboxes are hardly maintained or totally abandoned
- 2. Some sandboxes do not recognize even well-known malware
- 3. Interdependency and code reuse could lead to serious problems



Suggestions

- Not feasible
 - Do a qualified code review of every sandbox
 - Share reports to see if sandbox detects wellknown malware
 - Build the analysis Swiss-Army-Knife
- Feasible
 - Build a meta-engine that submits a sample to every known sandbox



Thanks for your Time

- Sebastian Neuner
- SBA Research
 - <u>https://www.sba-research.org/</u>
- sneuner@sba-research.org
 - PGP: 0xDE76C43A

CUTe esearch.ore



