## **Appendix N: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

(Rev. 1-91)

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)				of Land Evaluation	Request	1/14/11	4. Sheet 1 c	of	
Name of Project Keaau-Pahoa Road Improvements			5. Federal Agency Involved Federal Highway Administration						
2. Type of Project Improve high	way on existing a	lignment	6. County and State Hawaii C						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)				1. Date Request Received by NRCS 2/22/11 2. Person Completing Form Cynthia A. Stiles					
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland				? YES <b>[7</b> ] NO [7]			4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size		
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do	not complete additiona	<u> </u>				0	1147		
5. Major Crop(s)				7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA					
FO-4		Acres: 727,							
<ol><li>Name Of Land Evaluation System U State of HI, LESA</li></ol>	sed	9. Name of Local : None	Site Asse	ssment System		10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 3/8/11			
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)  Alternative 3 - Corridor B Preferred Alternative 5 - Corridor D Alternative 5 - Corridor D			idor C	Alternativ Corridor A	native Corridor For Segment A Corridor B Corridor C			Corridor D	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				0	4		5	10	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indi	rectly, Or To Receive S	Services		0	0		0	0	
C. Total Acres In Corridor				0	4		5	10	
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information									
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				0	0		0	0	
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland				0	0		0	0	
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Convert				0	0		0	0	
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Rela				100	100		100	100	
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterio value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points			Relative	22	22		22	22	
PART VI (To be completed by Fed Assessment Criteria (These criteria	eral Agency) Corrido	or M	aximum Points						
1. Area in Nonurban Use			15	15	15		15	15	
Perimeter in Nonurban Use			10	10	10		10	10	
Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed			20	0	0		0	0	
Protection Provided By State And Local Government			20	0	0		0	0	
Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			10	0	0		0	0	
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			25	0	0		0	0	
7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services			5	5	5		5	5	
On-Farm Investments			20	20	20		20	20	
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			25	0	0		0	0	
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			10	0	0		0	0	
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS			160	50	50		50	50	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)									
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100	22	22		22	22	
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		I site	160	50	50		50	50	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	72	72		72	72	
Corridor Selected:  All alts - same corridor, Alt. 4 (Corridor C) selected	Total Acres of Farm Converted by Proje     5.37		3. Date Of Selection:  4. Was A Local Site Assessment  3/8/11  YES NO 7			ed?			
						123	, 140 <b>F</b> I		
5. Reason For Selection:									

All alternatives follow same alignment, vary in different widths. All scores for all alternatives were identical. Alternative 4 selected as Preferred Alternative because it met the Purpose and Need of the Project.

Signature of Person Completing this Part:	DATE	
Douglas Zong		3/8/11
NOTE: Complete & form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor		

## **CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA**

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
 More than 90 percent - 15 points
 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
 Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use? More than 90 percent - 10 points 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?

More than 90 percent - 20 points
90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)

Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland? Site is protected - 20 points

Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County? (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with \$1,000 or more in sales.)
As large or larger - 10 points

Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?

Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points

Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)

Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?

All required services are available - 5 points

Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)

No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures? High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points

Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 20 points

Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)

No on-farm investment - 0 points

- (9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area? Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted 25 points

  Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted 1 to 24 point(s)

  No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted 0 points
- (10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?

  Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland 10 points

  Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland 9 to 1 point(s)

  Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland 0 points